# The expression of CPP fusion proteins in plastids



Dissertation der Fakultät für Biologie der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

> vorgelegt von Florian Mayer aus Bad Reichenhall im April 2012

- 1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Hans-Ulrich Koop
- 2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Dario Leister
- Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 21.06.2012

# Contents

1	Introduction	9
1.1	The genetic transformation of plants	9
1.2	Plastid transformation	9
1.3	Current applied aspects of plastid transformation	11
1.4	Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs)	12
1.5	Artefact discovery and CPP mechanism	13
1.6	Clinical trials and future challenges	15
1.7	Recently: Plants and CPPs	15
1.8	Aim of this thesis	18
2	Results	19
2.1	eGFP vector series: tracking CPP fusion proteins	19
2.2	Plastid transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C)	30
2.3	PAP1 vector series: providing a physiological CPP read-out	31
2.4	PAH vectors series: CPP fusions for the clinic	36
3	Discussion	/11
<b>J</b> 3 1	Expression of CPD fusion proteins in tobacco plastids is feasible	<b>ـــــــ</b> 11
27	CPD fusion proteins are entranned in the organelle	15
2.2	Do plant-produced CPP-fusion protoins popetrate into protoplasts and human cells?	45
э.э эл	Do CPP-PAH fusion proteins expressed in plactide evert a positive effect <i>in vivo</i> ?	
74		
5.4	bo er er Arrusion proteins expressed in plastids exert a positive effect in vivo	
<b>4</b>	Summary	53
4 5	Summary	53 55
4 5 6	Summary	53 55 57
4 5 6 6.1	Summary	<b>53</b> <b>55</b> <b>57</b> 57
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1	Summary	53 55 57 57 57
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2	Summary	53 55 57 57 57 58
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3	Summary	53 53 55 57 57 58 59
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2	Summary	53 55 57 57 57 58 59 61
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1	Summary	<b>53</b> <b>55</b> <b>57</b> <b>57</b> <b>5</b> 7 <b>5</b> 8 <b>5</b> 9 <b>6</b> 1
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2	Summary	50 53 55 57 57 57 58 59 61 61 61
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>6</b> .1 <b>6</b> .1.1 <b>6</b> .1.2 <b>6</b> .1.3 <b>6</b> .2 <b>6</b> .2.1 <b>6</b> .2.2 <b>6</b> .2.2.1	Summary	53 55 57 57 57 58 59 61 61 61
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2	Summary   Zusammenfassung   Material and Methods   Material.   Chemicals and Enzymes   Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software   DNA and Organisms   Methods   Vector cloning   Transformation   E. coli   Tobacco	<b>53</b> <b>55</b> <b>57</b> <b>57</b> <b>57</b> <b>57</b> <b>57</b> <b>58</b> <b>61</b> <b>61</b> <b>61</b> <b>61</b>
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.3	Summary   Zusammenfassung   Material and Methods   Material.   Chemicals and Enzymes   Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software   DNA and Organisms   Methods   Vector cloning   Transformation <i>E. coli</i> Tobacco   Transgenic plants	50 53 55 57 57 57 59 61 61 61 61 62 68
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>6</b> .1 <b>6</b> .1.1 <b>6</b> .1.2 <b>6</b> .1.3 <b>6</b> .2 <b>6</b> .2.1 <b>6</b> .2.2 <b>6</b> .2.2.1 <b>6</b> .2.2.2 <b>6</b> .2.2.1 <b>6</b> .2.2.2 <b>6</b> .2.3 <b>6</b> .2.3.1	Summary   Zusammenfassung   Material and Methods.   Material.   Chemicals and Enzymes.   Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software.   DNA and Organisms.   Methods.   Vector cloning.   Transformation <i>E. coli</i> .   Tobacco.   Transgenic plants   Transplastomic lines	50 53 55 57 57 57 57 59 61 61 61 61 61 62 68 68
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.3 6.2.3.1 6.2.3.2	Summary	50 53 57 57 57 57 59 61 61 61 61 61 61 63 68 68
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.3.1 6.2.3.2 6.2.4	Summary   Zusammenfassung   Material and Methods   Material.   Chemicals and Enzymes   Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software   DNA and Organisms   Methods.   Vector cloning   Transformation   E. coli   Tobacco   Transplastomic lines   Nuclear transformants.   Molecular analysis	53 53 57 57 57 57 59 61 61 61 61 61 62 68 68 68 69
<b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 6.2 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.2.1 6.2.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.3.1 6.2.3.2 6.2.3.1 6.2.3.2 6.2.4 6.2.4.1	Summary Zusammenfassung Material and Methods Material Chemicals and Enzymes Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software DNA and Organisms Methods Vector cloning Transformation <i>E. coli</i> Tobacco Transgenic plants Transplastomic lines Nuclear transformants Molecular analysis DNA isolation from plant tissue	50 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 63 68 68 69 69

