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2. Summary

Muscles of all higher animals comprise different muscle types adapted to perform distinct functions in the body. These express different sets of genes controlled by distinct combinations of transcriptional programs and extracellular signals, and thus differ in their myofibrillar organization and contractile properties. Despite major progress in our understanding of myogenesis, the genetic pathways controlling the formation and function of different muscle types are still largely uncharacterized.

Flying insects possess specialized flight muscles enabling wing oscillations with frequencies of up to 1000 Hz together with high power outputs of 80 W per kg muscle. To achieve these parameters, flight muscles contain stretch-activated myofibrils with a unique fibrillar organization, whereas all other, more slowly contracting muscles, such as leg muscles, display a tubular morphology.

To delineate the genetic regulation of muscle development and function, and, in particular, muscle type specification, we performed a genome-wide RNA interference (RNAi) screen in Drosophila, in which we systematically inactivate genes exclusively in muscle tissue. We uncovered more than 2000 genes with putative roles in muscles, many of which we were able to assign to specific functions in muscle, myofibril or sarcomere organization by phenotypic characterization. Muscle-specific knockdown of 315 genes resulted in viable, but completely flightless animals, indicating a specific function of those genes in fibrillar flight muscles.

Detailed morphological analysis of these 315 genes revealed a striking phenotype upon knockdown of the zinc finger transcription factor spalt major (salm): the fibrillar flight muscles are switched to tubular muscles, whereas tubular leg muscles are wild type, demonstrating that salm is a key determinant of fibrillar muscle fate. We could show that the transcription factor vestigial (vg) acts upstream of salm to induce its expression specifically in fibrillar flight muscles. Importantly, salm is not only required but also sufficient to induce the fibrillar muscle fate upon ectopic expression in other muscle types. Microarray analysis, comparing mRNA expression from adult wild-type flight and leg muscles to salm knockdown flight muscles, indicates that salm instructs most features of fibrillar muscles by regulating both gene
expression as well as alternative splicing. Remarkably, we could show that spalt’s function in programming stretch-activated fibrillar muscles is conserved in insect species separated by 280 million years of evolution. Interestingly, in mouse two of the four spalt-like (sall) genes are expressed in heart, a stretch-activated muscle, sharing some features with insect fibrillar flight muscles. Since heart abnormalities observed in patients suffering from the Towns-Brocks syndrome are caused by a mutation in SALL1, it is possible that Spalt’s function to determine a fibrillar, stretch-modulated muscle type is conserved to vertebrates.
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4. Introduction

Not only the admirable achievements of the world’s top athletes during the Olympic Games but also every step we make, every breath we take, and every bite we chew, all these apparently simple, but nonetheless essential tasks we perform every day, depend on the orchestrated contractions of different muscles in our body. Our body muscles comprise various distinct muscle types such as the slow- and fast-twitch skeletal muscles, as well as a rhythmically beating muscle, the heart. All of these muscles are adapted to perform different functions in the body ranging from sustained, low intensity work (e.g. maintaining posture), rapid and powerful movements (like jumping or kicking), to life-long continuous contractions (such as pumping of blood by the heart), and, hence, differ in their molecular composition and contractile properties (Schiaffino and Reggiani, 1996).

This striking diversity is first established during embryogenesis by specification of muscle type identity through intrinsic transcriptional regulatory mechanisms (Baxendale et al., 2004; Hofsten et al., 2008; Niro et al., 2010; Braun and Gautel, 2011) and can be modified later, during postnatal life, by neuronal activity and hormonal factors, mainly, by reactivating the embryonic developmental programs (Schiaffino et al., 2007). Despite major progress in understanding the basics of muscle progenitor origin, specification and differentiation (Vincent and Buckingham, 2010; Braun and Gautel, 2011), a comprehensive delineation of the genetic pathways controlling myogenesis and, in particular, the generation of different muscle types is still missing.

The Drosophila adult muscles offer an excellent model to systematically study myoblast diversification and muscle type differentiation. First, the basic muscle cell structure and events of myogenesis, including muscle progenitor specification, fusion, attachment to tendon cells, and assembly of the contractile apparatus are conserved from insects to mammals (Baylies et al., 1998; Schnorrer and Dickson, 2004; Hartenstein, 2006). Furthermore, similar to vertebrate skeletal muscles and in contrast to the single cell larval muscles, they consist of several muscle fibers that are bundled together to form one contractile unit (Bate, 1993; Dutta and VijayRaghavan, 2006).

Second, the Drosophila adult muscles comprise different muscle types with characteristic myofibrillar composition and contractile properties, which can be easily
identified based on their distinct fiber morphology (Tiegs, 1955; Dutta and VijayRaghavan, 2006).

Finally, with the recent development of genome-wide, transgenic RNAi libraries in *Drosophila* (Dietzl et al., 2007; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Ni et al., 2009) it is now possible to conditionally inactivate gene function even in such complex tissues as syncytial muscles in a systematic manner. Thus, we set out to define the genetic regulatory programs determining muscle identity in *Drosophila* by performing a genome-wide muscle-specific RNAi screen.

### 4.1 *Drosophila* adult muscle system

#### 4.1.1 Adult muscle pattern

The most prominent adult muscles are the flight muscles in the thorax (Miller, 1950; Fig. 1). They can be classified in two functionally distinct groups: the direct flight muscles (DFMs; Fig. 1B), directly attaching to the wing, and the indirect flight muscles (IFMs; Fig. 1A), connecting to the thoracic exoskeleton. The small DFM s are needed for steering by controlling the position of the wing blades during flight, whereas the much larger IFMs generate the power for flight, and move the wings by deforming the thorax (Josephson, 2006). The IFMs are composed of two antagonistic sets of muscles: the dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLM), consisting of six fibers per hemithorax, and three groups of dorsal-ventral muscles: DVM I (three fibers), DVM II (two fibers) and DVM III (two fibers). Contraction of DLMs causes a downward movement of the wings (i.e. they act as depressors), whereas contraction of DVMs results in upward movement of wings (i.e. they act as elevators) (Fernandes et al., 1991; Dutta and VijayRaghavan, 2006). Another large thoracic muscle is the tergal depressor of trochanter (TDT) or jump muscle, which spans from the dorsal notum (the cuticular plate at the back of the fly) to the second pair of legs, and is essential for the escape response and the initiation of flight (Jaramillo et al., 2009; Fig. 1B).

The fly musculature needed for locomotion is located in the legs and consists of numerous small, multi-fiber muscles, which are organized into a stereotyped pattern along the proximal-distal axis (Miller, 1950; Soler et al., 2004; Fig. 1A). In the abdomen the muscles of each hemisegment are classified into dorsal, lateral and
ventral muscles each consisting of a defined number of fibers (Miller, 1950; Bate et al., 1991; Currie and Bate, 1991; Fig. 1A).

**Fig. 1 | Adult Drosophila muscles**
Sagittal view of *Drosophila* adult muscles in thorax, leg and abdomen. (A) The indirect flight muscles (IFMs) in the thorax comprise two antagonistic muscle sets: the dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLMs; dark red) and the three groups of dorso-ventral muscles (DVMs; light red). Leg muscles are shown in light green. Abdominal muscles (dark green) consist of dorsal, lateral and ventral muscles. (B) Laterally located thoracic muscles. Jump muscle or tergal depressor of trochanter (TDT) is depicted in light green and the various small direct flight muscles (DFMs) in bright green. Anterior is to the left, dorsal up.
4.1.2 Basic muscle architecture: myofibrils and sarcomeres

Like skeletal muscles, *Drosophila* adult muscles are composed of several large, multinucleated cells called muscle fibers because of their elongated shape. Their actin and myosin filaments are assembled into highly ordered, contractile elements, the myofibrils, filling up most of their cytoplasm (Fig. 2A). Each myofibril consists of a series of repeating small contractile units, the sarcomeres, representing the structural basis for contraction (Hanson and Huxley, 1953; Huxley and Niedergerke, 1954).

Sarcomeres are precisely ordered assemblies of partially overlapping thin actin and thick myosin filaments with almost crystalline regularity. The thick filaments are anchored to the M line in the center of the sarcomeres, whereas the thin filaments are cross-linked at the Z-disc at each sarcomere end and project towards the middle, where they overlap with the thick filaments (Fig. 2B).

In addition to myosin and actin, myofibrils contain various other structural proteins that are associated with the actin and/or myosin filaments to maintain their precise lattice arrangement, to control their ordered assembly, and to modify their contractile properties (Clark et al., 2002).
Fig. 2 | Myofibrils and sarcomeres

(A) Each muscle fiber is composed of elongated contractile bundles, the myofibrils, which are arranged in parallel and have a striated pattern. White box marks the smallest contractile unit of muscles, the sarcomere. M line is labeled with myosin heavy chain antibody (green) and actin (red) accumulates at Z line. (B) Scheme of a sarcomere. Thin actin filaments (red) are cross-linked at Z-disc defining the ends of a sarcomere. Thick myosin filaments (light green) are anchored at M-line (green). Actin filaments overlap with myosin filaments in A-bands, marking the whole length of myosin filaments. I-bands are zones of non-overlap only containing actin. Various accessory proteins and their location within the sarcomere are indicated.

4.1.3 Adult muscle types: fibrillar and tubular muscles

Distinct morphology of fibrillar and tubular muscles

Based on their distinct morphology the Drosophila adult muscles (and flying insect muscles in general) can be classified into two main muscle types: the fibrillar and the tubular muscles. The fibrillar morphology is unique to IFMs. All other adult muscles, including leg, jump and abdominal body wall muscles, are tubular (Snodgrass, 1935; Tiegs, 1955).
Fibrillar muscles are termed as such, because they are composed of large, easily dissociable myofibrils, which are not laterally connected. Their nuclei are distributed throughout the muscle cell localizing inline with the myofibrils (Fig. 3B and C). In tubular muscles, by contrast, myofibrils are small in diameter, held in register to each other by lateral connections, and are organized into hollow, tube-like structures. Noticeably, the nuclei are located in the center of these tubes (Fig. 3D and E).

**Fig. 3 | Fibrillar and tubular muscles**

(A) Hemi-thorax stained with phalloidin visualizing actin. Red and green boxes indicate the approximate views in B and D. (B-C) Fibrillar indirect flight muscles (IFMs). (B) Sagittal cut showing large, non-aligned, individual myofibrils. (C) Cross-section of IFMs. Note, in fibrillar IFMs nuclei localize in between the myofibrils. (D-E) Tubular leg muscles. (D) Sagittal cut showing thin laterally connected myofibrils (E) Cross-section of leg muscles revealing the tubular myofibril arrangement with centrally positioned nuclei. Myofibrils are labeled in red and nuclei are labeled with DAPI in blue in C and E. Scales are 100 µm in A and 10 µm in B to E. In A anterior is left and dorsal up.

**Fibrillar IFMs are fast contracting muscles specialized for flight**

The huge evolutionary success of insects (measured in terms of abundance and species diversity) can largely be attributed to their small size and their acquisition of flight. However, smaller body size for flying animals means having to achieve higher wing beat frequencies; otherwise they would not be capable to offset gravity. The fibrillar IFMs have evolved to adapt to these special requirements and posses the remarkable ability to generate high power at high frequencies (Dickinson, 2006).

How can fibrillar muscles achieve this? All fibrillar IFMs are asynchronous muscles, as their contraction frequencies are not synchronized with action potential firing of their motor neurons (Josephson et al., 2000; Josephson, 2006). Unlike in
more slowly contracting, synchronous muscles, in which each contraction is induced by a rise in \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) that is released from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) in response to a motor neuron spike, the contractions in fibrillar IFMs are regulated mechanically by stretch. In the thorax the two antagonistic IFM units, the DLMs and DVMs, are arranged perpendicularly to each other (Fig. 1). Therefore, contraction of DVMs not only moves the wings upwards but, simultaneously, also stretches and thus activates the DLMs; they in turn contract to move the wings down again and stretch the DVMs, thereby propagating a self-sustaining circle, which results in the fast oscillatory movement of the cuticular thorax and attached wings with frequencies as high as 1000 Hz in tiny midges.

Some synchronous muscles can also contract at high frequencies of up to 100 Hz (e.g. those moving rattles of rattlesnakes) (Schaeffer et al., 1996). These muscles usually contain enormous amounts of SR, because they need to quickly reuptake \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) into the SR by active transport, before the next contraction can be initiated (Schaeffer et al., 1996). However, this increase in SR comes at the expense of contractile filaments and mitochondria, creating a trade-off between deactivation speed and power output in fast contracting synchronous muscles. The stretch-activated, fibrillar IFMs, by contrast, contain only sparse and scattered SR, and instead their muscles are densely packed with large myofibrils and mitochondria, making them both fast contracting and powerful muscles (Pringle, 1981).

**Distinct protein and protein-isoform composition of tubular and fibrillar muscles**

Although tubular and fibrillar muscles share the organization of their contractile filaments into sarcomeres, they display a great heterogeneity in molecular composition, which is directly related to their distinctive morphologies and contractile properties.

They express, for example, distinct actin genes generating proteins with slightly different amino acid sequences (Fyrberg et al., 1983). In addition, their myosin molecules exist in various muscle-type-specific isoforms produced by alternative splicing of their transcripts (Falkenthal et al., 1987; Hastings and Emerson, 1991; Bernstein and Milligan, 1997; Swank et al., 2001; 2002). Finally, fibrillar and tubular muscles contain a wealth of accessory proteins or protein-isoforms specific to each type (Karlik and Fyrberg, 1986; Ayme-Southgate et al., 1989; Barbas et al., 1991;
Importantly, both muscle-type-specific gene expression and splicing are essential for proper muscle function. Especially, the highly ordered fibrillar IFMs are extremely sensitive to changes in their protein composition, such as switching of actin isoforms only differing in a few amino acids (Barbas et al., 1993; Fyrberg et al., 1998; Brault et al., 1999; Reedy et al., 2000; Agianian et al., 2004; Nongthomba et al., 2007).

The heterogeneity of vertebrate muscles (i.e. cardiac and skeletal) and skeletal muscle fiber types (fast- and slow-twitch), is similarly, attained either by differential exon splicing or by muscle-type-specific gene expression of actin, myosin and various accessory proteins (Schiaffino and Reggiani, 1996). To date, however, the molecular mechanisms by which alternative splicing and gene expression are coordinated to generate distinct muscle types during muscle differentiation are still largely unclear.

**Fibrillar muscles are similar to vertebrate cardiac muscles, whereas tubular muscles resemble vertebrate skeletal muscles**

Noteworthy, stretch-activation it not only required for the function of fibrillar insect flight muscles, but it is also important for the physiology of vertebrate cardiac muscles (Vemuri et al., 1999; Davis et al., 2001; Campbell, 2006; Shiels and White, 2008). As in fibrillar IFMs, stretch of cardiac myocytes, which happens when the heart fills with blood, increases their contraction strength during the next systole, when the blood is ejected from the ventricles. This phenomenon is referred to as “Frank-Starling mechanism”, applies to all vertebrate cardiac muscles, and, as in fibrillar insect flight muscles, constitutes an intrinsic property of cardiac myofibrils (Steiger, 1971; 1977; Stelzer et al., 2006).

Like fibrillar IFMs, cardiac muscles contain only little SR, largely depend on aerobic metabolism (therefore they have many, large mitochondria) and possess branched myofibrils that are not as linearly arranged as in skeletal muscles (Kossmann and Fawcett, 1961). The tubular muscles, by contrast, are more similar to vertebrate skeletal muscles: both are synchronous, depend on Ca$^{2+}$ cycling and not mechanical stretch for their activation, contain a well-developed, extensive SR and
their myofibrils are laterally connected (Wang and Ramirez-Mitchell, 1983; Tonino et al., 2010).

4.2 Drosophila adult muscle development

Like many insects Drosophila undergoes metamorphosis meaning that in the course of a fly’s life cycle most tissues, including muscles, are developed twice - once in the embryo, when the segmentally repeated pattern of larval muscles is set up, and a second time in the pupa, when all larval muscles are dissolved and the adult muscles are built de novo from adult muscle progenitor cells (AMPs) (Roy and VijayRaghavan, 1999). The AMPs are specified within the somatic mesoderm in the embryo together with the progenitors of larval muscles (Baylies et al., 1998).

4.2.1 Origin and diversification of adult muscle progenitors

Mesoderm specification

All the Drosophila muscles, including somatic, visceral and cardiac muscles, are of mesodermal origin (Bate, 1993). The specification of the mesoderm primordium starts right after cellularization of the embryo. At the blastoderm stage dorsal (dl) (Roth et al., 1989), the key determinant of dorsal-ventral axis formation, induces expression of the bHLH transcription factor twist (twi) (Thisse et al., 1991) in the ventral most cells of the embryo. During gastrulation the twi expressing cells first invaginate ventrally, then loose their epithelial character, divide and migrate dorso-laterally along the inner surface of the ectoderm to form the single cell layer of mesoderm (Leptin and Grunewald, 1990).

Mesoderm patterning

Initially, the mesoderm is a uniform cell layer. All mesodermal cells are characterized by expression of twi and its direct targets snail (sna) (Ray et al., 1991), heartless (htl) (Shishido et al., 1993), tinman (tin) (Bodmer et al., 1990; Bodmer, 1993) and Myocyte enhancer factor 2 (Mef2) (Lilly et al., 1994; Nguyen et al., 1994; Lilly et al., 1995; Taylor et al., 1995).

Soon after the invagination of twi expressing cells, however, the uniform field of mesoderm cells in each segment is rapidly subdivided into several distinct units,
from which the progenitors of visceral muscles, the fat body, somatic muscles and the heart are generated (Borkowski et al., 1995; Azpiazu et al., 1996). This segmental patterning is mediated by the inductive activity of the signaling molecules Wingless (Wg, the Drosophila Wnt), Hedgehog (Hh) and Decapentaplegic (Dpp, TGFβ in vertebrates), which are secreted from the overlying ectoderm. Dpp patterns the mesoderm into dorsal and ventral sectors by maintaining high levels of tin in dorsal cells and repressing ventrally expressed genes like pox meso (pxm) (Staehling-Hampton et al., 1994; Frasch, 1995). The patterning along the anterior-posterior axis is mediated by the activity of the segment polarity genes even-skipped (eve) and sloppy-paired (slp), which are induced by ectodermal Hh and Wg signaling, respectively (Riechmann et al., 1997). The anterior eve domain, characterized by low levels of twi expression, gives rise to progenitors of fat body and visceral muscles, whereas cells of the posterior slp domain, expressing high levels of twi, constitute the progenitors of somatic and cardiac muscles (Baylies and Bate, 1996 and Fig. 4).

**Fig. 4 | Mesoderm segmentation**

In each segment the uniform mesoderm cell layer is subdivided into distinct fields of visceral muscle (vm), heart (h), fat body (fb) and somatic muscle (sm) progenitor cells by the activity of ectodermal signals. A Dpp gradient organizes mesoderm along the dorsal-ventral axis and along the anterior-posterior axis the mesoderm is subdivided by a twist (twi) gradient between low twi expressing even-skipped (eve) and high twi expressing sloppy-paired (slp) domains. eve and slp are segment polarity genes, the expression of which was initially induced by ectodermal Hh and Wg signals, respectively. (sb = segment border) (Modified from Riechmann et al., 1996)
**Common origin of embryonic and adult muscle progenitors**

Both the adult muscle progenitors (AMPs) and the founder myoblasts of embryonic muscles originate from the high *twi* expressing mesodermal cells in the embryo (reviewed in Baylies et al., 1998; Schnorrer and Dickson, 2004; Dutta and VijayRaghavan, 2006).

