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#### Abstract

Loss-of-function mutations in HAX1 (HS1-associated protein X1) in humans result in autosomal recessive severe congenital neutropenia (SCN), yet the exact molecular function of HAX1 remains unclear. Here, we aimed to determine its specific cellular function and elucidate potential pathophysiology related to HAX1 deficiency. Using mitochondrial swelling and carbonate extraction methods, we demonstrate that HAX1 is located in the mitochondrial intermembrane space. We performed mass-spectrometry (MS) studies and identified Caseinolytic peptidase B protein homolog (CLPB) as a novel interacting protein of HAX1 in HEK293T cells. CLPB is a member of the ATPase superfamily associated with diverse cellular activities (AAA+), which was previously shown to serve as a mitochondrial disaggregase in mammalian cells. Biallelic mutations in CLPB cause a rare neurological disorder associated with impaired cognitive development, 3methylglutaconic aciduria, and congenital neutropenia. We show that human mutations leading to SCN in either HAX1 or CLPB disrupt the mutual interactions between both proteins of the corresponding proteins. Using an approach combining SILAC and MS, we reveal that both HAX1 and CLPB deficiencies in myeloid leukemia cell lines cause perturbed mitochondrial protein turnover, mainly in the respiratory complex I, respiratory complex III and tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle). As a result, mtROS production is enhanced in the absence of HAX1. In comparative studies of the proteome (WT vs HAX1 deficient PLB-985 cells), HSP27 is found to be mostly dysregulated in HAX1-1 PLB-985 cells. Intriguingly, through a series of biochemical methods, such as Western blotting of cellular extracts upon fractionation, as well as by confocal microscopy experiments, we found a reduced phosphorylation state and reduced solubility of HSP27 in HAX1-1 cells. Further interactome assay of HSP27 demonstrate that HSP27 is associated with respiratory complexes and ribosomal subunits in mitochondria. Thus, these data indicate a critical involvement of the CLPB/HAX1 axis in maintaining mitochondrial complex stability and mitochondrial protein synthesis. Importantly, HSP27's reconstitution reverses the elevated ROS production in HAX1-1 PLB-985 cells and the perturbed neutrophil differentiation in HAX1-1 iPSCs model. Our study discovers a new and essential role of HAX1 in maintenance of mitochondrial proteostasis.


## I INTRODUCTION

## 1 Severe congenital neutropenia and HAX1

### 1.1 Severe congenital neutropenia (SCN)

Severe congenital neutropenia (SCN) is characterized by a reduced number of peripheral blood neutrophil granulocytes, often associated with promyeloid or myeloid maturation arrest in the bone marrow. Patients with SCN are characterized by an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) less than 500 per microliter. As a result, SCN patients already suffered from severe bacterial infections during first few months after birth. For example, they commonly present omphalitis, bronchitis, otitis, skin abscesses or pneumonia (Klein, 2011).

SCN patients may as well appear to be more vulnerable to invasive fungal infections and the pus formation is evidently reduced upon infections. Moreover, many of them suffer from osteopenia or osteoporosis due to decreased bone mineral density (Borzutzky et al., 2006; Yakisan et al., 1997). SCN is not a single nosological entity but rather comprises a wide array of diseases. SCN is found in association with syndromic diseases, such as metabolic diseases, bone marrow failure syndromes and oculocutaneous albinism (Spoor et al., 2019). SCN may furthermore be associated with autoinflammatory and autoimmune disorders, hematological malignancy and neuropsychiatric symptoms (Spoor et al., 2019).

Most SCN patients show not only quantitative but also qualitative neutrophil aberrations. As indicated in Figure 1, neutrophil maturation is arrested between promyelocyte stage and myelocyte stage. In addition, defected neutrophils morphologically aberrant in aspects of remarkable vacuolization and defective azurophilic granules (Klein, 2011). Due to dysfunctional granule proteins, aberrant neutrophils may functionally deficient in capacity of migration and activity of bacterial killing (Putsep et al., 2002). In myeloid progenitor cells increased apoptosis has been shown to be one important mechanism responsible for neutropenia in certain SCN patients with defined mutations.


Figure 1. Myeloid maturation arrest in severe congenital neutropenia. Taken from (Klein, 2011; Klein et al., 2007). (A) Maturation arrest between promyelocyte and myelocyte; (B) illustration of Giemsa-stained bone marrow aspirate smear in affected individual with SCN. Bone marrow from the healthy serves as a control.

SCN constitutes a heterogeneous group of diseases. Over the last decades, a few genes have been discovered accounting for the syndrome. The largest subgroup (about $60 \%$ in central European patients) of SCN is identified with mutations in ELANE, which is in charge of encoding neutrophil elastase. SCN patients with ELANE deficiency demonstrate upregulated ER stress, indicating by the elevation of BiP and the cleavage of XBP-1 (Grenda et al., 2007; Kollner et al., 2006). In 20\%$25 \%$ SCN patients, in particular those of Turkish origin, mutations in HAX1 are found. HAX1-deficiency causes decreased mitochondrial membrane potential in patients' neutrophils (Klein et al., 2007).

To date, more than 20 genes involved in various biological functions have been identified as being causative for SCN (Donadieu et al., 2017). Of note, one gene could contribute to a 'constitutional defect' associated with a germline mutation. On the other hand, it could be related to leukemogenesis by a somatic genetic event (Donadieu et al., 2017). An increasing number of genetic deficiencies continue to be identified, which further underlines the growing diversity of SCN. Recent examples are defects in G6PC3 (Boztug et al., 2009), STK4 (Abdollahpour et al., 2012), CSF3R (Triot et al., 2014), JAGN1 (Boztug et al., 2014), SMARCD2 (Witzel et al.,
2017), SRP54 (Bellanne-Chantelot et al., 2018) and p14/LAMTOR2 (Lyszkiewicz et al., 2019). Despite the genetic etiologies of SCN is increasingly discovered, the molecular pathophysiology based on these disorders remains elusive.

Therapy of congenital neutropenia aims first and foremost at preventing lifethreatening microbial infections. The standard therapy is daily subcutaneous G-CSF administration. This promotes a substantial increase in neutrophils count of peripheral blood. Thus, G-CSF therapy is able to evidently reduce infections and significantly improve patients' life expectancy and quality. However, some patients can hardly respond to G-CSF treatment and some patients develop clonal hematopoietic hematopoiesis, such as acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). In this case, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) appears to be the only curative treatment for those patients.

### 1.2 Kostmann syndrome

The first child with agranulocytosis were recognized by the pediatrician Rolf Kostmann in a consanguineous family from Sweden (Kostmann, 1950). In 1956, Kostmann reported the analysis of 6 children with "infantile genetic agranulocytosis", which is referred as "Severe congenital neutropenia" today (Kostmann, 1956). Typical clinical manifestations of those patients included various bacterial infections, such as otitis, abscesses, skin infections, tonsillitis or sepsis (Klein, 2017). Kostmann recognized that the pattern of inheritance is autosomal recessive and already defined that there is a maturation arrest during the neutrophil differentiation by the proof of Giemsa-stained bone marrow smears (Klein, 2017).

The genetic etiology and pathomechanisms of Kostmann syndrome remained elusive for the following 50 years. Our group proved that HAX1 was the SCNcausative gene variant in both Turkish patients and the original pedigree patients recognized by Kostmann. In the absence of HAX1, the inner mitochondrial membrane potential in patient neutrophils is reduced and therefore cells are prone to premature apoptosis (Klein et al., 2007).

### 1.3 HAX1

HAX1 was originally identified by the Suzuki group in a yeast-two-hybrid screening study (Suzuki et al., 1997). Using protein HS1 (HCLS1) as a bait protein, Suzuki et
al. were able to isolate the cDNA of a newly found protein, namely HAX1 (HS1associated protein X1) (Suzuki et al., 1997). HAX1 primarily locates in mitochondria (Suzuki et al., 1997). A series of following studies demonstrated that HAX1 could as well be related to the nuclear membrane and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Cilenti et al., 2004; Ortiz et al., 2004).

In Hax1-deficient mice, researchers found that Hax1 facilitated the processing of Htra2 by Parl, so that processed Htra2 functioned as an anti-apoptotic protein in mitochondrial intermembrane space (Chao et al., 2008). Therefore, these authors assumed that defective processing of anti-apoptotic Htra2 enhanced cellular apoptosis, contributing to an early cell death observed in the neuronal and lymphocytic system of Hax1-deficient mice. HAX1 can be cleaved into two fragments by Granzyme B (Han et al., 2010). The N-terminal fragment mediates mitochondrial depolarization after cleavage in mitochondria, while the C-terminal fragment is released to the cytosol (Han et al., 2010). Moreover, HAX1 is shown to interact with anti-apoptotic protein XIAP1 to prevent degradation of XIAP1 by the proteasome, which eventually results in cell survival (Kang et al., 2010). Furthermore, HAX1 has been identified as an interactor of SERCA2. It reported that HAX1 regulates the protein expression of SERCA2 so as to facilitate cell survival (Vafiadaki et al., 2009).

Several studies link HAX1 to the cytoskeleton. For example, HAX1 is reported to interact with PKD2 and cortactin, which may play a role in formation of cell-matrix contacts (Gallagher et al., 2000). Moreover, HAX1 is shown to negatively control integrin-mediated adhesion through an interaction with RhoA (Cavnar et al., 2011). At the actin cytoskeleton, HAX1 appears to interact with Pelota (Pelo) for degrading aberrant RNAs (Burnicka-Turek et al., 2010). In addition, HAX1 has acted as a transcriptional regulatory element by specifically binding to the 3' UTR of vimentin encoding mRNAs (Al-Maghrebi et al., 2002) and DNA polymerase beta (Sarnowska et al., 2007). In neuronal cells, Zhang et al. discovered that HAX1 mediates interaction of KCNC3 with ARP2/3, leading to an inactivated Kv3.3 potassium channel (Zhang et al., 2016). To further complicate a multifaceted mystery, HAX1 was reported to shuttle between nucleus and cytosol, which relate functions of HAX1 to mRNA processing (Grzybowska et al., 2013). Thus, HAX1 may be involved in a
wide array of putative functions (Figure 2), yet no unifying hypothesis has yet elucidated the multifunction of HAX1.


Figure 2. An overview of potential cellular localizations of HAX1. Taken from (Klein, 2017). 1) mitochondria, 2) endoplasmic reticulum, 3) perinuclear membrane, and 4) cytosol.

## 2 Cellular proteostasis

At any given time, human cells express ca. 10.000 proteins, most of which require correct and efficient folding to accomplish their biological function (Balchin et al., 2016; Kulak et al., 2017). In the crowded intracellular space, production, folding, conformational maintenance, spatio-temporal trafficking, control of protein abundance and disposal by degradation must be tightly controlled so that an intact proteome can be maintained. The balance of protein production, maintenance and decay is referred to as "proteostasis". A proteostasis network (PN) is mainly composed of three modules, namely protein synthesis, protein folding and conformational maintenance, and protein degradation (Jayaraj et al., 2020).


Figure 3. Scheme illustrating main modules of the proteostasis network. Taken from (Hartl, 2017).

### 2.1 Protein synthesis control

For proper nascent polypeptide chain synthesis, around 300 protein components are directly involved in the constituents of the translational machinery (Rouillard et al., 2016; Wolff et al., 2014). During protein translation, specific chaperones associate with the nascent chain emerging from ribosome. This interaction protect the proper folding of nascent polypeptides by preventing misfolding of the nascent chain and assisting in the process of cotranslational folding on the ribosome (Klaips et al., 2018). Concomitantly, UPS (ubiquitin-proteasome system) plays a role in the process of ribosomal quality-control by removing stalled nascent polypeptides during protein synthesis (Amm et al., 2014). Some newly synthesized proteins may fold cotranslationally within the polypeptide exit tunnel or at the surface of the ribosome. Some might completely folded when they are released from ribosomes (Kaiser and Liu, 2018; Liutkute et al., 2020). For some proteins, the folding and assembly into oligomeric complexes is mediated by various sorts of chaperone (Balchin et al., 2016; Langer et al., 1992). In addition, many chaperones also
participate in processes after protein synthesis. For example, they may also take a part in protein refolding, protein disaggregation and protein degradation (Cristofani et al., 2017).

### 2.2 Chaperones

The human genome encodes approximately 332 chaperones and co-chaperones (Brehme et al., 2014). In general, they are grouped via their molecular weight (MW). Many chaperones are identified as heat shock proteins (Hsps) because they respond to a wide variety of physical and metabolic stress, in particular to thermal stress challenging the folding of proteins. The main classes of chaperones are composed of ATP-dependent and ATP-independent heat shock proteins. ATPdependent HSPs are including Hsp60s, Hsp70s, Hsp90s and Hsp100s. Yet, only some small HSPs are ATP-independent. Small heat shock proteins cooperate with regulating co-chaperones for specifying and selecting client substrates (Brehme and Voisine, 2016; Jayaraj et al., 2020)

The Hsp70 chaperone family (DnaK in prokaryotes), in cooperation with the Hsp40 class, participates in the initial folding of nascent chains, protein conformational maintenance, disaggregation and degradation of misfolded proteins (Hartl and Hayer-Hartl, 2002; Meimaridou et al., 2009; Tessarz et al., 2008). Additionally, Hsp60s adopt client proteins that fail to be processed by Hsp70/Hsp40 system. At the downstream of Hsp70, Hsp90 chaperone system functions as signaling players. They involve in the conformational regulation of particular proteins engaged in cell signaling (Balchin et al., 2016; Pratt et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2012; Taipale et al., 2012).

Together with the Hsp70 system, sHsps mechanistically bind misfolded or unfolded proteins and prevent them from the accumulation of toxic aggregate species in an ATP-independent fashion, which is critical for preserving the proteome stability (Haslbeck et al., 2019). However, when the system is overloaded, partially misfolded or misfolded species will form aggregates (Klaips et al., 2018). On the other hand, aggregates associated with sHsps and chaperone cofactors are able to be further processed (Bakthisaran et al., 2015; Haslbeck et al., 2005; Mogk et al., 2003; Zwirowski et al., 2017) and eventually disaggregated by Hsp70/Hsp40/Hsp110 machineries (Rampelt et al., 2012; Shorter, 2011). The cellular disaggregation
capacity can be further promoted by a group of AAA+ ATPase family proteins (ClpB in Escherichia, Hsp104 in yeast and CLPB in metazoans) and has been shown to cooperate with Hsp70/Hsp40 cofactors for aggregate extraction (Cupo and Shorter, 2020; Doyle and Wickner, 2009; Wegrzyn et al., 2001).

### 2.3 UPS and autophay

Whenever intervention of chaperones is unable to prevent protein misfolding, terminally misfolded proteins have to be cleared via the cellular degradation machinery. Proteolytic degradation mainly acts by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and chaperone-mediated lysosomal degradation (Forster et al., 2013; Varshavsky, 2017). During UPS-mediated degradation, substrates covalently modified with ubiquitin are recognized by the $26 S$ proteasome and are further unfolded by the 19S regulatory particle before eventual degradation via the 20S catalytic unit (Zheng and Shabek, 2017). While the insoluble aggregates and larger inclusions can be further removed by the selective autophagy together with lysosomal degradation (Galluzzi et al., 2017; Kaushik and Cuervo, 2018).


Figure 4. Protein fates in the proteostasis network. Taken from (Hartl, 2011). The proteostasis network is composed of various chaperone pathways (180 different chaperone components), for newly synthesized proteins' folding and protein native conformation maintenance, for misfolded proteins' remodeling and for protein degradation. The latter can be mediated by ubiquitin-
proteasome system (UPS) ( $\sim 600$ components) and the autophagy- lysosomal pathway (ALP) (~30 components).

### 2.4 Protein responses to perturbed proteostasis

A variety of signaling pathways react to perturbed proteostasis to allow the coordination of a functionally competent proteome. Different stress-response pathways are activated so that cells can accordingly adjust to cellular stress. As a result, client substrates are less loaded and aggregated proteins are dissolved. Meantime, components of PN (proteostasis network) are upregulated (Figure 5). Therefore, cells manage to be responsive to changes inside or outside cells through monitoring and adjusting proteome status in a real-time manner (Klaips et al., 2018).


Figure 5. Stress responses for the maintenance of cellular proteostasis. Taken from (Gopal G. Jayaraj et al., 2020). In response to protein damage in a variety of cellular localizations, the competence of proteostasis is regulated and with adjustment to meet specific cellular requests. This includes translational decay to reduce the load on the folding machineries (1) releasing chaperone involved in removing misfolded and aggregated proteins (Mu et al.) (2), and activation of transcriptional stress response pathways, expanding chaperone pools that can be used for protein folding and degradation (3).

To deal with cytosolic stress, cells have evolved the cytosolic heat stress response, which is mediated by heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) in eukaryotes (Anckar and Sistonen, 2011; Vabulas et al., 2010). Upon cellular stress, HSF1 is activated to transcriptionally activate a variety of molecular chaperones (Hsps) and other qualitycontrol components. These chaperones further protect protein from aggregation and participate in the process of protein refolding or degradation by the UPS (Jayaraj et al., 2020; Richter et al., 2010). In addition, HSF1 acts to transiently attenuate protein translation (Li et al., 2013a; Shalgi et al., 2013). Once the stressor is removed, HSF1 is supposed to be deactivated by a negative-feedback loop so that cellular proteostasis can be again guaranteed (Krakowiak et al., 2018).

In each organelle, specific response pathway in response to transcriptional stress are applied, such as the unfolded protein response pathways of endoplasmic reticulum (UPR ${ }^{E R}$ ) and mitochondria (UPR ${ }^{m t}$ ), to prevent accumulation of misfolded proteins in specific organelles (Karagoz et al., 2019; Walter and Ron, 2011). The UPR is sensed by three transmembrane signaling proteins. They are inositol requiring element 1 (IRE1), PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). These signaling proteins are activated and induce a variety of downstream transcription factors, in an effort to generate a number of proteostasis items (Klaips et al., 2018; Okada et al., 2002; Walter and Ron, 2011).

PERK phosphorylates and deactivates the eukaryotic translation initiation factor $2 \alpha$ (elF2a), resulting in translational decay (Frakes and Dillin, 2017; Walter and Ron, 2011). ATF6 is proteolytically cleaved and translocated to the nucleus to transcriptionally promote the activation of chaperones in endoplasmic reticulum. Similarly, ATF5 is responsible for transcriptional induction of chaperones to mitochondrial unfolded protein response. IRE1 splices the mRNA of XBP1, leading to a transcriptional activation of genes associated with misfolded protein export and degradation (Jovaisaite et al., 2014).

An increasing number of studies demonstrate that a crosstalk among different stress-induced responsive pathways is of importance for cell fitness. For example, $U P R^{E R}$ leads to the cellular aggregation of metastable proteins (Hamdan et al.,
2017). Moreover, UPR ${ }^{m t}$ increases the levels and activity of chaperones and the proteasome system in the cytosol to reduce the impact of toxic aggregates (Boos et al., 2020). Whereas the detailed mechanisms for UPR induction is different from that of the heat shock reaction in cytosol, both share a final determination: an increase in quality-control elements and a decrease in transient attenuation of translation of potentially misfolded substrates (Klaips et al., 2018).

### 2.5 Proteostasis and disease

Safeguarding and maintaining an intact cellular proteome ("proteostasis") relies on complex control mechanisms, such as chaperones and quality-control items that are functionally associated with machineries regulating protein degradation (Klaips et al., 2018). Several common and age-related human diseases (e.g., Alzheimer and Parkinson disease, type II diabetes) have been linked to aberrant proteostasis leading to intractable amyloid aggregates (Chiti and Dobson, 2017). In particular, neurological diseases have served as a prime paradigm for understanding the pathological role of aberrant protein aggregation in cells (Dubnikov and Cohen, 2017; Hartl, 2017). With few exceptions (e.g. alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency, Heinz body anemia, inherited amyloidosis), proteostasis in rare monogenic diseases affecting non-neurological cells has not systematically been addressed (Mannini and Chiti, 2017).