6.2.4.3	Southern blot analysis	71
6.2.5	Macroscopic analysis	72
6.2.5.1	Vegetative and reproductive growth	72
6.2.5.2	Seed assay	72
6.2.6	Microscopic analysis	72
6.2.6.1	Fluorescence microscopy	72
6.2.6.2	Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)	72
6.2.7	Chromatographic analysis	73
6.2.8	Biochemical analysis	73
6.2.8.1	Extraction of total soluble protein (TSP)	73
6.2.8.2	The Bradford assay	74
6.2.8.3	Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	74
6.2.8.4	Isolation of CPP fusion proteins	74
6.2.8.5	Western blot	75
6.2.9	Functional fusion protein assays	75
6.2.9.1	Transduction of CPP fusion proteins into plant cells	75
6.2.9.2	Transduction of CPP fusion proteins into human cells	76
7	Abbreviations	77
8	Tables and Figures	79
9	References	
10	Acknowledgements	95
11	Erklärung	

# 1 Introduction

### **1.1** The genetic transformation of plants

A plant cell offers three genomes for genetic manipulation: the nuclear genome and the organellar genomes in the mitochondria and in the plastids. Stable genetic manipulation of a plant's nuclear genome was first reported in the early 1980s by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation (Herrera-Estrella et al., 1983, Bevan et al., 1983). Today it represents a standard technique in many laboratories (Horsch et al., 1985, Clough and Bent, 1998). The technique does not require a sequenced DNA template of the target species (non-homologous insertion) and is broadly applicable to a wide range of species (for review: Meyers et al., 2010). In contrast, the transformation of the organellar genomes is less established (Butow and Fox, 1990). Transformation of the mitochondrial genome is only reported in Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Johnston et al., 1988) and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, a unicellular green alga (Randolph-Anderson et al., 1993), but not in higher plants (Ijaz, 2010). Stable genetic transformation of a plastid genome (plastome) was first shown for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Boynton et al., 1988) and was successfully applied to the higher plant *Nicotiana tabacum* L. (tobacco) two years later (Svab et al., 1990). The technique of plastid transformation developed to an exciting research field with both basic and applied aspects (Koop et al., 2007).

## **1.2** Plastid transformation

Stable transformation of the plastid genome as a technique of manipulating a plant's DNA is considerably elegant. A key feature of the technology is the targeted insertion of transgenes in the plastome. This is based on the plastid's prokaryotic origin (Chan and Bhattacharya, 2010), which allows transformation vector integration based on homologous recombination. DNA sequences to be integrated into the plastome are therefore flanked by sequences amplified from the plastome of the target species. They are then subsequently incorporated at the specified site upon transformation vector delivery (Figure 1A). Although the plastomes of many species are sequenced (Verma et al., 2008) and substantial efforts are made towards stable transformation of those candidates (Herrera-Diaz, 2011), tobacco still represents the main model species in the field. For obvious candidates like the plant model *Arabidopsis thaliana* and monocot species of huge economic relevance like corn, rice, wheat etc. reproducible protocols are still elusive.

Transformation vectors are delivered to various explants by the biolistic method (Svab et al., 1990) or to protoplasts by polyethylene glycol (Golds et al., 1993). Selection is commonly based on antibiotics resistance genes. Plastids are highly polyploid. The plastome copy number depends on plant species, tissue type and plastid number per cell – up to 10,000 plastome copies are present in tobacco leaf cells (Maliga, 2004). A successful transformation event involves a single or very few plastid DNA molecules initially which leads to cells with genetically different plastomes (Koop et al., 2007). This status of the cells is called heteroplasmic and these cells give rise to heteroplasmic shoots .This is the first regeneration event which emerges from tissue culture (Figure 1B). To sort out wild-type (WT) plastome copies, repeated rounds of regeneration under selection pressure are carried out. This leads to cells containing transformed plastome copies only (Figure 1C). The status of the resulting cells is called homoplasmic. Homoplasmic cells give rise to stably transformed transplastomic plants. Once homoplasmy is achieved, up to 10,000 copies of the new genetic information are present, offering the possibility to yield high protein accumulation levels.