In the abdominal segments the specification of myogenic progenitors is initiated at embryonic stage 10, when Wg induces the expression of the proneural gene *lethal of scute (l'sc)* in clusters of cells within the somatic mesoderm (Fig. 5). Subsequently, *l'sc* expression is progressively restricted to one or two cells only by Notch/Delta mediated lateral inhibition (Carmena et al., 1995; Martín-Bermudo et al., 1995). These *l'sc* expressing cells are the muscle progenitor cells, whereas all the remaining cells are fusion competent cells (FCMs) (Fig. 5).

By late stage 11 the progenitor cells undergo one further asymmetric division into two daughter cells, one of which is a muscle founder cell, while the other can either be a second founder cell or an AMP (Ruiz-Gómez and Bate, 1997; Carmena et al., 1998). Each founder cell seeds the formation of a single muscle by fusing with a defined number of FCMs and expresses a unique set of identity genes, determining the specific properties (position, size, attachment sites and innervation pattern) of the individual larval muscles (Baylies et al., 1998; Bataillé et al., 2010). Thus, founders specify muscle identity, whereas FCMs are solely needed for muscle growth.

AMPs are also generated in thoracic segments in the embryo. However, this process has not yet been investigated in detail. Presumably, the mechanisms are akin to the generation of AMPs in the abdomen.
Fig. 5 | Specification of adult muscle progenitors

(A) Dorso-lateral view of muscle pattern of a stage 17 embryo visualized with mhc-Tau-GFP. Anterior is left, dorsal is up. Box marks ventral muscles shown in B. (B) Scheme showing steps involved in adult muscle precursor (AMP) specification in the embryo. Progenitor cells (P) marked by high lethal of scute (l’sc) expression (dark blue) are singled out from a pool of equivalent cells (light blue) by lateral inhibition. They divide asymmetrically to generate either a pair of founders (F) or a founder cell and an AMP (A, red). Founders differentiate and fuse with fusion competent cells (FCMs, yellow) to form larval muscles, whereas AMPs stay quiescent and reside at defined sites within the abdominal hemisegments. (B is adapted from Schnorrer and Dickson, 2004).

**Quiescent adult muscle progenitor cells reside at defined sites in embryo**

While founders and FCMs fuse and differentiate to larval muscles, the AMPs continue to express high levels of twi, maintain active Notch signaling, and delay their differentiation until the onset of adult muscle formation (Bate et al., 1991; Figeac et al., 2010; 2011). This is achieved, at least in part, by repressing the differentiation-promoting activity of Mef2 by the Notch target *Hole in muscles (Him)* (Liotta et al., 2007; Soler and Taylor, 2009; Figeac et al., 2010; 2011). At end of stage 13 the *twi* expressing AMPs will reside at defined sites in the embryo (Bate et al., 1991; Fig. 6)
In the thorax patches of AMPs localize in close proximity to the primordia of imaginal discs, which will generate future adult epidermal structures, such as wings and legs (Fig. 6A). In the abdomen the pattern is a much simpler one: in each abdominal segment there is a single ventral AMP, two lateral AMPs and three dorsal AMPs, two of which are located more dorso-laterally (Fig. 6B). All of the abdominal AMPs are closely associated with specific branches of peripheral nerves (Currie and Bate, 1991).

**Fig. 6 | Localization of adult muscle progenitors in late embryo**
(A) Lateral view of stage 15 embryo. Adult muscle progenitors (AMPs) are stained with anti-Twi (red). Note patches of AMPs in the thorax and single AMPs at defined positions in the abdomen. White box marks approximate view in B. Anterior is left, dorsal up. (B) Scheme illustrating positions of abdominal AMPs relative to larval muscles. In each segment there is a single dorsal (d), two dorso-lateral (dl), two lateral (l) and one ventral (v) AMP.
**Fate of abdominal adult muscle progenitors**

All adult abdominal muscles are generated from the six AMPs present in each abdominal segment of the embryo. These cells start to proliferate in the second larval instar to generate six clusters of cells per hemisegment. Importantly, the position of the AMPs in the embryo (dorsal, lateral or ventral) already reflects the position of the future adult muscles (dorsal, lateral or ventral). Ablation of AMPs during larval stages suggests that in the abdomen groups of AMPs are specified to generate specific adult muscle sets, each of which is composed of several muscle fibers (Broadie and Bate, 1991). To date, however, the molecular mechanisms mediating this fate restriction during early developmental stages are still unknown.

**Diversification of thoracic adult muscle progenitors**

Much more is known about the fate restriction of thoracic AMPs, in particular those forming the IFMs and DFMs. They similarly localize in an invariant pattern in the late embryo. In each of the thoracic hemisegments clusters of six to seven cells reside in close proximity to the primordia of the imaginal discs and, additionally, a few cells localize close to nerves (Bate et al., 1991).

Like their abdominal counterparts they start to proliferate from mid second larval instar stages onwards to generate pools of progenitors, which are associated with the imaginal discs throughout the larval stages and therefore are also called adephithelial cells (Poodry and Schneiderman, 1970; Reed et al., 1975).

Mosaic analysis and ablation studies revealed that both IFMs and DFMs are generated by the AMPs associated with the wing imaginal disc, whereas the leg muscles are formed by AMPs attached to leg discs. AMPs on the mesothoracic leg disc will not only form leg muscles of the second thoracic segment but also contribute to the generation of the jump muscle (Lawrence, 1982; Broadie and Bate, 1991).

The wing-disc-associated AMPs, forming the functionally distinct IFMs or DFMs, are not a uniform population. In fact, they already become distinct soon after their generation in the late embryo, when ectodermal Wg induces expression of the transcription factor *vestigial* (vg) in only a subset of them. By the late larval stages, they are subdivided into distinct groups of cells that are characterized by the differential expression of the transcription factors *cut* (ct) and vg: one population expresses high levels of vg and low levels of ct, whereas the other lacks vg and is
marked by high ct expression (Fig. 7). The larger group of high vg expressing cells will generate the IFMs, while the high ct expressing AMPs will form the DFM s. In the larva the differential expression of ct and vg in AMPs is maintained by ectodermal Wg signaling and reinforced by mutual transcriptional repression of vg and ct (Sudarsan et al., 2001).

During pupal development the DFM s are further differentiated by expression of the transcription factor apterous (ap), which is downregulated in IFMs by vg (Ghazi et al., 2000; Bernard et al., 2003). Thus, in the thorax myoblast diversification into distinct groups of cells giving rise to different muscle types is already initiated at late embryonic stages and this subdivision involves both intrinsic transcriptional and extrinsic signaling mechanisms.

However, this early channeling of AMPs, to develop along distinct lineages, is not yet definite: when wing discs with marked AMPs are transplanted into the abdomen of a larval host, the wing-disc-associated AMPs can contribute to diverse adult muscles, suggesting that they can still adapt to changing environmental cues at late larval stages (Lawrence and Brower, 1982).
Fig. 7 | Adult myoblast diversification and the formation of indirect and direct flight muscles

(A) Scheme showing adult indirect flight muscles (IFMs, red) and direct flight muscles (DFMs, green) in the thorax. Anterior is left, dorsal up. (B) Scheme of L3 larval wing disc with associated adult myoblasts. Adult myoblasts giving rise to the future IFMs express high levels of vestigial (vg, red) and low levels of cut (ct, green), whereas DFM-forming myoblasts express no vg, but high levels of ct. Dashed box marks approximate view of region shown in C to D. (C-D) L3 wing discs stained with anti-Vg (in D and red in C) and anti-Ct (in E and green in C). White asterisk marks high Ct expressing DFM-forming myoblasts and white arrowhead labels IFM-forming myoblasts expressing high levels of Vg and low levels of Ct in C. L3 is 3rd larval instar.

4.2.2 Adult muscle fiber formation

During pupal development most of the larval muscles are histolyzed and replaced by newly formed adult muscles. In the first few hours after pupae formation (APF) AMPs continue to proliferate and migrate to sites, where adult muscles are generated in two distinct ways: they can either arise by de novo formation or AMPs use remodeled larval muscles as templates for fusion. This regeneration-like mode of formation is unique to DLMs, whereas all other adult muscles are generated de novo.
Adult founder cells determine fiber number and position

As larval muscle formation in the embryo, adult myogenesis is initiated by the selection of founder cells from pools of AMPs. For adult muscles, though, founder selection is not dependent on Notch-mediated lateral inhibition, but involves – at least in the abdomen – FGF signaling via htl (Dutta et al., 2004; 2005).

Both embryonic (Ruiz-Gómez et al., 2000) and adult founder cells (Dutta et al., 2004; 2005; Atreya and Fernandes, 2008; Jaramillo et al., 2009), are characterized by the expression of the immunoglobulin (Ig)-domain containing transmembrane protein dumbfounded (duf). In the embryo duf is exclusively expressed in founder cells (FCs), but not in fusion-competent cells (FCMs). It is required for the initial recognition and adhesion events during fusion and acts by attracting FCMs expressing the duf counter-receptor sticks and stones (sns) (Bour et al., 2000). The mutually exclusive expression of these receptors ensures that fusion only occurs between the two subtypes (FCs and FCMs), but not among themselves or any other cell type, thereby defining the number and position of muscles.

Similarly, the adult duf expressing founders seed the formation of the correct number of adult muscle fibers at the correct position. Founders have been identified for all adult muscles, and the localization and number of duf expressing cells in pupa prefigures the adult muscle pattern.

Founders for DVMs are selected at 6h APF, whereas founder selection for DFM, leg, and abdominal muscles occurs later at about 24h APF, shortly before fusion is initiated. In the case of the DLMs, the larval templates serve as founders, which similarly start to express duf at 6h APF. Importantly, in the absence of templates, fusion and formation of multinucleated DLM fibers expressing differentiation markers still occurs, yet too many fibers are generated, suggesting that the remaining AMPs still have the capacity to respond to other cues to initiate fusion and differentiation (Farrell et al., 1996; Fernandes and Keshishian, 1996; Atreya and Fernandes, 2008). Thus, the main role of high duf expressing adult founders and templates is to regulate the formation of correct fiber number at the correct positions, but they are not required for fiber formation per se.
**Formation of dorso-longitudinal muscles using larval templates**

In the thorax histolysis is completed by 8h APF. By this time all the larval muscles of the thorax, but the three dorsally located larval oblique muscles (LOMs) have been dissolved. These muscles will serve as templates for DLM formation. As soon as wing discs start to evert at 5-6h APF, some of the associated AMPs leave the disc and migrate along the epidermis to sites, where templates are located.

Soon after, the LOMs loose their larval appearance: they disassemble their sarcomeres, become longer and thinner, and start to express high levels of duf. At 6-8h APF the AMPs start to fuse with the three templates, which induces their splitting into the six fibers comprising the DLMs (Fig. 8). The mechanisms regulating template splitting are still not understood. However, the interaction of fusing myoblasts with the templates is important, as splitting fails, when AMPs are ablated (Roy and VijayRaghavan, 1998). So far only a few genetic factors, including erect wing (ewg), twi and its target Mef2, have been identified to be required for splitting. Mutants of these display a variable reduced number of DLM fibers in adults (DeSimone et al., 1996; Cripps et al., 1998). Similarly, both Notch loss and gain of function mutants have a splitting defect of DLMs (Anant et al., 1998).

After completion of splitting by about 14h APF, the adult myoblasts continue to fuse with the forming myofibers. As soon as fusion is completed by 30h APF, the myofibers compact (they become short and thick) and start to assembly their myofibrils and sarcomeres (Fig. 8). During the rest of pupal development muscles elongate and grow to their final size (Fernandes et al., 1991; Schönbauer et al., 2011).

**De novo formation of other adult muscles**

All other adult muscles form *de novo* without the use of templates. About the same time, by which the first adult myoblasts fuse with the larval templates, clusters of fusing myoblasts are detected around *duf* expressing founders at sites, where the future DVMs and the jump muscles are located. Just like DLMs, DVMs compact by 30h APF and initiate myofibril and sarcomere assembly (Fig. 8).

DFMs are generated by AMPs, which are associated with the wing disc together with the IFM-forming ones (Ghazi et al., 2000; Kozopas and Nusse, 2002 Fig. 7 and 8). When wing discs start to evert at 5-6h APF, the DFM progenitors, unlike IFM-forming AMPs, do not leave the discs, but remain attached with the everting disc.
epithelium, where they localize to a region directly adjacent to the wing hinge primordium (Kozopas and Nusse, 2002). Subsequently, some DFM-forming AMPs migrate to additional sites located on the inner face of the ventral pleura, the epithelial structure giving rise to the future lateral parts of the thorax. Fusion is initiated by 24h APF and the final muscle pattern can be readily detected around 36h APF (Kozopas and Nusse, 2002).

Similarly, the formation of abdominal and leg muscles happens later than IFM formation. In the abdomen histolysis is not completed before 20h APF. In the first few hours of pupal formation abdominal AMPs proliferate and then, by 13h APF, start to migrate along nerves, with which they are associated, to the sites of muscle formation on the dorsal, lateral and ventral epidermis, where selection of founders takes place. Finally, fusion starts at 24h APF and by 40h APF the final muscle pattern is established (Currie and Bate, 1991).

In the leg fusion of AMPs with founders located closely to precursors of their epithelial attachment sites is initiated by 24h APF and completed by 40h, when myofibrillogenesis starts (Soler et al., 2004).
**Fig. 8 | Adult muscle fiber development**

(A-D) Scheme illustrating steps of adult muscle formation using indirect flight muscle (IFM) and direct flight muscles (DFM) formation as examples. (A) Adult myoblasts forming IFMs (light red) and DFM (green) are associated with the wing imaginal discs and proliferate during larval stages. (B) At onset of pupa formation the myoblasts migrate to sites of muscle formation and start to fuse either with larval templates or with selected founders (dark red). Muscle formation using larval templates as scaffolds is unique mode of formation for dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLMs). All other adult muscles form *de novo*. (C) Fusion of myoblasts with templates induces their splitting into six fibers. Shortly after muscles form initial attachments with tendons. (D) At 30h APF muscles compact, become short and thick, and start to assemble their myofibrils and sarcomeres. Anterior is left, dorsal is up. APF: after puparium formation. L3: 3rd larval instar stage. (E-G) Confocal images of DLM development at (E) 12h APF before (F) at 16h APF after template splitting and (G) at 26h APF when muscles compact and assembly fibrillar actin structures. GFP-Gma labels actin of fusing myoblasts and forming myofibers in green, anti-Spalt major (Salm) stains nuclei of templates and forming myofibers in red.
4.2.3 Adult muscle attachment site formation

In *Drosophila* all muscles are anchored to the cuticle via specialized epidermal cells called tendons like their functional analogous in vertebrates that connect muscles to bones.

**Specification of attachment sites at larval stages**

As in the embryo the attachment sites of adult muscles are specified by the transcription factor *stripe (sr)* (Volk and VijayRaghavan, 1994; Lee et al., 1995; Fernandes et al., 1996; Frommer et al., 1996). The attachment sites for IFMs are already prefigured during larval stages: five discrete sr expressing domains on the larval wing discs correspond to the future attachment sites for DLMs and DVMs (Ghazi et al., 2003; Fig. 9). The transcription factor *apterous (ap)* and Notch signaling are required to induce the initial sr expression (Ghazi et al., 2000), which is further restricted to the final expression pattern by Wg signaling and the antagonizing transcription factors *pannier (pnr)* and *u-shaped (ush)* (Ghazi et al., 2003).

**Fig. 9** Attachment site specification

(A) Five domains of sr expressing cells prefiguring future attachment sites on larval wing disc. (B) Scheme of larval wing discs with five sr domains a-d and stripe (marked with arrow) in brown and (C) indirect flight muscles (IFMs) with attachment sites (brown). The medial domain (a) gives rise to anterior attachment sites of dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLMs, red). Domains a-d form attachment sites for dorso-ventral muscles (DVMs, blue) at their dorsal ends. All posterior DLM and ventral DVM attachments will be generated by the domain forming a stripe on wing disc (arrow). (modified from Ghazi et al., 2003).
Formation of force-resistant attachments during pupal stages

During pupal development the sr expressing domains first expand in size. At 16h APF, when splitting of the larval templates is completed, they send out long filopodia-like processes contacting the ends of the forming muscles, which similarly form short filopodia-like processes at their ends (Reedy and Beall, 1993b; Fernandes et al., 1996; Fig. 10).

As the epidermal-muscle contacts mature, they start to express the two major position specific (PS) integrin complexes PS1 (composed of alphaPS1 and the common betaPS subunit) and PS2 (comprising alphaPS2 together with betaPS) in a complementary fashion by 20h APF. PS1 is expressed in the tendon side of the attachments, while PS2 is expressed at the muscle ends (Brown, 1993; Fernandes et al., 1996). The myotendon junctions (MTJs) then further mature to generate force resistant attachments. At mature MTJs the myofibrils are anchored to the muscle membrane by modified terminal Z discs and the folded muscle cell membrane and the basal membrane of the tendons interdigitate extensively (Reedy and Beall, 1993b; Sandstrom and Restifo, 1999). Integrins and other linker proteins connect the cytoskeleton and membrane of tendons and muscles to a thick layer of extracellular matrix components deposited in between them (Brown, 2000). The force in tendon cells is transmitted from their basal (muscle) side to their apical (cuticle) side through bundles of microtubules (Reedy and Beall, 1993b). The proper differentiation of MTJs requires the function of Broad complex (BR-C), a early response gene in the ecdysone cascade controlling metamorphosis (Karim et al., 1993), in tendon cells (Sandstrom et al., 1997; Sandstrom and Restifo, 1999).

In the embryo it has been shown that tendon cells do not only form epidermal attachment sites for muscles but also instruct the migration of the forming myotubes towards their correct insertion sites by providing guidance cues (Frommer et al., 1996; Vorbrüggen and Jäckle, 1997; Schnorrer and Dickson, 2004). However, if this is also true for adult myogenesis, has not been investigated so far.
4.2.4 Adult myofibrillogenesis and sarcomere formation

Our current knowledge about myofibril and sarcomere assembly and in particular its initiation is only scarce. Although numerous muscle proteins have been identified to be involved in the process, and many of these are also implicated in human muscle diseases (Clark et al., 2002), the mechanistic basis of the process still remains largely unknown (Gregorio et al., 1999; Gregorio and Antin, 2000; Sanger et al., 2005; Sparrow and Schöck, 2009).

Currently, there are several prevalent models attempting to explain how myofibril assembly occurs (Sanger et al., 2005). Most of these models are based on cell culture studies of skeletal and cardiac muscles and postulate the presence of non-muscle myosin II containing stress-fiber-like structures serving either as transitory templates (Holtzer et al., 1997; Sanger et al., 2005) or premyofibril precursor structures that gradually are transformed into mature myofibrils in which non-muscle myosin is replaced by muscle myosin II (Rhee et al., 1994; Dabiri et al., 1997; Sparrow and Schöck, 2009).