Understanding the mechanisms controlling proteostatic networks is highly relevant for the development of novel therapies. In neuronal diseases, several strategies to modulate proteostatic networks using expression of co-chaperones (Klaips et al., 2018), small molecules disrupting the stress-induced dephosphorylation of the $\alpha$ subunit of translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2 $\alpha$ ) (Tsaytler et al., 2011) or inhibiting the deubiquitinating activity of human USP14 (Lee et al., 2011) have been proposed. Enhancing stress-response pathways (e.g. by small molecules or the transcription factor HSF-1) has been shown to be associated with life-span extension and "proteostatic health" (Kasuske and Hansen, 2018; Mu et al., 2008). Recently, mitochondrial translation and mitophagy pathways have been targeted to increase the fitness and lifespan of worms and transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease (Sorrentino et al., 2017). Even though novel therapeutic strategies aiming at reconstitution of proteostatic networks in neurodegenerative disorders have not
yet resulted in clinical breakthroughs, current data indicate that promising new principles emerge in cell and animal models.

## 3 Mitochondria and mitoproteostasis

### 3.1 General introduction of mitochondria

Mitochondria are often seen as the "powerhouses" for the cell. Their ATP generation via oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) is highly relevant for cellular function. While the origin of mitochondria remains a matter of debate, the most widely accepted explanation is the endosymbiotic hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, mitochondria arise from a proteobacterium, engulfed and thereby annexed by some ancestral type of eukaryotic host cell (Gray, 2014; Imachi et al., 2020; Martin, 2017; Martin et al., 2015). Since that time, and strikingly different from other eukaryotic organelles, mitochondria have retained two phospholipid bilayers: the outer membrane (OM), which separates mitochondria from the cytosol; the inner membrane (IM), which encompasses an aqueous compartment, namely mitochondrial matrix. The intermembrane space (IMS), another fluid compartment, exists between the two mitochondrial membranes (Palade, 1953). In addition, there are lamellae of the IM, folding inwards and thus generating sequestered zone, namely "cristae" (Figure 6). These foldings allow more membrane to be packed into the mitochondrion. Cristae are the sites, where the oxidative phosphorylation system (OXPHOS) exists and functions for mitochondrial ATP production (Cogliati et al., 2016; Stoldt et al., 2018).


Figure 6. General architecture of mitochondria.

In addition to cellular ATP production, mitochondria are fully or partially involved in many metabolic pathways and cycles, such as the TCA cycle, fatty acid oxidation,
one-carbon cycle, urea cycle, heme biosynthesis, cardiolipin synthesis, quinone and steroid biosynthesis (Eaton et al., 1996; Lill, 2009). Moreover, mitochondria are supposed to participate in the processof synthesizing heme and iron sulfur clusters, as well as various signalling pathways, such as calcium signalling and apoptosis (Stehling and Lill, 2013; Vandecasteele et al., 2001; Wang and Youle, 2009). Additionally, mitochondria are involved in controlling innate immunity, developmental processes as well as aging (Green, 2005; Weinberg et al., 2015).

### 3.2 The oxidative phosphorylation system and mitochondrial superoxide ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{--}$) production

The oxidative phosphorylation system (OXPHOS) consists of four multimeric complexes: complex I, II, III and IV. These four complexes incorporate with complex V (ATP synthase) in biosynthesis of ATP (adenosine-5'-triphosphate). Except for mitochondrial complex II whose proteins are all encoded by the nuclear genome, all other complexes are encoded by both the nuclear and mitochondrial genome. In an 'orthodox' state, through chemical reactions of OXPHOS, electrons provided by the citric cycle are transferred through four complexes and utilized to reduce oxygen to water. During the electrons transfer, a proton gradient is thus generated on both sides of mitochondrial inner membrane. The proton gradient is further employed to generate ATP via mitochondrial complex V (Figure 7).

Not surprisingly, the process of electron transport is highly complex. First, electrons are transferred from the TCA cycle (tricarboxylic acid cycle) or $\beta$-oxidation, via the reducing equivalents NADH or FADH2 to the complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) or to complex II (succinate dehydrogenase ) (Zhu et al., 2016). During the oxidative reaction of NADH within complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase), four protons are pumped from matrix into IMS. Of note, complex II (succinate dehydrogenase) transports electrons but does not pump protons as a result of insufficient energy production. Both complexes transfer electrons to ubiquinone $(Q)$, which transfers its electrons through the membrane to complex III (ubiquinol cytochrome c reductase). Complex III transfers cytochrome c electrons and two more protons are pumped out to the mitochondrial intermembrane space with energy yield (Guzy et al., 2005). Cytochrome c, bound to the outer face of the
inner membrane, brings electrons to cytochrome c oxidase (complex IV), from which four electrons are ultimately passed to oxygen (forming water), while protons are being transferred across the IM to the IMS (Castresana et al., 1994). Thus, electrons pass through the electron transport chain (ETC) and release energy to pump protons out of the mitochondrial matrix, which generates an electrochemical gradient. When protons flow back, they pass through F1F0ATP synthase (complex V ) and drive ATP synthesis.


Figure 7. The Oxidative phosphorylation system (OXPHOS). Taken from (Schon, 2000). OXPHOS complexes are composed of nuclear DNA-encoded (blue) and mitochondrial DNAencoded components (red). Electrons are transferred to the first two complexes (complex I and II) from the mitochondrial matrix and passed to complex IV for reducing oxygen into water. A proton gradient is generated along with electron transport via the complexes I, III and IV, which fuels complex V for ATP synthesis.

Along the cascade of ATP synthesis, electrons may leak from the ETC (reverse electron transfer) and react improperly with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to generate superoxide ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-{ }^{-}}$). The superoxide anion can be further converted into hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and hydroxyl radical $(\cdot \mathrm{OH})$. The combination of these three species is the so-called reactive oxygen species (ROS). Complex I and complex III are thought to be the main sites in the ETC for producing superoxide. Under normal conditions (forward electron transfer mode), little superoxide is produced in mitochondrial complexes. However, when complex I inhibitors such as rotenone are added, electrons are reversely transferred to react with oxygen. This leads to a release of superoxide from complex I to the matrix (Ramsay and Singer, 1992). Similar to the consequences of complex I dysfunction, an extensive amount of superoxide is
produced in the IMS when the activity of complex III is impaired (e.g. by its inhibitor antimycin (Quinlan et al., 2011). As a multi-subunit complex (14 core and 31 accessory proteins), complex I is specifically characterized by a slow transition of active to deactive state, suggesting gross conformational rearrangements of complex I, which may in part be involved in its superoxide production (Gavrikova and Vinogradov, 1999).

Once complex II was considered to produce superoxide only upon impairments or mutations arising in the complex (Yankovskaya et al., 2003). The thought has been questioned when complex II is shown to be able to generate superoxide in the forward reaction via its prosthetic flavin adenine nucleotide moiety (Quinlan et al., 2012). Since the experimental ambient $(5-10 \mathrm{mM})$ concentrations of succinate exceed the physiological succinate levels in the tissue (in a range of hundreds of micromoles), the involvement of complex II in ROS formation still remains undetermined (Zorov et al., 2014). Besides, superoxide could be generated by other mitochondrial components, such as a-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, aglycerophosphate dehydrogenase and dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (Venditti et al., 2013).

### 3.3 Mitochondrial supercomplexes

The functional organization of OXPHOS complexes has been largely discussed. Based on the 'plasticity model', OXPHOS complexes are thought to be existed in single complexes and supercomplexes (SCs) of distinct constitution and stoichiometry (Cogliati et al., 2016). The identification of supercomplexes was reported by Schaegger et al in 2000, who resolved OXPHOS complexes and supercomplexes via BN-PAGE (Milenkovic et al., 2017; Schagger and Pfeiffer, 2000). Recent studies indicate that the major recognized supercomplexes incorporate heterogenic OXPHOS complexes, which can be mainly categorized into three species: complex I+III, I+III+IV, and III+IV (Acin-Perez et al., 2008; Dudkina et al., 2005; Lobo-Jarne and Ugalde, 2018; Schagger, 2001; Stroh et al., 2004) (Figure 8). This existing assembly group allows a more efficient electron transfer and reduces the production of mitochondrial ROS (Bianchi et al., 2004; Lopez-Fabuel et al., 2016). Complex I is mostly identified to be related with SCs as for its enhanced stability (Cogliati et al., 2016). Additionally, complex III and IV are capable of forming
homodimers (Letts and Sazanov, 2017). Yet, complex V can be found as a monomer or as a homodimer, not associating with any other complexes, which is thought to be crucial for cristae formation (Hahn et al., 2016).


Figure 8. Different forms of mitochondrial supercomplexes located in cristae. Taken from (Cogliati et al., 2016).

### 3.4 Regulation of mitochondrial proteostasis

The mitochondrial proteome consists of more than 1000 proteins, but only 13 proteins are encoded by the mitochondrial DNA. They are all components of OXPHOS (Anderson, 1981). The vast majority of mitochondrial proteins is synthesized in the cytosol and subsequently imported into the organelle via the TIM/TOM (translocase of inner and outer membrane) complexes (Chacinska et al., 2009; Neupert and Herrmann, 2007). In view of the high protein concentration within mitochondria maintenance of mitochondrial protein homeostasis is a unique challenge (Naresh and Haynes, 2019).

### 3.4.1 Mitochondrial protein synthesis

To maintain organelle homeostasis, mitochondria have developed several regulatory mechanisms. Alterations of cytosolic translation contributes to mitochondria-encoded protein synthesis, via control of translational activators (Couvillion et al., 2016). Furthermore, mitochondrial ribosomes exhibit translational plasticity to adjust mitochondrial translation to the availability of nuclear-encoded subunits and thereby adapt mitochondrial protein production to the cellular demands (Richter-Dennerlein et al., 2016). Thus, though mitochondrial double membranes separate cytoribosomes from mitoribosomes and a lack of shared components between nuclear and mitochondrial translation systems, translation regulation of OXPHOS subunits from two genomes can still be synchronized across cellular compartments (Couvillion et al., 2016).

### 3.4.2 Protection during protein import

Nuclear-encoded proteins have to translocate across mitochondrial membranes. This process is mediated by the outer mitochondrial membrane (TOM) complex and the inner membrane TIM23 complex. The TOM complex (consisting of Tom70, Tom40, Tom22, Tom20, Tom7, Tom6 and Tom5) functions as general entry gate for preproteins into mitochondria, mediating proteins transfer across the outer membrane (Asai et al., 2004; Bolliger et al., 1995; Komiya et al., 1998; Mayer et al., 1995). The inner membrane TIM23 complex (consisting of Tim50, Tim44, Tim23, Tim21, Tim17, Tim16/Pam16, Tim14/Pam18, mtHsp70 and Mge1) mediates translocation of preproteins across and into the inner membrane, resulting from a protein-conducting channel and the import motor in Tim23 complex (Chacinska et al., 2005; Donzeau et al., 2000; Matouschek et al., 2000; Mayer et al., 1995; Moro et al., 1999; Ungermann et al., 1994).

Mitochondrial precursor proteins can smoothly be transported through pore structures consisting of translocons on the basis of being kept unfolded (Mokranjac et al., 2003). As a result, hydrophobic regions of premature proteins are at high risk to unwanted protein-protein interaction and consequently possible aggregation. However, these undesired consequences are routinely controlled by a group of chaperones (Baker et al., 2011). For example, Hsp70 and Hsp90 guide newly synthesized proteins to the mitochondrial surface and then mtHsp70 mediates the
process of importing newly synthesized precursors into mitochondria. Along with the import, mtHsp70 involves as well in removing the mitochondrial targeting peptides from N-terminal of individual protein in an ATP-dependent manner (Kang et al., 1990). Concomitantly, mtHsp70 can also maintain proteins in an unfolded conformation for a correct tertiary structure after entering mitochondria. In addition, Hsp60, in conjunction with Hsp10, encapsulates and allows proteins to fold in the crowded milieu of the mitochondrial matrix (Horwich et al., 1999).

### 3.4.3 Mitochondrial proteases

In addition to chaperones, proteases also play critical roles in mitochondrial proteostasis. Proteases are responsible to alleviate mitochondrial stress caused by degrading unfolded proteins (e.g., proteins unable to be refolded, redundantly unassembled subunits or unwanted by-products of OXPHOS). HtrA2 allows unfolded respiratory chain subunits to be cleared in the IMS (Baker et al., 2011). There inserted two species of $\mathrm{AAA}^{+}$metalloprotease securing appropriate proteolysis in mitochondrial innermembrane (Koppen and Langer, 2007): i-AAA protease acting in IMS and m-AAA protease functioning in mitochondrial matrix. Both proteases act to degrade various misfolded or unassembled IM proteins, peripherally connected or incorporated into the IM (Arlt et al., 1996; Korbel et al., 2004; Leonhard et al., 1996). Furthermore, the proteases Lon and ClpP remove impaired proteins from the mitochondrial matrix (Fischer et al., 2012) (Figure 9).


Figure 9. Proteolytic systems in mitochondrial proteostasis. Taken from (Baker et al., 2011). mtDNA encoded subunits were synthesized via mitochondrial ribosomes and inserted into the IM by Oxa1 prior to assembly with imported nuclear encoded subunits. Misformed protein components associated with mitochondrial innermembrane are degraded by AAA protease complexes (Michael J. Baker et al., 2011). Misformed protein components in the matrix are degenerated by Lon or CIpXP. Resolved peptides created in the mitochondrial matrix are further released from the matrix via transporter Mdl1 into cytosol. HtrA2 is supposed to function in degradation of misformed protein in the IMS.

Not only the i-AAA protease, but also the inner membrane protease PARL (presenilin associated rhomboid like protease) acts in the IMS to control protein quality. PARL is recognized to process PINK (the PTEN-induced kinase) so that it can further act in the regulation of mitophagy and the activity of respiratory complex I. PARL also cleaves PGAM5 (a mitochondrial phosphatase), which plays a role in mitophagy, mitochondrial fission and cell death (Chen et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2010; Morais et al., 2014; Sekine et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). Another substrate of PARL is SMAC. Upon proteolytical processing, SMAC is further released into the cytosol and activates caspase signaling by prohibiting inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) (Saita et al., 2017). PARL associates with SLP2 (stomatin-like protein 2 ) and the i-AAA protease

YME1L as a proteolytic hub in the IM to mediate the processing of PINK1 and PGAM5 in the IMS (Wai et al., 2016).

### 3.4.4 Mitochondrial dynamics regulated by UPS and autophagy

The UPS is an important multicomponent system, accounting for removing proteins from various cellular compartments in cytosol (Hershko, 2005). Several lines of evidence show that the UPS is also involved in mitochondrial protein quality control. First, the OM is accessible to the UPS machinery. Certain mitochondrial outer membrane proteins are ubiquitinated prior to be degraded via the UPS system (Livnat-Levanon and Glickman, 2011). Furthermore, mistargeted mitochondrial precursor proteins can be removed via the UPS. Moreover, the turnover of proteins residing in IMS and IM is dependent on the proteasome (Radke et al., 2008). Intriguingly, inactivation of the proteasome prevents protein turnover of mitochondrial matrix proteins, suggesting that proteins in matrix can be transported out of OM for the degradation via proteasome (Azzu and Brand, 2010; Heo and Rutter, 2011; Margineantu et al., 2007).

Mitochondria dynamically proceed with fission and fusion events which also contribute to maintain proteostasis and regular protein function (Chan et al., 2011; Tanaka et al., 2010). Fusion combines mitochondrial pools and thus dilute impaired proteins, in particular in response to moderate mitochondria damage. In contrast, fission contributes to discarding damaged portions from a healthy mitochondrial network. Fission follows severe damage to mitochondria and usually precedes final disposal via mitophagy (Okamoto et al., 2009). Loss of the mitochondrial fusion moderator lead to a decreased activity of mitochondrial complexes (Chen et al., 2007; Tatsuta and Langer, 2008). Impaired mitochondrial fission prohibits the removement of defective mitochondria by autophagy (Tanaka et al., 2010).

### 3.4.5 Mitochondiral unfolded protein response (UPR ${ }^{\text {mt }}$ )

Activation of the UPR ${ }^{m t}$ results from a wide range of proteotoxic stresses, such as impairment of mitochondrial translation, interference of mitochondrial chaperones or proteases, excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) and misfolded protein (Fiorese and Haynes, 2017; Haynes et al., 2007; Houtkooper et al., 2013; Munch and Harper, 2016; Zhao et al., 2002). In line with cytosolic UPR, a mitochondrial- specific UPR has evolved to manage imbalanced mitochondrial proteostasis via mitochondrial-
nuclear signaling to regulate the unfolded protein load (protein import and translation), mitochondrial folding capacity, antioxidant capacity and protein quality control (Munch, 2018). Specifically, UPR ${ }^{m t}$ encompasses three pathways, the CHOP axis (canonical axis), the Sirtuin axis, and the Era axis (Figure 10).


Figure 10. The main axes of mammalian UPR ${ }^{m t}$. Taken from (Munch, 2018).

UPR ${ }^{m t}$ axes are activated upon mitochondrial proteotoxic stress as a result of protein misfolding or aggregation inside mitochondria. The canonical UPR ${ }^{m t}$ axis contributes to translocations and varied expressions of CHOP, ATF4, and ATF5 (Fusakio et al., 2016; Teske et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2002). Together with other currently unknown transcription factors, this axis induces elevated chaperonins, chaperones, and proteases to expand the folding capacity inside mitochondria (Aldridge et al., 2007; Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016). Moreover, UPR ${ }^{m t}$ sirtuin axis is activated and results in deacetylation and relocalization of FOXO3A, which upregulates the expression of SOD2 and catalase. This is of importance as an antioxidant response in mitochondria (Brunet et al., 2004; Sundaresan et al., 2009; Tao et al., 2014). In the mitochondrial intermembrane space, misformed proteins trigger the UPR ${ }^{\text {IMS }}$-ERa axis, acting via AKT and ROS-dependent phosphorylation
of Era and NRF1 initiation (Papa and Germain, 2014; Scarpulla, 2006; Zhang et al., 2014). The activation of UPR ${ }^{I M S}$ _ERa axis further increases protease activity, adjustment of respiration levels, and escalated proteasome activity - all these factors contribute to increased protein quality control capacity (Kenny and Germain, 2017; Papa and Germain, 2011; Radke et al., 2008). As a local stress response, the UPR ${ }^{m t}$ translation axis is activated to decrease the unfolded protein load, due to perturbed proteostasis in the matrix. Specifically, protein translation and protein import are reduced (Bernstein et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2007; Nargund et al., 2015; Nargund et al., 2012). Even though the UPR ${ }^{m t}$ axes are based on molecularly distinct pathways, they are thought be reciprocally beneficial and initiated in parallel (Munch, 2018).