**Figure 1. Events from the biolistic transformation vector delivery to the regeneration of a homoplasmic plant.** (A) Expression cassettes (PL\_*aadA*\_T) are flanked by homologous plastome sequences (INSR, INSL) for site-specific integration via homologous recombination. PL: promoter+leader (5' regulatory region for trancription and translation), *aadA*: spectinomycin resistance cassette used for the selection of successful transformation events, T: terminator (3' regulatory region). (B) Repeated rounds of regeneration under selection pressure lead to homoplasmic transformants. (C) Sorting out WT plastome copies under selection pressure (S) to obtain mutant copies only. Modified from Bock and Khan, 2004, and Cardi et al., 2010.

Due to their prokaryotic origin, plastids can be used for the expression of polycistronic operons (Staub and Maliga, 1995). Public concerns connected with genetic manipulation can be accounted for by the use of inducible systems (Lössl et al., 2005, Mühlbauer and Koop, 2005, Verhounig et al., 2010) and antibiotic selection marker removal strategies (for review: Day and Goldschmidt-Clermont, 2011). Compared to nuclear transformants, transplastomic plants are save to grow in the field since plastids are maternally inherited in most crops (Corriveau and Coleman, 1988). It is therefore unlikely that the foreign sequence will escape to wild relatives. However, small scale leakage was observed (Ruf et al., 2007, Svab and Maliga, 2007) and long-time effects of such scenarios need to be investigated in the future.

#### **1.3** Current applied aspects of plastid transformation

In basic science, plastid transformation is used to study plastid function e.g. by the mutation or inactivation of plastid genes (Mühlbauer et al., 2002). Applied aspects cover the establishment of novel traits in the plant. This may involve the introduction of new single traits e.g. herbicide resistance (McBride et al., 1995), but also biosynthetic pathways can be modified or newly introduced (Apel and Bock, 2009, Krichevsky et al., 2010). Recent reviews provide more information about the current field (Koop et al., 2007, Verma and Daniell, 2007, Maliga and Bock, 2011). One major research branch of plastid transformation is based on the plastid's capacity to accumulate large amounts of proteins: the expression of therapeutics for human health, like antibodies, vaccines and more recently various antimicrobials (Daniell et al., 2009, Maliga and Bock, 2011). Until now, most studies focused on the expression of viral and bacterial vaccine antigens (Daniell et al., 2009, Bock and Warzecha, 2010, Cardi et al., 2010). Upon oral delivery, these vaccine antigens can trigger an immune response via the mucosa (Bienenstock and Befus, 1980, Holmgren and Lycke, 1986) and adjuvants are used today to potentiate the response (Lycke and Holmgren, 1986, Holmgren and Czerkinsky, 2005). In contrast to a high number of reports focusing on the expression of antigens, there is a surprisingly limited number of reports on the plastid-based expression and subsequent application of other groups of therapeutics like small peptide drugs and human enzymes. Such therapeutic peptides / proteins ultimately need to enter the cytoplasm (pass the highly selective cell membrane barrier) to exert their action in the cytosol or organelles. Intracellular transport of membrane-impermeable biologically active molecules is one of the key problems in drug delivery (Torchilin, 2006). Although a first promising report about cellular delivery of plastid expressed proteins appeared in the field (Limaye et al., 2006), the technology of cellular delivery is presently limited to the receptor-mediated route via adjuvants (Ruhlman et al., 2007, Verma et al., 2010, Boyhan and Daniell, 2011).

Despite the necessity to expand the advantages of plastid-based manufacture to new groups of therapeutics, modes of cellular delivery and intracellular targets for disease treatment, new carriers which offer the possibility for a receptor-independent passage across membranes, the highly selective brain-blood-barrier and even the human skin were not suggested. A field that offers extensive knowledge in this regard was established about twenty years ago with the discovery of cell penetrating peptides (CPPs).