Fig. 10 | Filopodia-like extensions on muscles and tendons during attachment formation

Phase-contrast image of tendon cell extensions contacting dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLMs) at their growing ends similarly sending out fillopodia-like extensions at 20h APF (dlm = dorso-longitudinal muscles, t = tendon cell). (From Fernandes et al., 1996).
Myofibrillogenesis in *Drosophila* adult muscles has so far only been studied in forming IFMs (Reedy and Beall, 1993a). Reedy et al. performed a detailed ultrastructural characterization of forming IFMs with electron microscopy of fixed IFM samples at defined stages starting from 20h APF and ending with 110h APF (time of eclosion of adults at 22°C).

This study reveals, that in IFMs stress-fiber-like template structures can never be detected, but by 42h APF (roughly corresponding to 30h APF in Fig. 8 because of the lower temperature) thick myosin and thin actin filaments appear simultaneously as interdigitating arrays between evenly spaced Z bodies, the electron-dense precursors of Z discs still lacking the regular lattice arrangement.

Each of these tiny striated myofibrils forms within a sleeve of microtubules, which appear slightly before the first myofibrillar structures can be detected and will disassemble again during later stages. Interestingly, the microtubule network has similarly been reported to provide a dynamic scaffold essential for sarcomere assembly in mammalian heart muscle (Goldstein and Entman, 1979; Ehler and Gautel, 2008).

The newly generated myofibrillar structures are already attached to the muscle cell membrane at sites of muscle-tendon attachments before the first striated pattern can be observed, as are the bundles of microtubules surrounding them. These observations indicate, that force-resistant attachment may be a prerequisite for sarcomere assembly, which has also been suggested to be the case in cultured cardiomyocytes (Marino et al., 1987; Lin et al., 1989).

Initially, the sarcomeres of newly formed myofibrils are still small and as development proceeds they grow in length from 1.7 µm in newly formed myofibrils to 3.2 µm in adult myofibrils. In addition, myofibrils also become larger in width by lateral addition of myofilaments. Importantly, the increase in sarcomere length and width occurs simultaneously across the whole muscle, thus all sarcomeres have the same size at any given timepoint of IFM formation. Moreover, at no time during IFM development scattered myofilaments can be detected and also the number of initially formed sarcomeres remains constant during longitudinal muscle growth, indicating that in *Drosophila* IFMs, unlike to *C. elegans* and vertebrate striated muscles (Goldspink, 1968; Mackenzie et al., 1978), no addition of sarcomeres, which has been previously proposed to happen at myofibril ends with stress-fiber-like structures.
(Goldspink, 1968; Williams and Goldspink, 1971; Dix and Eisenberg, 1990; Sanger et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2010), occurs.

Noteworthy, formation of stress-fiber-like structures and the generation of only loose not fully interdigitated assemblies of thick and thin filaments could be observed during the myofibril assembly of the tubular abdominal muscles. Furthermore, microtubule bundles around forming myofibrils are also absent in these muscles suggesting that different pathways may control myofibril assembly in *Drosophila* fibrillar and tubular muscles (Reedy and Beall, 1993a).

However, all these results are based on analysis of developmental snapshots of fixed samples at 2h time intervals making it possibly that short-lived steps involved myofibrillogenesis were missed.

### 4.3 Transgenic RNAi in *Drosophila*

RNA interference (RNAi) provides a widely applicable reverse genetic tool for rapid analysis of gene function enabling large-scale loss-of-function screens both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Neumüller and Perrimon, 2011).

Classical forward genetic screens relying on random generation of mutations have proven to be very successful approaches for gene discovery, in particular when using *Drosophila* as a model (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus, 1980). However, this approach is limited by the inherent bias of mutagens and the challenge to map the genetic lesion responsible for the mutant phenotype. Moreover, many genes have multiple functions in different tissues and/or at different developmental timepoints, preventing their discovery in screens focusing on particular cell- and tissue-systems, especially when early lethality precludes the analysis of gene function at later developmental stages. With the generation of genome-wide, transgenic RNAi libraries in *Drosophila* it is now possible to systematically study tissue-specific gene function in a living multicellular organism (Dietzl et al., 2007).

**Drosophila transgenic RNAi stock collections**

Currently, the most comprehensive *Drosophila* RNAi transgene collection is the Vienna Drosophila RNAi collection (VDRC) (Dietzl et al., 2007) comprising 22,270
transgenic RNAi lines targeting 12,088 genes covering 88% of the annotated protein-coding Drosophila genome. Other available transgenic RNAi libraries are the National Institute of Genetics (NIG-FLY) collection (Matsumoto et al., 2007) targeting 6000, and the Transgenic RNAi Project (TRiP) collection (Ni et al., 2009) targeting 2034 of the total 13,929 annotated protein-coding genes in Drosophila (Perrimon et al., 2010).

**Transgenic RNAi by using the UAS/GAL4 expression system**

All these libraries are based on the same basic design principle that they use the binary UAS/GAL4 expression system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) to induce in vivo RNAi (Fig. 11A). In Drosophila, unlike to C. elegans for example (Timmons, 2003), RNAi is cell-autonomous and can be effectively triggered by the expression of a long dsRNA hairpin from a transgene containing 200-300 bp gene fragment cloned as inverted repeat (IR) behind the GAL4-responsive upstream activator sequence (UAS) (Roignant et al., 2003). Thus, directed expression of the UAS-IR transgenes by using the wealth of available GAL4-driver lines (Duffy, 2002) permits conditional gene inactivation in potentially any cell type at any stage during fly development.

**Generation of second-generation libraries with the phi31 site-specific genome insertion method**

The first generation of UAS-IR transgenes of the VDRC library were constructed by cloning the IRs generated by PCR into a modified pUAST transformation vector (Brand and Perrimon, 1993), pMF3 (Fig. 11B). Similarly, the transgenic NIG-FLY collection was constructed with a pUAST vector (Matsumoto et al., 2007; Perrimon et al., 2010). Since these RNAi lines were generated by random insertion in the genome using P-element mediated transformation (Rubin and Spradling, 1982), potential position effects can strongly influence transgene expression (Levis et al., 1985) and thus dampen RNAi efficiency or generate off-target effects (Dietzl et al., 2007; Perrimon et al., 2010). To overcome these limitations, several groups developed transformation vectors relying on the phiC31 site-specific integration method (Groth et al., 2004) enabling insertion of RNAi constructs at preselected sites tested for reliable and robust hairpin expression and high knockdown efficiency (Ni et al., 2008; 2009; Schnorrer et al., 2010). These transgenic lines are available from the VDRC and
TRIP transgenic RNAi resources, which have constructed a second generation of transgenic RNAi libraries using the phiC31 integrase system.

**The strength of inducible transgenic RNAi**

Transgenic RNAi provides a powerful alternative to classical forward genetic screens for the systematic study of gene function, especially when tissue-specific disruption of genes is required for functional analysis at later developmental stages. By combining the vast number of available GAL4 lines with various inducible GAL4 systems, RNAi can be further restricted to specific stages of fly development (McGuire et al., 2004) or expression of broadly expressing GAL4 lines can be refined to specific tissues or cell populations only (Potter et al., 2010; Yagi et al., 2010) allowing a spatial and temporal resolution of gene inactivation which is not or extremely difficult to achieve with other genetic methods. In fact, inducible RNAi provides the only genetic method available at the moment to systematically screen complex tissues composed of a diverse set of functionally specialized cell subtypes - such as neurons and muscles - in a feasible way (Dietzl et al., 2007).
**Fig. 11** | Transgenic inducible RNA interference

(A) Crossing of a driver fly line expressing the transcriptional activator GAL4 under the control of the muscle-specific Mef2 promoter to a fly line harbouring a UAS-IR transgene induces expression of a long double-stranded hairpin RNA, which is processed to siRNAs triggering the muscle-specific knockdown of the targeted gene. (B) Cloning scheme for UAS-IR constructs. Inverted repeats were generated by PCR, ligated end-to-end and cloned into the pMF3 vector using indicated restriction sites which were introduced with PCR primers. pMF3 contains ten GAL4-responsive UAS elements, the basal hsp70 promoter, the 150 bp second intron of *fushi tarazu* (*ftz*), the SV40 polyadenylation signal and the *mini-white* eye color selection marker gene. (Scheme adapted from Dietzl et al., 2007).
5. Aim of the thesis

*Drosophila* adult muscles consist of highly distinct muscle types, the fibrillar and tubular muscles, yet all of them are derived from AMPs that are specified within the somatic mesoderm. We wanted to address the question how muscle type diversity is established during adult myogenesis.

It has already been evident from previous studies that AMPs are subdivided into populations of cells expressing distinct markers and being biased to contribute to specific muscles only already at late embryonic and larval stages (Ghazi et al., 2000; Sudarsan et al., 2001; Maqbool et al., 2006; Fig. 7). However, the functions of these factors in establishing muscle diversity are still unclear and most likely additional, yet to be identified factors, are involved.

We performed a genome-wide muscle-specific RNAi screen (publication III) to systematically identify the underlying genetic mechanisms controlling myogenesis and in particular the specification of fibrillar and tubular adult muscles in *Drosophila*. In this screen we identified *spalt major (salm)* belonging to the conserved Spalt zinc finger transcription factor family (de Celis and Barrio, 2009) to be essential for IFM formation. The major goal of the thesis was to analyze the role of *salm* in *Drosophila* adult muscle type specification and to examine, how *salm* mediates the switch to fibrillar muscle fate (publication IV). Additionally, we also wanted to address, whether Spalt’s function in establishing fibrillar muscle identity is evolutionarily conserved in flying insects.
6. Summary of publications

6.1 Summary of publication I

In vivo RNAi rescue in Drosophila melanogaster with genomic transgenes from Drosophila pseudoobscura
Langer CC*, Ejsmont RK*, Schönauer C*, Schnorrer F, Tomancak P.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

RNA interference (RNAi) provides a powerful reverse genetic tool to systematically analyze gene function both in cell culture and in tissues of whole organism, such as Drosophila. A major drawback of all RNAi-based approaches is the occurrence of potential false-positives caused by non-specific knockdown of genes other than the intended target gene (Kulkarni et al., 2006). The presence of such a potential off-target effect requires careful validation of the RNAi phenotype by additional independent experiments.

A good proof is the recapitulation of the RNAi phenotype with a classical mutant. However, this represents no universal approach, as it is limited by the availability of mutants and potential pleiotropic mutant phenotypes, which might prevent the study of tissue- and stage-specific gene functions. Alternatively, the phenotype can be confirmed by a second independent hairpin construct, targeting a different region of the target gene. Again, this approach is complicated by the fact that not all hairpins display the same knockdown efficiency, resulting in variable phenotypes. Moreover, it is not possible to design non-overlapping constructs for all genes.

Therefore, the gold standard in the RNAi field is to rescue the RNAi phenotype by a transgene immune to RNAi (Sarov and Stewart, 2005), for example, by using the homologous gene from a different related species, whose sequence is divergent enough from the host species to make it refractory to the RNAi construct. However, a caveat of this method is that over-expression of the rescue protein may itself exert an effect on the cell.

In this paper we evaluate the feasibility of rescuing Drosophila melanogaster RNAi phenotypes with genomic-clones from the related species Drosophila
*pseudoobscura*. We used clones from a genomic *Drosophila pseudoobscura* fosmid library (Ejsmont et al., 2009), ensuring close to endogenous gene expression levels, for rescue of muscle-specific RNAi phenotypes of five *Drosophila melanogaster* genes. We were able to successfully rescue three of them, demonstrating that cross-species rescue using fosmid transgenic libraries can be readily used to validate RNAi specificity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. 
6.2 Summary of publication II

Three-dimensional reconstruction and segmentation of intact Drosophila by ultramicroscopy


Combining ultramicroscopy (Siedentopf and Zsigmondy, 1902) with a newly developed procedure to clear fixed tissues, permits 3D visualization of entire specimens, such as whole mouse embryos, at single-cell-resolution, which cannot be obtained by other techniques allowing 3D reconstruction, like computer tomography or magnetic resonance imaging (Dodt et al., 2007). Here, we successfully applied this approach to visualize the entire nervous system, digestive system, and thoracic muscle pattern of whole adult Drosophila, demonstrating that this approach is a valuable tool for phenotypic characterization of internal Drosophila tissue and organ systems.

We used this technique to analyze thoracic muscles morphology in intact flies upon knockdown of candidate genes we have identified in the muscle RNAi screen (publication III).
6.3 Summary of publication III

**Systematic genetic analysis of muscle morphogenesis and function in *Drosophila***


Here, we performed a genome-wide muscle-specific screen to delineate the genetic mechanisms, controlling *Drosophila* muscle formation and function using transgenic RNAi lines of the VDRC library (Dietzl et al., 2007). To achieve muscle-specific RNAi knockdown, we used the pan-mesodermal Mef2-GAL4 driver, which is expressed throughout *Drosophila* muscle development in muscles of both larva and adults (Ranganayakulu et al., 1996).

We successfully screened 17,759 RNAi lines, representing 10,461 distinct genes (75% of the *Drosophila* genome), and assayed for viability, locomotion and flight in over 25,000 flight tests. A total of 2,785 genes were scored as defective in one or more of these assays.

Of those genes we selected 436 genes, falling in the embryonic and larval lethal classes, and 315 genes that scored flightless, and performed a detailed morphological analysis of either their embryonic and larval body-wall-muscles (for the lethal genes) or their IFMs (for the flightless set), enabling us to assign these genes to specific functions in the organization of muscles, myofibrils and sarcomeres. I performed the morphological analysis and categorization into distinct phenotypic classes of the flightless set, leading to the discovery of about 200 genes with specific functions in formation or maintenance of fibrillar IFMs.

Many of the genes identified in the screen are conserved to mammals, among them genes known to be involved in sarcomere formation and/or genes that are implicated in human muscle diseases. Thus, our systematic approach not only defines the genetic basis of *Drosophila* muscle formation and function, but also represents a valuable resource for the identification of vertebrate muscle genes.
6.4 Summary of publication IV

Spalt mediates an evolutionarily conserved switch to fibrillar muscle fate in insects
Schönbauer C, Distler J, Jährling N, Radolf M, Dodt HU, Frasch M, Schnorrer F.

Muscle-specific knockdown of the zinc finger transcription factor *spalt major (salm)* with *Mef2-GAL4* results in completely viable, but flightless animals, indicating an essential function of *salm* in fibrillar IFMs. Morphological analysis of *salm* RNAi IFMs indeed revealed a striking change in IFM fiber organization: instead of the fibrillar morphology with unaligned, not laterally connected myofibrils and nuclei localizing next to the myofibrils, the *salm* depleted IFMs possess a tubular arrangement of closely associated myofibrils, contain centrally positioned nuclei, and hence look indistinguishable to tubular leg muscles.

Moreover, analysis of the RNAi phenotype at stages, when myofibril and sarcomere assembly is initiated, showed that in *salm* knockdown flies fibrillar muscles are never formed, but tubular muscles develop in their place, demonstrating that *salm* is required to initiate the fibrillar muscle program.

Fibrillar IFM-specific expression of Salm protein is first detected in templates and forming myotubes, when myoblast fusion starts, and requires the upstream acting transcription factor *vestigial (vg)*, as no Spalt can be detected in *vg* mutants, which hence similarly display transformed tubular IFMs.

Strikingly, expression of *salm* alone is sufficient to induce the fibrillar muscle fate. Ectopic expression of *salm* switches fibrillar muscles into tubular ones by inducing IFM-specific and repressing tubular body-wall-muscle-specific proteins.

Microarray analysis identifying *salm* downstream genes revealed that *salm* switches the entire transcriptional program from tubular to fibrillar fate by regulating the expression as well as alternative splicing of key sarcomeric proteins specific to each muscle type.

Remarkably, *spalt* is similarly required for the specification of fibrillar IFMs in the Coleoptera Tribolium (red flour beetle) demonstrating that *spalt* function is conserved across insect species separated by 280 million years of evolution. Moreover, two of the four mammalian *spalt-like (sall)* genes are expressed in heart. Interestingly, mutations in human *SALL1* can cause heart abnormalities associated
with the Townes-Brocks syndrome leading us to speculate that Spalt might be similarly relevant for the function of stretch-activated vertebrate cardiac muscles.
7. Conclusion and outlook

We successfully conducted a genome-wide muscle-specific RNAi screen in which we identified salm, a conserved transcription factor, as a key regulator of fibrillar muscle fate. Salm is not only required for fibrillar muscle development, but its misexpression can convert other muscle types into fibrillar ones demonstrating salm’s remarkable ability to override other muscle differentiation programs. Even more remarkable, Spalt homologues are similarly required to promote differentiation of fibrillar flight muscles in other flying insects suggesting that salm initiates a conserved gene regulatory pathway possibly involved in specification of all muscles with stretch-activated myofibrils also including stretch-modulated cardiac muscles of vertebrates. Mechanistically salm mediates the switch to fibrillar muscle fate by regulating gene expression as well as splicing of muscle-type-specific proteins. The direct targets of salm, however, are still elusive.

Our work has demonstrated the power of transgenic RNAi for discovery of tissue-specific gene functions and further highlighted the Drosophila adult muscles as a valuable model for the generation of cell type diversity. The major implications of our findings are discussed in the attached publications. Some aspects, concerning the function of salm in fibrillar muscle fate specification, are discussed in more detail below.

7.1 Regulation of IFM-specific Salm expression

We showed that salm is not only essential for the specification of fibrillar IFMs, but can also switch tubular to fibrillar muscle fate upon its ectopic expression. Thus, the spatio-temporal expression of salm needs to be tightly regulated during adult muscle formation to ensure that its expression is restricted to developing IFMs.

Our data revealed that salm expression requires the transcription factor vg (publication IV). vg is expressed in a subset of AMPs only, beginning from embryonic stage 12 onwards (Sudarsan et al., 2001). At late larval stages, its expression is confined to IFM-forming AMPs (Sudarsan et al., 2001) and it continues
to be specifically expressed in the forming IFM myotubes during adult myogenesis in pupa (Bernard et al., 2006).

salm expression, however, is turned on only later in forming myotubes, shortly after myoblasts have started to fuse with either the templates (during DLM formation) or the founders (during DVM development), and - in contrast to vg - it is still absent at earlier stages in wing-disc-associated AMPs in larva. Moreover, ectopic expression of vg together with its cofactor scalloped (sd) cannot induce salm in leg muscles, suggesting the presence of additional regulatory mechanisms controlling the precise timing and position of salm expression (publication IV). However, the nature and source of these regulatory factors remains elusive.

Potentially, salm function is directed and confined to IFM-forming myotubes by intercellular signaling pathways well-known to mediate inductive interactions between different tissues and cell populations to coordinate organ development in various systems (Brook et al., 1996; Lawrence and Struhl, 1996; Curtiss et al., 2002; Furlong, 2004; Jukam and Desplan, 2010). These signaling pathways can either work instructively by directly inducing salm or set a permissive environment in which other genes (transcription factors, corepressors or coactivators, chromatin remodeling enzymes etc.) are necessary to drive salm expression. Another possible scenario is that an inhibitory signal must be shut down in order to turn on salm expression in a context-specific manner e.g. by making the cells competent to respond to yet another signaling pathway.