## II MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 1 Materials

### 1.1 Reagent

Table 1. The summary of reagent included in this study.

| Chemical | Supplier |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2-mercaptoethanol (ß-mercaptoethanol) | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Acetone | AppliChem |
| Acrylamide/bisacrylamide (37.5:1) solution | Roth |
| Agarose Basic | AppliChem |
| Ampicillin | AppliChem |
| Anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Antimycin A | Sigma-Aldrich |
| BlueRay Prestained Protein Marker | Jenabioscience |
| Borsaeure | Carl Roth |
| Bovine serum albumin (BSA) | AppliChem |
| Bromophenol Blue | Carl Roth |
| Calcium chloride (CaCl2) | Sigma |
| Digitonin | Gibco |
| DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle | AppliChem |
| Medium) | JenaBioscience |
| DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide) | Sigma |
| DNA Ladder Mid Range | Carl Roth |
| Doxycyclin | SioChemica |
| DTT (1,4-dithiothreitol) | EDTA dihydrate |
| Ethanol | Ethidium bromide |


| Glucose | Carl Roth |
| :---: | :---: |
| Glycerol | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Glycine | Carl Roth |
| HEPES | Carl Roth |
| Hydrochloric acid (HCl) 37\% | Carl Roth |
| L-Glutamine 200 mM | Thermofisher |
| Magnesium chloride ( $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ) | Merck |
| Methanol | Carl Roth |
| Milk powder | Carl Roth |
| MOPS (morpholinopropanesulfonic acid) | Sigma-Aldrich |
| NP-40 Alternative | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Onetaq DNA Polymerase | NEB |
| Oligonucleotides | Eurofin genomics |
| Opti-MEM | Gibco |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin (10,000 U/mL) | Gibco |
| Pierce Protein A Agarose | Thermofisher |
| Pierce Protein G Agarose | Thermofisher |
| PMSF (phenylmethanesulfonyluoride) | Carl Roth |
| Poly-L-lysine hydrobromide | Sigma |
| Potassium chloride (KCl) | Roth |
| Potassium dihydrogen phosphate ( $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ) | Merck |
| Potassium hydroxide ( KOH ) | Carl Roth |
| Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (EDTAfree) | Roche |
| Ponceau S | Applichem |
| Proteinase K | PEQLAB |
| Puromycin | Invivogen |
| Restrictive enzymes | ThermoFisher |
| Roti-Quant ${ }^{\text {® }}$ reagent | Carl Roth |
| RT-PCR related buffer | ThermoFisher |


| SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) | Carl Roth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sodium acetat | Carl Roth |
| Sodium chloride (NaCl) | Carl Roth |
| Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) | Carl Roth |
| Sodium bicarbonate (Na2CO3) | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO3) | Merck |
| di-Sodium hydrogen phosphate (Na2HPO4) | AppliChem |
| Sodium pyruvate 100x | Thermofisher |
| D(+)-Sucrose, RNase Free and DNase <br> Free | Carl Roth |
| Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) | Carl Roth |
| Trehalose | Carl Roth |
| Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Tris | Carl Roth |
| Triton X-100 | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Trypsin for cell culture | Gibco |
| Tween-20 | Carl Roth |
| Urea | Carl Roth |

### 1.2 Kits and disposals

Table 2. The summary of kits and disposals included in this study

| Product | Supplier |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amersham Hybond P 0.45 PVDF | GE Healthcare |
| Anti-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel | Sigma |
| Amersham Protran 0.2 NC | GE Healthcare |
| Cell culture falcons | Sarstedt |
| Cell culture flasks | Sarstedt |
| Cell culture plates | Sarstedt |


| Complex I Enzyme Activity <br> Microplate Assay Kit | abcam |
| :--- | :--- |
| Complex IV Enzyme Activity <br> Microplate Assay Kit | abcam |
| Fast Digest restriction enzymes | ThermoFisher |
| High-Capacity cDNA Reverse <br> Transcription Kit | ThermoFisher |
| High Range DNA Ladder | JenaBioscience |
| Human Stem Cell Nucleofector Kit 2 | Lonza |
| Mid-Range DNA Ladder | JenaBioscience |
| Microtube/ Eppitubes | Sarstedt |
| Pfu1 polymerase | Promega |
| pGEM-T Vector Kit | Promega |
| Pipette tips | Biozym |
| Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase | NEB |
| Qiagen MaxiPrep Kit | Qiagen |
| RNA purification mini kit | ThermoFisher |
| Stemflex Medium Combo Kit | Zymo |
| Whatman gel blotting paper | Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kits |
| Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep Kit | Tymer |

### 1.3 Buffers and solutions

Table 3. The summary of solutions included in this study

| Solution | Recipe |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agarose gel solution | 1-2\% agarose, TBE buffer |
| Antibody dilution solution | $5 \%$ BSA in TBST buffer or 5\% milk in PBS |
| Blocking solution <br> (Western blotting) | 10\% milk powder in PBST |
| Blocking solution <br> (Slides preparation) | $3 \%$ BSA, $0.05 \%$ Tween in slides washing solution |
| Blotting buffer | 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 10-15\% methanol |
| Carbonate extraction buffer | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3$ |
| Cell culture medium | DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) or RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) supplemented with $10 \%$ (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-Glutamine, with penicillin streptomycin (filtered) |
| Digitonin stock solution | 5\% digitonin in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| DNA loading dye | 10\% saccharose, 0.25\% OrangeG |
| EM buffer | 1 mM EDTA, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MOPS/KOH} \mathrm{pH} 7.2$ |
| Fast lysate buffer (genomic DNA extraction) | $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2.5 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.45 \%$ NP-40, $0.45 \%$ Tween 20, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Proteinase K |
| Fixation buffer | 3.7\% PFA (PFA stock diluted with PBS) |
| Hypotonic buffer (CST cell lysis buffer) | 20 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.5 ), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2^{2} E D T A, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, $1 \%$ Triton, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM beta-glycerophosphate, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{VO}_{4}, 1$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ leupeptin |
| iPSCs culture medium | mTeSR Plus basal medium with mTeSR Plus Supplement |


| iPSCs differentiation medium | StemPro-34 SFM Complete Medium |
| :---: | :---: |
| Laemmli buffer (6X) | 300 mM Tris (pH 6.8), 9\% SDS, 44\% Glycerol, 0.06\% bromophenol blue |
| Permeabilization buffer | 0.2\% Triton in TBS buffer |
| Quenching solution | 50 mM NH 44 Cl |
| RIPA buffer <br> (for immunoprecipitation) | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 1$ mM EDTA, 1\% NP40, 5\% Glycerol, 2.5 mM Sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mM Sodium fluoride |
| SDS-PAGE running buffer | 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1\% SDS |
| SEM buffer for mito-swelling | 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM MOPS/KOH, final pH 7.2 |
| SILAC medium | RPMI 1640 media for SILAC |
| SILAC lysis buffer | LYSE buffer (preOmics) |
| Stacking gel (SDS-PAGE) | 4\% arylamide, 0.1\% SDS, 0.1\% APS, $0.05 \%$ TEMED, 80 mM Tris/ HCl at pH 6.8 |
| Solubilization buffer (used for mt-immunoprecipitation) | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 20 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF, 50 mM Tris/HCl, $1 \%$ digitonin (final pH 7.4) |
| Soerengen buffer (cytospin) | $133 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 133 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |
| TBE buffer | 89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA (final pH 8.2) |
| TBS (Tris-Buffered Saline) | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris/HCl (final pH 7.4) |
| TBST (TBS and Tween-20) | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \%$ Tween-20, 50 mM Tris/ $\mathrm{HCl}($ (final pH 7.4 ) |
| TCA dilution | $72 \%$ TCA in $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |


| TH buffer for mito-isolation | 300 mM Trehalose, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ <br> HEPES (final pH 7.4$)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Triton lysis buffer | $10 \%$ Triton in $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Washing buffer (Mito <br> immunoprecipitation) | $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 20 mM <br> $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF, 50 mM Tris/ $/ \mathrm{HCl}$, <br> $0.1 \%$ digitonin (final pH 7.4) |
| Washing buffer (Slides <br> preparation) | $0.02 \%$ Trition in TBS buffer |

### 1.4 Antibodies

Table 4. List of antibodies in immunofluorescence analysis and Western blotting

| Antibody | Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti mouse | Invitrogen (\#A-11001) |
| Alexa Fluor 633 goat anti mouse | Invitrogen (\#A-21052) |
| Alexa Fluor 633 goat anti rabbit | Invitrogen (\#A-21070) |
| Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti rabbit | Invitrogen (\#A-21109) |
| Alpha Tubulin monoclonal antibody | ThermoFisher (\#A11126) |
| CLPB polyclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#15743-1-AP) |
| Flag monoclonal antibody | Sigma (\#F7425-0.2MG) |
| Foxo3a polyclonal antibody | Cell signaling (\#2497) |
| GAPDH polyclonal antibody | SD biosciences (\#610824) |
| HAX1 monoclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#11266-1-AP) |
| HAX1 polyclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#HRP-60004) |
| HRP conjugated GAPDH antibody |  |


| HRP conjugated alpha Tubulin antibody | Proteintech (\#HRP-66031) |
| :--- | :--- |
| HSP27 monoclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#66767-1-Ig) |
| HSP27 polyclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#18284-1-AP) |
| LC3B antibody | Cell signaling (\#2775S) |
| MITARC 12 polyclonal antiby | Gift from Dr. Sven <br> Dennerlein in Göttingen |
| OPA1 monoclonal antibody | BD biosciences (\#612607) |
| Phospho-HSP27(Ser82) Antibody | Cell signaling (\#2406S) |
| Phospho-HSP27(Ser78) Antibody | Cell signaling (\#2405S) |
| Phospho-HSP27(Ser15) Antibody | Bioworld Technology <br> (\#AP0245) |
| PRKD2 polyclonal antibody | Millipore (-488) |
| PRKD2 Ser876 phosphorylation <br> antibody | Abnova (\# PAB31649) |
| SMIM12 polyclonal antibody | Gift from Dr. Sven <br> Dennerlein in Göttingen |
| SLP2 monoclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#60052-1-Ig) |
| Tim23 polyclonal antibody | Gift from Dr. Sven <br> Dennerlein in Göttingen |
| Tim44 polyclonal antibody | Proteintech (\# 13859-1-AP) |
| Tom20 monoclonal antibody | Proteintech (\#66777-1-lg) |
| Dennem Dr. Sven polyclonal antibody | Göttingen |

### 1.5 Plasmids and Oligonucleotides

Table 5. The summary of plasmids included in this work

| Plasmid | Vector | Insertion | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRRL | - | - | Addgene |
| TRMPVIR | - | - | Addgene |


| PRRL-HAX1 | PRRL | HAX1 | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRRL-HAX1- 1 $^{1}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted exon 1 | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- $\Delta 2$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted exon 2 | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 43 | PRRL | HAX1 deleted exon 3 | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- $44+5$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted exon 4 and 5 | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- $46+7$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted exon 6 and 7 | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 12 $^{2}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 367bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 126 $^{126}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 367bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 1137 $^{\text {d }}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 376bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 144 $^{\text {1 }}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 430bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 1155 $^{\text {a }}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 463bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-HAX1- 1163 $^{\text {d }}$ | PRRL | HAX1 deleted 487bp-504bp | This study |
| PRRL-CLPB | PRRL | CLPB | This study |
| PRRL-CLPB$\Delta$ NTD | PRRL | CLPB deleted NTD domain | This study |
| PRRL-CLPB$\triangle$ ANK | PRRL | CLPB deleted ANK domain | This study |


| PRRL-CLPB- $\triangle$ ATP | PRRL | CLPB deleted ATPase domain | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRRL-CLPB$\triangle$ CTD2 | PRRL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLPB } \\ & \text { deleted CTD } \\ & \text { domain } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| PRRL-HSP27 | PRRL | HSP27 | This study |
| TRbMPVIR | - | - | Addgene |
| TRMPVIRscramble | TRMPVIR | shown below | This study |
| TRMPVIRPKD2sh1 | TRMPVIR | shown below | This study |
| TRMPVIRPKD2sh2 | TRMPVIR | shown below | This study |

Table 6. The summary of oligonucleotides of this work

| Oligonucleotides | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| HAX1-PRRL-F | GAACCGGTGCCACCATGAGCCTCTTTG <br> ATCTCTTCCGGGG |
| HAX1-cflag-PRRL-R | GGACTAGTCTACTTGTCGTCATCGTCTT <br> TGTAGTCCCGGGACCGGAACCAACGTC |
| HAX1-PRRL-R | GGACTAGTCTACCGGGACCGGAACCAA <br> CGTC |
| HAX1 1 -cflag-PRRL-F | GAACCGGTGCCACCATGCACAGAGATC <br> CCTTTTTTGGAGGG |
| HAX1 $\Delta 2$ '-OL-cFlag-R1 | CCCGTAGTCTGCTCCGAGGTCCAGGAA <br> AGCCGAAA |
| HAX1 12 '-OL-cFlag-F2 | ACCTCGGAGCAGACTACGGGAGGGAC <br> AGACACTTC |
| HAX1 13 -OL-cF-R1(full <br> exon 3 deletion) | CATCATCAAAAGGAGGATGGGAAGGCA <br> AGGTCCAG |
| HAX1 $13-O L-c F-F 2(f u l l ~$ <br> exon 3 deletion) | CCATCCTCCTTTTGATGATGTATGGCCT <br> ATGGACC |
| HAX1 13 '-OL-cFlag-R1 | CATCATCAAACTCACCAGGTGTCTCTG <br> ACTCAGGA |
| HAX1 $\Delta 3$ '-OL-cFlag-F2 | ACCTGGTGAGTTTGATGATGTATGGCC <br> TATGGACC |
| HAX1 $\Delta 5-$ OL-cFlag-R1 | CCTCCACTATATTGTCCTCTCTGGTTCT <br> AGGATGG |


| HAX1 45 -OL-cFlag-F2 | AGAGGACAATATAGTGGAGGAGCGCC GGACTGTGG |
| :---: | :---: |
| HAX1 ${ }^{6+7-c F-R}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GGACTAGTCTACTTGTCGTCATCGTCTT } \\ & \text { TGTAGTCCCCATCTGGTTTAGTGATCTT } \\ & \text { GGTC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| HAX1 123 -168-OL-R1 | CATCATCAAATCCCTCCCGTAGTCTCTC ACCAGGT |
| HAX14123-168-OL-F1 | ACGGGAGGGATTTGATGATGTATGGCC TATGGACC |
| HAX1 126 -168-OL-R1 | CATCATCAAAAAGTGTCTGTCCCTCCC GTAGTCTC |
| HAX1 126 -168-OL-F1 | ACAGACACTTTTTGATGATGTATGGCCT ATGGACC |
| HAX1 1 137-168-OL-R1 | CATCATCAAAGTGACTATCTGGATACTT AAGCATT |
| HAX1 1 137-168-OL-F1 | AGATAGTCACTTTGATGATGTATGGCCT ATGGACC |
| HAX1 1444 -168-OL-R1 | CATCATCAAACCCCCCAAAGATCCTGG GCTGGTGA |
| HAX1 1444 -168-OL-F1 | CTTTGGGGGGTTTGATGATGTATGGCC TATGGACC |
| HAX1 $1555-168-O L-R 1$ | CATCATCAAAGGGGGATTCACTTCTTG CATCACTC |
| HAX1 $1155-168-O L-F 1$ | TGAATCCCCCTTTGATGATGTATGGCCT ATGGACC |
| HAX1 1 163-168-OL-R1 | CATCATCAAAGGAGCCCCAGTCTGGTG CTGGTTGG |
| HAX1 1 163-168-OL-F1 | CTGGGGCTCCTTTGATGATGTATGGCC TATGGACC |
| HAX-130LR-64F | AAGTATCCAGATAGTCACCAGCCCA |
| HAX-130LR-64R | ACGCATTGAGTCCCGAAGTGTCTGT |
| CLPB-PRRL-F | ATGCTGGGGTCCCTGGTGTTGAGGAG |
| CLPB-cflag-PRRL-R | GGACTAGTCTACTTGTCGTCATCGTCTT TGTAGTCGATGGTGTTGCACACCTTC |
| CLPB-PRRL-R | GGACTAGTCTAGATGGTGTTGCACACC TTC |
| CLPB $479-\mathrm{cFlag}-\mathrm{F}$ | GAACCGGTGCCACCATGACCAAATGCC TCGCGGCTGCCACTT |
| CLPB ANANK1-4-OL-F $^{\text {a }}$ | GAGTCCGTCCAAGCGTGAGGCTGAGG AGCGGCGCC |


| CLPBAANK1-4-OL-R | CCTCACGCTTGGACGGACTCTTGCTGT AGCAATGA |
| :---: | :---: |
| CLPB $\triangle$ TATP-OL-F | CTGGTACGATGTCTACTTCCTCCCCTTC TGCCACT |
| CLPB $\Delta$ TATP-OL-R | GGAAGTAGACATCGTACCAGCCATTCT CCTTCCTC |
| CLPB $\triangle$ CTD2-OL-F | CTACTTCCTCACGGTGGAGGACTCAGA CAAGCAGC |
| CLPB $\triangle$ CTD2-OL-R | CCTCCACCGTGAGGAAGTAGACGATCT CATTGATC |
| CLPB-T268M-F | AAGGGCTGCATGGCCTTGCAC |
| CLPB-T268M-R | GAAACTGGCGCGGTTGTTC |
| CLPB-Y272C-F | GCCTTGCACTgTGCTGTTCTTG |
| CLPB-Y272C-R | CGTGCAGCCCTTGAAACT |
| CLPB-R408G-F | GGGCTTCATCGGGCTGGACAT |
| CLPB-R408G-R | TTTTTAGCATCTTTGTGCATATATTTGG C |
| CLPB-R561Q-F | TTTCTGGGACaGATCAATGAGATCG |
| CLPB-R561Q-R | CTCATCCCTCCGGAAGTG |
| scrambled shRNA | TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGCGTAGCGAC TAAACACATCAAATAGTGAAGCCACAG ATGTATTTGATGTGTTTAGTCGCTACTT GCCTACTGCCTCGGA |
| PKD2-shRNA1 | TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGCCACGACCA ACAGATACTATAATAGTGAAGCCACAG ATGTATTATAGTATCTGTTGGTCGTGTT GCCTACTGCCTCGGA |
| PKD2-shRNA2 | TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGCTCCCAGCA ATGAACTGTTCTATAGTGAAGCCACAG ATGTATAGAACAGTTCATTGCTGGGATT GCCTACTGCCTCGGA |
| HSP27-cflag-PRRL-F | GAACCGGTGCCACCATGACCGAGCGC CGCGTCCCCTTC |
| HSP27-cflag-PRRL-R | GGACTAGTTTACTTGTCGTCATCGTCTT TGTAGTCCTTGGCGGCAGTCTCATCGG |


| HSP27-PBBAC-F | ACGCGTCGACGCCACCATGACCGAGC <br> GCCGCGTCCC |
| :--- | :--- |
| HSP27-PBBAC-R | CCGGAATTCGTTACTTGGCGGCAGTCT <br> CATCGG |
| HSP27-PBBAC-F | ACGCGTCGACGCCACCATGACCGAGC <br> GCCGCGTCCC |
| HSP27-PBBAC-R | CCGGAATTCGTTACTTGGCGGCAGTCT <br> CATCGG |

### 1.6 Cell lines

Table 7. The summary of cell lines applied for this work

| Cellline | Source |
| :---: | :---: |
| HEK293T cells | DSMZ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEK293-Flp-In }{ }^{\text {TM }} \text { T-REx }{ }^{\text {TM }} \\ & \text { (HEK239T) -HAX1-FLAG } \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| HeLa | DSMZ |
| HeLa-HAX1-- | This study |
| HeLa-HAX1 ${ }^{-1}+\mathrm{HAX} 1$ | This study |
| HeLa-CLPB ${ }^{-/}$ | This study |
| HeLa-CLPB ${ }^{-1}+$ CLPB | This study |
| PLB985 | DSMZ |
| PLB985-HAX1-- | This study |
| PLB985-HAX ${ }^{-1}+\mathrm{HAX} 1$ | This study |
| PLB985-CLPB ${ }^{-/}$ | This study |
| PLB985-CLPB ${ }^{-1}+$ CLPB | This study |
| PLB985-HAX ${ }^{-1+}+\mathrm{HSP} 27$ | This study |

### 1.7 Animals

C57BL/6 (B6), CD45.1 (Ly5.1)-congenic, Rag1-1- HAX1-1, HAX1 $^{\text {floxfllox }}$, and HAX1 ${ }^{\text {LysMcre }}$ (all on a B6 background) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Experiments were performed in accordance with the German Federal Veterinarian Office and Cantonal Veterinary Office guidelines.