#### **1.4** Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs)

The concept of protein transduction into cells was first reviewed in 1968 by Ryser. Twenty years later, two independent groups reported the observation that the 86 AS HIV-1 transactivator protein Tat efficiently entered cells in vitro (Green and Loewenstein, 1988, Frankel and Pabo, 1988). In a similar report in 1991, the group of Alain Prochiantz observed that the homeodomain of Antennapedia (a Drosophila transcription factor) was internalized by cells at conditions precluding endocytosis (Joliot et al., 1991). In 1994, Fawell et al. showed Tat-mediated transduction of covalently attached protein cargoes in vitro and in vivo - high molecular weight cargoes which would otherwise be excluded from cell entry. Vivès and co-workers (1997) mapped a minimal region responsible for Tat transduction, called Tat PTD (Protein transduction domain). PTDs are also referred to as cell penetrating peptides (CPPs). During this pioneering phase and the following years, a broad array of proteins that penetrate cells with and without an attached cargo were discovered and mapped to a minimal region (Prochiantz, 2000, Prochiantz, 2008). Short time after the discovery and study of structural properties of natural CPPs, artificial CPPs were designed. Today CPPs can be classified as naturally derived, chimeric or synthetic (Lindgren et al., 2000) and more than 100 sequences are known to be cell penetrating (Lindgren and Langel, 2011). In the early years scientists were thrilled by the cellular drug delivery properties of CPPs since the therapeutic value of many new drugs is limited by their inability to cross the plasma membrane (Langel, 2002). The definition of CPPs is constantly evolving since their discovery. CPPs can be defined as short amphipathic or purely cationic peptides of less than 30 amino acids which possess a positive net charge, and which are able to penetrate biological membranes and transfer covalently or non-covalently attached bioactive cargoes into cells (Eiríksdóttir et al., 2010). Once inside the cell, CPPs have been reported to accumulate in the nucleus (Bidwell et al., 2009), but also mitochondria have been successfully targeted (Horton et al., 2008, Papadopoulou and Tsiftsoglou, 2011). The array and chemical nature of cargoes delivered by CPPs is impressive (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Schematic overview of possible applications where cell penetrating peptides have been shown to function well as delivery vehicles, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. As presented in: Lindgren and Langel, 2011.

## 1.5 Artefact discovery and CPP mechanism

For the first decade data supported an energy-, temperature- and receptor-independent direct mechanism of cell entry for Tat and other CPPs (Langel, 2002). This independence of energydependent endocytosis, however, was reported to be an artefact when it was discovered that cell fixation led to artificial CPP uptake (Lundberg and Johansson, 2001) and that the internalized amount of CPP was overestimated due to membrane bound peptide (Lundberg and Johansson, 2002, Richard et al., 2003). In the following years a re-evaluation of CPP uptake started. Work on unfixed cells with inhibitors of endocytosis (to exclude endocytic uptake) and trypsin digest before FACS (to remove cell-bound CPPs), supported cellular uptake was due to different types of endocytosis (Fittipaldi et al., 2003, Wadia et al., 2004, Richard et al., 2005, Lundin et al., 2008). Yet, studies still provided evidence for direct CPP penetration (Terrone et al., 2003, Thorén et al., 2003, Rothbard et al., 2004, Henriques et al., 2005, Deshayes et al., 2006, Fretz et al., 2007, Herce et al., 2009, Ter-Avetisyan et al., 2009, Watkins et al., 2009, Ciobanasu et al., 2010, Liu et al., 2011, Rydström et al., 2011, Hirose et al., 2012) and the discussions regarding the translocation mechanism are ongoing. Today it seems established that multiple transduction pathways are exploited in parallel by CPPs which depend on CPP concentration, size and nature of cargo, tested cell type and experimental setup (van den Berg and Dowdy 2011). Proposed pathways (Figure 3) for CPP transduction range from energy-independent direct penetration modes to energy-dependent endocytic modes (reviewed in: Madani et al., 2011, van den Berg and Dowdy, 2011). Historically, PTDs grouped under the name of CPPs are a very heterogenous group, which suggests that more than one single mechansim is involved.