These patterning and cell specification events are carried out by only a few conserved signaling pathways (Gerhart, 1999) all of which have been studied in detail in Drosophila (Barolo and Posakony, 2002). Therefore, a lot of genetic tools are available for their tissue- and stage-specific manipulation, which can readily be exploited to delineate the regulatory mechanisms controlling the restricted expression of Salm in fibrillar IFMs.

7.2 Downstream targets of salm

salm belongs to the conserved Spalt-like gene family (de Celis and Barrio, 2009) and, in analogy to other members of this family, is thought to be a zinc finger transcription factor (Li et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2007). As
such salm would specify fibrillar muscle fate by regulating the expression of target genes.

We performed microarray analysis and showed that salm regulates gene expression as well as alternative splicing of key sarcomeric components that are specific to each muscle-type. IFM-specific genes or gene-isoforms are positively regulated, whereas tubular leg-muscle-specific genes or gene-isoforms are negatively regulated (publication IV). However, we do not know, whether these effects on gene expression and splicing are direct or not.

In order to define the molecular mechanism of how salm switches between muscle fates, it will be crucial to identify genes directly bound by salm (the salm direct targets). Although salm function has been studied extensively in many tissue and cell systems (Frei et al., 1988; Kühnlein et al., 1994; Kühnlein and Schuh, 1996; Elstob et al., 2001; Mollereau et al., 2001; Grieder et al., 2009), salm direct targets and salm binding motifs in Drosophila are still elusive. The fact that salm is expressed in various tissues indicates that salm function is highly context-dependent, and, consequently, has different targets in distinct tissues and cell types. Performing cell-type-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) (Viens et al., 2004; Bonn et al., 2012) combined with high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Park, 2009) to identify the IFM-specific salm targets, should shed light on the mechanisms by which salm initiates the fibrillar muscle program. Subsequent functional analysis of the identified targets should further reveal, how the fibrillar muscle program is executed.

7.3 Spalt and the evolution of fibrillar flight muscles

The evolution of asynchronous fibrillar flight muscles still is a matter of speculation, since to date no bona fida transitional forms between synchronous and asynchronous flight muscles have been identified (Pringle, 1981; Dudley, 2002). We could show that Spalt’s function to specify fibrillar muscle fate in conserved from Diptera (true flies) to Coleoptera (beetles), suggesting that spalt is required to specify fibrillar muscle fate across insect species (publication IV).

The evolution of asynchronous flight muscles from synchronous muscles has occurred at least four times independently in the evolutionary history of insects.
(Dickinson, 2006 Fig. 12), yet all asynchronous muscles are indirect flight muscles and have fibrillar morphology (Josephson, 2006), and, thus, presumably express spalt. In contrast, species with tubular flight muscles, such as the most ancient ones, the Odonata (dragonflies) and Ephemeroptera (mayflies) (Smith, 1965; 1966), should, accordingly, lack spalt expression in their flight muscles.

Interestingly, some insects with synchronous flight muscles, in particular the Lepidoptera (butterflies) and Orthoptera (grasshoppers, crickets, locusts), and some genera within the orders of Hymenoptera (bees, wasps and ants) and Homoptera (true bugs), possess yet a third, morphologically distinct muscle type beside tubular and fibrillar: the close-packed muscles. In these muscles the myofibrils and mitochondria are localized in the center and nuclei are arranged at the periphery. The diameter of their myofibrils is usually larger than that of myofibrils in tubular muscles, but is not as large as the one of myofibrils in fibrillar muscles (Smith, 1965). Since these insects display a somewhat “in-between” flight muscle morphology and some species within that class achieve high wing beat frequencies of about 160 Hz (Wootton and Newman, 1979), these genera could potentially comprise the missing transitional forms. Moreover, within the orders of Homoptera, Psocoptera (booklice) and Hymenoptera, related genera possess asynchronous as well as synchronous flight muscles, suggesting the potential transitional forms might as well be found within these orders (Daly, 1963; Pringle, 1981; Cullen, 2009). Thus, comparative morphological studies of flight muscles combined with expression and functional analysis of spalt in insect orders with either close-packed morphology or orders comprising asynchronous and synchronous species, may provide a good entry point to enhance our understanding of insect flight muscle evolution.
Fig. 12 | Flight muscle organization in insects
Phylogenetic tree of winged insects (from Schönbauer et al., 2011). Orders with synchronous IFMs are shown in blue, with asynchronous (fibrillar) IFMs in red and with both types in green. Yellow box marks orders tested to functionally require spalt for fibrillar muscle fate specification.
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9. Supplements

In the following publications I to IV are reprinted. Supplementary videos and Excel tables are provided in the online versions of the publisher’s homepage and can also be found on the enclosed CD.
Publication I
Introduction

Classical forward genetic mutagenesis screens pioneered the understanding of animal development in particular by using *Drosophila* as a model system [1]. The availability of the fly genome together with the discovery of RNA interference (RNAi) started an era of systematic reverse genetics, recently fuelled by the generation of genome-wide RNAi libraries in *Drosophila* [2,3,4]. Since RNAi can be achieved in a tissue specific manner in *Drosophila* [5] these genome-wide libraries have been used to study organ development [6,7,8]; and neuronal function [9] in an intact fly and will undoubtedly find many more applications in the near future.

A major pitfall of any RNAi approach are potential false positives resulting from unspecific knock-down of other genes than the anticipated target, the so called “off-target” effect. In case of randomly inserted hairpin transgenes false positives may arise from miss-expression of neighbouring genes. Despite the relatively low false positive rate in the systematic screens performed thus far (5–7%) ([7,8]), its presence necessitates the confirmation of the association of a RNAi phenotype with a particular gene by an independent method. The best proof is the recapitulation of the RNAi phenotype by a classical mutant, however such an approach is not universal as mutants are either not available or may display un-interpretable, pleiotropic phenotypes. Alternatively, the RNAi phenotype can be confirmed by a second hairpin construct targeting a different region of the target gene that should show no or a different off-target effect. However, not all hairpins work to the same efficiency of knock-down and hence the observed phenotypes may differ despite the fact that only the correct on-target is knocked-down. Furthermore, not all genes are suited to generate several optimal 300 bp long hairpin sequences without overlap.

A conclusive proof of RNAi specificity is a rescue with a transgene that is immune to the RNAi and complements the loss of function of the target gene [10]. A convenient source of a RNAi-immune transgene is an orthologous gene from another closely related species that is divergent enough on the nucleotide sequence level to diminish RNAi efficiency while still functionally complementing the knock-down of the endogenous gene activity. This approach was successfully applied in human tissue culture RNAi using BAC transgenes from mouse [11] and in *C. elegans* with subcloned genomic BAC from *C. briggsae* [12]. When attempting RNAi rescue in living organisms, it is important to ensure that the rescue transgene gets expressed in the same cells and tissues in which RNAi was activated. Using the same driver for both RNAi and the gene rescue construct is one possibility, but the cDNA may not function properly when expressed from an artificial promoter. Recent advances in transgenesis of the *Drosophila* genome...
allow transformation of large BAC sized transgenes [13] and make it possible to test cross-species rescue using genomic transgenes that recapitulate endogenous gene expression patterns [14].

Here we evaluate computationally and experimentally the performance of genomic clones from non-melanogaster species in rescue of RNAi phenotypes in Drosophila melanogaster (D. melanogaster). We identify Drosophila pseudoobscura (D. pseudoobscura) as a species suitable for RNAi rescue in terms of hairpin sequence divergence and make use of D. pseudoobscura FlyFos genomic fosmid library [15] to test RNAi specificity in vivo. We assayed for rescue of muscle specific knock-down phenotypes for five genes and were able to rescue three, suggesting that cross-species fosmid rescue is a useful strategy for establishing the specificity of RNAi phenotypes in vivo that can be easily applied to genome-wide RNAi screens in combination with the FlyFos library.

Materials and Methods

Bioinformatics Analysis of Hairpin Sequence Divergence
We downloaded pair-wise alignments between D. melanogaster and the 5 non-melanogaster species from the UCSC database (D. melanogaster release din3 [UCSC]/Release 5 [FlyBase], non-melanogaster assembly releases by UCSC drosSim1 (D. simulans), drosAna3 (D. annassae), dp4 (D. pseudoobscura), drosCer1 (D. persimilis), drosVr3 (D. virilio). Using custom Perl scripts we extracted the portions of the pair-wise genome alignments covered by annotated Release 5 D. melanogaster transcripts (in case of multiple isoforms we selected the longest transcript to represent the gene) and collected the pair-wise alignment into single ‘multiple’ alignment file for each gene. These files were then searched with 12,591 hairpin sequences from genome wide transgenic RNAi library [2] (the library contains 15,059 hairpins; for simplicity only a single hairpin for each gene in the library was used for the analysis). 273 genes were not mapped because an alignment file was missing. Of the remaining genes 86% (10,858) mapped to the D. melanogaster sequence in the alignment files with 100% accuracy along the entire length of the hairpin. The 1733 hairpins that did not map completely were ignored in subsequent analysis. For the 10,858 fully mapped hairpins we counted the number of nucleotides conserved and the longest uninterrupted nucleotide stretch, both relative to conserved and the longest uninterrupted nucleotide stretch, mapped hairpins we counted the number of nucleotides

Fosmid Selection and Transgenesis
At the time when the genes for the rescue experiments were selected we had mapped 2,592 D. pseudoobscura fosmids. These fosmids fully include 1278 predicted D. pseudoobscura genes with exactly one ortholog in D. melanogaster genome. The genome-wide transgenic RNAi screen for muscle phenotypes with Mef2-Gal4 driver resulted in 764 hits showing a defect in larval or flight muscle morphology [8]. 87 of these hits had a D. pseudoobscura ortholog covered by a fosmid and we manually selected five genes for the rescue experiment based on the RNAi phenotype and the placement of the ortholog within the fosmid (Table 1 and Figure S1). Identifiers of the different data sources (fosmids, orthologs, RNAi hits) were matched using FlyMine [17]. The fosmid DNA was isolated as described in Ejsmont et. al. [15]. The transgenesis was performed by Genetic Services (http://www.geneticservices.com/).

Fly Strains and Genetics
All crosses were done at 27°C to increase GAL4 activity. All hairpins were obtained from the VDRC stock centre. All fosmids were inserted at the same site on the third chromosome (attP2 [18]) using site specific phiC31 integrase [19] and were recombined with Mef2-GAL4 also located on the third chromosome [20]. Recombinants were easily identified by dsRed expression in the ocelli (expression in the eye is quenched by [white +]). If the hairpin was located on the third chromosome it was also recombined with the fosmid enabling to test for rescue in the presence of two copies of the fosmid. Mical<sup>1496</sup> and Mical<sup>1606</sup> are described in [21], Cg25C, sar1, vkg and vkg mutants as well as Df(2L)Exel7022 deleting both vkg and Cg25C were obtained from Bloomington. A GFP trap in CG6416 was used to label the Z-line in larvae [22], w(1118) was used as wild type and is indicated by ‘+’. Recombinant chromosomes are indicated by ‘;’; homologous chromosomes by ‘/’.

Phenotypic Analysis of Larval and Adult Flight Muscles, and Embryos
The larva-files for immuno-stainings of larval muscles were prepared as described [23]. All dissections were done in relaxing solution (20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM ATP). Samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in relaxing solution. Antibody incubations and subsequent washing steps were performed in PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 instead of PBS-Tween. Samples were stained with rabbit anti-Kettin Ig 1/3 (1:100) [24], mouse anti-Mhc 3e8 (1:100) [25], mouse anti-Collagen IV (1:100) [26], and rhodamine phalloidin or Alexa dye labelled secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes). To image flight muscles hemi-thoraces of adults were prepared by removing wings, head and abdomen with fine scissors, fixing the thoraces in 4% PFA in relaxing solution for 10 min and bisecting them sagitally with a sharp microtome blade. Thorax halves were then incubated in relaxing solution for 15 min, fixed for 10 min in PFA, washed twice in PBS+0.2% Triton X-100, incubated in rhodamine phalloidin (1:500, in PBS +0.2% Triton X-100) for 30 min, washed two times in PBS +0.2% Triton X-100 and mounted in Vectashield. Embryos were fixed and stained as described [27] with rat anti-Mhc MAC147 (1:100) (Babraham Institute) and mouse anti-CollagenIV (1:100) [26]. Images were acquired with a Leica SP2 or Leica SP5 with 10x and 63x objectives to analyse flight muscles and myofibrils, and 40x objective to analyse embryos and larval muscles. Images were processed with ImageJ and Photoshop.

To analyse muscles of intact larvae the larvae carrying the CG6416 GFP trap were immobilised by dipping in 65°C water for about 1 sec, and then mounted in 50% glycerol. Images were acquired on a Zeiss AxioImagerZ1 at 20x and analysed with ImageJ software.

To score for larval growth well fed, mated males and females were incubated in a vial for about 24 h, adults were removed and the vial was incubated for another 48 h or 72 h depending on the strength of the RNAi phenotype. All relevant crosses were done in parallel at the same time blind to the genotype. Larvae were immobilised by placing into 65°C water for about 1 sec, and then mounted in 50% glycerol. Images were acquired on a Leica M2FLIII with a ProgRes C14 at 1.25x magnification
Figure 1. Comparative analysis of hairpin sequence divergence. (a) Phylogeny of sequenced Drosophila species. D. melanogaster is a standard model system in which transgenic RNAi is a well established technique. Species selected for comparative analysis are colour-coded. (b) Summary of the conservation of RNAi hairpins in pair-wise genome alignments with D. melanogaster as common reference. The percentage of nucleotides identical across the hairpin alignment (y-axis) is plotted for all hairpins ordered by increasing conservation (x-axis). Species are colour-coded according to (a). (c) An example of 6 species multiple sequence alignment for a hairpin targeting shotgun (shg). Nucleotides identical to D. melanogaster are shaded in magenta. The longest uninterrupted stretch of identical nucleotides is shaded grey for each species. (d) Histogram of longest uninterrupted stretches for all hairpins binned in size groups of 3. (e) A portion of the histogram in (d) re-binned to bin size of 1 and limited to the maximum 50 nucleotide stretch. The periodic peaks are the consequence of the fact that most hairpins cover coding regions and reflect the increased likelihood of stretch interruption at the highly divergent third nucleotide of a codon triplet. The portion of the distribution that contains hairpins likely refractory to RNAi is highlighted by the green rectangle. The species are colour-coded as in (a).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.g001
Figure 2. Pairwise sequence alignment of hairpins used in rescue experiments. Alignments between D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura for hairpins targeting (a) Cg25c (collagen IV), (b) CG32528 (parvin), (c) sar1, (d) shg and (e) Mical. The extent of homology and the longest identical nucleotide stretch are graphically depicted next to each alignment. Matching nucleotides are shaded purple, mismatches white and the longest identical stretches are shaded grey within the alignments. The DEQOR scores are plotted below the alignments (a–d) and the score 5 cut-off above which the siRNA at that position is considered RNAi inefficient is depicted by a green line.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.g002
Table 1. Overview of genes and fosmids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. mel. Gene</th>
<th>Transformant ID</th>
<th>FlyFos ID</th>
<th>RNAi phenotype</th>
<th>RNAi fosmid rescue?</th>
<th>Mutant allelic combination</th>
<th>Mutant phenotype</th>
<th>Mutant fosmid rescue?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cg25C (collagen IV)</td>
<td>104536</td>
<td>045318</td>
<td>larval lethal</td>
<td>larval growth rescued; few pupa and adults</td>
<td>Cg25C&lt;sup&gt;DEQOR&lt;/sup&gt;/ Df(2L)Exel7022</td>
<td>embryo or larval lethal</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG32528 (parvin)</td>
<td>11670</td>
<td>044975</td>
<td>myospheroid phenotype; early larval lethal</td>
<td>myospheroid phenotype rescued; 2x fosmid survive until early pupae</td>
<td>D. melanogaster</td>
<td>larval lethal</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sar1</td>
<td>34191</td>
<td>045459</td>
<td>sarcomere defect; larval lethal</td>
<td>larval growth and sarcomere phenotype rescued; survive until early pupae</td>
<td>sar&lt;sup&gt;1777&lt;/sup&gt;/DF (3R)ED6085</td>
<td>embryo or larval lethal</td>
<td>few adult survivors (small size, can fly)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shg</td>
<td>27081</td>
<td>045685</td>
<td>missing flight muscles</td>
<td>no rescue</td>
<td>shg&lt;sup&gt;2772&lt;/sup&gt;/shg&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>embryo or larval lethal</td>
<td>viable adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mical</td>
<td>25372</td>
<td>045847</td>
<td>irregular flight muscle myofibrils</td>
<td>no rescue</td>
<td>Mical&lt;sup&gt;1496&lt;/sup&gt;/Dr (3R)Exel6155</td>
<td>irregular flight muscle myofibrils</td>
<td>no rescue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overview of all genes, RNAi constructs and fosmids used. The degree of homology between the genes in the targeted region is indicated. The RNAi and mutant phenotypes and their rescue by the fosmids is summarized.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.t001

and larval length from head to tail was measured with Photoshop.

Results

Evaluation of Sequenced Drosophila Species for Transgenic RNAi Rescue Experiment

In order to identify the species best suited for RNAi rescue we performed comparative analysis of the divergence of D. melanogaster hairpin sequences in 5 different non-melanogaster species (Figure 1a) that sample the evolutionary tree of the sequenced Drosophila genomes [28,29]. We first mapped all hairpin sequences onto pairwise, global genome alignments between D. melanogaster and the 5 non-melanogaster species available from UCSC [30] and extracted the percent identity for each pair (Figure 1b). As expected the pattern of hairpin sequence divergence follows the phylogeny; D. simulans sequences closely resemble D. melanogaster (94.75% are more than 90% conserved, i.e. 90<sup>th</sup> percentile), the sister species D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis are almost indistinguishable (90<sup>th</sup> percentile 1.78% and 1.63% respectively), D. annanassae similarity falls in between the D. simulans and the obscura group (90<sup>th</sup> percentile 2.98%) and D. virilis is most divergent with respect to D. melanogaster (90<sup>th</sup> percentile 0.41%). Overall the sequence homology of the species outside of melanogaster subgroup is quite comparable as 32.38% (D.virilis) to 55.61% (D. annanassae) of the hairpins have more then 75% of the nucleotides conserved relative to D. melanogaster.

We next asked how sensitive would the sequences from non-melanogaster species be to the melanogaster RNAi hairpins. It is broadly accepted in the RNAi field that stretches of 19 and more nucleotides can cause an 'off-target' effect [31,32,33]. Therefore we extracted the longest identity stretches from the pairwise hairpin alignments for each species (Figure 1c) and analyzed their distribution. Vast majority (98.62%) of the longest identical stretches in D. simulans are longer than 18 nucleotides (Figure 1d) which allows us to conclude that this species would be a poor choice for in vivo RNAi rescue. Among the remaining species D. virilis has the largest proportion of hairpins that contain identity stretches shorter than 19 nucleotides (67.22%), making the clones likely refractory to RNAi. However the differences are not large; using the same criterion, 47.75% of annanassae clones, 33.58% of D. persimilis and 33.58% of D. pseudoobscura genes would also be refractory (Figure 1e). Altogether 81% of the genes in the VDRC hairpin collection have an ortholog with less than 19 nt identity stretch in at least one of the 5 non-melanogaster species. Since 94% of the refractory orthologs come from either D. pseudoobscura or D. virilis which are established model systems, we conclude that they are both well suited to serve as a donor for RNAi rescue experiment from the sequence divergence point of view.