### 1.8 Equipment and software

Table 8. The summary of equipment applied for this work

| Instrument | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- |
| BD FACSAria Cell Sorter III | BD biosciences |
| BD LSRFortessa | BD biosciences |
| BioChem-VaccuCenter-BVC 21 | VACUUBRAND |
| ChemiDoc XRS molecular Imager | Bio-rad |
| Cellspin I centrifuge | THARMAC |
| Centrifuge | Eppendorf |
| Confocal microscopy | Zeiss |
| Heraeus Hera cell 240 (incubator) | Thermo Scientific |
| Herafreeze HFU 686 Basic (freezer) | Thermo Scientific |
| HulaMixer Sample Mixer | Invitrogen |
| KS 4000 ic Control (bacterial shacker) | IKA-Werke |
| Light microscope | Zeiss |
| NanoDrop 2000 | PEQLAB |
| Optima L-80 XP Ultracentrifuge | Beckman |
| Optima TLX CE Ultracentrifuge | Beckman |
| Schuettel water bath | Thermolab |
| StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR Systems | Applied Biosystems |
|  |  |


| SW 41 Ti Rotor | Beckman Coulter |
| :--- | :--- |
| SynergyH1 Hybrid Reader | BioTek |
| PCR machine | Peqlab |
| PEQSTAR Thermocycler | PEQLAB |
| Potter-Elvehjem with PTFE Pestle | OMNILAB |
| RET basic IKAMAG safety control <br> (magnetic mixer) | IKA-Werke |
| Thermomixer comfort | Eppendorf |
| Thermo Scientific Safe 2020 (sterile <br> hood) | Thermo scientific |
| Universal 320 (centrifuge) | Hettich |
| VortexGenie2 | Scientific Industries |
| Wet/Tank Blotting Systems | Biorad |

Table 9. The summary of softwares applied in this work

| Software | Producer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adobe Illustrator CS4 | Adobe |
| Excel | Microsoft |
| FlowJo software | Tree Star Software |
| (http://crispr.mit.edu/) | Feng Zhang's Lab |
| Molecular Imager Chemi Doc | Biorad |
| Prism | Graphpad |
| Zen 2.3 | Zeiss |

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Mice analysis

### 2.1.1 Bone marrow chimeras

Bone marrow cells of wild-type CD45.1-congenic and HAX1-1 CD45.2-congenic mice were harvested prior to RBC lysis for 2 min at room temperature. Same number of cells from both sources of bone marrow were mixed in the ratio of $1: 1$. $\mathrm{Rag}^{-/-}$mice were irradiated at 9 Gy before injected with prepared bone marrow mixture via intravenous injection (i.v.). Bone marrow chimeric mice were subsequently nurtured for 14 days with drinking water supplemented with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Sulfamethoxazol and $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Trimethoprim (Bactrim $®$; Roche) for a reconstitution of immune cells in mice before further experiment.

### 2.1.2 Organ isolation

Bones were collected from euthanized mice via CO2 inhalation and incubated in PBS buffer before further preparation. Bone marrow was flushed with a 29 G syringe filled with cold PBS prior to centrifugation and red blood cell lysis. After washing with cold PBS, cell numbers were determined with a cell counting chamber.

Spleens were isolated from euthanized mice via CO 2 inhalation and incubated in PBS buffer before further preparation. Spleens were smashed through $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters to acquire single cell suspension prior to centrifugation and red blood cell lysis. Followed by PBS washing, cell counts were determined by the cell counting chamber.

Thymi were isolated from euthanized mice via CO2 inhalation and incubated in PBS buffer before further preparation. They were smashed through $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters in order to obtain single cell suspension prior to centrifugation, followed by PBS washing and cell counting using a cell counting chamber.

### 2.1.3 Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry and cell sorting were performed with LSRII and FACSAriall (Abdollahpour et al.) cytometers, respectively. Samples were stained by the following fluorochrome-labeled mAbs. Monoclonal antibodies specific for lgD (clone 11-26c), IgM (II/41), CD117 (ACK2), CD25 (PC61), CD19 (1D3), CD11b (M1/70), CD11c (N418), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), erythroid cell marker (Ter-119), CD71 (CY1G4), CD3 (17A2), sca-1 (D7), CD135 (A2F10), CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), TCRß (H57597), CD24 (ML5), B220 (RA3-6B2), CD45.1 (A20), CD45.2 (104) were used
purified or as various fluorescent or biotin conjugates. Antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences and BioLegend. Data collected from Flow cytometry data were analyzed by FlowJo ( 9 or 10, TreeStar).

### 2.2 Cultivation of human cells

Standard cell lines (HeLa, HEK293T, PLB-985) were purchased from the DSMZ (German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures). Human HeLa and HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM and PLB-985 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640, supplemented with 10\% (v/v) FBS, $50 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and streptomycin, 2 mM L-Glu and 10 mM HEPES. Cells were grown in the incubator with $5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were weekly tested for mycoplasma contamination.

## 2.3 iPSCs associated cell culture and experiments

### 2.3.1 Differentiation of iPS cells

Undifferentiated iPS cell colonies were cultured with mTeSR medium in 6-well plates, which coated with growth factor-reduced matrigel in advance. On day 0 of iPSCs differentiation, mTeSR was supplemented with $80 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ BMP4, $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CHIR99021 and $80 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF. On day 2, essential 6 medium supplemented with $80 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF, $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ basic FGF, $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ SCF and $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SB431542 was adopted for cell culture. On day 6. culture medium was switched to StemPro-34 supplemented with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ SCF, $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ IL-3, $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ Flt- $3,5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{m}$ TPO and $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF. At this stage, six iPS colonies with similar size and differentiation status were kept for further steps. On day 8, StemPro medium supplemented with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ SCF, IL-3 Flt-3 and $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ TPO was applied for the cell culture. From day 9 on, medium was halfly changed every $3-4$ days till day 17 . On day 17 , medium was changed into the one with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ G-CSF, SCF and IL-3. From day 20 on, mature neutrophil-like cells were generated and could be analysed by flow cytometry. Healthy control fibroblast derived iPS cells were kindly provided from Dr. Drukker from Helmholtz Center, Munich.

### 2.3.2 Establishment of stable inducible expression of HSP27 or HAX1 in HAX1 knockout iPS cells

Inducible expression transposon system PiggyBac was employed for the reconstitution of HAX1 or HSP27 in HAX1-1 iPS cells. HAX1 and HSP27 were cloned into piggyBac transposon destination vector (addgene, cat\# 80478), whose expression was induced by doxycycline treatment. Indicated destination vectors together with PiggyBac transposase expression vector (System Biosciences, cat\# PB210PA-1) were transfected into HAX1-1 iPS cells by electroporation. Cells contained vectors were selected by puromycin $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. Cells transfected with an empty piggybac vector served as a control. iPSC cells were stimulated with doxycycline $(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ for 24 hours and subsequently tested for protein overexpression by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

### 2.3.3 FACS analysis and sorting

Floating neutrophil-like cells were harvested and shortly washed with PBS buffer (PBS with 2\%FBS) before surface staining with indicated antibodies. Samples were examined by flow cytometry, with which mature neutrophil population was gated by (Live/mcheery+/CD33low/CD11b+). Acquired data were subsequently analyzed by FlowJo v9 and v10.

### 2.3.4 Cytospin and light-microscopy

Differentiated iPS cells were harvested and resuspended in PBS with 2\% FBS. The cell suspension was subsequently loaded into the funnel, which was clamped with a filter paper and a slide by the cytospin holder. Samples were centrifuged at 500 rpm for 5 min at RT utilizing a Tharmac Cellspin I Cytocentrifuge (THARMAC). After cytocentrifugation, cells were deposited onto the slide. All slides were stained with May-Grünwald Giemsa for 2 minutes prior to Giemsa (Giemsa's azur eosin methylene blue solution, diluted with sörengen buffer at $1: 20$ ) staining for 17 min . A Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging microscope (Carl Zeiss) was applied for the examination of cell morphology and stages of cell differentiation.

### 2.4 Generation of gene knockout cell lines using the CRISPR-Cas9 system

### 2.4.1 sgRNA design and cloning

For generating HAX1 ${ }^{-1}$ and CLPB cell lines, sgRNAs targeting genes were chosed from CRISPR Design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) with lower predicted off target sites.

The sequence of sgRNAs were ordered from Eurofins genomics and cloned into PX458 vector (SgRNA-Cas9) according to Feng Zhang's protocol (Cong et al., 2013).

### 2.4.2 Cell transfection and sorting

Cells were seeded one day before the transfection. SgRNA-Cas9-GFP/RFP plasmid ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in total) and polyethylenimine (PEI) were respectively incubated with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Opti-MEM for 5 min at RT. PEI solution was further mixed with solution containing plasmids and the whole mixture was incubated for 20 min at RT. The transfection system was subsequently added onto seeded cells. For cell transfection with suspension cells (PLB-985 cells), electroporation by by Lonza $®$ Nucleofector $®$ II electroporation system was employed. 3-5 $\times 10^{6}$ cells were resuspended with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer ( $82 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution and $18 \mu \mathrm{l}$ supplement) provided in the kit before mixed with $4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of SgRNA-Cas9-GFP/RFP plasmids. The whole mixture was further transferred into a sterile $0.2-\mathrm{cm}$ cuvette (Lonza, cat\# VVCA-1003), which was set into the Nucleofector® II electroporation machine and run with T-019 program. Electroporated cells were gently seeded into pre-warmed RPMI complete medium. GFP/RFP positive cells were sorted 48 hours after electroporation. Single colonies were picked up for genotyping and Western blotting for KO validation.

### 2.5 Generation of stable cell lines with overexpressed genes

Lentiviral vector pRRL was applied for gene (HAX1, CLPB and HSP27) delivery. Indicated genes were firstly cloned into pRRL vector prior to a lentivirus production by transiently transfecting 293T cells with lenti-gene-vector, gag-pol vector and VSVG vector. Culture medium was changed the day after transfection. Supernants were collected from 24-72h after changing medium. Supernants containing viral particles were further concentrated by ultracentrifugation at $25,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 2.5 hours. Transduced cells were sorted and expanded prior to analysis by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

### 2.6 Inhibitor studies

PLB-985 cells were treated with CRT0066101 at indicated concentrations shown in the data for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Treated cells were harvested prior to the analysis by SDSPAGE and Western blotting.

### 2.7 Mitochondrial isolation

Freshly harvested cells were homogenized twice in TH buffer (as shown in the buffers and solutions) by a Potter S homogenizer (Sartorius). Homogenized cells were subjected to a centrifugation at $400 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Harvested supernantants were purified by a centrifugation at $800 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Purified supernantants were subsequently subjected to centrifugation at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pellets (isolated mitochondria) were washed once with TH buffer before concentration determination using BSA as a standard.

### 2.8 Mitochondrial swelling experiment

Mitochondrial swelling was performed according to the protocol shown by Mick et al. (Mick et al., 2012). Freshly isolated mitochondria were either stabilized by SEM buffer (as shown in the buffers and solutions) or by EM buffer (as shown in the buffers and solutions) or fully ruptured by sonification prior to protease K digestion at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After neutrolization by adding 1 mM PMSF to all samples at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Samples were subsequently subjected to ultracentrifugation and cooked at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

### 2.9 Mitochondrial carbonate extraction

Freshly isolated mithchondria were solubilized with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at pH 10.8 or pH 11.5 or solubilized with $1 \%$ Triton buffer. All samples were incubated on ice for 20 min prior to ultracentrifugation at $45,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . Supernatants and pellets were precipitated with TCA (trichloroacetic acid) before washed with acetone on ice. All samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting for analysis.

### 2.10 Immunoprecipitation of proteins

### 2.10.1 Immunoprecipitation with endogenous antibodies

Protein-A/G Sepharose beads were activated with RIPA buffer prior to incubation with specific primary antibody for 2 h , at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, beads were pelleted and washed with RIPA buffer twice by centrifugation at $2000 \mathrm{rpm}, 2 \mathrm{~min} .3 \mathrm{mg}$ of protein lysates obtained from HEK293T cells were incubated with activated beads overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Beads were subsequently pelleted and washed 5 times with RIPA buffer for

2 min at 2000 rpm . After the last time of washing, the supernatant was removed and beads were cooked with $1 \times$ loading dye for 5 min , at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.10.2 Immunoprecipitation with Anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads

PRRL, HAX1 ${ }^{\text {FLAG }}$ and CLPB ${ }^{\text {FLAG }}$ were overexpressed in HEK293T cells respectively by transient transfection. Transfected cells were lysed with RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor (as shown in the buffers and solutions). The insoluble fraction was pelleted by centrifugation ( $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min ) and the supernatant were collected and incubated with activated anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads 2 hours at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Beads with enriched proteins were further washed with RIPA buffer (as shown in the reagent list) prior to direct cook at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The supernatants after cooking were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

### 2.11 Immunofluorescent studies

Cells were seeded onto coverslips one day before fixation with $3.7 \%$ paraformaldehyde at RT for 15 min . Fixed cells were washed with PBS once and quenched with 50 mM NH 4 Cl for 20-30 min. Cells were shortly washed with PBS again before permeabilized with Triton ( $0.2 \%$ ) for 2 min at RT. Cells were further blocked with 0.02\% Triton and 1\% BSA in TBS (w/v). Cells were incubated with primary antibodies at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight or 1 h at RT. 4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was applied for nuclear staining. Aqueous mounting medium (Dako Cytomation was used for coverslips to be mounted on glass slides. Permeabilization with $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100 (with 5 mM MgCl 2 ) was adopted for the process of pre-extraction. Images were taken by a Zeiss LSM-800 microscopy with a $63 \times 1.4$ NA Oil Dic PlanApochromat immersion lens.

### 2.12 In-gel-mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry was performed as previously described (Schulz et al., 2011). Eluates from the immunoprecipitation of HAX1 ${ }^{\text {FLAG }}$ were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The SDS-PAGE gel was subsequently stained with coomassie blue and visible bands were extracted for in-gel digestion as described in Schulz et al.. First proteins were digested with trypsin overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Peptides were extracted with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) by reverse-phase chromatography. A gradient from 9.5$90.5 \%$ acetonitrile in $0.1 \%$ TFA for 80 min were applied for peptides eluation, which
was further mixed with a-cyano-4- hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA). Samples were loaded and subsequently analyzed by a MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer as previously described (Bruker Daltonics) (Schulz et al., 2011).

### 2.13 SILAC analysis

### 2.13.1 SILAC labelling

PLB-985 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 media for SILAC supplemented with either light (Arg0, Lys0) or medium (Arg6, Lys4) for at least 10 passages for protein incorporation, which was confirmed by mass spectrometry. Cells cultured in medium media were further incubated/pulsed with media supplemented with heavy (Arg10, Lys8) for 6 or 24 hours (Boisvert et al., 2012). $2 \times 10^{6}$ pulsed cells were mixed with $2 \times 10^{6}$ light cells before mitochondrial isolation or snap-frozen. Data were collected from two independent experiments and each genotype contains three independent clones.

### 2.13.2 Lysis and protein digestion

Samples of cells or mitochondria were lysed in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of LYSE buffer (preOmics). The lysates were cooked ( $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ) and sonicated ( $50 \%$ duty cycle for 8 min ) before protein content measurement. Lysate contents were determined by a spectrophotometric method at emission wavelength 350 nm . Protein were further digested in LYSE buffer with endoproteinase LysC and trypsin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Peptides were acidified with $0.1 \%$ trifluoro-acetic acid (TFA) before loading and purification. The amount of recovered peptide was determined by Nanodrop.

### 2.13.3 Data Acquisition and Computational proteomics

A reverse phase $50-\mathrm{cm}$ column with $75-\mu \mathrm{m}$ inner diameter were used for the separation of eluted peptides. The system was kept at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and detected by the SprayQC software (Scheltema and Mann, 2012). An EASY-nLC 1200 ultra-highpressure system coupled through a nanoelectrospray source to a Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer was applied for the liquid chromatography. Peptides were loaded in $0.1 \%$ formic acid and a 100 minutes 5\%-98\% buffer containing 0.1\% formic acid and $80 \%$ acetonitrile were applied at a rate of $350 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$. Data were acquired between a full scan and 15 data-dependent MS/MS scans. Multiple sequencing of peptides was minimized by excluding the selected peptide candidates
for 40 seconds. The MaxQuant software (version 1.6.1.13) was applied in the data analysis (Cox and Mann, 2008). Peak lists were searched against the human UniProt FASTA database version of 2018. False discovery rate (FDR) was set to 1\% for peptides and proteins' determinations. Two missed cleavages at maximum were allowed during the search. An initial precursor mass deviation up to 7 ppm and a fragment mass deviation of 10 ppm were applied to the peptide identification.

### 2.14 Interactome analysis of HSP27

pRRL or HSP27FLAG was overexpressed in HEK293T cells by transient transfection. Transfected cells were lysed with RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor (as shown in reagent list). The insoluble fraction was pelleted by centrifugation (14,000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min ) and the supernatant were collected and incubated with activated anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads 2 hours at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Beads with enriched proteins were further washed with mitochondrial IP washing buffer (as shown in the buffers and solutions) prior to elution with 0.1 M glycine (pH 3.0). Protein eluates were lysed in LYSE buffer before analysis.

### 2.15 Quantification and statistical analysis

### 2.15.1 Statistical analysis of mass-spectrometry data

The Perseus software (version 1.5.4.2) was employed for the data analysis of SILAC assay (Tyanova et al 2016). SILAC data was collected from three independent clones and two independent experiments. HSP27 interactome data were collected from two independent experimwents and each experiment contain three independent pulldowns. Proteins quantified in both experiments were used for the analysis. UniProt Keywords, KEGG and Gene Ontology were used for categorical annotations. Fischer's exact test was applied for the annotation enrichments. Benjamini-Hochberg FDR for truncation and a threshold value of 0.02 were adopted in the SILAC analysis. Student's T-tests using 0.05 FDR for truncation and 250 randomizations were applied to the interactome analysis of HSP27.

### 2.15.2 Quantification of mitochondrial complex activities, MitoSOX staining and iPS cell data

Data of graphs are indicated as means $\pm$ SEM. Significant differences between samples were statistically calculated with the assist of Prism (GraphPad Software) by Student's $t$ test or two-way ANOVA as indicated in figure legends.

### 2.16 Molecular biology techniques

### 2.16.1 PCR

PCR was conducted with Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. Parameters of DNA amplification were based on polymerases, DNA template and respective primers. After PCR running, DNA products were analyzed by agarose gels. Mutagenesis PCR was composed of two sub-PCR processes with primers introduced with mutation according to the mutated DNA sequence.

### 2.16.2 DNA gel extraction and sequencing

A certain amount of PCR product was loaded into agarose gels and visible bind under UV light was cut prior to gel extraction, which was conducted according to the specifications of the manufacturer (Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit, Zymo).

### 2.16.3 Transformation of E. coli

Competent E. Coli (DH5-alpha) cells were thawed on ice for 7 min prior to incubation with desired plasmids for 20 min , at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, mixture underwent heat shock for 1 min , at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to another 2 min incubation on ice. Bacteria were recovered in $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min before expansion in LB medium with specific antibiotics.

### 2.16.4 Plasmid extraction

Plasmids were isolated from E. coli (DH5-alpha) cultured overnight using either Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Zymo) or QIAGEN Plasmid Plus Maxi Kit (Qiagen) according to the specifications of the manufacturer.

### 2.16.5 Agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA sequencing

DNA product from PCR were detected via agarose gel electrophoresis. Agarose powder was cooked with TBE buffer prior to addition of EtBr after cooling down to less than $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA samples were mixed with DNA loading dye (Thermo Scientific) and run in TBE buffer at 100 V in Bio-Rad electrophoresis systems. The DNA ladder from JenaBioscience applied as the control of molecular weight. DNA concentration
were analysed with the Nanodrop spectrometer. The purity of DNA was assessed by Nanodrop by the ratio of A260/280. DNA-sequencing was conducted by Eurofins Genomics and data were analysed by Ape software.