It must be noted, however, that discussions about the mechansim are not only between but also within single CPPs. This is why the underlying mechansim of cell transduction of a given CPP-cargo complex needs to be investigated individually, and results from free CPPs cannot *per se* be extrapolated to their cargo-coupled counterparts (Holm et al., 2011). Recent reviews may serve as a source of further information (Magzoub and Gräslund, 2004, Ziegler et al., 2008, Järver, 2010, Walrant et al., 2012).

A group of peptides with obvious structural and functional similarities to CPPs are antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) which are known for pore formation and / or lysis of target cells (Gunaratna et al., 2002, Henrigues et al., 2006). Some CPPs have been shown to act as antimicrobials (Nekhotiaeva et al., 2004). A recent review highlights the similarities between CPPs and AMPs (Splith and Neundorf, 2011). Lindgren and Langel (2011) mentioned in this regard that CPPs seem to have a position between antimicrobial peptides and peptides which use classical receptor-mediated endocytosis for cell entry. However, neither of these peptides have shown such a potential for cargo and low-toxic delivery as CPPs (Lindgren and Langel, 2011).



**Figure 3. Suggested mechanisms of cell entry used by CPPs**. Both energy-dependent and energy-independent mechanisms were shown to mediate CPP transduction. Endocytosis / Transcytosis are vesicle-based active processes (energy-dependent) of the internalization / redistribution of extracellular particles and fluids (Shen et al., 1992). Endocytosis can be further divided into sub-classes (for review: Doherty and McMahon, 2009) among which receptor-independent macropinocytosis was increasingly shown to mediate the cell-entry of CPPs, especially in the transduction of large cargoes (Jones, 2007). Direct penetration mechanisms via e.g. the formation of a transient pore were reported to be most probable at high CPP concentrations and for primary amphipathic CPPs (see e.g. Madani et al., 2011) and do not require energy. Cell lysis as a result of energy-independent pore formation is suggested as mechanism for antimicrobial peptides which share similarities with CPPs in both sequence and degree of cell integrity disturbance (Splith and Neundorf, 2011). Schematic drawing modified from: Lindgen and Langel, 2011.

## **1.6** Clinical trials and future challenges

Despite the ongoing discussions concerning the mechanism the concept of CPP-mediated delivery has proven successful with first phase II studies in 2003 (Rothbard et al., 2000, Chen and Harrison, 2007). Over the years, CPP's versatile delivery potential was transferred to chemically diverse cargoes, targeting an impressive array of preclinical disease models (reviews documenting the drug field: Dietz and Bähr, 2004, Gupta et al., 2005, Patel et al., 2007, Foged and Nielsen, 2008, Heitz et al., 2009, Trabulo et al., 2010, Johnson et al., 2011). Today, over 20 phase I and phase II clinical trials are documented, none of which has reported adverse effects for patients (van den Berg and Dowdy, 2011).

One strategy in the CPP field is the expression, purification and subsequent delivery of CPPs fused to therapeutic peptides / proteins. In 1999, a bacterial-expressed, fully-active 120 kDa ß-galactosidase was delivered *in vivo* to virtually every tissue of mouse including the brain (Schwarze et al., 1999). Since then, the CPP-mediated delivery of bioactive peptide / proteins was impressively expanded (reviews focusing on CPP fusion peptide / protein delivery: Dietz and Bähr, 2005, Shi and Dowdy, 2007, Asoh and Ohta, 2008, Rapoport and Lorberboum-Galski, 2009, Johansson et al., 2011).

In a recent work in CPP literature, attention is drawn to limitations in the current way of producing therapeutic CPP fusion peptides / proteins (Asoh and Ohta, 2008). Today, CPP fusion proteins are produced in bacterial cells, purified and then transduced to the disease model. The authors highlight limitations of the currently used bacterial system for the manufacture of CPP fusions, draw attention to endotoxin contamination and refer to unsolved problems with high-scale and low-cost purification. Until now, no alternative platform for the manufacture of CPP fusion proteins / peptides was suggested in the literature.

## 1.7 Recently: Plants and CPPs

Despite apparent mutual benefits for both research fields, the exchange of ideas, technology and the initiation of joint projects between scientists in the CPP sector and modern plant science is still at the beginning.