Besides sequence divergence, the second important criterion for successful RNAi rescue is the ability of the transgene to complement the RNAi phenotype. The D. virilis life cycle is significantly longer then in D. melanogaster whereas D. pseudoobscura develops at a more similar pace [34]. Comparative micro-array time-course analysis of embryogenesis revealed that 24.7% of D. virilis genes exhibits differential gene expression profiles relative to D. melanogaster compared to 18.8% for D. pseudoobscura (P.T. manuscript in preparation). Based on these considerations we decided that D. pseudoobscura genomic transgenes are more likely to complement D. melanogaster loss-of-function phenotypes and are thus best suited for RNAi rescue.

Selection of FlyFos Clones for In Vivo RNAi Rescue

We previously constructed a D. pseudoobscura genomic fosmid library, which we call FlyFos, in a vector containing 3xP3 dRcD dominant selection cassette [35] and attB sites for phiC31-mediated site-specific transgenesis [15,18]. We thus far mapped end-sequences of 5,855 fosmid clones to D. pseudoobscura genome that cover 67.28% of the annotated D. pseudoobscura genes including at least 10 kb upstream and 5 kb downstream of the predicted gene model [15].

In order to select D. pseudoobscura FlyFos fosmids for RNAi rescue experiments we compared the complete list of hits from a genome-wide transgenic RNAi screen for muscle specific phenotypes induced by knocked-down with Mef2-GAL4 driver [8], with the mapped D. pseudoobscura fosmids by linking annotated gene orthologs [36]. We selected five genes that lead either to larval lethality or a flightless phenotype (Table 1, see methods). All selected fosmids span at least to the next gene 5’ and 3’ from the gene assayed (Figure S1). The sequence similarity between D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura for the gene regions targeted by the used hairpins ranges from 73–94% (Figure 2). The largest stretch of exact match varies from 17–104 nucleotides. In order to estimate the ability of the siRNAs derived from the hairpins to function in RNAi we ran DEQOR analysis on the sequences [37]
Figure 2). DEQOR evaluates all possible 19mers from the hairpin sequence for a number of criteria (GC content, GC balance along the length of the small RNA and nucleotide stretches) resulting in a score that reflects the efficiency of each 19mer in RNAi (the lower the score, the better RNAi performance, siRNAs below score 5 are considered suitable for RNAi). We used here DEQOR scores to ask whether the long identical stretches between D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura sequences are efficient in RNAi and thus likely to cross-silence the rescue transgene. Interestingly we found that most of the long identical stretch sequences (see Figure 2c) are predicted to perform poorly in RNAi suggesting that used hairpins will not significantly affect the D. pseudoobscura transgenes.

Drosophila pseudoobscura Fosmids Rescue In Vivo RNAi Phenotypes in Drosophila melanogaster

We obtained D. melanogaster transgenics for all five fosmids by selecting for the dsRed expression in the eye, which is easily identifiable in white genetic background. In case of the Micl fosmid instead of the eye we observed expression of dsRed in the thorax. As this fosmid was not able to rescue a Micl mutant allelic combination that recapitulates our observed RNAi phenotype, causing very irregular myofibrils in the indirect flight muscles (Figure S2 and [21]), we judged this fosmid as non functional and did not investigate it further.

To test cross-species functionality of the D. pseudoobscura fosmid in D. melanogaster we rescued classical mutants of shaggy (shg) and sar1 to viability and flight ability with the shg and sar1 fosmids, respectively (Table 1) demonstrating that the D. pseudoobscura genes are fully functional in D. melanogaster.

For shg RNAi in muscle we observed a flightless phenotype caused by missing indirect flight muscles in the thorax [8]. The shg fosmid does not rescue this phenotype, indicating that the RNAi phenotype is either unspecific or the D. pseudoobscura gene is also targeted by the hairpin.

Three of our selected genes, the collagen IV homolog Cg25C, the parvin homolog CG32528 and the small GTPase sar1 lead to larval lethality upon knock-down with Mef2-GAL4 ([8], Table 1). Cg25C is strongly expressed in embryonic hemocytes and supposedly has an important role in basement membrane function. We first analyzed P-element mutants to test if our supposed Cg25C role in basement membrane function. We first analyzed P-element mutants to test if our supposed Cg25C role in basement membrane function. We first analyzed P-element mutants to test if our supposed Cg25C role in basement membrane function. We first analyzed P-element mutants to test if our supposed Cg25C role in basement membrane function.

This collagen IV antibody does recognize Cg25C and not Vkg. This demonstrates that the collagen IV antibody indeed recognizes Cg25C and suggests an essential role for Cg25C in basement membrane function around growing muscles. The D. pseudoobscura Cg25C fosmid (FlyFos-pse-Cg25C) rescues larval growth significantly but not completely compared to knock-down and wild type (Figure 3e, Table 1) demonstrating the specificity of the RNAi knock-down. This incomplete rescue suggests that the FlyFos-pse-Cg25C fosmid is either not fully functional or not entirely immune to the Cg25C hairpin. Antibody staining against collagen IV/Cg25C argue for the latter as its localisation around the muscles is still markedly reduced in the rescued larvae (Figure 3e). In conclusion we demonstrate that the muscle specific RNAi knock-down of Cg25C can be rescued by the FlyFos-pse-Cg25C.

Muscles require the integrin complex for stable attachment to tendons [39]. We found that parvin knock-down results in early larval lethality with body muscles displaying a myospheroid phenotype (Figure 4a). This myospheroid phenotype is entirely rescued by the D. pseudoobscura parvin fosmid (FlyFos-pse-parvin) (Figure 4b–d). Similarly the growth defect in parvin knock-down larva is rescued; interestingly two copies of the fosmid increase the level of rescue (Figure 4e and Figure S4). We conclude that Drosophila parvin is required for muscle attachment, most likely via an integrin dependent mechanism as mouse parvin is an important member of the integrin complex [40] and integrin mutant Drosophila embryos display a myospheroid phenotype [39].

Finally we investigated the small GTPase sar1 implicated in vesicle transport [41] and heart formation in the embryo [42]. Knock-down of sar1 in muscle causes a muscle sarcomere phenotype. Both the myosin thick filaments and the Z-line anchoring the actin filaments show a “fading-Z” phenotype or in extreme cases we observe a partial loss of sarcomeres (Figure 5a–c). The FlyFos-pse-sar1 completely rescues this sarcomere phenotype (Figure 5d) demonstrating a specific role of sar1 for sarcomere formation and in turn larval growth (Figure 5e).

Discussion

In this study we present a systematic evaluation of cross-species rescue with genomic transgenes for testing the specificity of transgenic RNAi knock-down in Drosophila melanogaster. We identified D. pseudoobscura and D. virilis as suitable, although not optimal, species for transgenic RNAi rescue and chose D. pseudoobscura FlyFos fosmid library to test the rescue performance. Despite the sequence similarity, which in some cases goes well beyond the 19 nt threshold (sar1 104 nt stretch), we were able to demonstrate rescue of the RNAi phenotype for three of the five genes tested. Similarly we showed rescue of classical mutants for shg and sar1. Overall, our strategy of cross-species RNAi rescue worked successfully for three of four cases in which the fosmid is functional.

We did not obtain a full rescue of the RNAi phenotypes. Since we observed full rescue of classical mutant phenotypes in two out of three cases and Kondo et al. [14] reported successful rescue in four out of four cases, we believe that in most cases the D. pseudoobscura gene products are able to functionally replace the D. melanogaster gene. We hypothesize that the incompleteness of the RNAi rescue is mainly caused by the sequence similarity of the genes between D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura which still results in knock-down of the pseudoobscura gene to some extent. In case of parvin we have strong evidence supporting this notion as two copies of the fosmid rescue better than a single copy. Kondo et al. [14] reports full rescue of a rough-eye phenotype induced by over-expressing dsRNA directed against apopotic gene dnap1 with an eye specific driver (GMR-GAL4) raising the possibility that the efficiency of the cross-species RNAi rescue will depend on the strength of the GAL4 driver, the tissue and the gene tested.
Interestingly, the extent of the rescue does not necessarily correlate with the similarity of the hairpin-targeted sequences as measured by longest identity stretches (Figure 2, and Table 1). It appears that the ‘naïve’ application of 19 nt threshold generally thought to be sufficient for cross-silencing may strongly underestimate the proportion of refractory orthologs. In contrary, data from cell culture indicate that even miss-matches every 12 bp can still result in some RNAi mediated silencing [43]. Hence assessing the efficiency of theoretical siRNAs generated from the hairpin by the DEQOR protocol may represent a more realistic measure of cross-silencing potential. Analysis of larger sets of cross-species rescue experiments will be required to evaluate the predictive power of the DEQOR analysis.

We observed a broad range of outcomes in our cross-species RNAi rescue experiments that allow us to define simple rules for their interpretation. We propose that if a phenotypic rescue, albeit incomplete, is observed, the specificity of the RNAi knock-down need not be questioned any longer. If, however, no

Figure 3. Rescue of Cg25C phenotype by D. pseudoobscura fosmid. (a–d) Collagen IV (green) wraps the larval muscles in wild type (a) and is strongly reduced in Mef2-GAL4/UAS-Cg25C-IR (TF104536) (b) but rescued by FlyFos-pse-Cg25C (c); Collagen IV levels are not altered in Mef2-GAL4/UAS-vkg-IR (TF106812) (d); actin is visualised with phalloidin; size bar corresponds to 25 μm. (e) Quantification of larval size in Mef2-GAL4/UAS-Cg25C-IR (TF104536) larvae (red) rescued by FlyFos-pse-Cg25C (blue) and wild type (green). ***p<0.0001 (unpaired two-tailed t-test). 72–96 h after egg laying were assayed. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.g003
rescue is observed, it is necessary to determine whether the rescuing construct is active. This can be done by rescuing a classical mutant allele if available, or by showing, using antibody staining or RNA in situ, that the expression of the hetero-specific transgene mimics the expression of wild-type ortholog and is unperturbed in the RNAi genetic background. For the purpose of visualizing the rescue construct in a straightforward manner, it may be useful to tag the construct with a reporter such as GFP [15]. When these controls establish that the rescue construct is functional, the absence of RNAi rescue indicates that the observed phenotype is caused by an off-target knock-down.

In the future we plan to establish a fosmid library for D. virilis to expand the spectrum of genes in which cross-species RNAi rescue is an option. However our bioinformatics analysis indicates that for approximately 1/3 of the genes even the distantly related Drosophilids diverged insufficiently to attempt cross-species RNAi rescue with confidence. It may be possible to optimize the placement of the targeting hairpin within the gene model to enable efficient cross-species rescue, but the existing transgenic RNAi libraries cannot benefit from this approach. Alternatively one can use recombineering manipulation to render D. melanogaster fosmid sequences RNAi immune by introducing silent mutations in the stretch covered by the hairpin [43]. Such strategy is costly and laborious despite the advances in high-throughput manipulation of large clones in bacteria.

The D. pseudoobscura fosmid library is freely available at http://transgenome.mpi-cbg.de/. The rescue with FlyFos clones is very simple; once a suitable clone containing the gene of interest is identified, it can be directly injected into D. melanogaster without additional modification. Hence, our system is simpler than the fosmid retrofitting approach developed by Kondo et. al. [14]. After transgenesis, that can be efficiently performed by a company, the fosmids marked with dsRed in eyes and ocelli can be easily recombined with most existing GAL4 lines or hairpin constructs.

The D. pseudoobscura fosmid library is freely available at http://transgenome.mpi-cbg.de/. The rescue with FlyFos clones is very simple; once a suitable clone containing the gene of interest is identified, it can be directly injected into D. melanogaster without additional modification. Hence, our system is simpler than the fosmid retrofitting approach developed by Kondo et. al. [14]. After transgenesis, that can be efficiently performed by a company, the fosmids marked with dsRed in eyes and ocelli can be easily recombined with most existing GAL4 lines or hairpin constructs.

In conclusion, cross-species rescue is a valid approach to demonstrate RNAi specificity and thus may complement the vast number of in vivo RNAi studies done in Drosophila [6,7,8,9]. It may go beyond the mere rescue of an RNAi loss of function phenotype as it can also be applied to perform structure-function analysis in an RNAi knock-down background [44]. The fosmids can easily be engineered by liquid culture recombineering to delete or modify specific protein domains or single critical amino acids [13,15,45]. This will enable systematic structure-function studies for genes, for which no mutants are available, or more importantly mutants that display highly pleiotropic phenotypes.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Genomic region of D. pseudoobscura fosmids. Screenshots of gbrowse representations of the genomic regions of D. pseudoobscura genome corresponding to extent of the fosmids used in rescue experiments. The gene orthologous to the D. melanogaster gene knocked-down by RNAi is marked by the presence of its transcript and CDS. The FlyFos identifier and mapping coordinates of end-sequences of the fosmid on D. pseudoobscura genome are shown on top of each gbrowse view.

Figure 4. Phenotypic rescue of parvin by D. pseudoobscura fosmid. (a–c) Rounded/myospheroid muscle phenotype in Met2-GAL4/UAS-parvinIR (TF11670) (a) is rescued by FlyFos-pse-parvin (b) to wild type (c); size bar corresponds to 100 µm. (d) Quantification of myospheroid phenotype rescue, percentage of segments containing rounded muscles are shown, below the total numbers of segments scored. (e) Quantification of larval size in Met2-GAL4/UAS-parvinIR larva (red), rescued by one (light blue) or two copies of FlyFos-pse-parvin (dark blue), compared to wild type (green). Larvae 48–72 h after egg laying were assayed. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM). ***p<0.0001 (unpaired two-tailed t-test) compared to rescued larvae.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.g004
**Figure S2** Mical mutant and RNAi phenotype Indirect flight muscles (a–d) and myofibrils of these IFMs (e–g) in wild type (a, e) Mical mutants (b, f), Mef2-GAL4/UAS-Mical-IR (TF25372) (c, g) and Mical mutants carrying the FlyFos-pse-Mical (d, h). Actin is visualised by phalloidin; size bar in (a–d) corresponds to 100 µm, in (e–g) to 10 µm.

**Figure S3** Cg25C and vkg genomic locus and collagen IV protein expression. (a) Screenshot of gbrowse representation of the genomic regions of D. melanogaster Cg25C and vkg; the position of the P-elements vkg[^1] and Cg25C[^2] are indicated according to Flybase. (b–g) Stage 16 (b–d) and stage 17 (e–g) wild-type (b, c), Cg25C[^4]/Df(2L)Exel7022 (c, f) and vkg[^8]/Df(2L)Exel7022 (d, g) embryos are stained for Mhc in green and Collagen IV in red; size bar corresponds to 50 µm.

**Figure S4** Rescue of parvin knock-down. Larva of 48–72 h (a–c) or 72–96 h (d–f) were imaged at the same magnification. Mef2-GAL4/UAS-parvinIR (TF11670) (a, d) stay tiny compared to Mef2-GAL4/UAS-parvinIR, FlyFos-pse-parvin (b, e) and UAS-parvin-IR/+ control larvae (c, f). Size bar corresponds to 1 mm.

---

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.s001 (0.93 MB TIF)

**Figure S2**

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.s002 (2.19 MB TIF)

**Figure S3**

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.s003 (3.37 MB TIF)

**Figure S4**

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008928.s004 (1.94 MB TIF)
Acknowledgments

We thank VDRC, the Bloomington stock centre and Hermann Abeerle for fly stocks. We are grateful to Elisabeth Knust, Judith Saide, Bellinda Bullard and John Fessler for discussions and generous gift of antibodies. We thank Vinneeth Surendranath for help with DEQOR analysis.

References


Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FS PT. Performed the experiments: CCHL RE CS. Analyzed the data: CCHL RE FS PT. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FS PT. Wrote the paper: FS PT.

PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org 10 January 2010 | Volume 5 | Issue 1 | e8928

Cross-Species RNAi Rescue


Suppl. Figure 3
Suppl. Figure 4
Publication II
Three-dimensional reconstruction and segmentation of intact Drosophila by ultramicroscopy
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Genetic mutants are invaluable for understanding the development, physiology and behaviour of Drosophila. Modern molecular genetic techniques enable the rapid generation of large numbers of different mutants. To phenotype these mutants sophisticated microscopy techniques are required, ideally allowing the 3D-reconstruction of the anatomy of an adult fly from a single scan. Ultramicroscopy enables up to cm fields of view, whilst providing micron resolution. In this paper, we present ultramicroscopy reconstructions of the flight musculature, the nervous system, and the digestive tract of entire, chemically cleared, drosophila in autofluorescent light. The 3D-reconstructions thus obtained verify that the anatomy of a whole fly, including the filigree spatial organization of the direct flight muscles, can be analysed from a single ultramicroscopy reconstruction. The recording procedure, including 3D-reconstruction using standard software, takes no longer than 30 min. Additionally, image segmentation, which would allow for further quantitative analysis, was performed.

Keywords: ultramicroscopy, imaging, Drosophila, segmentation, light sheet microscopy, morphology, phenotyping, flight muscle

INTRODUCTION

For several decades, Drosophila melanogaster serves as an outstanding model organism in genetic research. Its high fecundity and its simple cultivation make Drosophila optimally suited for high-throughput screening of the many defined genetic aberrations generated by modern molecular biology approaches. Ideally, a microscopy device for high-throughput phenotyping of Drosophila mutants should allow the 3D-reconstruction of virtually the whole anatomy of a fly in a single scan taking no longer than several minutes. Standard confocal or two photon microscopes provide the required resolution, but since both work best with high magnification objectives the provided fields of view are too small to image a complete fly in a single pass. Ultramicroscopy (Figure 1) works also well with low power objectives, providing excellent spatial resolution and optical sectioning quality, comparable to confocal microscopy (Dödt et al., 2007; Jährling et al., 2008). In this paper we present 3D-reconstructions and segmentations of Drosophila organs obtained from an ultramicroscopy scan of an intact, chemically cleared adult fly.

A detailed anatomical atlas about the internal anatomy of Drosophila was first presented by Miller (1950), and later extended by Hartenstein (1993). The basic organ systems of Drosophila are the nervous system, the intestinal tract and the musculature. The Drosophila’s muscular system consists of multiple contractile fibres arranged in distinct groups or layers (Miller, 1950). In the thorax flight, jump and leg muscles are the most prominent. Flight muscles are classified into direct flight muscles (DFMs) and indirect flight muscles (IFMs), according to their functional role in wing movement. The large IFMs of the mesothorax function as a single contractile unit, generating the main propulsion during flight (Dutta et al., 2004). They are formed by the dorsal longitudinal muscles (DLMs) consisting of six fibres, and three groups of dorsolateral muscles (DVM-I, DVM-II, and DVM-III) in each half of the thorax. DVM-I consists of three fibres, DVM-II and DVM-III consist of two fibres, each (Dutta et al., 2004). The largest muscle of the mesothorax is the tergal depressor of the trochanter (TDT), also called jump muscle enabling jump start of the fly (Dutta et al., 2004). Unlike the IFMs, which generate the power for the wing beat, the DFMs are responsible for adjusting the orientation of the wings during flight. They are attached to the wing base in such a way that they can generate subtle torsions of the wings, which are responsible for controlling the direction of flight. Due to their filigree structure and their complex spatial arrangement, 3D-reconstructions of the DFMs in the entire fly are challenging, demonstrating the strengths of ultramicroscopy. The central nervous system (CNS) of Drosophila comprises the dorsally located brain enclosed by the head capsule, the thoraco-abdominal ganglion (ThAGl), and the cervical connective (CN), which connects the brain with the ThAGl (Hartenstein, 1993). In Drosophila the different thoracic ganglia are merged into two bonded masses of neural tissue, no ganglia exist in the abdomen (Miller, 1950).