### 2.17 Protein extraction and protein concentration

Harvested cells were directly cooked with the $2 \times$ laemmli buffer for 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, named whole cell lysates. Cell pellets were resuspended with the hypotonic lysis buffer (cell signaling) and lysed for 20 min on ice. After centrifugation of 14,000 rpm for 15 min, remaining cell pellets named as insoluble fractions and the supernatants named as soluble fractions. All samples were cooked with protein loading dye prior to analysis via SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. If necessary, protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay at 595 nm . BSA (sigma) was used as a standard for calibration.

### 2.18 Measurement of mitochondrial complex I and IV activity

To measure the activities of respiratory chain complex I and respiratory chain complex IV, assay kits (complex I enzyme activity assay kit and complex IV assay kit) from abcam were employed. Mitochondria are isolated and complexes' activities were assessed according to the protocol provided by the manufacture.

### 2.19 Measurement of mitochondrial ROS

To measure the mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS), specific indicator (Mitosox red) was employed. In brief, cells were harvested prior to the incubator with indicator at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Then the mixture was washed with prewarmed plain cell culture medium before FACS analysis at emission 580 nm .

## III RESULTS

## 1 The functional study of Hax1 in a mouse model

### 1.1 Hax1-/ mice

To study the effect of Hax1 deficiency in the mouse immune system, we have generated a Hax1 knockout mouse model. In accordance with previously published data by Jim Ihle's group (Chao et al., 2008), Hax1-deficient mice did not show congenital neutropenia but rather developed rapid neurological decline followed by early death. In addition, our own macroscopic observation of the bone marrow and spleen of Hax1-l- mice suggested an accumulation of erythrocytes in these organs. This finding prompted us to analyze erythropoiesis in more detail. By FACS analysis, we observed that in the absence of Hax1, the frequencies of erythropoietic progenitors in the bone marrow were twofold reduced as compared to the wild-type situation (Figure 11). On the other hand, mature erythrocytes were accumulated.


Figure 11. Altered erythropoiesis in Hax1-deficient mice. (A) Scheme for the analysis of erythropoiesis in WT and Hax1-/ bone marrow. The gating strategy allows distinguishing different
stages of erythrocyte differentiation and progression of maturation (from 1 to 5). (B) In the absence of Hax1, frequencies of early erythroid precursors (gate 1) are reduced and mature erythrocytes massively accumulated (gate 5). Plots are representative of one out of three experiments with 2-3 mice per group.

### 1.2 Competitive bone marrow chimeras

To define whether these effects (and potentially other effects) were intrinsic to the hematopoietic system or rather consequences of dysfunction of non-hematopoietic cells, we performed competitive repopulation experiments. As shown in Figure 12, bone marrow from WT (CD45.1) and Hax1-HET/KO (CD45.2) were harvested from donor animals and mixed in the ratio of $1: 1$. After red blood cells lysis, these bone marrow mixtures ( $2-5 \times 10^{6}$ cells) were intravenously injected into irradiated recipient Rag-knockout recipient mice. Six to eight weeks later, animals were euthanized to determine the degree of chimerism in the BM, spleen and thymus via flow cytometry (FACS) analysis.


Figure 12. Generation of bone marrow chimeras using X-ray irradiation. Mixtures of bone marrow from mice in two genotypes were lysed with the RBC lysis buffer prior to injection to irradiated recipient mice. After 6-8 weeks, bone marrow chimeras were analyzed via flow cytometry analysis.

First, we assessed the degree of chimerism on B cells, granulocytes and hematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow. For B cells, differentiated stages were defined as following populations: pre-B1 stage (B220+CD19+CD117+CD25-), preB2 stage (B220+CD19+CD25+CD117-), immature B stage (CD19+|gM ${ }^{l}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{IgD}-$ ), transitional stage (CD19+lgM ${ }^{\text {hil }} \operatorname{lgD}+/-$ ) and mature stage (CD19+lgM ${ }^{\text {int/- }} \lg D+$ ). The granulocytes were subdivided into CD11b+Gr1++ and CD11b+Gr1+ for a detailed
evaluation of granulocyte development. Hematopoietic stem cells were characterized by the marker profle Lin-Sca-1+c-kit+.

As shown in Figure 13, Hax1-KO (CD45.2) cells and WT (CD45.1) cells showed similar capacity to differentiate into B cells. In addition, the developmental potential of WT/HET or WT/KO in granulocytes (CD11b+Gr1+), dendritic cells (CD11b+CD11c+) and mature $B$ cells in spleen and thymus was indistinguishable. Splenic B cell stages were defined as Follicular B cells (CD19+CD23+CD21/35-) and Marginal zone B cells (CD19+CD23-CD21/35+).

As indicated in Figure 13 and Figure 14, Hax1-KO (CD45.2) cells show comparable potential of development as WT (CD45.1) or Hax1-HET (CD45.2).


Figure 13. FACS analysis of developmental potential of WT and Hax1-KO cells in bone marrow. (A, B, C) Population analysis on ratio of CD45.1+ to CD45.2+ cells in indicated cell types of bone marrow from either WT/HET or WT/KO chimeras. Quantifications are pooled from 2 independent experiments with a total of 6-9 mice per group. ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$; ${ }^{* * * ~} P<0.001$; ns $=$ not significant.


Figure 14. FACS analysis of developmental potential of WT and Hax1-KO cells in spleen and thymus. (A, B, C) Population analysis on ratio of CD45.1+ to CD45.2 cells in indicated cell types of spleen from either WT/HET or WT/KO chimeras. (D) Population analysis on ratio of CD45.1+ to CD45.2 cells in indicated cell types of thymus from either WT/HET or WT/KO chimeras. Quantifications are pooled from 2 independent experiments with a total of 6-9 mice per group. * $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; *** $\mathrm{P}<0.001$; ns = not significant.

Taken together, we were unable to discriminate any defect of Hax1-deleted hematopoietic lineage in competitive repopulation experiments.

### 1.3 Hax1 ${ }^{\text {1/f }}$ LysM $^{\text {cre/+ }}$ mice

In parallel, we have analyzed conditional Hax1 knockout mice to study the specific function of Hax1 in myeloid cells (LysM-Cre). We first bred homozygous Hax1 ${ }^{\text {floxflox }}$ mice with transgenic mice with LysM-Cre to delete Hax1 in myeloid cells. After ensuring the correct genotype of LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/t }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice, we assessed the degree of Hax1 deletion in conditional mice by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 15, Hax1 was not expressed in neutrophils of LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\mathrm{f/f}}$ mice.


Figure 15. The detection of Hax1 expression in neutrophils from WT and LysM ${ }^{\text {crel }+}$ Hax1 ${ }^{\text {f/f }}$ mice. Neutrophils sorted from bone marrow of two genotypes were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Next, we evaluated the percentage of neutrophils in bone marrow of $\mathrm{Hax}^{1 / \mathrm{ff}}$, LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/null }}$ and LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice. LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice had similar numbers of neutrophils when compared to the other two strains (Figure 16A).

Concomitantly, we also observed comparable proportions of LSK cells in bone marrow in $\mathrm{Hax}^{\text {f/f }}$, LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/null }}$ and LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice, respectively (Figure 16B). As a control, percentages of $\mathrm{Gr}-1$ high and dendritic cells in spleen of three genotypes mice were analyzed (Figure 16C). Taken together, LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{1 / f}$ mice presented similar numbers of neutrophils and BM progenitors as WT mice.


Figure 16. Analysis of neutrophils and bone marrow progenitors in WT and LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/t+ }} \mathrm{Hax}^{\mathrm{f/f}}$ mice. (A, B) Bone marrow was flushed and harvested from Hax1f/f, LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }}$ Hax1 $1^{f / n u l l}$ and LysM ${ }^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice prior to antibody staining for indicated populations. (C) Splenocytes were flushed and harvested from $\operatorname{Hax}^{\text {f/f }}$, $\operatorname{LysM}^{\text {cre/+ }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/null }}$ and $\mathrm{LysM}^{\text {Cre }} \mathrm{Hax} 1^{\text {f/f }}$ mice prior to antibody staining for indicated populations. Quantifications are pooled from 2 independent experiments with a total of 3 mice per group. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; *** $\mathrm{P}<0.001$; ns $=$ not significant.

In conclusion, Hax1 deficient bone marrow cells did not have striking aberrations with respect to number of hematopoietic stem cells or neutrophil granulocytes. Therefore, to further study Hax1, we decided to make use of human myeloid cells lines to further study the effects of HAX1 deficiency.

## 2 HAX1 functional study in human cells

### 2.1 HAX1 localizes to the mitochondrial intermembrane space.

Even though HAX1 is primarily found in mitochondria (Suzuki et al., 1997), the exact distribution of HAX1 within the organelle in human cells has remained elusive. To define the exact localization of HAX1 in mitochondria, we tested the accessibility of HAX1 to externally added proteinase K under iso- or hypo-osmotic buffer conditions (SEM or EM buffer). Under iso-osmotic conditions (SEM buffer), only mitochondrial outer membrane proteins (i.e., Tom70) can be degraded by Proteinase K (Couvillion et al.). In EM buffer, not only OM proteins but also IMS proteins (i.e., Tim23) can be degraded by PK as a result of osmotic OM rupture. While in EM condition, mitochondrial matrix proteins (i.e., Tim44) remain intact as a protection of IM from PK digestion. As shown in the scheme in Figure 17, this experimental approach allows us to define whether a protein is located at the OM (outer membrane), IMS (intermembrane space) or matrix.


Figure 17. The scheme of mitochondiral swelling experiment. Intact mitochondria are doublemembrane organelle. Without hypotonic treatment, proteinase K (Couvillion et al.) degrades mitochondrial OM (mitochondrial outer membrane) protein Tom70. After mitochondrial swollen via
hypotonic buffer, the OM is ruptured and subsequently both OM protein (Tom70) and IMS protein (Tim23) can be degraded upon further PK treatment. But matrix protein (Tim44) is maintained as a protection of IM (mitochondrial innermembrane).

Mitochondrial preparations from non-hematopoietic HeLa and the promyelocytic PLB-985 cell line were separated by electrophoresis and stained by antibodies to detect the reference proteins TOM70 (OM), TIM44 (Matrix) and TIM23 (IMS). As shown in Figure 18, HAX1 was cleaved by proteinase K only when the mitochondrial outer membrane was disrupted by EM buffer, similar to TIM23. This indicated that HAX1 is located in the IMS.


Figure 18. Submitochondrial localization of HAX1 analyzed by protease protection. Proteinase K (Couvillion et al.) was applied to sonicated mitochondria (sonic), intact mitochondria (SEM), or to mitoplasts (hypotonically swollen mitochondria, EM). For reference, EM buffer: EDTA, MOPS; SEM buffer: Sucrose, EDTA, MOPS.

Next, to assign the mitochondrial membrane association of HAX1, we performed carbonate extraction experiments of the isolated mitochondria. In detail, isolated
mitochondria were subjected to carbonate extraction ( pH at 10.8 or 11.5) or detergent lysis and subsequently separated into supernatant ( S ) and pellet ( P ) fraction prior to Western blotting analysis. Transmembrane proteins are expected to appear in the pellet fraction independent of the pH , membrane-associated proteins appear to be in the soluble fraction upon solubilization at basic pH 11.5 , whereas soluble proteins always appear in the supernatant (Figure 19). Peripheral protein Tim44 appeared to be released from the mitochondrial membrane into the supernatant (S) after carbonate extraction at pH 11.5. Similarly, HAX1 remained partially in the pellet fraction $(P)$ upon carbonate extraction at pH 11.5. As HAX1 was partially extracted from membrane into supernatant upon carbonate extraction at pH 11.5 (Figure 20), we conclude that HAX1 is a mitochondrial protein binding to membrane.


Figure 19. The scheme of mitochondrial carbonate extraction. To determine the relationship between proteins and mitochondrial membrane, mitochondria were isolated and solubilized with sodium carbonate buffer at pH 10.8 or 11.5, in comparison to transmembrane protein, membranebound protein can be extracted from membrane and shown in the supernatant after ultracentrifugation.


Figure 20. HAX1 is a membrane-bound protein. Mitochondria were subjected to carbonate extraction (at pH 10.8 or 11.5 ) or detergent lysis by Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$, separated into supernatant (S) and pellet $(P)$ fraction prior to analysis by Western blotting. T, total.

To validate these findings, we made use of immunofluorescence studies. To examine the localization of HAX1 in mitochondria, we stained HeLa cells with antibodies against HAX1 and the IMS marker OPA1. Consistent with the mitochondrial swelling data shown in Figure 18, HAX1 was demonstrated to be colocalized with OPA1 in HeLa (Figure 21).


Figure 21. Co-staining of HAX1 and OPA1 in HeLa cells. Representative images show fixed HeLa cells costained with HAX1 (red), OPA1 (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

To prove the specificity of our HAX1 staining, as a control, we applied the staining to HAX1-/ cells to ensure a much less significant signal upon loss of HAX1 (Figure 22).


Figure 22. The specificity of HAX1 staining. Representative images show fixed HeLa cells costained with HAX1 (red), Mitotracker Green and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

### 2.2 CLPB controls HAX1 disaggregation

### 2.2.1 HAX1 interacts with CLPB in mitochondria

To gain insights into how HAX1 exerts its function in the IMS, we next aimed at identifying the binding partners that could be involved in the regulation of the mitochondrial function of HAX1. We performed immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments of FLAG-tagged HAX1 from HEK293T cell lysates and analyzed the IP elution by mass spectrometry (MS). As shown in Figure 23, 11 visible bands were excised upon electrophoretic separation and analyzed by mass spectrometry. MaxQuant (version 1.2.0.18) was used for data analysis and peaks listed were searched against the IPI human database (version 3.68). Given scores, based on the intensity of the peptide for each candidate, is correlated with a FDR of $<1 \%$ adopting the following search criteria: first search 20 ppm precursor tolerance, 5 ppm peptide and 0.4 Da MS/MS tolerance (Mick et al., 2012).


Figure 23. Analysis of the HAX1FLAG immunoprecipitation experiment by Coomassie-blue staining. Mitochondria were isolated from HEK293T cells overexpressing Flag-tagged HAX1. The mitochondrial lysates were subsequently subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-flag beads. Eluates were separated by SDS-PAGE and eleven visible bands were analyzed by mass spectrometry.

The most prominent interactor of HAX1, i.e. the protein with the highest prediction score, was Caseinolytic peptidase B protein homolog (CLPB) (Table 10). CLPB is a highly conserved member of the AAA+ superfamily, characterized by ATPdependent catalyzation of protein unfolding, disassembly and disaggregation. In bacteria, Clpb functions as an chaperone assisting cells against heat-shock (Squires et al., 1991) and regulates thermal stress responses (Thomas and Baneyx, 1998) by threading unfolded polypeptides through the central channel of a hexamer ring (Weibezahn et al., 2004). In humans, biallelic mutations in CLPB have been identified in children suffering from a rare syndrome associating cataracts, neurodevelopmental defects, and occasionally congenital neutropenia (Saunders et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2015).

Table 10. List of identified HAX1-binding proteins upon immunoprecipitation

| Gene symbol | Name | No. of peptides matched | \%sequence coverage | Mass | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASAP2_HUMAN | Arf-GAP with SH3 domain, ANK repeat and PH domain-containing protein 2 | 1 | 1 | 111581 | 33 |
| DCD_HUMAN | Dermcidin | 1 | 1 | 11277 | 46 |
| HSP7C_HUMAN | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein | 2 | 2 | 70854 | 97 |
| HSP76_HUMAN | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 6 | 1 | 1 | 70984 | 50 |
| ZN616_HUMAN | Zinc finger protein 616 | 1 | 1 | 90215 | 38 |
| CLPB_HUMAN | Caseinolytic peptidase B protein homolog | 21 | 17 | 78680 | 1080 |
| STXB4_HUMAN | Syntaxin-binding protein 4 | 1 | 1 | 61623 | 41 |
| ANR11_HUMAN | Ankyrin repeat domain-containing protein 11 | 3 | 3 | 297731 | 36 |
| SHRM3_HUMAN | Protein Shroom3 | 1 | 1 | 216714 | 34 |
| QRIC2_HUMAN | Glutamine-rich protein 2 | 1 | 1 | 180715 | 33 |
| STML2_HUMAN | Stomatin-like protein 2, mitochondrial | 5 | 5 | 38510 | 344 |
| HERC2_HUMAN | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HERC2 | 2 | 2 | 526895 | 43 |
| HORN_HUMAN | Hornerin | 5 | 5 | 282228 | 357 |
| HAX1_HUMAN | HCLS1-associated protein X-1 | 3 | 3 | 31601 | 282 |
| PLAK_HUMAN | Junction plakoglobin | 2 | 2 | 81693 | 146 |
| PLIN5_HUMAN | Perilipin-5 | 3 | 3 | 50760 | 46 |
| SHRM2_HUMAN | Protein Shroom2 | 3 | 3 | 176303 | 39 |
| CILP1_HUMAN | Cartilage intermediate layer protein 1 | 2 | 2 | 132480 | 34 |
| HYDIN_HUMAN | Hydrocephalus-inducing protein homolog | 3 | 3 | 575528 | 32 |
| C2D2A_HUMAN | Coiled-coil and C2 domain-containing protein 2A | 2 | 2 | 186070 | 32 |
| PGAM5_HUMAN | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PGAM5 | 2 | 2 | 31985 | 144 |
| FRAS1_HUMAN | Extracellular matrix protein FRAS1 | 1 | 1 | 442928 | 25 |

Mass spectrometry for detecting potential binding partners of HAX1. The columns are based on gene symbol, name, number of unique peptides matched, percent sequence coverage, estimated MW and scores for binding by LC-MS/MS analysis (Table 10).

To validate the putative protein-protein interaction between HAX1 and CLPB, we performed bidirectional coimmunoprecipitation studies. When cellular lysates from HEK293T cells expressing flag-tagged HAX1 are precipitated and electrophoretically separated, CLPB can be detected in the eluate. Conversely, antiHAX1 antibodies recognize a specific band in immunoprecipitations of flag-tagged CLPB lysates (Figure 24A). Interactions between HAX1 and CLPB were further confirmed by endogenous immunoprecipitation (Figure 24B). As a control for unspecific protein binding, we also probed for mitochondrial outer membrane protein VDAC. As expected, VDAC could not be detected in the elution of HAX1 immunoprecipitation. Thus, both immunoprecipitation studies revealed that HAX1 indeed interacts with CLPB.


Figure 24. HAX1 interacts with CLPB. (A) HEK293T cells were transfected with empty vector PRRL, plasmids expressing Flag-tagged HAX1 or CLPB as indicated. Cells were lysed 48 h after transfection; the lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag beads. The cell lysates and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with antibodies as indicated. (B) Lysates of HEK293T were split and incubated with either HAX1 or CLPB antibody. Antibodies with bond material were precipitated with proteinG/A-agarose beads and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

We next examined the submitochondrial localization of CLPB by swelling experiment. Similar to HAX1, CLPB was resistant to PK treatment when mitochondria were intact and could be degraded by PK upon opening the OM under hypo-osmotic buffer condition (Figure 25), indicating that HAX1 and CLPB form a complex in the mitochondria IMS.


Figure 25. CLPB is located in mitochondrial intermembrane space. Isolated mitochondria from either PLB-985 cells (A) or HeLa cells (B) were swollen or sonicated and/or treated with proteinase K prior to analysis by Western blotting. For reference, EM buffer: EDTA, MOPS; SEM buffer: Sucrose, EDTA, MOPS.

To confirm our finding in swelling experiments, we next studied the cellular localization of HAX1 and CLPB by confocal microscopy. Interestingly, both HAX1 and CLPB were partially co-localized with the IMS protein OPA1 (Figure 26). Taken together, our studies identify a CLPB-HAX1 complex localized to the mitochondrial IMS.