One plant-derived CPP mentioned in the CPP literature (Prochiantz, 2000) is the maize homeobox transcription factor KNOTTED1 which was shown to pass plasmodesmata for cell-to-cell transport (Lucas et al., 1995, Kim et al., 2002). Tassetto et al. (2005) however, added a new aspect to the cell movement of KNOTTED1 with the observation of similarities between the cellular transduction of KNOTTED1 in plant and animal cells. Consequently, the third helix of KNOTTED1

showed the highest CPP properties, both with and without cargo when compared to the wellstudied CPPs Penetratin and (Arg)<sub>9</sub> in a cell transduction study (Aussedat et al., 2006). Intercellular movement of transcription factors through plasmodesmata is today widely accepted (Wu and Gallagher, 2011).

Besides the third helix of KNOTTED1 and members of the previously mentioned group of antimicrobial peptides (AMP members produced by the plants non-specific defense system; for review: Benko-Iseppon et al., 2010, Pelegrini et al., 2011) there is not much focus on the description of CPPs from plant origin. A recent publication suggests a new group of plant-derived CPPs, cyclic cell penetrating peptides, which are characterised by a cyclic cystine knot motif (Cascales et al., 2011).

Recently, plant scientists started to elaborate the use of CPPs in their research (Roberts, 2005). In terms of CPP use for cargo delivery and manipulation of plant cells, the first study appeared in 2004 (Rosenbluh et al., 2004). The group reported the successful delivery of Rhodamine and 66 kDa BSA covalently attached to core histones into Petunia protoplasts. The authors refer to the artefact in the CPP field (see 1.5), provide evidence for direct translocation and clearly state that no endocytosis was involved.

Since then reports appeared addressing the use of CPPs in plant science. Both, covalent and noncovalent strategies were employed for the CPP-mediated delivery of different cargoes.

Covalent strategies included the use of CPPs for the delivery of siRNA and silencing in tobacco suspension cells (Unnamalai et al., 2004) and the internalization of fluorescein into tobacco protoplasts (Mäe et al., 2005). CPP-GFP fusion protein was delivered to various root and epidermal explants (Chang et al., 2005). Fluorescent dye was delivered to Triticale protoplasts (Chugh and Eudes, 2007), to various Triticale explants and onion epidermal cells (Chugh and Eudes 2008a) and to Triticale microspores (Chugh et al., 2009). The same group reported covalent (Chugh and Eudes, 2008b) and non-covalent (Chugh et al., 2009) delivery of GUS protein and 7.2 kb linear plasmid encoding GUS to Triticale. Mizuno et al. (2009) delivered FDA labeled CPPs to tobacco suspension cells. It is interesting to note that the cell wall was not identified as a barrier in this study.

Non-covalent strategies included the use of CPPs for the delivery of siRNA for gene silencing (Wang et al., 2007) and the delivery of fluorescent protein and ß-galactosidase to various explants (Wang et al., 2006, Chang et al., 2007). Transient GFP expression was achieved by the delivery of plasmid DNA (Chen et al., 2007). Hydrolase was delivered for the inhibition of seed germination (Liu et al., 2007). Liu and co-workers (2008) tested fluorescent protein transduction in several organisms. CPP-mediated transduction was shown to work in archae bacteria, bacteria (both gram + and gram -), cyanobacteria and yeast, but not in green algae and fungi.

While covalent delivery of GFP suggested an energy-independent direct pathway in plants (Chang et al., 2005), non-covalent studies suggested energy-dependent macropinocytosis (Chang et al., 2007, Chen et al., 2007, Chugh et al., 2009).

Combined covalent and non-covalent delivery and subsequent fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) in onion epidermal cells suggested multiple endocytic internalization pathways (Lu et al., 2010). Three reviews appeared in the field of CPPs and plants (Roberts, 2005, Eudes and Chugh, 2008, Chugh et al., 2010).

### **1.8** Aim of this thesis

The present study aims at combining two exciting research areas which co-existed for more than 20 years – the field of plastid transformation and the field of CPPs.