3D-reconstructions of chemically cleared entire Drosophila were performed, using the ultramicroscopy setup described in Becker et al. (2008). The obtained reconstructions give detailed insight into the anatomy of the flight musculature, the nervous system, and the intestinal tract.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

PREPARATION AND CLEARING
Adult white eyed Drosophila, w[1118], were killed by ether and fixed at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight. Flies were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (50, 70, 96, 100% for 1 h, last step overnight), and incubated in clearing solution, consisting of two parts benzyl benzoate and one part benzyl alcohol (BABB, Spalteholz, 1914) for at least 4 h, until they became almost transparent (Figure 2).

ULTRAMICROSCOPY
For ultramicroscopy, the setup described in Becker et al. (2008) was used. Imaging was performed by exciting autofluorescence using a 488-nm, 200 mW diode laser (Sapphire, Coherent, Germany). Images were recorded using a 10× objective (N.A. 0.3), and a CoolSnap K4 camera with 2048 × 2048 pixels (Roper Scientific, Germany).

SEGMENTATION AND 3D-RECONSTRUCTION
Manual image segmentation was performed, based on the visual shape of anatomical structures of interest. These structures were marked using an interactive pen display (Wacom Cintiq 12WX, Germany) in three orthogonal spatial orientations. The borders of the encircled structures were smoothed using a 6 × 6 Gaussian filter. The visualization software Amira 5.2 (Visage Imaging, Germany), running on a computer with two quad-core processors a 2.5-GHz, 32 GB RAM, and an FX-5800 (NVIDIA, Germany) graphic processor board, was used for all image processing.

RESULTS
An adult fly was three dimensionally reconstructed from an ultramicroscopy stack consisting of 579 images of 2048 × 2048 pixels each, and 1.66 µm vertical spacing (Figure 3). The surface (Figure 3A), the situs of various inner organs, and the musculature (Figures 3B,D) of the entire fly is demonstrated. Figures 3B,C show the six pairs of DLMs forming the IFMs. The brain and the ThAGl are well visible. The six DFMIs attached to the base of each wing (Ghazi et al., 2000) are easily addressed as DFM49–DFM54 (D). DFM52 can only rudimentarily be identified, because it is clipped by the viewing plane.

The raw optical sections underlying Figure 3, and additional virtual sections from two directions orthogonal to the recording plane were used for manual segmentation (Figures 4A–C). Different organs were marked in different colours. From the segmented planes we generated a 3D model and superimposed it on a digitally reconstructed radiography of the fly (Figures 5A–B). Figures 5C,D show the DLMs in dark blue. Laterally, three further groups of DVMs (DVM-I, DVM-II, DVM-III) are shown in light blue. The DFMIs are coloured in yellow. The brain, the CN, and the ThAGl, being the fundamental parts of the CNS, are marked in three dissimilar green tones. Above the ThAGl parts of the intestinal system are shown in red and brown colours. The cibarium (CB) crosses the brain, leading to the oesophagus (ES), which connects to the proventriculus (PV). It is followed by the gut, and the unpaired asymmetrically located crop (CR).

DISCUSSION
We have demonstrated that ultramicroscopy allows the 3D-reconstruction of the inner anatomy of entire, cleared Drosophila. The time needed for a complete scan of a single
fly, including 3D-reconstruction using Amira, is below 30 min. As mechanical slicing of the specimen is avoided, artefacts, such as tissue disruptions or dislocations due to the microtome knife do not occur. 3D-reconstructions of entire cleared *Drosophila* have previously been performed by McGurk et al. (2007) using optical projection tomography (OPT), mainly focussing on the IFMs. Our method not only provides a much more detailed 3D-reconstruction of the IFMs and the TDT, but also highlights the organization of the DFMs. The filigree muscles DFM49 up to DFM54 can be clearly visualized. Ultramicroscopy allows resolutions of <10 µm, and can resolve structures down to the size of single dendritic spines (Dodt et al., 2007). By combining ultramicroscopy with GFP expression (Dodt et al., 2007), immunolabeling (Jährling et al., 2008), or lectin-staining (Jährling et al., 2009) morphological structures not visible by observations in autofluorescent light can be visualized. The instrumentation effort for an ultramicroscopy setup is moderate. Standard software developed for confocal microscopy can be used for 3D-reconstruction.

Ultramicroscopy data can be segmented for further analysis with respect to various anatomical structures. We presented segmentations of the major parts of the nervous system, the musculature and the intestinal tract. While such segmentations on a manual basis presently still are relatively costly in terms of labour, future developments in the field of computational bioimage processing may allow a semi-automatic processing of anatomical structures of interest. A promising approach in this field may be model based segmentation algorithms, which contain some integrated ‘knowledge’ about the general geometry of various anatomical structures and their variability (Peng, 2009; Heimann and Meinzer, 2009).

*Drosophila* is an important model organism for studying the function of genes linked to neuro-degenerative diseases and how these mutations lead to dysfunction (Lu and Vogel, 2009). Recent development of RNAi libraries allows now the systematic, genome-wide analysis of tissue morphogenesis (Dietzl et al., 2007). Since these questions are now in the focus of current molecular genetic research, ultramicroscopy may become important as an appropriate tool for rapid scanning of experimentally generated fly mutants.
FIGURE 4 | Cross sections in three orthogonal directions (A–C) were used to segment anatomical structures in three different orientations. ES, oesophagus; CN, cervical connective; DLMs, dorsal longitudinal muscles; DVM-I/-II/-III, dorsal–ventral muscles; PV, proventriculus; TDT, tergal depressor of the trochanter; ThAGl, thoracico-abdominal ganglion. I: Sagittal plane, II: transversal plane, III: coronal plane. (A) Sagittal optical slice. (B) Computed coronal slice. (C) Computed transversal slice. Length of scale bars 100 µm.
FIGURE 5 | Segmentation of Drosophila organs. (A,B) Overview of the entire fly, illustrating major components of the nervous system, the intestinal tract, and the musculature. (C,D) Detail of the flight musculature. DLM, dorsal longitudinal muscles (dark-blue); DVM-I/II/III, dorsal–ventral muscles (blue); DFM49–DFM54, direct flight muscles (yellow); TDT, tergal depressor of the trochanter (light-blue). (E–F) Detail of the CNS and the intestinal system. Brain (dark green), CN, cervical connective (green); ThAGl, thoracico-abdominal ganglion, (light-green); CB, cibarium (light-rose); ES, oesophagus (rose); PV, proventriculus (red); SGs, pair of salivary glands (brown); CR, crop (orange). Length of scale bar 200 µm.
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Publication III
Systematic genetic analysis of muscle morphogenesis and function in Drosophila
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Systematic genetic approaches have provided deep insight into the molecular and cellular mechanisms that operate in simple unicellular organisms. For multicellular organisms, however, the pleiotropy of gene function has largely restricted such approaches to the study of early embryogenesis. With the availability of genome-wide transgenic RNA interference (RNAi) libraries in Drosophila1–4, it is now possible to perform a systematic genetic dissection of any cell or tissue type at any stage of the lifespan. Here we apply these methods to define the genetic basis for formation and function of the Drosophila muscle. We identify a role in muscle for 2,785 genes, many of which we assign to specific functions in the organization of muscles, myofibrils or sarcomeres. Many of these genes are phylogenetically conserved, including genes implicated in mammalian sarcomere organization and human muscle diseases.

Muscle biology is an attractive target for analysis by genome-wide transgenic RNAi. The basic cell and developmental biology of muscles is largely conserved from insects to mammals5–8, and their multinucleate architecture renders them inaccessible to conventional genetic mosaic strategies. To systematically disrupt gene functions exclusively in the muscles of intact animals, we crossed the muscle-specific Mef2-GAL4 driver to each of the UAS-IR transgenic RNAi lines in our genome-wide library. Progeny from these crosses were assayed for viability, posture, locomotion and flight. We screened a total of 17,759 RNAi lines, representing 10,461 distinct genes. A total of 3,909 lines and 2,785 genes were scored as defective in one or more of these assays (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

This screen identified 73 of 77 positive control genes (Supplementary Table 3), suggesting a false-negative rate of just 5%. To assess the false-positive rate, we compiled a negative control list of 79 genes (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). This list includes splicing factors and His2A (Fig. 2f). The ‘rounded muscles’ class includes several signalling molecules, such as the FGF receptor heartless, Anxb11, the actin regulator x-actinin and several RNA-binding proteins (for example, CG5800, Fig. 2g). In these mutants, the muscles still generally attach to the appropriate tendon cells. The ‘missing muscles’ class includes known muscle attachment factors such as integrins, ILK

We distinguished three classes of defect in muscle morphology (Fig. 2c–k): ‘split myofibril’ (53 genes), ‘missing muscles’ (8 genes) and ‘rounded muscles’ (13 genes), in which muscles are either split into thinner myofibrils, are missing or have the rounded appearance characteristic of muscles that undergo normal morphogenesis but fail to form stable attachments. The ‘split myofibril’ class includes several signalling molecules, such as the FGF receptor heartless, Anxb11, the actin regulator x-actinin and several RNA-binding proteins (for example, CG5800, Fig. 2g). In these mutants, the muscles still generally attach to the appropriate tendon cells. The ‘missing muscles’ class includes known splicing factors and His2A (Fig. 2f). The ‘rounded muscles’ class includes known muscle attachment factors such as integrins, ILK.

We began the detailed analysis of these muscle phenotypes by examining the morphology of the larval body wall muscles (Supplementary Fig. 1). Reasoning that defects in these muscles are most likely to result in embryonic or larval lethality, we focused on the 436 genes that fell into these phenotypic classes in the primary screen (excluding for technical reasons those lines that were not viable as homozygotes). For each of these lines, muscle organization was visualized in live animals using a green fluorescent protein (GFP) marker that specifically labels the sarcomeric Z-line. Defects were readily observed for 190 genes, either in overall muscle morphology (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 6) or sarcomeric organization (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 7).

Figure 1 | Phenotypic classification of primary screen. a, Distribution of genes into phenotypic classes in the primary muscle screen. b, Distribution of the lethal stages for all essential genes.
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and rhea, the fly Talin orthologue (Fig. 2d), as well as the fly Parvin orthologue. We also defined three classes of defect in sarcomeric organization (Fig. 2l–v): ‘fading Z’ (94 genes), ‘spotty Z’ (50 genes) and ‘clumpy Z’ (18 genes), in which the Z-lines are either reduced, discontinuous or appear as large irregular aggregates, respectively. The ‘fading Z’ class is exemplified by a-actinin (Actn; Fig. 2p), and the ‘spotty Z’ class by how (Fig. 2o), which encodes an RNA-binding protein required for muscle development8, and bent (Fig. 2m), which encodes a titin- or projectin-like protein9. Most of the known sarcomeric components (bt, sls, Mhc, actin, Tm2, TpnC47D, and TpnC73F) fell into the spotty Z class. The ‘clumpy Z’ class includes flare (CG10724), the recently identified fly orthologue of actin interacting protein 1 (AIP1), a regulator of F-actin disassembly10 and Basigin (Bsg), a muscle transmembrane protein required for formation of the neuromuscular junction11 (Fig. 2q, r). We confirmed efficient knockdown of several of these proteins by antibody staining (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We performed a similar analysis of muscle morphology in adults, using phalloidin staining to visualize the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) for all RNAi lines that scored as flightless in the primary screen (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Fig. 1a). The large regular structure of the IFMs and their critical role in flight make them ideal models for

Figure 2 | Larval muscle screen. a, b, Muscle (a) and sarcomere (b) morphology classes of the larval body muscles. c–k, Wild-type and mutant phenotypes: normal muscles in wild-type embryos (c, h) compared with rounded muscles in UAS-rhea-IR (TF40400) (d, i), wild-type L3 larval muscles (e), missing muscles in UAS-CG33865-IR (TF39116) (f, j) and split muscles in UAS-CG5800 (TF27519) (g, k). l–r, Sarcomere morphology and rhea, the fly Talin orthologue (Fig. 2d), as well as the fly Parvin orthologue.

We also defined three classes of defect in sarcomeric organization (Fig. 2l–v): ‘fading Z’ (94 genes), ‘spotty Z’ (50 genes) and ‘clumpy Z’ (18 genes), in which the Z-lines are either reduced, discontinuous or appear as large irregular aggregates, respectively. The ‘fading Z’ class is exemplified by a-actinin (Actn; Fig. 2p), and the ‘spotty Z’ class by how (Fig. 2o), which encodes an RNA-binding protein required for muscle development8, and bent (Fig. 2m), which encodes a titin- or projectin-like protein9. Most of the known sarcomeric components (bt, sls, Mhc, actin, Tm2, TpnC47D, and TpnC73F) fell into the spotty Z class. The ‘clumpy Z’ class includes flare (CG10724), the recently identified fly orthologue of actin interacting protein 1 (AIP1), a regulator of F-actin disassembly10 and Basigin (Bsg), a muscle transmembrane protein required for formation of the neuromuscular junction11 (Fig. 2q, r). We confirmed efficient knockdown of several of these proteins by antibody staining (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We performed a similar analysis of muscle morphology in adults, using phalloidin staining to visualize the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) for all RNAi lines that scored as flightless in the primary screen (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Fig. 1a). The large regular structure of the IFMs and their critical role in flight make them ideal models for
studying muscle structure and function\textsuperscript{12,13}. Moreover, unlike the larval body wall muscles, IFMs resemble vertebrate muscles in their construction from multiple fibres, each composed of many myofibrils\textsuperscript{14}. Defects in IFMs were observed in 196 of the 328 flightless genes tested. We assigned each of these genes to one or more of nine distinct phenotypic categories, based on defects in overall muscle morphology (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Table 8), myofibril morphology (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Table 9) or sarcomeric organization (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 10).

The two classes of defect in overall IFM morphology were ‘missing IFMs’ (55 genes) and ‘irregular IFMs’ (12 genes). The ‘missing IFM’ class includes parkin (park) and flightin (fli) (Fig. 3e), both previously associated with IFM degeneration\textsuperscript{15,16}, as well as several transcription factors that may contribute to the specification of individual muscles (Supplementary Table 8). The ‘irregular IFM’ class includes flightless I (fli; Fig. 3f, g) and MICAL, which serve as positive controls\textsuperscript{17,18}, as well as CG8578, the mouse orthologue of which (LRRFIP2) interacts with the leucine-rich repeats of mouse FliI\textsuperscript{19}.

We defined four classes of myofibril defect: ‘degenerate’ (39 genes), ‘irregular’ (7 genes), ‘frayed’ (97 genes) and ‘trapezoid’ (29 genes). Most genes in the ‘degenerate’ myofibril class were also classified as ‘missing IFMs’. Distinct myofibrils are difficult to discern in these lines, as is observed upon knockdown of the band 4.1 septate junction protein encoded by coracle\textsuperscript{20} (Fig. 3i). The ‘irregular’ myofibril class is characterized by misoriented and disorganized myofibrils, as seen with fli (Fig. 3j) and Supplementary Fig. 3) or its interactor CG8578 (Fig. 3o). Fill protein localizes to M- as well as Z-lines, both of which are severely disrupted upon knockdown of fli or CG8578 (Fig. 3o and Supplementary Fig. 3a, b), and in fli mutants (Fig. 3n). In the ‘frayed’ class, myofibrils are unusually thin and often frayed at the edges, as for example upon knockdown of the actin regulator coronin (Fig. 3i), and the M- and Z-lines are also thinner and appear bent at the frayed edges of the myofibril (Supplementary Fig. 4d). The ‘trapezoid’ class is characterized by myofibrils with a zigzag-like structure, usually with thick Z-lines and thinner actin filaments towards the M-lines. One gene in this class is the adducin homologue hts (Fig. 3k and Supplementary Fig. 4f, g). For 49 genes, mostly of the ‘trapezoid’ and ‘frayed’ classes, we also observed large actin aggregates (Fig. 3m and Supplementary Table 9) that are reminiscent of so-called zebra bodies\textsuperscript{21}, possibly collapsed Z-lines, that are commonly observed in human nemaline myopathies\textsuperscript{22}.

Three further phenotypic classes were based on sarcomere organization: ‘no sarcomere’ (39 genes), ‘no M’ (12 genes) and ‘fuzzy Z’ (99 genes). The ‘no sarcomere’ set generally coincides with the degenerate myofibril and missing IFM classes (Fig. 3s, w and Supplementary Fig. 3e). The ‘no M’ class is characterized by sarcomeres with an apparently normal Z-line, but no discernable M-line in phalloidin stainings (Fig. 3q, u). This is seen upon knockdown of the obscurin homologue unc-89 (Fig. 3q), and in unc-89 mutants (B. Ballard, personal communication). Staining unc-89 knockdown IFMs with the M-line marker anti-Mhc revealed that the M-line is indeed present, but is significantly broadened and invaded by thin actin filaments (Supplementary Fig. 5a, b). Knockdown of the potassium channel eag leads to a more severe M-line phenotype with complete absence of

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3** | Adult muscle screen. **a–c.** Distribution of muscle (a), myofibril (b) and sarcomere (c) morphology of the adult IFMs. **d–g.** Normal IFMs in wild type (d), missing IFMs in UAS-fli-IR (TF46153) (e), irregular IFMs in UAS-fli-IR (TF39528) (f) and fli mutant (g). **h–o.** Normal myofibril morphology in wild type (h), frayed in UAS-coro-IR (TF44671) (i), trapezoid in UAS-hits-IR (29101) (j), irregular in UAS-fli-IR (TF39528) (k), fli mutants (n) and UAS-CG8578-IR (TF35968) (o), degenerated IFMs in UAS-coro-IR (TF9787) (l) and actin blobs in UAS-CG14260 (TF17452) (m). **p–w.** Normal sarcomere morphology in wild type (p), no visible M-line in UAS-unc89-IR (TF29412) (q), fuzzy Z in UAS-hits-IR (TF29101) (r) and no sarcomeres in UAS-CG13366 (TF29606) (s), and the respective schematics (t–w). Scale bars, 100 μm (d–g), 10 μm (h–o), 5 μm (p–s).
that the actin defects in these lines correlate with impaired contractility. Another noticeable enrichment is the GO term ‘muscle type class, consistent with the failure to form a stable muscle attachment’ and ‘cell-matrix adhesion’ in the ‘rounded’ phenotypic classes. Significantly enriched in the set of genes (Supplementary Fig. 6).