Figure 26. Costaining of HAX1, CLPB and OPA1 in HeLa cells. Representative images show fixed HeLa cells costained with HAX1 (red), OPA1 (green) and DAPI (blue) (the upper line); CLPB (red), OPA1 (green) and DAPI (blue) (the middle line); HAX1 (green), CLPB (red) and DAPI (blue) (the bottom line). Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

### 2.2.2 CLPB ensures the solubility of HAX1 in mitochondria

Intrigued by the partial overlap in the clinical manifestation in HAX1- and CLPBdeficiency, we hypothesized that HAX1 is functionally downstream of CLPB and that the phenotype of congenital neutropenia in CLPB deficiency is mediated by defective function of HAX1. As HAX1 is a highly-disordered protein (Cupo and Shorter, 2020) we next studied whether its interaction to CLPB preserves the function of HAX1 by maintaining the solubility of HAX1. To address the question whether CLPB plays any role in controlling protein stability of HAX1, we generated CLPB- and HAX1-deficient cell lines (HeLa and myeloid PLB-985 cells) by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing. We first observed that HAX1 protein was markedly reduced in the soluble fraction of cells lacking CLPB, whereas the level of CLPB
expression in HAX1-deficient cells was comparable to wildtype cells (Figure 27A). This effect was strictly dependent on CLPB, since the defect was reconstituted upon retroviral gene transfer and expression of CLPB (Figure 27B).

A


Figure 27. The expression of HAX1 is reduced in the soluble fraction of cells lacking CLPB. (A) PLB-985 cells of three genotypes (WT, HAX1 ${ }^{-/}$and CLPB $^{--}$) were subjected to solubilization in a hypotonic lysis buffer. The supernatants of lysates after centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 15 min were directly cooked with a laemmli buffer prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. (B) PLB-985 cells of three genotypes (WT, CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$and CLPB ${ }^{-/+}$CLPB) were subjected to solubilization in hypotonic lysis buffer. The supernatants of lysates were directly cooked with a laemmli buffer prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Since Ecoli ClpB serves as a disaggregase for protein unfolding and disaggregation, we assumed that human CLPB might act at the upstream of HAX1 for ensuring the solubility of HAX1. We examined the cellular distribution of HAX1 in CLPB-deficient cells by immunofluorescent studies. In wild type HeLa cells, HAX1 showed a uniform distribution and co-localized with mitochondrial marker SLP2 (Figure 28A). However, the subcellular distribution pattern of HAX1 altered markedly in cells lacking CLPB, punctiform agglomerates of HAX1 positive granules appeared in CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$ mitochondria (Figure 28A). In order to further visualize the insoluble proteins in cells by confocal microscopy, we additionally employed a pre-extraction procedure for mainly removing cytosolic soluble components during slides preparation. Indeed, after pre-extraction treatment, HAX1 was largely removed in control cells, yet HAX1 remained associated with the mitochondrial inner membrane protein SLP2 (Wai et al., 2016) (Figure 28B).


Figure 28. In the absence of CLPB, HAX1 was characterized in punctiform. After pre-extraction, the punctiform of HAX1 remained with mitochondrial SLP2. Representative images show fixed HeLa wildtype or CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$cells costained with HAX1 (red), SLP2 (green) and DAPI (blue) with or without pre-extraction treatment as indicated in (A) and (B). Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Furthermore, we solubilized mitochondria isolated from either WT or CLPB-/ PLB985 cells. Consistent to immunofluorescence studies, HAX1 isolated from CLPB mitochondria was not solubilized by carbonate extraction (at 10.5 or 11.5) and nonionic detergent lysis (Triton X-100), in comparison to in WT (Figure 29). This suggested that HAX1 formed aggregates in CLPB ${ }^{-/ /}$mitochondria. As a control, the solubility of Tim44 behaved comparable between WT and CLPB-/- mitochondria. Taken together, these data demonstrated that CLPB ensured a proper solubility and distribution of HAX1 in mitochondria.


Figure 29. HAX1 became insoluble in the absence of CLPB. Mitochondria were subjected to carbonate extraction (at pH 10.8 or 11.5) or detergent lysis by Triton X-100, separated into supernatant $(\mathrm{S})$ and pellet $(\mathrm{P})$ fraction prior to analysis by Western blotting. T , total.

### 2.2.3 The detailed study on interactions between HAX1 and CLPB

To examine the functional relevance of the CLPB-HAX1 interaction, we next aimed at mapping the binding regions that could be involved in mediating interaction between HAX1 and CLPB. HAX1 is containing two putative $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ homology domains (Pandey et al.) followed by a PEST sequence and a C-terminal transmembrane domain (Chao et al., 2008) (Figure 30A). We generated HAX1 deletion mutants lacking Exon 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 and 7 (Figure 30A). FLAG-tagged wild-type HAX1 and a series of exon-deletion mutants were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-flag beads and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting (Figure 30B). HAX1 truncation mutants lacking specifically exon3 lost the interaction with CLPB (Figure 30B). This suggested that HAX1's Exon 3 is responsible for binding with CLPB.

Furthermore, basing on the localization of known patient mutations in exon 3, a more refined series of mutations in exon 3 of HAX1 were generated and immunoprecipitation with those truncations were analyzed as shown in Figure 30C. In particular, a construct truncated in residues 126 to 168 did not associate with CLPB (Figure 30C), while a deletion construct bearing the aa 137 to 168 could confer interaction. This indicated that residues from 126 to 136 of HAX1 are critical for mediating the interaction of HAX1 with CLPB. Interestingly, this region (residues 126-136) of HAX1 also harbors a known L130R missense mutation causing SCN. We introduced L130R mutation into wildtype HAX1 via overlap-extension mutagenesis and performed immunoprecipitation with either WT or L130R mutant. Surprisingly, L130R mutation fully abolished the interaction of HAX1 with CLPB
(Figure 30D). This further indicated that L130 of HAX1 is a critical residue enabling the interaction to CLPB.


Figure 30. Mapping of the CLPB-binding domain on HAX1. (A) Schematic representation of HAX1 wildtype and deletion mutants in this study. ( $B$ and C) CLPB interacts with Exon 3 of HAX1; HEK293T cells expressing flag-tagged wildtype or mutants HAX1 were lysed and subjected to IP prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using indicated antibodies. (D) Patient L130R mutation shown in red abolished the interaction with CLPB; HEK293T cells expression flag-tagged wildtype or patient HAX1 were lysed and subjected to IP prior to SDS-PAGE and western blotting using indicated antibodies. * stands for unspecific binds during detection.

Before the interaction study of CLPB, it was necessary to identify the predominantly expressed transcript of CLPB in cells. According to common database and published studies on CLPB (Saunders et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2015), isoform 1 (amino acids 1-707) was known as the canonical transcript of CLPB. However, we found isoform 2 (amino acids 1-677) was the main isoform expressed in vivo (Figure 31). As we identified CLPB isoform 2 to be the predominant expressed form in HEK293T cells, we chose this isoform (amino acids 1-677) for further experiments.


Figure 31. Identification the predominant isoform of CLPB. HEK293T cells expressing PRRL vector, flag-tagged CLPB isoform1 (707aa) or 2 (677aa) were lysed and subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using indicated antibodies.

Next, we set out to define the exact binding region mediating interaction of CLPB with HAX1. CLPB is comprised of an ankyrin-repeat domain (ANK), an ATPase domain (NBD) and a small C-terminal D2 domain (CTD2) (Wortmann et al., 2015) (see scheme in Figure 32A). We generated FLAG-tagged full-length and truncated versions of these functional domains of CLPB and overexpressed them in HEK293T cells. CLPB ${ }^{\text {WT}}$-FLAG and CLPB lacking the first 79 residues efficiently bound to HAX1 (Figure 32B) while CLPB absent of its ATPase and CTD2 domain associated less efficiently with HAX1. Of note, immunoblotting also indicated lower expression levels of these fragments. The CLPB mutant lacking the ANK domain, even though expressed at similar levels as the CLPB ${ }^{\text {ATPase }}$ and CLPB ${ }^{\text {CTD2 }}$ deletion constructs, failed to interact with HAX1. This finding suggests that the interaction of CLPB with HAX1 is mediated by the ANK domain.

The partial overlap in clinical manifestations in HAX1- and CLPB-deficiency prompted us to test if patient mutations causing severe congenital neutropenia compromised the interaction between HAX1 and CLPB. Importantly, some variants clustered in the ANK domain of CLPB have been linked to the rare autosomal recessive mitochondrial disorder, 3-methylglutaconic aciduria, Type VII (MGCA7) that presents with increased levels of 3-methylglutaconic acid (3-MGA), neurologic impairment and neutropenia (Saunders et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2015).

Therefore, we introduced these mutants in ANK domain (Y272C, T268M) into CLPB and examined whether these mutations affected the binding to HAX1. As a control,
other mutations causing only neurological dysfunction in CLPB-deficient patients were introduced into the CLPB sequence. All constructs were overexpressed in HEK293T cells and immunoprecipitated. As shown in Figure 32C, CLPB ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and CLPB ${ }^{\text {R561Q }}$ immunoprecipitated with HAX1 while CLPB ${ }^{\text {T268M }}$ and CLPB ${ }^{\text {R408G }}$, two mutations identified in patients with moderate or severe neutropenia (Saunders et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2015) were less efficient in binding to HAX1.

In contrast, the Y272C mutant resulted in a mostly full impairment of interaction to HAX1 (Figure 32). Both SCN causative mutants (T268M, Y272C) led to a decrease in protein stability. In conclusion, our data indicated that Exon 3 of HAX1 and the ANK domain of CLPB are responsible for their interaction. Specially, human mutations leading to SCN in HAX1 (L130R) or in CLPB (T268M, Y272C) serve as critical residues enabling the formation of HAX1-CLPB complex.


Figure 32. Mapping of the HAX1-binding domain on CLPB. (A) Schematic representation of CLPB wildtype and deletion mutants in this study. (B) HAX1 interacts with ANK domain of HAX1; HEK293T cells expressing flag-tagged wildtype or mutants CLPB were lysed and subjected to IP prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using indicated antibodies. (D) Patient Y272C mutation shown in red largely impaired the interaction with HAX1; HEK293T cells expressing flag-tagged wildtype or patient CLPB (T268M shown in blue and Y272C shown in red) were lysed and subjected to IP prior to SDS-PAGE and western blotting using indicated antibodies.

### 2.3 Mitochondrial protein quality control in HAX1- and CLPB-deficiency

To directly address our hypothesis that the CLPB/HAX1 axis is a critical player in maintaining proteostasis in mitochondria, we designed a SILAC (stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture)-based workflow to probe protein synthesis, persistence and turnover in WT, HAX1-1 and CLPB*-. Specifically, PLB-985 cells were cultured in media containing arginine and lysine with normal light isotopes of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen (i.e., ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ) (light - "L"). In parallel, another portion of PLB-985 cells were cultured in media with L-arginine- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ and L-lysine- ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (medium - "M"). All samples were cultured for ten cell divisions to achieve $>99 \%$ incorporation of $L$ or $M$ amino acids. Then, $M$ - labelled cells were subjected to media containing L-arginine- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{6}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ and L-lysine- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{6}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (heavy - "H") to perform a pulse experiment (Boisvert et al., 2012), by which newly synthesized proteins were incorporated with H amino acids for 0,6 and 24 hours. Thus, ratios of $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L}$ and M/L reflected the kinetics of protein/complex synthesis, and persistence, respectively (Figure 33). These analyses were done with either whole cell extracts or purified mitochondria, so that not only cellular protein networks but also protein networks in mitochondria can be assessed.


Figure 33. Scheme of SILAC-based workflow. SILAC-based workflow for the analysis of protein dynamics and processing of cells for peptide digestion and LC-MS/MS analysis. Ratios of H/L and M/L indicate protein synthesis and persistence.PLB-985 cells were cultured in different SILAC media containing either "light" (L), or "medium" (M) amino acids until proteins were fully incorporated. Then the cells grew with $M$ amino acids were pulsed by culture in a "heavy" $(H)$ medium for $0,6,24$ hours. Cells were collected at different time points, along with the equivalent cells growing in the "light"
medium. Equal amounts of cells were mixed before proteolytic digestion or mitochondrial isolation. All samples prepared with cell or mitochondria were undergone LC-MS/MS analysis.

First, we determined the pathways whose protein kinetics were most dysregulated by HAX1 deficiency via 2D annotation enrichment analysis (Cox and Mann, 2012). In line with the localization of HAX1, protein synthesis in mitochondrial pathways such as mitochondrial translation, mitochondrial respiratory chain and TCA cycle significantly differed in HAX1-/ cells complex to WT (Figure 34A). This encouraged us to use the H/L SILAC ratio as a proxy to compare protein synthesis with WT, HAX1 KO and CLPB KO cells.
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Figure 34. Increased mitochondrial protein synthesis in HAX1/r and CLPB ${ }^{-1 /}$ cells. (A) 2 D annotation enrichment in the whole cell protein synthesis (H/L) data at 24 hours. The significant annotations with largest differences are marked in black with names. Annotation type is indicated in the panel. The analysis was performed on the media of $n-6$. Significance was determined using Benjamini-Hochberg FDR with a threshold value of 0.02. (B) A protein synthesis rate proxy was derived by calculating the changes in median H/L SILAC ratio at 6 hours and 24 hours compared to to. This was calculated in whole cells (left) and mitochondria (Keywords annotation, right). The difference between HAX1 and CLPB-deficient clones compared to WT was statistically significant in mitochondria (mean $\pm$ SEM, $\mathrm{n}=6$; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; ns $=$ not significant).

Next, we determined the radio of $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L}$ in pathways related to mitochondrial electron transfer chain and observed that mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle are the
most significantly upregulated pathways in mitochondria of $\mathrm{HAX} 1^{-/}$and $\mathrm{CLPB}^{-/-}$than WT. Specifically, in comparison to WT, 11 subunits (selected by GO annotation) in complex I showed significantly higher H/L ratio in HAX1-l- cells and 8 subunits showed in CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$cells. While the ratio of protein persistence (M/L) in complex I was increased in HAX1- and CLPB- deficient cells, significance was not reached (Figure 35A). In addition, a number of proteins involved in the tricarboxylic acid cycle showed significantly higher protein synthesis and slightly elevated protein persistence in both HAX1 ${ }^{-/-}$cells and CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$cells (Figure 35B). There was a high overlap of differentially expressed proteins between HAX1- and CLPB- deficiency, pointing towards a strong functional link. Overall, our results indicate that mitochondrial proteostasis, mainly in pathways of complex I and TCA cycle, is perturbed in the absence of HAX1.


Figure 35. Proteins with impaired protein turnover enriched in mitochondrial complex $I$ and TCA cycle in both HAX1-KO and CLPB-KO cells. (A) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of protein synthesis (H/L, left) and protein persistence (M/L, right) for mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I (GO annotation), comparing WT, HAX1-/ and CLPB ${ }^{-1 /}$ clones after 24 hours of pulse with heavy amino acids. Clusters are based on $Z$ scores as indicated. Significant differences ( $p<0.05, t-$ test, $n=6$ ) are marked with an asterisk. (B) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of protein synthesis (H/L, left) and protein persistence ( $M / L$, right) for the TCA cycle enzyme (represented as in (A)).

In parallel, we carried out the same analysis to non-mitochondrial pathways, such as Golgi apparatus and glycolysis. In comparison to mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle, no consistent difference of protein synthesis/persistence was measured in Glycolysis and Golgi pathways (Figure 36).
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Figure 36. The protein synthesis and persistence within glycolysis pathway and Golgi pathway. (A) Profile plots of protein synthesis (H/L, left) and protein persistence (M/L, right) for glycolysis (GO annotation), comparing wild type (WT), HAX1/r and CLPB-/ clones after 24 hours of pulse with heavy amino acids. Proteins related to glycolysis were selected based on Gene Ontology (GO) annotations. Significant differences ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, t -test, $\mathrm{n}=6$ ). The number of proteins with significantly different expression ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) between WT and each mutant is indicated at the bottom of the plot. (B) Profile plots of protein synthesis (H/L, left) and protein persistence (M/L, right) for Golgi apparatus (GO annotation), comparing wild type (WT), HAX1/ and CLPB ${ }^{-1}$ clones after 24 hours of pulse with heavy amino acids. Proteins related to Golgi apparatus were selected based on Gene Ontology (GO) annotations. Significant differences ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, t -test, $\mathrm{n}=6$ ). The number of
proteins with significantly different expression ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) between WT and each mutant is indicated at the bottom of the plot.

To substantiate our MS-analyses of altered respiratory chain (RC) complex I dynamics in the absence of HAX1, we employed HAX1 knockout cells generated by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing and assessed the enzymatic activity of mitochondrial complex 1 in both WT and HAX1 KO cells. The activity of complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) was evaluated by the reduction of the provided dye during the oxidation of NADH, which leaded to an increased absorbance. As shown in Figure 37A, mitochondria isolated from HAX1-/ cells exhibited significantly reduced complex I activity compared to mitochondria purified from WT cells, while the activity of complex IV was not affected in HAX1 deficient cells.


Figure 37. Mitochondrial complex I activity was impaired in the absence of HAX1. Mitochondria isolated from WT and HAX1-KO PLB-985 cells were used to measure (A) complex I activity and (B) complex IV activity. Data were collected from three independent experiments. Complex I activity showed significant decrease (means $\pm$ SEM, 2way-ANOVA, $\mathrm{P}<0.01, \mathrm{n}=3$ ) in the absence of HAX1, whereas no significant alterations displayed in the measurement of complex IV activity.

As the mitochondrial complex I is considered to be a main source of superoxide production (Camello-Almaraz et al., 2006), we analyzed the level of mitochondrial superoxide in WT and HAX1-1 cells. Indeed, mitochondrial superoxide (O2.-) levels were significantly increased in the absence of HAX1 (Figure 38). Yet, HAX1 KO cells that were functionally complemented with HAX1 restored the upregulated O2.levels (Figure 38). Thus, our data identify HAX1 as a critical regulator of mitochondrial complex I activity.


Figure 38. HAX1 deficiency induced elevated mtROS production. mtROS production was measured with PLB-985 cells by adopting superoxide indicator MitoSOX Red (means $\pm$ SEM, t-test, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ).

### 2.4 HAX1 maintains solubility of HSP27 in mitochondria.

In order to further illustrate the cellular function of HAX1, we focused on proteins that were differentially expressed in HAX deficiency compared to WT. Using labelfree quantification (LFQ) with mass spectrometry data, we compared the proteome of WT with HAX1 deficient PLB-985 cells for proteome-wide protein abundance changes. In the LFQ analysis, a total of 4372 proteins with $\geq 2$ peptides used for the quantification and $>3$ valid values in total were applied. As shown in the volcano plot on differentially expressed proteins (Figure 39A), HSP27 stood out as highly decreased in the absence of HAX1. HSP27 belongs to a class of molecular chaperones that maintain cellular protein proteostasis by preventing the aggregation of partially unfolded proteins (Mymrikov et al., 2017), whose functions are tightly regulated by its phosphorylation. In its unphosphorylated form, HSP27 assembles into large insoluble oligomeric complexes, whereas phosphorylation results in complex dissociation into smaller soluble oligomers or dimers.

Based on our mass spectrometry data, the downregulation of HSP27 in HAX1-/ cells was validated by Western blotting analysis (Figure 39B). PLB-985 cells (WT, HAX1${ }^{1-}$ and CLPB $^{--}$) were solubilized with a hypertonic lysis buffer and supernatants were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. In both HAX-1 and CLPB ${ }^{-1 /}$ PLB-985 cells, HSP27 was less detectable in comparison to WT and gene-reconstituted cells (Figure 39B).