The expression of CPP fusion proteins from the plastid attempts to answer the following questions:

(1) CPPs were recently introduced to molecular plant science and some promising first reports in the field have emerged. However, there are no studies about the expression of CPPs in plants. This study addresses the following questions: Is it feasible to express fusions of nine classical CPPs to different proteins in plastids? What consequences do result for the plant? Are plants healthy, fertile, etc.? To which degree do CPP fusion proteins accumulate in the plant? Are there differences between the selected CPPs?

(2) CPPs are reported to penetrate membranes by an array of suggested mechanisms. Today it seems established that CPPs with high molecular weight cargoes use endocytic transduction pathways (Edenhofer, 2008, Jones, 2010, Mäger et al., 2012), single studies, however, still report on direct modes (Hariton-Gazal et al., 2003, Rosenbluh et al., 2004, Chang et al., 2005, Cermenati et al., 2011). Which fusion protein localisation can be observed within the plant cell upon expression in the plastid? Are CPP fusion proteins restricted to the plastid or can any escape from the organelle to cytosol be observed?

(3) Chances of CPP-mediated cellular delivery are currently evaluated in a number of phase I and II studies. Adverse effects are not reported so far (van den Berg and Dowdy, 2011). Recently, the search for alternative expression platforms for the manufacture of CPP fusion proteins was launched (Asoh and Ohta, 2008). Plants are a competitive platform for heterologous protein expression (Raskin et al., 2002, Koop et al., 2007, Paul and Ma, 2011). Can plastids be used for the expression of therapeutical CPP fusion proteins in a proof-of-principle study?

# 2 Results

The feasibility and benefits of CPP fusion protein expression in plastids was addressed in this study. Both basic science and applied aspects were tested. The approach was based on three plastid transformation vector series encoding for CPP fusions to three different proteins, stably expressed from the tobacco plastid genome:

First, the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) vector series I (2.1) to track CPP fusion proteins by optical means, second, the production of anthocyanin pigment 1-Dominant (PAP1) vector series II (2.3) to provide a biological readout within the plant cell and, third, the phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH) vector series III (2.4) to introduce the expression of CPP fusions to therapeutic proteins in plastids / plants. In section 2.2 the plastid transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C) is introduced which was used in the vector series II and III.

# 2.1 eGFP vector series: tracking CPP fusion proteins

As a starting point in the evaluation of CPP fusion protein expression in plastids, His-tagged CPP fusions with eGFP were expressed from the tobacco plastome. Such a system was supposed to fulfill two criteria:

(1) eGFP fluorescence can be used as a convenient optical system to track CPP-eGFP fusion proteins upon expression in the plastid / plant.

(2) CPP fusion proteins can be isolated via the His-tag for eGFP based transduction experiments into plant and animal cells.

Nine prominent CPPs were selected for plastid transformation vector cloning (Table 1).

Table 1. Selected CPPs, CPP abbreviation / number used in the text, origin, sequence and original publication.(1): Futaki et al., 2001, (2): Ho et al., 2001, (3): Vivès et al., 1997, (4): Joliot et al., 1991, (5): Soomets et al., 2000, (6):Morris et al., 2001, (7): El-Andaloussi et al., 2007, (8): Pooga et al., 1998, (9): Elliott and O'Hare, 1997.

8x Arg	CPP1	synthetic	RRRRRRR	(1)
PTD-4	CPP2	synthetic, variant of CPP3	YARAAARQARA	(2)
Tat	CPP3	HIV-1	GRKKRRQRRRPPQ	(3)
Penetratin	CPP4	Drosophila	RQIKIWFQNRRMKWKK	(4)
Тр-10	CPP5	synthetic, variant of CPP8	AGYLLGKINLKALAALAKKIL	(5)
Pep-1	CPP6	synthetic	KETWWETWWTEWSQPKKKRKV	(6)
M918	CPP7	synthetic	MVTVLFRRLRIRRACGPPRVRV	(7)
Transportan	CPP8	synthetic	GWTLNSAGYLLGKINLKALAALAKKIL	(8)
VP22	CPP9	Herpes-Simplex Virus	DAATATRGRSAASRPTERPRAPARSASRPRRPVE	(9)