In contrast, mRNA levels of predicted off-target genes (defined as targets for which expression data were available) are not altered any more than mRNA levels of other randomly selected genes of the ‘irregular’ myofibril class and the ‘no M’ class. This analysis generally coincides with the frayed and trapezoidal classes of myofibril defects. We analysed RNA levels in isolated flight muscles for selected genes known to be differentially expressed in adult muscle precursors (Supplementary Table 12).

Previously, studies have sought to identify muscle genes systematically either by expression profiling (http://www.fruitfly.org/cgi-bin/ex/insitu.pl) or chromatin immunoprecipitation of Mef2-binding sites (both of which have been focused on embryos. More than half of the muscle-expressed genes (285 of 504, P < 10^{-15}, Fig. 4b) were functionally validated in our screen. A total of 30 genes are positive in all three data sets, representing a set of Mef2 target genes with confirmed muscle expression and function (Supplementary Table 11). Almost half of these had no functional assignment before this study. Similar large-scale gene expression and Mef2 target data are not yet available for later developmental stages, but we note that our RNAi screen has assigned functions to 10 of 12 target genes with confirmed muscle expression and function (Supplementary Table 11), and to 10 of 14 genes predicted to function in terminally differentiated muscle (Supplementary Table 12).

Finally, we found that the genes with a muscle RNAi phenotype are, on average, much better conserved than those with no phenotype (Fig. 4c). Moreover, examination of the OMIM database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim) revealed that these conserved genes are enriched for genes implicated in human muscle diseases, but not diseases that affect other tissues (Fig. 4d).

Figure 4 | Bioinformatic analyses. a, GO-term enrichment in the various phenotypic classes. b, Overlap of genes expressed in muscles, predicted Mef2 targets and positives in the Mef2 RNAi screen. Only genes tested in the RNAi screen are included (n = 10,460 for Mef2), and only Mef2 high-confidence targets for which expression data were available are included (n = 107).

Mhc at the M-line (Supplementary Fig. 5c). This may explain why cag mutants display severe flight defects (25). Surprisingly, we find upon knockdown of the Par domain transcription factor pdp1 an overlap of Z- and M-lines (Supplementary Fig. 5d), suggesting that mesodermal pdp1 (ref. 24) regulates correct sarcomere assembly. The ‘fuzzy Z’ class is characterized by a broadening of the Z-line (Fig. 3r, v), and generally coincides with the frayed and trapezoidal classes of myofibril defect. We analysed RNA levels in isolated flight muscles for selected genes of the ‘irregular’ myofibril class and the ‘no M’ class. This analysis confirmed a significant knockdown of the target messenger RNA. In contrast, mRNA levels of predicted off-target genes (defined as having at least one perfect 16 nucleotide match to the hairpin) were not altered any more than mRNA levels of other randomly selected genes (Supplementary Fig. 6).

We examined the gene ontology (GO) database (www.geneontology.org) to assess the representation of various gene classes in each of our phenotypic categories (Fig. 4a). GO terms related to muscles are all significantly enriched in the set of Mef2-positive genes, particularly in the embryonic lethals. We also note an enrichment of the GO terms ‘muscle attachment’ and ‘cell-matrix adhesion’ in the ‘rounded’ phenotype class, consistent with the failure to form a stable muscle attachment in these lines. Another noticeable enrichment is the GO term ‘muscle contraction’ within the ‘spotty Z’ class of sarcomeric defects, suggesting that the actin defects in these lines correlate with impaired contractility.
METHODS SUMMARY

All RNAi crosses to Mef2-GAL4 were performed at 27 °C and males were assayed at day 7 of adult life blind to the genotype. Positives were also retested blind, along with previously untested lines in the primary screen. Where multiple RNAi lines for the same gene resulted in different phenotypes, the gene was assigned the strongest of these phenotypes. Larval muscles were visualized with ZCL0663, a GFP trap in CG6416 labelling the Z-line; adult flight muscles were bisected and stained with phalloidin.
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SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

**Mef2 primary screen.** RNAi hairpins from the VDRC collection were crossed to *Mef2-GAL4* at 27°C. After 2 weeks lethality rate and stage was scored, and if possible 20-30 males containing *Mef2-GAL4* and *UAS-IR* were sorted and incubated for another 7 days at 27°C, at which time adult viability, wing posture and flight were scored as previously described. Every positive line was retested once or twice, blind to both the genotype and the outcome of the initial assay. Scores were averaged. Only lines with a score better than 0.5 were scored in this work to avoid unspecific phenotypes (Supplementary Fig. 7). For a gene with two *UAS-IR* lines, the stronger phenotype was used to assign the phenotypic class.

**Larval assay.** RNAi hairpins were crossed to *Mef2-GAL4, ZCL0663*, a GFP trap in *CG6416* labelling the Z-line, and progeny assayed after 2–5 days at 27°C, depending on the lethality stage observed in the initial screen. Larvae were immobilised by placing them into 65°C water for about 1 sec, and then mounted in 50% glycerol. Images were acquired on a Zeiss Axiophot or Zeiss AxioImagerZ1 at 10x and 20x and analysed with Metamorph software.

**Embryo and larval staining.** Embryos were stained as described with mouse anti-Mhc 3e8 (1:100; ref. 29) and rat anti-Projectin MAC150 (1:100) (Babraham Institute). For larval stainings larva-files were prepared as described with the modification that dissections were done in relaxing solution (20mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; 5 mM MgC\textsubscript{2}; 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM ATP). Samples stained with rat anti-How (1:100; ref. 31) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), samples stained with rat anti-\textalpha-Actinin MAK276 (1:3; ref. 32) (Brabraham Institute) were fixed with ice cold MeOH. All incubations were performed in PBST (PBS + 0.2% Tx100) instead of PBSTween. Mouse anti-Mhc 3e8 was used (1:30; ref. 29). Images were taken with a Leica SP2 with a 40x objective and processed with ImageJ and Photoshop.
**Adult muscle assay.** Hemi-thoraces from 7–10 day old *Mef2-GAL4 UAS-IR* adults were prepared by removing head, legs and abdomen with scissors, fixing the thorax in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in relaxing solution (20mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; 5 mM MgCl₂; 5 mM EGTA) for 5-10 min and bisecting the thoraces sagittally with a sharp microtome blade. Hemi-thoraces were incubated for 15-20 min in relaxing solution, fixed for 10 min in 4% PFA in relaxing solution, washed 2x in PBST (PBS + 0,1% Tween) and incubated with rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes) for 30 min (1:500 in PBST). After washing 2x for 10 min in PBST, samples were mounted in 50% glycerol and imaged with a Zeiss LSM 510 or Leica SP2 with 10x and 100x objectives to analyze muscle fibers and myofibrils, respectively. For immunohistochemistry hemi-thoraces were prepared as above, apart from incubating the thorax in relaxing solution supplemented with 3% normal goat serum for 20 min before fixation. Hemi-thoraces were incubated with primary and secondary antibodies for 1 h each in PBS with 0.2% Triton-X100 and mounted in Vectashield. Mouse anti-Mhc 3e8 was used (1:50; ref. 29), rabbit anti-FliI sc-30046 were used 1:50 (Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-Unc-89 (1:500; ref. 33), rat anti-α-Actinin MAK276 (1:3; ref. 32, Brabraham Institute) and guinea pig anti-Tmod (1:100; ref 34).

**Microarrays.** IFM from 50 flies were dissected with fine forceps in PBS and the RNA was isolated using TriPure (Roche). RNA was labelled and hybridized to Agilent chips according to the manufacturer (Agilent). Only transcripts expressed above log threshold 8 were analysed. All experiments were performed in biological duplicates.

**Bioinformatics.** Hypergeometric distribution was used to assess the probability for a particular term to be enriched or depleted from a gene set compared with the reference set of all screened genes. A heatmap representation of scores is shown. To evaluate if the evolutionary conservation within a gene set is higher or lower than expected, we score the incidence of finding predicted orthologs for Flybase genes in a wide taxonomic range of species (At- *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Sc-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Mm-*Mus musculus*, Hs-*Homo sapiens*, Ce-*Caenorhabditis elegans*, Am-*Apis mellifera*, Ag-*Anopheles gambiae*). The ortholog resources used are Compara (v49), Inparanoid (v6.1), Orthomcl (v2), Homologene and eggNOG. For each gene
set potential functional conservation to human was evaluated using phenotype location data provided for disease-related OMIM records in their Clinical Synopsis (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim). Orthology information as above was used to establish links between Drosophila genes and human disease genes. To define the muscle expression set of genes we searched the BDGP database with the terms 'larval muscle', 'body muscle' and 'visceral muscle'.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | Screen overview

Overview of primary and secondary *Mef2-GAL4* screens. Genes identified in the primary screen were assigned to specific classes according to viability, flight, locomotion and wing posture, and selected genes assayed in secondary screens according to their phenotype.
Supplementary Figure 2 | Larval muscle protein knock-down.
Body muscles of stage 17 embryos stained with anti-Mhc in red and anti-Projectin in green in wild type (a), UAS-mhc-IR (TF7164) (b), and UAS-bt-IR (TF46253) (c); scale bar, 50 µm. Body muscles of L3 larvae stained with anti-Mhc in red and anti-Actinin (d, e) or anti-How (f, g) in green in wild type (d, f), UAS-actn-IR (TF7760) (e), and UAS-how-IR (TF13756) (g); larvae in (d) and (e) were fixed in methanol, scale bar, 25 µm.
Supplementary Figure 3 | IFM protein knock-down.

Myofibrils from adult flight muscles stained in with phalloidin in red, anti-α-FliI (a,b) in red or anti-Unc-89 (c,d) in green in wild type (a,c), UAS-fliI-IR (TF39528) (b), UAS-unc89-IR (TF29412) (d). Scale bar, 10 µm.
Supplementary Figure 4 | Myofibril morphology phenotypes.

(a-e) Myofibrils from adult flight muscles stained in with phalloidin in blue, anti-α-Actinin in red and anti-Mhc in green in wild type (a), UAS-fliI-IR (TF39528) (b), UAS-CG8578-IR (TF35968) (c), UAS-coro-IR (TF44671) (d), and UAS-cora-IR (TF9787) (e). Myofibrils stained from wild type (f) and UAS-hts-IR (g) stained with phalloidin in red, anti-Tmod in green and anti-Mhc in blue. Scale bar, 10 µm.
**Supplementary Figure 5 | No distinct M phenotype.**

Myofibrils from adult flight muscles stained with phalloidin in red and anti-Mhc in green in wild type (a), *UAS-unc-89-IR* (TF29412) (b), *UAS-eag-IR* (TF9127) (c), and *UAS-pdp1-IR* (TF37769) (d). Scale bar, 10 µm.
Supplementary Figure 6 | RNA levels in isolated IFMs.
Genome-wide expression profiles of dissected IFM fibers from wild–type adults compared to CG8578, fliI, unc89 and pdp1 knock-down. The log value of the fold change in mRNA levels compared to wild-type controls was determined for each gene expressed above a log threshold of 8. Genes were ranked according to the fold-change, and the rankings of the on-target gene and all predicted off-targets are shown. Off-targets were defined as having at least one exact 16mer match to the hairpin. Off-target genes show no enrichment for knock-down, whereas on-target mRNA levels are consistently reduced.
Supplementary Figure 7 | Choice of s_{19} cut off.

Number of RNAi lines scored positive in the *Mef2* screen, and in the library as a whole, binned according to s_{19} scores. Only lines with s_{19} > 0.5 were used in this study.
For Supplementary Tables 1-12, see separate Supplementary Information files.

**Supplementary Table 1 | Phenotypic classes in primary screen.**
Phenotypes in primary screen (Fig. 1b) listed by gene and transgenic RNAi line.

**Supplementary Table 2 | Lethal stages in primary screen.**
Lethality stages in primary screen (Fig. 1c) listed by gene and transgenic RNAi line.

**Supplementary Table 3 | Positive controls.**
Phenotypes of positive control genes in the primary Mef2-GAL4 screen, listed by gene and RNAi line.

**Supplementary Table 4 | Negative controls.**
Phenotypes of negative control genes in the primary Mef2-GAL4 screen.

**Supplementary Table 5 | Gene validation.**
List of genes tested with a second generation RNAi library.

**Supplementary Table 6 | Muscle morphology phenotypes in larval screen.**
Muscle morphology defects observed in the secondary screen of larval body wall muscles (Fig. 2a), as well as a list of all transformant lines tested.

**Supplementary Table 7 | Sarcomere morphology phenotypes in larval screen.**
Sarcomere morphology defects observed in the secondary screen of larval body wall muscles (Fig. 2b).

**Supplementary Table 8 | Muscle morphology phenotypes in IFM screen.**
Muscle morphology defects observed in the secondary screen of adult IFMs (Fig. 3a), as well as a list of all transformant lines tested.

**Supplementary Table 9 | Myofibril morphology phenotypes in IFM screen.**
Myofibril morphology defects observed in the secondary screen of adult IFMs (Fig. 3b), including a list of genes with actin blobs.
**Supplementary Table 10** | Sarcomere morphology phenotypes in IFM screen.
Sarcomere morphology defects observed in the secondary screen of adult IFMs (Fig. 3c).

**Supplementary Table 11** | Overlap of Mef2 positives with Mef2 targets and muscle expression.
List of genes that are predicted Mef2 target genes, expressed in muscles and positive in the Mef2 RNAi screen.

**Supplementary Table 12** | Overlap of Mef2 positives with late muscle cluster or genes expressed in adult muscle precursors.
List of genes positive in the Mef2 RNAi screen and found in the late muscle cluster or to be expressed in adult muscle precursors in wing discs.
Publication IV
Spalt mediates an evolutionarily conserved switch to fibrillar muscle fate in insects

Cornelia Schönbauer, Jutta Distler, Nina Jährling, Martin Radolf, Hans-Ulrich Dodt, Manfred Frasch & Frank Schnorrer

Flying insects oscillate their wings at high frequencies of up to 1,000 Hz and produce large mechanical forces of 80 W per kilogram of muscle. They utilize a pair of perpendicularly oriented indirect flight muscles that contain fibrillar, stretch-activated myofibres. In contrast, all other, more slowly contracting, insect body muscles have a tubular muscle morphology. Here we identify the transcription factor Spalt major (Salm) as a master regulator of fibrillar flight muscle fate in Drosophila. Salm is necessary and sufficient to induce fibrillar muscle fate. Salm switches the entire transcriptional program from tubular to fibrillar fate by regulating the expression and splicing of key sarcomeric components specific to each muscle type. Spalt function is conserved in insects evolutionarily separated by 280 million years. We propose that Spalt proteins switch myofibres from tubular to fibrillar fate during development, a function potentially conserved in the vertebrate heart—a stretch-activated muscle sharing features with insect flight muscle.

To generate fast wing oscillations, both indirect flight muscle (IFM) units are attached to the thoracic exoskeleton. The contraction of one unit, the dorsal-longitudinal flight muscles (DLMs), deforms the thorax and moves the wings down; simultaneously it stretches and hence activates the second IFM unit, the dorsoventral flight muscles (DVMs), which moves the wings up again, generating an oscillatory movement of thorax and wings at high frequency. IFMs have a unique fibrillar organization to achieve these asynchronous, stretch-activated contractions.

We performed a genome-wide RNA interference (RNAi) screen for muscle morphogenesis in Drosophila and identified a function for salm in IFM development. The conserved Spalt family of transcription factors has two members in Drosophila, spalt major (salm) and spalt related (sarl). RNAi knockdown of salm in muscle leads to viable but flightless animals with a reduced number of DLMs (Fig. 1a, b). Detailed analysis of the actin cytoskeleton revealed a striking change in fibre organization in salm knockdown IFMs: instead of the fibrillar IFM morphology with distinct, unaligned myofibrils and nuclei located between the fibrils (Fig. 1c, g and Supplementary Fig. 1a), these muscles show a tubular morphology normally found in leg muscle, with aligned myofibrils and nuclei located in the tube centre (Fig. 1d, i and Supplementary Fig. 1b). Leg muscles are normal in salm knockdown flies (Fig. 1e, f, h, j). We confirmed the RNAi knockdown specificity with a second independent hairpin targeting a different region of salm that shows an identical phenotype (data not shown) and by a small deletion that removes salm and its neighbouring gene nar (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d).

Adult muscles develop in pupae by fusion of undifferentiated adult muscle progenitors (AMPs). DLMs form by fusion of AMPs with three larval templates, inducing their splitting into the six DLMs at 14 h after pupa formation (APF) (at 27 °C). This splitting is inhibited in salm knockdown pupae (Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). In wild-type DLMs, myofibrils start to assemble at 30 h APF with characteristically spaced nuclei between the fibrils and distinct, unaligned fibrils visible by 45 h (Supplementary Fig. 2a–c, g–i). Leg myoblasts fuse and form tubular fibres with aligned filaments and nuclei located within the tube (Supplementary Fig. 2m–o). In salm knockdown IFMs, distinct fibrils never form; instead, a tubular organization similar to leg muscles develops (Supplementary Fig. 2d–f, j–l, p–r). Together, this evidence shows that salm is required to initiate IFM-specific muscle fate.

To investigate the mechanism of how salm determines IFM identity, we analysed salm expression. Salm is specifically expressed in adult IFMs, lost in salm knockdown and absent from leg muscles (Supplementary Fig. 3a–d). At 12 h APF Salm is present in the DLM templates to which the AMPs fuse. This expression increases after

Figure 1 | salm specifies fibrillar flight muscle. a, b, Drosophila wild-type (a) and Mef2-GAL4; UAS-salm-IR (where IR is inverted repeat) (TF3029) (b) hemi-thorax stained with phalloidin. Boxes indicate the approximate views (c–f). c, d, Tubular IFMs (DLMs) in wild type (c) are transformed to tubular IFMs (DLMs) in UAS-salm-IR (d). e, f, Tubular leg muscles in wild type (e) and UAS-salm-IR (f). g–j, Cross-sections of wild-type IFMs (g) and leg muscles (h) compared to tubular IFMs (i) and leg muscles (j) in Mef2-GAL4; UAS-salm-IR stained with phalloidin and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bars 100 μm in a, b, 10 μm in c–j.
template splitting at 24 h and is lost in salm knockdown IFMs (Fig. 2a, b, d, e and Supplementary Fig. 3e). Using a GAL4-reporter line we detect salm expression in the templates from 8 h APF onwards throughout IFM development (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Movie 3). With the same line, we confirmed that salm is absent in developing leg muscles (Fig. 2c, f), consistent with the idea that salm selects fibrillar muscle fate.