Figure 39. The existence of HSP27 was reduced in the absence of HAX1 or CLPB. (A) Volcano plot indicating protein expression changes between WT ( $n=6$ ) and HAX ${ }^{-1}(n=6)$ PLB-985 cells. X-axis demonstrates log2 fold change in comparison to WT and Y-axis indicates -log10(P-value). A total of 71 proteins were significantly elevated in WT vs HAX1-1, 159 proteins were significantly elevated in HAX1 ${ }^{-1-}$ vs WT. Points marked in black (higher in WT) and red (higher in HAX1 ${ }^{-1 /}$ ) have adjusted Pvalues <0.01 and absolute fold-change >2. (B) PLB-985 cells collected from indicated genotypes were subjected to hypertonic lysis prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Interestingly, HSP27 abundance was also dependent on the type of processing. HSP27 was less detectable in cells exposed to hypotonic stress in comparison to whole cell lysate of HAX ${ }^{-1}$ PLB-985 cells, in which cells were pelleted and directly cooked in Laemmli buffer (Figure 40).


Figure 40. HSP27 was more detectable in whole cell lysates in comparison to hypertonic lysis. PLB-985 cells collected from indicated genotypes were subjected to either hypertonic lysis (left) or whole cell lysates preparation (Witzel et al.) prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

This prompted us to assume that there might be a portion of insoluble HSP27, remaining in the pellet of HAX1 deficiency under hypertonic lysis. Therefore, with the preparation of cell hypertonic lysis, supernatant was collected and the insoluble cell pellets were directly cooked with Laemmlli buffer. All samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Intriguingly, anti-HSP27 immunoblotting showed two bands upon electrophoretic separation only in HAX1-deficient cells, and not in WT cells or in gene-reconstituted cells. Moreover, the faster migrating form failed to be detected by the specific HSP27 phosphorylation antibody (HSP27-p82) (Figure 41). This suggested that a dephosphorylated form of HSP27 appeared to be less soluble in HAX1 deficient cells.


Figure 41. A dephosphorylated form of HSP27 remained in the pellet after solubilization by hypertonic solution. PLB-985 cells from indicated genotypes were subjected to hypertonic lysis. After centrifugation, supernatants were collected as soluble fractions, whereas remaining pellets were cooked with Laemmli buffer as insoluble fractions. All samples were loaded to SDS-PAGE prior to Western blotting

The phosphorylation status of HSP27 has been shown to play a critical role in the regulation of its oligomerization. Upon phosphorylation, large HSP27 oligomers consisting of around 6 tetramers dissociate into single tetramers or dimers (Rogalla et al., 1999). As HSP27 was highly phosphorylated in wildtype PLB-985 cells, we hypothesized that impaired phosphorylation of HSP27 would lead to larger oligomers, which appeared to be insoluble in the absence of HAX1.

In order to visualize the insoluble HSP27 in cells lacking HAX1 through immunofluorescence studies, we employed pre-extraction treatment to WT and

HAX $^{-1}$ PLB-985 cells. This method allowed us to remove most of soluble proteins in the cytosol by permeabilizing cells prior to fixation. In control and HAX1 KO cells, HSP27 was evenly distributed throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 42, upper panel). However, after pre-extraction treatment, HSP27 showed a punctiform pattern in HAX1-1 cells, whereas the staining of HSP27 was almost invisible in WT cells (Figure 42, lower panel). This is consistent with the notion that HSP27 became insoluble in HAX1 deficiency.


Figure 42. HSP27 remained in punctiform in the absence of HAX1 after pre-extraction treatment. Representative images show fixed PLB-985 cells costained with HSP27 (red), Tubulin (green) and DAPI (blue) with or without pre-extraction treatment as indicated in (A) and (B). Scale bar: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Intriguingly, we were able to probed HSP27 as two differently migrating forms in $\mathrm{HAX}^{-1 /}$ or CLPB ${ }^{-/}$mitochondria (Figure 43A). Similar to HAX1 deficiency, also in CLPB-deficient mitochondria HSP27 migrated at two distinctive speeds. Furthermore, HSP27p82 was expressed at reduced abundance in $\mathrm{HAX}^{--}$and CLPB-
${ }^{1-}$ mitochondria (Figure 43B), again suggesting that the consequences of HAX1 and CLPB deficiency are functionally linked.


Figure 43. Two differently migrating forms of HSP27 were detected in HAX1/* or CLPB ${ }^{-1 /}$ mitochondria. (A and B) Mitochondria were isolated from PLB-985 cells of indicated genotypes prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

We next studied whether HAX1 regulated the solubility of HSP27 in mitochondria by confocal microscopy. Without pre-extraction, WT and HAX1-deficient PLB-985 cells show evenly distributed HSP27 throughout the cytoplasm. However, after removing soluble cytosolic components by pre-extraction, punctiform HSP27 appeared to be colocalized with mitochondrial marker Tom20 in HAX1-- PLB985 cells. Yet, HSP27 became mostly invisible after pre-extraction in WT PLB-985 cells. These results suggested that the dephosphorylation of HSP27 resulting from HAX1 deficiency leaded to its aggregation in mitochondria (Figure 44).


Figure 44. HSP27 formed in punctiform in HAX1-deficient mitochondria after pre-extraction treatment. Representative images show fixed HeLa cells costained with HSP27 (red), Tom20 (green) and DAPI (blue) with or without pre-extraction treatment as indicated in (A) and (B). Scale bar: 10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

To substantiate our findings, we performed carbonate extraction experiments of isolated mitochondria from WT, HAX1 and CLPB KO cells (Figure 45). Similar to the membrane-bound protein Tim44, HSP27 is fully solubilized into the supernatant (S) upon Triton X-100 treatment and was released from the mitochondrial membrane into the supernatant (S) at pH 11.5 in mitochondria purified from WT PLB-985 cells (Figure 45A). However, in the absence of HAX1 and CLPB, HSP27 partially remained in the pellet fraction under different lysis and extraction conditions (Figure 45B and C). In line with our previous data the unphosphorylated, insoluble/unphosphorylated form of HSP27 was enriched in the pellet fractions upon Triton X-100 and carbonate solubilization (Figure 45B and C). Taken together, these data demonstrated that CLPB and HAX1 ensure the efficient phosphorylation and solubility of HSP27 in mitochondria.


Figure 45. The faster migrating form of HSP27 is more resistant to carbonate extraction or detergent lysis than the upper band of HSP27, in the absence of HAX1 or CLPB. (A, B and C) WT, CLPB ${ }^{-/}$and $\mathrm{HAX1}^{-/}$mitochondria were subjected to carbonate extraction (at pH 10.8 or 11.5) or detergent lysis by Triton X-100, separated into supernatant $(\mathrm{S})$ and pellet $(\mathrm{P})$ fraction prior to analysis by Western blotting. T, total.

### 2.5 HSP27 restores perturbed mitochondrial proteostasis in HAX1 deficient cells.

Next, we were interested which kinase might be responsible for mediating HSP27 phosphorylation in mitochondria. Several protein kinase families have been shown to involve in the phosphorylation of HSP27 (Kostenko and Moens, 2009), however the potential endogenous activators of HSP27 phosphorylation are largely unknown in myeloid cells. We therefore searched for candidate kinases/phosphatases potentially effected HSP27's phosphorylation in HAX1 deficient PLB-985 cells, by comparing differentially expressed kinases and phosphatases in WT and HAX1-/ PLB-985 cells, respectively.

As shown in Figure 46, most of kinases and phosphatases were significantly upregulated in HAX1 deficient PLB-985 cells, except for PRKD2 which was significantly downregulated in HAX1 deficiency (Figure 46).


Figure 46. PRKD2 was reduced in the absence of HAX1. Volcano plot illustrates changes in kinases and phosphatases expression between WT $(n=6)$ and HAX ${ }^{-1}(n=6)$ PLB-985 cells. X-axis showing log2 fold change in comparison to WT, Y-axis showing -log10(P-value). Kinases were marked in red or black and phosphatases were marked in blue. Gate was adjusted to P -values $<0.05$.

Several studies identified HSP27 as a substrate of protein kinase D (PKD) serine/threonine kinase family (Doppler et al., 2005), which consists of PRKD1, PRKD2 and PRKD3. Only PRKD2 and PRKD3 are expressed in myeloid cells. We validated our LFQ-result by examining PRKD2 expression in mitochondria isolated from control, HAX1 KO or HAX1 reconstituted PLB-985 cells by immunoblotting. In comparison to WT and HAX1 reconstituted mitochondrial lysates, the expression of PRKD2 was indeed largely compromised in the cellular extracts from HAX1-1 cells (Figure 47).


Figure 47. PRKD2 was down-regulated in the absence of HAX1. Mitochondria isolated from WT, HAX1-1- and HAX1--+HAX1 PLB-985 cells were respectively subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

PRKD2 has not yet emerged as a kinase spatially defined in mitochondria. Thus, we next examined whether HSP27 and PRKD2 are both located inside the mitochondria by performing mitochondrial swelling assay as described in Figure 17. Only Tom70 was degraded upon PK treatment in SEM buffer (Figure 48 lane 1), whereas PRKD2, HSP27, pHSP27, Tim23, HAX1 and CLPB remained unaffected (Figure 48 lanes 3-8). Upon rupture of OM in EM buffer, similar to Tim23, HAX1 and CLPB were degraded by PK. However, a portion of PRKD2, HSP27 and pHSP27 was resistant to PK digestion in EM buffer (Figure 48 lane 6-8). This indicated that PRKD2 and pHSP27 are localized in the IMS and partially in the matrix. As a matrix control, Tim44 was resistant to PK digestion in both SEM and EM conditions.


Figure 48. PRKD2 and HSP27 were located in mitochondrial IMS and matrix. Isolated mitochondria from PLB-985 cells were swollen or sonicated and/or treated with proteinase K prior to analysis by Western blotting. For reference, EM buffer: EDTA, MOPS; SEM buffer: Sucrose, EDTA, MOPS.

To directly test whether PRKD2 mediated phosphorylation of HSP27, we inhibited the activity of PRKD2 using CRT0066101, a pan-inhibitor of the PKD family (Harikumar et al., 2010). As shown in Figure 49A, the phosphorylation of HSP27 was impaired upon CRT0066101 treatment. To test more specifically whether PRKD2 is involved in mediating phosphorylation of HSP27, we generated retrovirustransduced PLB-985 cell lines, containing shRNA targeting on human PRKD2 in a doxycycline-inducible expression manner. Upon doxycycline induction, the partial depletion of PRKD2 in PLB-985 cells resulted in a reduced phosphorylation of HSP27 at Ser-82 (Figure 49B). Overall, these data demonstrated that PRKD2 played a role in regulating HSP27 in PLB-985 cells.
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Figure 49. Inhibition of the activity or reduced expression of PRKD2 impairs HSP27's phosphorylation. (A) PLB-985 cells were treated with CRT0066101 at indicated concentrations for 3h before being subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. (B) PLB-985 stable cell lines, expressing constructs contained scramble shRNA or PRKD2-targeted shRNA in a doxycyclineinducible manner, were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting after 4 days of doxycycline $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ treatment.

### 2.6 HSP27 is associated with mitochondrial complexes and translation, whose reconstitution recovers mitochondrial oxidative stress in

 HAX1 deficiency.We further carried out a mitochondrial interactome assay to identify critical downstream clients/targets of HSP27 in mitochondria. Mitochondria lysates either from WT HEK293T cells overexpressing control (empty pRRL vector) or FLAGHSP27 cells were subjected to FLAG-immunoprecipitation. The eluates collected from controls and HSP27-lps were analyzed via mass spectrometry as described in materials and methods. The significantly increased categories in HSP27 overexpressing cells comprised respiratory electron transport chain, mitochondrial translational pathways, 'de novo' protein folding and TCA cycle (Figure 50A and B). Consistent with an involvement of HSP27 in translation (Carper et al., 1997; Cuesta et al., 2000), the group of highly up-regulated proteins included key RNA binding proteins, such as MRLP proteins, TUFM, PTCD3 and DAP3. This suggested that HSP27 involved in mitochondrial ribosomal translation.
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Figure 50. The interactome of HSP27 in mitochondria. (A) Volcano plot illustrating the mitochondrial interactome of HSP27 ( $n=6$ ) versus control (non-bait) ( $n=6$ ). The analysis is based on 724 proteins that were commonly identified in two biological replicates. The bait (Hsp27/HSPB1) and the interactors with highest $p$ values are marked in black. Significant interactors annotated as
mitochondrial translation (GO) are marked in blue and listed. (B) GOBP pathway enrichment analysis of the HSP27 interactome (panel F, right side), color-coded by enrichment p value as indicated.

Like cytosolic HSP27, we assumed mitochondrial HSP27 may serve as a holdase to safeguard mitochondrial translational machinery so that unfolded or misfolded nascent peptides can be timely cleared. Insolubilization of HSP27 would then predispose nascent mitochondrial peptides to unwanted aggregation, which could further disrupt mitochondrial protein quality control and result in mitochondrial unfolded protein response in the matrix. Intriguingly, mitochondrial unfolded protein response was activated via Sirt3-FOXO3-LC3 pathway in HAX1 deficient cells (Figure 51). This suggested that perturbed mitochondrial proteostasis (shown in Figure 35) in HAX1 deficiency was probably due to a defective mitochondrial translation caused by dysfunctional/dephosphorylated HSP27.


Figure 51. HAX1 deficient cells displayed mitochondrial unfolded protein response by activating Sirt3-FOXO3a-LC3 pathway and the induction of autophagy was enhanced upon MG132 treatment in the absence of HAX1. PLB-985 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of MG132 for 18h before being subjected to SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot.

As we identified several complex I interactions in the HSP27 IP, we considered that HSP27 might be able to reverse the effects on elevated ROS production induced by the absence of HAX1. Interestingly, when HSP27 was exogenously expressed in HAX1-1 PLB-985 cells, both endogenous HSP27 and HSP27's phosphorylation was enhanced in addition to overexpression of exogenous HSP27 (Figure 52A), for some unknown reason. When we tested the mtROS production, the reconstitution of either HAX1 or HSP27 reversed the elevated mtROS production resulting from

HAX1 deficiency (Figure 52B). This demonstrated that HSP27 reconstitution can reverse mitochondrial stress induced by HAX1 deficiency.


Figure 52. The reconstitution of either HAX1 or HSP27 reversed the elevated mitochondrial ROS production in the absence of HAX1. (A) Cells collected from indicated genotypes were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. (B) mtROS production was measured in whole cells using MitoSOX Red Mitochondrial Superoxide Indicator (means $\pm$ SEM, t-test, $n=3$ ).

### 2.7 HSP27 reconstitutes HAX1 deficiency in iPSCs model

HAX1 is a causative gene for severe congenital neutropenia, but the detailed mechanism remains debatable. Clearly, premature apoptosis of myeloid progenitor cells is a characteristic feature in many types of SCN. We therefore were interested to provide functional evidence of HSP27 in the pathophysiology of HAX1 deficiency. We established an in-vitro differentiation system allowing us to model the genetic defects in HAX1 and the rescuing effect of HSP27. We first refined a previously published protocol (Niwa et al., 2011) allowing us to differentiate bona fide neutrophils in vitro from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and also providing a platform to genetically engineer human neutrophils by CRISPR- Cas9-mediated genome editing. Next, we differentiated primitive streak-like cells into bone fide neutrophil granulocytes in the coordinated presence of hematopoietic cytokines (details shown in materials and methods). After ensuring properties of differentiated neutrophils are indistinguishable from peripheral blood neutrophils, we focused on engineering HAX1 deficient iPSCs via CRISPR- Cas9-mediated genome editing and overexpressing HAX1, HSP27 in iPSCs via PiggyBac Transposon System. This
overexpression is based on a doxycycline-inducible system as previously described (Li et al., 2013b). As shown in Figure 53, the deletion of HAX1 and doxycycline inductive expressions of either HAX1 or HSP27 were effective in iPSCs. As a control, wildtype iPSCs were transfected with plain piggybac vector, merely expressing mcherry upon doxycycline induction.


Figure 53. The overexpression of HAX1 and HSP27 in iPSCs. The CDS of either HAX1 or HSP27 was cloned into PiggyBac vector, which were subsequently transfected into iPSCs together with transposon-expressing vectors via electroporation. After doxycycline induction, iPSCs from indicated genotypes were collected prior to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

In contrast to wildtype iPSCs, HAX1 deficient iPSCs showed a significantly retarded differentiation towards flooding neutrophil granulocytes. Yet, reconstitution of either HSP27 or HAX1 via doxycycline-inducible system during differentiation in mutant iPSCs, recovered the diminished number of live floating cells to a great extent (Figure 54).


Figure 54. The analysis of live floating cell counts. Quantification of live floating cells per 6 iPSC colonies (per well), determined at indicated time points during differentiation (means $\pm$ SEM, $t$-test, $n$ $=3$ ).

When we further examined maturation rates of neutrophils indicated via mcherry+CD11b/CD33low by FACS, compared to the wildtype, HAX1-1 iPSCs displayed a reduction in neurotrophil maturation. This decreased maturation rate was reconstituted by either overexpression of HSP27 or HAX1 in HAX1-1 iPSCs (Figure 55).


Figure 55. FACS assay of iPSCs with mcherry+CD11b/CD33low. (A and B) After 29 days of differentiation, floating cells were collected and stained with CD33 and CD11b antibodies prior to FACS analysis (means $\pm$ SEM, t-test, $n=3$ ).

We also visualized morphologic alterations and the subdistribution of myeloid progenitor cells of flooding granulocytes under the light microscope. Upon differentiation, HAX1 deficient iPSCs demonstrated an arrest of maturation at the level of promyelocytes, whereas reconstitution of HSP27 or HAX1 rescued cell differentiation to mature neutrophils (Figure 56A). Interestingly, both HSP27 and HAX1 expression reversed these effects (Figure 56B). Thus, in vitro modeling of neutrophil differentiation confirms that HSP27 overexpression is able to improve the aberrant cell viability and differentiation resulting from HAX1 dysfunction.
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Figure 56. Cytospin of differentiated iPSCs. (A) Light microscopy of iPSC-derived immature and mature neutrophil granulocytes stained with May-Grünwald Giemsa ( $\times 63$ ) on day 28 after differentiation. (B) Cell distribution assay was determined by observing 200 cells from 3 indepent experiments (means $\pm$ SEM, t-test, $n=3$ ).

## IV DISCUSSION

## 1 HAX1 is a mitochondrial IMS protein

Since the original description of HAX1 (Suzuki et al., 1997) and the discovery of mutations in Kostmann syndrome (Klein et al., 2007), an increasing number of studies reported a wealth of data on HAX1 without convincing evidence of its function. In view of partially conflicting explanations, no unifying hypothesis has yet emerged to explain the multiple biologic functions of HAX1. Since we could document a role for HAX1 in stabilizing the mitochondrial membrane potential ( $\Delta \Psi$ m ) in neutrophils (Klein et al., 2007), we thereby first focused on determining the subcellular localization of HAX1 in mitochondria. Using mitochondrial swelling and carbonate extraction methods, we demonstrate that HAX1 is located in mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) as a membrane-bound protein in human cells. In line with our data, HAX1 was identified in the IMS from a high-resolution human mitochondrial proximity interaction network, studied by Hana Antonicka et al. (Antonicka et al., 2020).

On the other hand, recent studies relate HAX1 with the cytoskeleton in mouse cells. In CHO cells, HAX1 was shown to associate with Kv3.3 potassium channel (KCNC3) and assist in mediating actin nucleation through Arp2/3 (Zhang et al., 2016). Since HAX1 is mainly displayed inside mitochondria of human cell lines as shown in Figure 18 and 21, this contradiction could due to the existence of splicing variants of HAX1 dominantly expressed in the cytosol of mouse tissues (Grzybowska et al., 2006; Trebinska et al., 2010). Or this is by the virtue of mitochondria are associated with various organelles, such as cytoskeleton, ER, Golgi, perisome or nucleus (Boldogh and Pon, 2007; Lebiedzinska et al., 2009; Monaghan and Whitmarsh, 2015; Rizzuto et al., 1998; Sargsyan and Thoms, 2020; Wieckowski et al., 2009). Those properties of mitochondria may explain why HAX1 is detected to associate with various candidate proteins from different cellular compartments in different cell types. Therefore, questions regarding the number of expressed HAX1 splicing variants and their specific functions need to be addressed in the future. Meanwhile if some protein-protein interactions of HAX1 may be attributed to non-specific effects remain to be clarified.