If salm indeed specifies fibrillar muscles, overexpressing salm in tubular muscle should switch its sarcomere organization from tubular to fibrillar. We ectopically expressed salm using Mef2-GAL4 in combination with Tub-GAL80ts and shifted the flies to restrictive temperature at 0 h APF, or using 1151-GALA, which is expressed in AMPs and developing muscles until about 40 h APF. In both cases, ectopic salm expression induces a clear transformation of the tubular leg muscles into fibrillar IFM-like muscles (Fig. 2g–i, m, n). As a consequence, these transformed leg muscles do not function properly and flies die as pharate adults. We find a similar transformation in the abdominal muscles upon ectopic salm expression (Fig. 2j–l, o, p). This demonstrates that salm is sufficient to specify fibrillar muscle fate and to switch the developmental program from tubular to fibrillar fate. In trachea and eyes salm or both salm and salr are required for developmental fate decisions. However, the selection of fibrillar flight muscle fate is largely specific to salm, as knockdown of salr by RNAi does not cause a tubular transformation, and ectopic expression of salr in leg or abdominal muscle does not result in a fibrillar transformation (Supplementary Fig. 5a–g). Consistently, we detect a gain of the

**Figure 2 | Salm expression is sufficient to induce fibrillar muscle fate.**

a–f, Wild-type (a, d) or salm knockdown DLMs (b, e) expressing Mef2-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma stained with anti-Salm at 12 h (a, b) and 24 h APF (d, e); asterisk indicates DVMs. c, f, Wild-type leg muscles labelled with Him-macGFP at 24 h APF (c) or Him-macGFP and mhc-TauGFP at 48 h APF (f). g–i, Phalloidin staining of late pupal leg muscles (90 h APF) (g–i) or abdominal muscles (j–l) of wild type (g, j), Tub-GAL80ts; Mef2-GAL4; UAS-salm shifted at 0 h APF from 18 °C to 30 °C (h, k) and 1151-GALA; UAS-salm (i, l). m–p, Cross-sections of leg and abdominal muscles of pupae with the indicated genotypes stained with phalloidin and DAPI. Scale bars, 10 μm.

As salm acts as a developmental switch, its muscle expression is restricted to IFMs. It is unclear how this precise expression is regulated. Salm is not expressed in larval AMPs (Supplementary Fig. 6a); however, the larval AMPs that build the IFMs do express the transcription factor vestigial (vg) (Supplementary Fig. 6d). vg-null flies lack wings and halteres and have a defect in their IFMs. We analysed the morphology of vg mutant IFMs in detail and notably found the same phenotype as in salm knockdown IFMs. vg mutant DLMs are reduced in number and show a tubular fibre phenotype (Fig. 3a, c, i). Their leg muscles are normal, which is as expected because these flies are viable and can walk (Fig. 3e). Importantly, Salm protein is lost in vg mutant IFMs (Fig. 3g). To investigate whether vg has an additional function downstream of salm, we expressed salm using 1151-GALA in vg mutants and found a complete rescue of the vg IFM phenotype (Fig. 3b, d, j). We did not observe a fibrillar transformation of leg muscles, possibly because Salm levels driven with 1151-GALA in vg mutant legs are too low to override the leg muscle fate (Fig. 3f, h).

Interestingly, overexpression of salr also results in some rescue of vg mutant IFMs, probably mediated by regained Salm expression (Supplementary Fig. 6d, i, l, o). Together this demonstrates that vg is required upstream of salm for its IFM expression, and that salm does not require vg to implement the fibrillar flight muscle program.

Interestingly, vg with its cofactor scalloped (sd) is not sufficient to induce fibrillar fate. Misexpression of vg and sd neither results in a fibrillar transformation nor in salm expression in leg muscles or wing

**Figure 3 | vg functions upstream of salm.** a, b, IFM phenotype of vg0 mutant hemi-thorax (a) is rescued by expression of UAS-salm with 1151-GALA (b). c–j, IFMs in vg0 are tubular (c; see i for cross-section), and are rescued by 1151-GALA; UAS-salm (d; see j for cross-section). Leg muscles are normal (e, f). Salm staining in vg0 IFMs (g), 1151-GALA; vg0, UAS-salm IFMs (h). Scale bars 100 μm in a, b, 10 μm in c–h.
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down (Fig. 4e, j), as opposed to the fibrillar morphology in control
injected animals (Fig. 4d, i). Hence,
spalt
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function as a regulator of fibrillar flight muscles is conserved in all
insects harbouring stretch-activated indirect flight muscles.
Mice and humans possess four
spalt-like
(SALL) genes, none of which are expressed in differentiated striated body muscles. This is not
surprising, as all vertebrate body muscles harbour aligned sarcomeres that resemble the tubular insect muscles. Interestingly, SALL1 and SALL3 are both expressed in mouse and human hearts, which contain distinct unaligned myofibrils in cardiomyocytes and utilize the stretch-modulated Frank–Starling contraction mechanism. Mutations in human SALL1 cause the heart abnormalities observed in Townes–Brocks syndrome, leading us to speculate that
spalt
function determines fibrillar stretch-activated muscle all the way up to vertebrates.

METHODS SUMMARY
All RNAi crosses were performed at 27°C. Adult or pupal flight or leg muscles were dissected and stained with phalloidin or antibodies. For early pupal stages muscles were dissected or pupae were embedded in agarose and sectioned. For time-lapse movies pupae were mounted in Voltalef oil and imaged using a spinning disc confocal.

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.
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METHODS

Fly strains and genetics. All fly work, unless otherwise stated, was performed at 27°C to enhance GAL4 activity. Two independent UAS-salm-IR lines (TF5029 and TF101052) were obtained from the VDRC stock centre. Sequences for all VDRC RNAi hairpins are deposited at http://stockcenter.vdrc.ac.at; TRiP sequences can be found at http://www.flyrnai.org. salr hairpins were crossed to Mef2-GAL4 (ref. 30). For knockdown of salr, we used TF28386 from the VDRC stock centre and the F03226 TRIP line driven with 115I-GAL4 (ref. 9) or Mef2-GAL4, respectively. For ectopic expression of salr, UAS-salr35 was crossed to Tub-GAL80ts; Mef2-GAL4 or 115I-GAL4 at 18°C and shifted to 30°C at 0 h APF to prevent early lethality. Similarly, crosses of UAS-salm, vg2 (ref. 15) with 115I-GAL4; vg2/CyO were kept at 18°C until 0 h APF and then shifted to 30°C. Misexpression of UAS-lbe and UAS-vg was performed with 115I-GAL4 at 25°C. For salr expression during pupal development, we used flies expressing salm-GAL4/CyO and him-nuclear-GFP, which marks undifferentiated myoblasts32, and with mhc-TauGFP33 labelling all differentiated muscles. For the salr mutant mitotic clones, the FRT cell-lethal method was used34. hs-Flip; Df(2L)232PF-5, FRT40A/c21L3, FRT40A larvae were grown at 25°C and heat-shocked twice for 60 min at 37°C on two consecutive days. The IFM phenotype of flightless animals was analysed as described below. To construct UAS-salr the 8.0-kb salr genomic region was amplified with gene-specific primers (tctgtagctgctggcag and ttgttgtctgctgta gaagc) from genomic DNA and cloned into pUAST. Transgenic lines were generated using standard procedures.

Analysis of IFMs and leg muscles. Hemi-thoraces for imaging Drosophila adult and pharate pupal IFM and leg muscles were prepared and stained as described35. Actin was visualized with rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes). Rabbit anti-Fln12, rabbit anti-Mf13, and rabbit anti-Mlp60 (ref. 14) were used at 1:50 or anti-Vg at 1:200.

Immunolabelling of larval imaginal discs. Dissection and staining of 3rd larval instar wing and leg discs was performed as described36. Wing and leg discs associated AMPs were labelled with 115I-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma and rabbit anti-Salm at 1:50 or anti-Vg at 1:200.

Time-lapse movies. Staged 8–10 h pupae were carefully cleaned with a wet brush and transferred into a custom-made slide with a slit fitting an entire pupa, dorsal side facing up. The pupa was slightly turned (10–20°) resulting in DLM templates facing up. A coverslip with a thin layer of 3S Voltalef oil facing the pupa was placed on top. Z-stack images were acquired every 5 min using a spinning disc confocal with a ×20 or ×40 objective (Zeiss, Visitron).

RNAi in Tribolium. A 3,320-bp Tc’sal (BeetleBase TC013501; GenBank CM000280.2) fragment was amplified from cDNA with gene-specific primers (Tc’sal P2 5’-GGGCCTCCACAGACACAAG-3’, Tc’sal P7 5’-CCCCGTGCTCTCCAGATGTC-3’) and cloned into pBluescript II. PCR templates from this clone were generated with a T7 and a fused T7–T7 primer and used for in vitro dsRNA synthesis with the MEGAscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Ambion). dsRNA injections of 4th and 5th instar larvae (Tribolium wild-type strain San Bernardino) were performed as described37. Larvae were anaesthetized on ice for 15 min and abdominally injected with spitz dsRNA (1 μg μl–1) until the larvae had stretched visibly. After injection the beetles were kept on flour (5% yeast, 0.5% fumagillin) at 32°C.

Microarray analysis. Wild-type IFMs, salm knockdown IFMs and leg muscles were dissected in PBS and homogenized in TriPure (Roche). RNA was extracted, labelled and hybrized to Agilent microarrays according to the manufacturer (Agilent). All experiments were performed in biological duplicates with one additional technical replicate. Log2 fold change ratios of genes expressed above threshold 8.5 were averaged. All raw data were submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE27502).

Western blot. Protein extracts from adult thoraces (without wings and legs), entire legs or dsRNA IFMs were blotted using standard procedures. Rabbit anti-Fln12, rabbit anti-Mf13, and rabbit anti-Mlp60 (ref. 14) were used at 1:10,000, and rabbit anti-Mlp64B at 1:20,000 (ref. 14).

**Supplementary Figure 1 | salm phenotype in DVMs and mutant clones.** (a and b) Fibrillar DVMs in wild type (a) are transformed to tubular DVMs in Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR (b). (c and d) salm, salr double mutant clone in IFMs at low (c) and high magnification (d) reveals a tubular IFM phenotype. Scale bar 10µm.
Supplementary Figure 2 | Late developmental IFM phenotype of salm. (a - f) Agarose sections of wild-type pupae (a - c) and UAS-salm-IR pupae (d - f) in which the forming DLMs were labelled with Mef2-GAL4; UAS-GFP-gma in green at 30h (a and d), 45h (b and e) and 60h (c and f). (small green cells are hemocytes containing the lysed remains of GFP expressing larval muscles). (g - l) Magnification of developing IFMs in wild-type (g - i) and UAS-salm-IR pupae (j - l) at the above time points; nuclei are stained with DAPI. Note the regularly arranged nuclei between the myofibrils in wild type and the centrally located nuclei in UAS-salm-IR tubular IFMs. (m - r) Forming leg muscles of wild type and UAS-salm-IR (p - r). Scale bar is 100 µm in a - f and 10 µm in g - r.
Supplementary Figure 3 | Salm expression in adult IFMs and pupal DVMs. (a - d) Wild-type DLMs (a), wild-type leg muscles (b), Meif2-Gal4; UAS-salm-IR DLMs (c) and wild-type DVMs (d) stained with anti-Salm, anti-Lamin and phalloidin. Note the specific expression of Salm in both types of IFMs but not leg muscles. (e) DVMs of 24h APF pupae expressing Meif2-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma (e) stained with anti-Salm; arrows in (e) indicate the developing DVMs above the DLMs. Scale bar is 10µm.
**Supplementary Figure 4 | salm is expressed in developing IFMs.** (a - f) salm-GAL4, UAS-CD8-GFP expression during pupal development in the forming DLMs at 10h APF before (a), during (b) and after template splitting (c). The region of DLM splitting is indicated by double-headed arrows in (b, c). Note salm-GAL4 expression in a chain forming subclass of myoblasts before and during splitting (arrowheads in a, b). salm-GAL4 expression persists in myofibers when myofibrils assemble (d - f). Images were taken from Supplementary movie 3. Time is indicated in minutes. Scale bar is 25µm.
Supplementary Figure 5 | salr is not required for fibrillar muscle type specification. (a - c) IFMs from 1151-GAL4; UAS-salr-IR VDRC RNAi line (a), Mef2-GAL4; salr TRiP RNAi line (b) and Tub-GAL80ts; Mef2-GAL4; UAS-salr shifted at 0h APF from 18°C to 30°C (c) were stained with phalloidin. Note that all IFMs remain fibrillar. (d - f) Leg muscles of the indicated genotypes. (g) Abdominal muscle of Tub-GAL80ts; Mef2-GAL4; UAS-salr. Note that salr in leg or abdominal muscles does not induce their fibrillar transformation (f, g). (h) Immunoblot of wild-type thoraces, IFMs and legs, compared to Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm and Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salr legs, probed with anti-Myofilin, anti-Fln, anti-Mlp60, and anti-Mlp84B. Note the strong gain of Fln and some gain of the short Mf isoform (arrow), the repression of the long Mf isoforms (arrowheads) as well as of Mlp60 and Mlp84 in the UAS-salm but not the UAS-salr legs. Scale bar is 10 µm.
Supplementary Figure 6 | vg is not sufficient to induce Salm. (a - c) 1151-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma L3 wing discs with AMPs in green are stained with anti-Salm in red. Wild type (a), UAS-salm (b) and UAS-vg, UAS-sd (c). Note that Salm is not expressed wild-type wing discs and not gained in 1151-GAL4, UAS-vg, UAS-sd wing discs (c). (d and e) Vg antibody staining in red in 1151-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma L3 wing discs (d) and 1151-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma, UAS-lbe wing discs (e). Note the loss of Vg upon lbe expression in the AMPs. (f - h) IFM phenotype of vg0 mutant hemi-thorax is rescued by expression of UAS-salr with 1151-GAL4 (f), hemi-thorax expressing 1151-GAL4; UAS-vg, UAS-sd (g) and 1151-GAL4, UAS-lbe (h). (i - q) vg0 1151-GAL4; UAS-salr IFMs (i) and 1151-GAL4, UAS-vg, UAS-sd IFMs (j) are fibrillar, 1151-GAL4, UAS-lbe IFMs are tubular (k) and leg muscles are normal (l - n). Salm is gained in 1151-GAL4, UAS-salr IFMs (o), absent from UAS-vg, UAS-sd leg muscles (p), and lost from 1151-GAL4, UAS-lbe IFMs (q). Scale bar is 10 µm in a - e, l - q and 100 µm in f - h.
Supplementary Figure 7 | Confirmation of microarray analysis. (a) Venn diagram displaying the overlap of the top 500 down regulated genes from *Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR* dissected IFMs (TF3029 or TF101052) and wild-type dissected leg muscles compared to wild-type IFMs. 102 down regulated genes are shared in all three cases. (b - d) Immunostainings of wild-type IFMs (b), *Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR* IFMs (c), and wild-type leg muscles (d) with anti-Fln. (e - g) Immunostainings of wild-type IFMs (e), *Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR* IFMs (f), and wild-type leg muscles (g) with anti-Mlp84B. Note the increased expression of Mlp84B in IFMs upon *salm* depletion (f). (h) Immunoblot of wild-type thoraces, IFMs and legs, compared to *Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR* IFMs and thoraces, probed with anti-Myofilin, anti-Mlp84B and anti-Fln. The 17 kDa Myofilin isoform (Mf-IsoC) is IFM specific and strongly reduced in *salm* knock-down IFMs (arrow) whereas the 30 and 25 kDa body-wall-muscle-specific isoforms are gained (arrowheads). Mlp84B is increased in salm knock-down IFMs, whereas Fln is strongly reduced in salm knock-down IFMs.
Supplementary Figure 8 | Flight muscle organisation in insects. Phylogenetic tree of winged insects adapted from [23, 38]. Orders with synchronous IFMs are depicted in blue, with asynchronous IFMs in red, and with both types in green. The orders investigated in this study are marked in yellow.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>isoform</th>
<th>logFC TF3029</th>
<th>logFC TF101052</th>
<th>logFC log-IPM</th>
<th>MeZ2-GAL4 RNAi phenotype</th>
<th>predicted function or domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG15434</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>NADH ubiquinone oxidoreductase B8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm - lsgCD</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>myofibril assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG15617</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>POZ domain, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG112109</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>skeletal specific, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snr - legI - isoE</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>myosin light chain kinase, isoform I, adult specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmy-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>flight muscle function, flight muscle specific tropomyosin, stretch sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16692</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>mitochondrial Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16891</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>protein phosphatase type 1 regulator activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int-CoI</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>mitochondrial Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spi</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>muscle thick filament assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16171</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>homeodomain transcription factor, muscle specific expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG11026</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG13172</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mh-NDS</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG12239</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG11105</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mh-CoIII</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG11144</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG9684</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 4 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnhd</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 4 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neb-eGFp</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG11534</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 4 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG112115</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG1191</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG1190</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myf</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mh-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefm</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prm-1-PFase6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isb2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial carrier protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cge31a</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16049</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dq2989</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dq1599</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act87E</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act88F</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act57B</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>mitochondrial NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 mt:CoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>body muscle specific function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16885</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>body muscle specific function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG16885</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>body muscle specific function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Table 1
Supplementary Table 1 | Microarray results of IFM and leg muscle. Microarray analysis displaying log2 ratios (FC = fold change) of Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR (TF3029 and TF101052) IFMs compared to wild-type IFMs, and leg muscles compared with wild-type IFMs. Selected genes or gene isoforms are listed. Green indicates significant down regulation in salm knock-down compared to wild-type IFMs, yellow indicates no change and orange marks up regulation of genes or gene isoforms. The phenotypic class of the Mef2-GAL4 mediated RNAi knock-down for each gene from\(^6\) is shown. The predicted molecular function or structural domain for each gene is listed.

Supplementary Table 2 | Top 500 salm targets and IFM specific genes of microarray analysis List of the top 500 down regulated genes from Mef2-GAL4, UAS-salm-IR dissected IFMs (TF3029 or TF101052) and wild-type dissected leg muscles compared to wild-type IFMs. All 102 genes common are listed as well as the 302 genes commonly down regulated in the two salm hairpins and the 150 genes IFM specific genes down regulated in at least one salm hairpin. All 8325 "expressed" genes with an average expression above 8.5 on the microarray were scored and are listed. Sheet two displays all significantly enriched GO-terms in the common 102 salm targets and IFM enriched genes. Note the strong enrichment of mitochondrial GO-terms in addition to muscle specific GO-terms (see separate file for Supplementary Table 2).

Supplementary Movie 1 | Early IFM development in a wild-type pupa. An intact wild-type pupa labelled with Mef2-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma was recorded from 10h APF every 5 min for about 14h. Note the splitting DLM muscles. Movie plays 5 frames per second.

Supplementary Movie 2 | Early IFM development in a UAS-salm-IR pupa. An intact UAS-salm-IR pupa labelled with Mef2-GAL4, UAS-GFP-gma was recorded from 10h APF every 5 min for about 15h. Note that splitting of the DLM muscles fails. Movie plays 5 frames per second.

Supplementary Movie 3 | salm expression in developing IFMs. Movie records the developing DVMs in a salm-GAL4, UAS-CD8-GFP expressing pupa from 10h APF. One frame was recorded every 5 min for about 20h. Movie plays 5 frames per second.
Tc’sal for RNAi injection 3320bp

CACCCTCCAGCACCAACAAGTGTTGCAGTTACAACTCATCAATCAGCTGCAACAGCAGTTGCAGATTGACAGATC
GAAAGATGTCAGCTACAGGCTCCTTGGGAGGTGCTCAATTGCAAAACATGGCGGCGCGCCACGAGATCCCGA
TCAATTGGAAGAACAAAGCAGCTGGCTATGCTGCGGCGGCGCCACCCCGACATATGAGTTCGCGTTTAAAT
CCACACGCGCCAGATTGGTTTCCCGGCAGGTCTACCCCT

WWW.NATURE.COM/NATURE | 11

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

doi:10.1038/nature10559

WWW.NATURE.COM/NATURE | 11
Supplementary Reference.