## The relationship between HAX1 and CLPB

### 1.1 HAX1 is the binding partner of CLPB

A putative interaction of HAX1 and CLPB was first postulated by Saskia B et al. in the paper describing that CLPB mutations in humans underlie a multi-system disease (MEGCANN syndrome) (Wortmann et al., 2015). Through mass spectrometry studies with HAX1-overexpressed mitochondrial lysates, we observed that CLPB was a predominantly binding partner of HAX1 and the mutual interaction of both proteins were further validated by endogenous immunoprecipitation with 293T cell lysates (Figure 24). In consistent with our finding, the interaction between CLPB and HAX1 was shown as well with whole THP-1 cell lysates (Chen et al., 2019). However, in addition to biochemical proof of the mutual interaction between HAX1 and CLPB, we for the first time show the co-localization of HAX1 and CLPB by immunofluorescence studies in HeLa cells.

We mapped the exquisite binding regions in both HAX1 and CLPB and discovered that Exon 3 of HAX1 and ANK domain of CLPB are responsible for mutual interactions by a series of immunoprecipitation experiments with various truncations. Additionally, HAX1 becomes aggregated resistant to Triton X-100 lysis in CLPB-/ PLB-985 cells. Thus, we can conclude that HAX1 behaves as the substrate of CLPB, in an attempt to guarantee its proper solubility. Since both HAX1 and partial CLPB variants are SCN-causative, we therefore can further assume that CLPB variants lacking interactions with HAX1 can cause SCN in patients. As expected, we specifically identified that HAX1 patient mutant HAX1_L130R (Lanciotti et al., 2010) failed to interact with CLPB, as well as SCN-causing mutation in CLPB (CLPB_Y272C) (Wortmann et al., 2015) significantly perturbed its association with HAX1. These findings indicate that L130 in HAX1 and Y272 in CLPB function as key residues enabling CLPB-HAX1 complex formation, importantly, they also suggest a tight genotype-phenotype correlation between HAX1 and CLPB deficiency.

Of note, our data on this correlation is sufficient but not necessary to explain all SCN-causing mutations identified in CLPB patients (Saunders et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2015), especially when mutations located in the C terminal domains of CLPB. It is known that N -terminal domains of Ecoli ClpB define a substrate entrance channel and position the polypeptide (substrate) above AAA+
domains (NBD1 and NBD2) in the C-terminal of ClpB for further translocation (Rizo et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2012). Highly conservative amino acids in NBD domains have been related to substrate translocation (Biter et al., 2012). In yeast, essential Tyr residues, identified in NBD domains of HSP104, were shown to form a substrate-binding "pore loops" that mechanically couple hydrolysis to translocation (Gates and Martin, 2020). Therefore, though some CLPB patient mutants might be able to associate with HAX1, they (i.e., Y567C, Y617C and A591V located at the C terminal of CLPB) still cannot efficiently disaggregate HAX1. This probably dues to an inefficient translocation of HAX1 through pore loop structure in presence of critical C-terminal mutants of CLPB. We can assume as well that SCN-causing variants of CLPB, i.e., Y272C, Y567C, A591V and Y617C, might be essential residues in CLPB for substrates binding and translocation. Those assumptions however require further studies to be illustrated. Those suppositions based on interacting domains between CLPB and HAX1 will surely provide us with clues on elucidating structures of both proteins in upcoming studies.

### 1.2 Roles of CLPB in mitochondrial biology

Through carbonate extraction assay and immunofluorescence analysis, we observed a clumped HAX1 in CLPB ${ }^{-/-}$mitochondria. This indicates that human CLPB might be highly functional conservative and share disaggregation functions with its orthologs, although for example human CLPB is only about $20 \%$ identical to its ortholog in Escherichia coli (Abrahao et al., 2017).

Human CLPB has recently been shown to function as a potent "stand-alone" mitochondrial disaggregase (Cupo and Shorter, 2020). The mitochondrial innermembrane protease, PARL - a known interaction partner of HAX1- removes an autoinhibitory peptide from CLPB to enhance its disaggregase activity (Cupo and Shorter, 2020). However, since the report focused on the isoform 1 CLPB1-707, which does not translationally exist in human cell lines according to our study, it is questionable if the dominantly existing isoform 2 CLPB1-677 can be processed by PARL and be functional in the way as shown in the report. Another study reported that CLPB served as a potential substrate of PARL and interacted with both proteolytically active and inactive PARL independent of mitochondrial membrane potential (Saita et al., 2017). Since murine Hax1 has been shown to present Omi to

Parl (Chao et al., 2008), it is conceivable that HAX1 might involve in the processing of CLPB by PARL as well.

Hsp104, the homolog of CLPB in yeast, is shown to potentially dissociate proteins from aggregates in cytosol to facilitate their entry into import complex to IMS or mitochondrial matrix for degradation by proteases and peptidases (Ruan et al., 2017). This mitochondria-mediated proteostasis mechanism MAGIC (mitochondria as guardian in cytosol) may also exist in human cells (Ruan et al., 2017). CLPB, HAX1, YME1L and SLP2 are recently detected in the interactome of PARL in FITR293T cells (Wai et al., 2016). SLP2 is moreover shown to anchor a large protease complex composed of the rhomboid protease PARL and the i-AAA protease YME1L (SLP2-PARL-YME1L complex) in the IM, to mediate protein maturation and degradation in the IMS (Wai et al., 2016). Hax1 has been shown to present Htra2 (Omi) to Parl for processing and release of Htra2 (Omi) into cytosol in mouse model (Chao et al., 2008). Based on our findings, CLPB essentially ensures the proper solubility of HAX1 in mitochondria. All the information implicates that complex PARL-YME1L-CLPB-HAX1 may functions as a critical proteolytic hub in the IMS, for mediating the processing and degradation of mitochondrial substrates or even cytosolic aggregated proteins. The detailed functional mechanisms of presumed complex PARL-YME1L-CLPB-HAX1 and its client substrates need to be further elucidated.

### 1.3 Perturbed proteostasis in HAX1 and CLPB deficiency

Our studies on proteomes of HAX1 and CLPB deficient PLB-985 cells reveal that both deficiencies exhibit disrupted mitochondrial protein turnover. Therein, mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle are highlighted with accumulated protein synthesis (H/L) and delayed protein degradation (M/L) in SILAC-based pulsing assay. Intriguingly, affected protein turnover members in mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle are highly overlapped between HAX1 and CLPB deficiency, confirming a tight functional connection of CLPB-HAX1 axis. The reduced complex I activity in HAX1-- PLB-985 cells is further validated by an Elisa assay with isolated mitochondria.

Mitochondrial complex I is a critical source of pumping protons into IMS to generate an electrochemical gradient for ATP production (Davies et al., 2011; Sazanov, 2015).

Dysfunctional mitochondrial complex I have been found as the causes for neurological diseases, as a result of a defect of energy metabolism and consequent excitotoxicity (Davies et al., 2011; Fiedorczuk and Sazanov, 2018; Sazanov, 2015; Schapira et al., 1990). When both HAX1 isoforms are affected, patients display neutropenia as well as mental defects (Boztug et al., 2010; Carlsson et al., 2008). Therefore, a dysfunctional complex I activity in HAX1-- mitochondria sheds light on the link between HAX1 deficiency and neurological degeneration.

Of note, the overall mitochondrial protein synthesis is upregulated in HAX1 defective cells (Figure 34), indicating an imbalanced mitochondrial proteostasis in HAX1 deficiency. Aberrant proteostasis has been linked to several common and agerelated human diseases (e.g., Alzheimer and Parkinson disease, type II diabetes). Yet, proteostasis in rare monogenic diseases affecting non-neurological cells has not systematically been addressed (Mannini and Chiti, 2017). Mutations in the neutrophil elastase gene ELANE, the most common variant of severe congenital neutropenia, have been shown to induce unfolded protein responses in vitro and in vivo (Grenda et al., 2007; Nanua et al., 2011; Nayak et al., 2015; Tidwell et al., 2014). We thereby speculate that disturbances of proteostasis in HAX1 defects may lead to neutropenia induced by disturbed cell survival and differentiation.

By differential protein analysis, we discovered that HSP27 is significantly reduced in both HAX1 and CLPB defects, which additionally confirms a tight functional connection of CLPB-HAX1 axis. We were able to show that HSP27 turned out to be dysfunctional due to its dephosphorylation in HAX1 deficient mitochondria and mitochondrial oxidative stress in HAX1-1 cells can be recovered upon HSP27 reconstitution. These hints imply that HSP27 acts in the downstream of HAX1, who plays a role in maintaining the protein quality control of HSP27 in mitochondria.

## 2 HSP27 and perturbed mitochondrial proteostasis

### 2.1 The cellular localization of HSP27

HSP27 is commonly recognized as a cytosolic protein and extensive studies on its multiple functions have been indicated in the process of disaggregation, actin polymerization, oxidative stress response in cytosol (Bakthisaran et al., 2015). Indeed, we observed a cell-wide staining of HSP27 under confocal microscopy. Yet,
a portion of HSP27 appears in mitochondrial IMS and matrix identified by mitochondrial swelling experiment (Figure 48). Especially, HSP27 became aggregated in HAX deficient mitochondria (Figure 44 and 45). Till now, no experimental proof has clearly posed that HSP27 is inside the mitochondria, though several studies link HSP27 as a mitochondrial outer membrane associated protein to mitochondrial apoptotic pathways. At the upstream of mitochondria, HSP27 is reported to interfere with apoptotic inductions mediated via Bax, Bid and Akt (Havasi et al., 2008; Paul et al., 2010; Rane et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2007). At the downstream of mitochondrial apoptosis, HSP27 binds to released cytochrome c and pro-caspase-3 for preventing the formation of apoptosome (Bruey et al., 2000; Pandey et al., 2000; Paul et al., 2002). However, through mitochondrial swelling assay and the study of HSP27 interactome in mitochondria, we are able to confirm that a portion of HSP27 is located inside mitochondria and associates with mitochondrial proteins residing in either IMS or matrix.

### 2.2 HSP27 and mitochondrial proteostasis

In the mitochondrial interactome of HSP27, identified interactors mainly involved in respiratory electron transport chain, mitochondrial translational pathways, TCA cycle and 'de novo' protein folding (Figure 50). This is in consistent with the perturbed mitochondrial turnover (mainly in mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle) in HAX1 deficiency demonstrated by the SILAC assay. Given that HAX1 deficiency perturbs the phosphorylation of HSP27, which is critical for its conformation and consequent distribution, we thus can presume that the impaired mitochondrial protein turnover presented in mitochondrial complex I and TCA cycle is resulting from the dysfunctional/insoluble HSP27 in HAX1 deficiency. Consistent with this presumption, Hsp25 was shown to protect mitochondrial complex I activity in submitochondrial vesicles during heat and oxidative stress in murine P12 cells (Downs et al., 1999). Intriguingly, the activity of mitochondrial complex I was shown to be impaired as well in HSP27 patients (Kalmar et al., 2017).

Respiratory electron transport chain is composed of four mitochondrial complexes (I-IV) in eukaryotes. Except for nuclear-coded complex II, subunits of the other complexes are encoded not only by mitochondrial genome but also by nuclear genome. Mitochondrial genome encodes 13 proteins and all of them involved in
mitochondrial electron transfer chain, therein more than half encoded proteins (7 out of 13 ) are dedicated to complex I. Mitochondrial complex I (NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase) is a multi-subunit (14 core and 31 accessory) around 1 megadalton, which is more than twice bigger than the other respiratory complexes. We can therefore assume that the temporal and spacial orchestrations between nuclearcoded and mitochondria-coded proteins are highly critical for maintaining the proper conformation and activity of respiratory complexes, especially for complex I. As expected, the activity of complex I is more prone to be impaired in HAX1 deficiency, where the solubility of HSP27 is evidently compromised. Since reverse electron transfer within complex I is one of the dominant sources for mtROS production, we indeed observed a evidently increased mtROS production in HAX1-/ cells than WT. Interestingly, the reconstitution of HSP27 recovers the increased mtROS production, which indicates that HSP27 acts at the downstream of HAX1.

In the aspect of protein translation, HSP27 is reported to associate with mRNAs translation initiation complex (cap-initiation complexes) elF4F in a heat-shock dependent manner (Cuesta et al., 2000). Interestingly, in our mitochondrial interactome data of HSP27, its interactors are significantly enriched in mitochondrial translation, specifically in mitochondrial ribosomes. This implicates that HSP27 may participate in mitochondrial protein synthesis by interactions with mitochondrial ribosomal proteins. Since HSP27 additionally interacts with heat shock elements functioning in 'de novo' protein folding, we can further assume that HSP27 might play a role in mitochondrial ribosomal assembly. On the other hand, HSP27 has been reported to protect the translation machinery during recovery from heat shock (Carper et al., 1997; Li et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1992) and traps unfolding protein intermediates to prevent irreversible protein denaturation and aggregation. Thus, we can presume as well that HSP27 may act as a chaperone to secure mitochondrial native protein synthesis and folding by binding with mitochondrial ribosome. In consistency with this assumption, small heat shock protein (HSP40 system) is shown to cooperate with HSP70 and interact with exposed hydrophobic sequences of the emerging nascent chain on the ribosome, to prevent premature misfolding of the nascent chain and support co-translational folding (Preissler and Deuerling, 2012). Concomitantly, we can also assume that with chaperone-like activity, HSP27 may bind to mitochondrial translation machinery for preventing
protein premature misfolding and following denaturation. Thereby, upon dephosphorylation and subsequent oligomerization, insoluble HSP27 may fail to interact with mitochondrial translation machinery, which might further result in redundant protein misfolding and aggregation in $\mathrm{HAX} 1^{-1}$ mitochondrial matrix.

Since mitochondrial complexes and mitochondrial ribosomes have dual origins, the sequestration of mitochondria-coded components can further affect the temporal synchronization of nuclear-coded proteins in aspects of protein import and assembly (Couvillion et al., 2016; Richter-Dennerlein et al., 2016), which will eventually lead to disturbed proteostasis in HAX1 deficient mitochondria. As assumed, in the absence of HAX1, the mitochondrial unfolded protein response pathway via Sirt3-Foxo3a-LC3 is activated, suggesting a perturbed proteostasis in HAX1-/ mitochondrial matrix. This imbalanced protein quality control caused by insolubilized HSP27 can due to the dysfunctional HSP27 unable to interact and guarantee a proper conformation of its client proteins in HAX1 deficiency. As a result, in HAX1 deficient proteomes, we indeed observe protein candidates with higher synthesized rate are enriched in protein degradation pathways, such as proteasome, mitochondrial proteases. This further implicates that excessively misfolded or aggregated proteins are degraded via UPS pathway or specific proteases as the result of a perturbed proteostasis in HAX1 deficient mitochondria.

### 2.3 The phosphorylation of HSP27

In comparison to other sHSPs, functions of HSP27 highly correlate to its phosphorylation states. HSP27 is present as large oligomers at basal levels in cells (Vidyasagar et al., 2012). Upon phosphorylation at multiple serine residues (15, 78, and 82 in humans) mediated via MK2, MK3, MK5, PKC or PKD (Kostenko and Moens, 2009), large oligomers are reorganized into smaller oligomers, often dimers and tetramers (Charette and Landry, 2000; Mehlen et al., 1997; Rogalla et al., 1999). Therefore, the phosphorylation state of HSP27 is tightly linked to its functionally binding capacity with different client substrates. Here, we show that decreased PRKD2 attenuates HSP27 phosphorylation in HAX1 defect, resulting in a disturbed equilibrium of HSP27 oligomerization. This subsequently induces an insolubility of HSP27 in HAX1-/ mitochondria. Whereas previous studies have pointed out that PRKD2 is the upstream kinase in the phosphorylation of HSP27 (Doppler et al.,

2005; Harikumar et al., 2010), our data for the first time show its kinase activity on the phosphorylation of HSP27 in mitochondria of PLB-985 cells. Of note, after HAX1 reconstitution in HAX1 deficiency, the diminished expression of PRKD2 is not fully reversed. This suggests that other kineses or phosphatases additionally involve in phospho-regulation of HSP27 in HAX1 deficiency. HSP27 phosphorylation has been utilized by researchers to develop a systematic understanding of HSP27 function under multiple experimental and disease conditions. Further studies on elucidating novel mechanisms on HSP27's phosphorylation will for sure gain its prominence as a therapeutic target and biomarker of disease.

### 2.4 The reconstitution of HSP27 in PLB-985 cells and iPS cells.

Since we are able to show that HSP27 is a downstream player of HAX1 deficiency, we thereby overexpressed HSP27 in HAX1-/ PLB-985 cells and iPS cells to test if HAX1 deficiency can be reconstituted. Encouragingly, the overexpression of HSP27 reverses elevated mitochondrial oxidative stress in HAX1-1 PLB-985 cells. More strikingly, HSP27 reconstitution rescues the impaired cell viability and perturbed myeloid differentiation of HAX1 deficient iPS cells. Besides the exogenous HSP27, the endogenous HSP27 is also enhanced in terms of either expression or phosphorylation after HSP27 overexpression in PLB-985 cells (Figure 52). This may suggest that HSP27 is of high tendency to be phosphorylated so as to be preferably functional in human cells. Since we experimentally address defective differentiation of neutrophil granulocytes by reconstitution of HSP27 in HAX1 deficient iPS system, this provides us with promising new principles and therapeutic strategies aiming at reconstitution of proteostatic networks in severe congenital neutropenia caused by HAX1 deficiency.

## V SUMMARY

Severe congenital neutropenia (SCN) is characterized by a reduced number of mature neutrophils in human peripheral blood as a result of perturbed neutrophil differentiation. Loss-of-function mutations in HAX1 result in autosomal recessive SCN, yet the exact pathophysiological mechanisms underlying HAX1 deficiency remain largely unknown. Here, we aim to determine both the effect of Hax1 deficiency in the mouse immune system, as well as the molecular function of HAX1 during neutrophil biology. In this study, we first examine and demonstrate that Hax1 deficient murine bone marrow cells show no striking aberration in the number of murine neutrophils. To gain a deeper understanding of the molecular function of HAX1 during human neutrophil differentiation, we next employ the human promyeloid cell line and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) as cellular model systems. Using a variety of biochemical methods (e.g., mitochondrial swelling and carbonate extraction experiments), we demonstrate that HAX1 is a membranebound protein localized in the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS). Massspectrometry (MS) studies identify Caseinolytic peptidase B protein homolog (CLPB) as a novel interactor of HAX1. CLPB ensures the correct subcellular distribution and the solubility of HAX1 in mitochondria. Interestingly, human mutations leading to SCN in either HAX1 (L130R) or in CLPB (Y272C) serve as critical residues enabling HAX1-CLPB complex formation. SILAC proteomics shows that both HAX1 and CLPB deficiencies cause perturbed mitochondrial proteostasis in PLB-985 cells. Moreover, the endogenous expression of small chaperone HSP27 is highly reduced in HAX1 or CLPB deficiency. Meanwhile, HSP27 is dephosphorylated/insoluble in $\mathrm{HAX1}^{-/}$and CLPB ${ }^{-/}$mitochondria, which probably dues to a reduced expression level of PRKD2. By interactome studies of HSP27, it appears to interact with components involved in mitochondrial respiratory complexes and TCA cycle, indicating that CLPB-HAX1 axis preserves the function of HSP27 for mitochondrial proteostasis. Intriguingly, HSP27 reconstitutes the skewed neutrophil differentiation in HAX1 ${ }^{-1}$ iPS cells. To conclude, our data indicate that the mitochondrial complex HAX1-CLPB preserves mitochondrial proteostasis by regulating the dynamic phosphorylation status of HSP27, which is essential for efficient neutrophil differentiation.
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