Cloning was performed with codon-optimized parts (adapted to the tobacco plastid codon usage) obtained by gene synthesis (GENEART). Ten expression cassettes were assembled in total and cloned into chloroplast transformation vector pKCZglpk (Scharff, 2002) to give rise to the eGFP vector series (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Codon-optimized expression cassette for CPP fusion protein expression and transformation vector assembly of the eGFP vector series. (A) Elements and amino acid sequence of the His-tagged CPPx\_eGFP in-frame fusion cassette. Principle cassette design according to Han et al., 2000 - GENEART condon optimized for the expression in tobacco plastids. His-tag: affinity tag for fusion protein purification, T: thrombin site, *cppx*: one out of nine CPPs (see Table 1.), *egfp*: eGFP, codon-optimized for plastid gene expression. A control cassette was assembled without CPP between *Pst* I and *Xho* I. (B) Assembly of nine CPPx\_eGFP and one control\_eGFP plastid transformation vectors. GENEART provided 808 bp eGFP pre-cassette and 91 bp control pre-cassette were cloned via *Nco* I / *Nhe* I in working vector pPNG1014\_MCS120 (Waheed et al., 2011). Single *cppx* coding regions were isolated from 100 bp fragments by digestion and were shotgun cloned via *Pst* I and *Xho* I upstream of *egfp*. Likewise, control cassette, 717 bp *egfp* was cloned in pPNG1014\_MCS120\_control via *Xho* I and *Mlu* I. Finally, the assembled ten expression cassettes were transferred via *Xba* I / *EcoR* V into tobacco transformation vector pKCZglpK (Scharff, 2002). pKCZglpK targets integration site *trnN* (INSL) / *trnR* (INSR) in the large *inverted repeat* (*IR*) (Zou et al., 2003). *IR*<sub>A</sub>: nucleotides 109,230–110,348 and 110,349–111,520, *IR*<sub>B</sub>: nucleotides 131,106–132,277 and 132,278–133,396 tobacco plastome sequence according Yukawa et al., 2005. The complete set of ten CPPx\_eGFP vectors was transferred into tobacco leaves by the biolistic method. Leaves were cut two days post transformation and put on RMOP regeneration medium supplemented with 500 mg/l spectinomycin (Spec) as selective agent.

Putative positive primary shoots were obtained for all vectors. No major differences were observed, however it should be noted that CPP7 yielded the highest number of transformants while CPP9 yielded the lowest. GFP positive shoots were identified by fluorescence microscopy. PCR analysis confirmed site-directed vector integration in the plastome with an internal / external primer pair (Figure 5). Cyclisation to achieve homoplasmy (compare Figure 1B) of positive transplastomic shoots continued until cycle V. At this stage, correct integration was further confirmed via Southern blot analysis (Figure 5).



**Figure 5. Identification of transplastomic CPPx\_eGFP lines and confirmation of correct vector integration into the plastome by PCR and Southern blot.** (A) Schematic drawing of eGFP transformation vector, WT plastome and transplastomic plastomes after homologous transformation vector integration. Primers for PCR are indicated with arrows. External primer (binds to the plastome): red; internal primer (binds to vector element): black. For Southern blot, *BamH* I cutting sites and probe location are given. (B) PCR with external primer LF fwd and internal primer GFP rev amplified a 3078 bp mutant fragment in the transplastomic lines but not in WT. (C) Southern blotting confirmed site-directed integration in the plastome and indicated homoplasmy by the detection of the mutant fragment and the absence of the WT fragment in the transplastomic lines. 2 µg of DNA was digested with *BamH* I and hybridized with a 325 bp probe which was amplified with primers Probe new CPP fwd / Probe new CPP rev. Expected fragment sizes: WT: 7063 bp, mutant 2888 bp. WT: wild-type, c: control\_eGFP line, numbers 1 to 9: CPPx\_eGFP lines, according to the CPP abbreviation code introduced in Table 1.

Homoplastomic lines were grown to maturity in the greenhouse and the plants' ability of selfpollinating and to develop seeds was monitored. When lines were allowed to self-pollinate, eight from ten lines produced viable seeds. Self-pollination in the case of line CPP7\_eGFP led to tiny capsules (Figure 6) with degenerated, infertile seeds. Self-pollinating in the case of line CPP9\_eGFP did not lead to any fertilization event at all.

These results were confirmed using a reciprocal cross approach (Figure 6A). All line- $\bigcirc$  x WT- $\Im$  crosses were successful and resulted in viable seeds. WT- $\bigcirc$  x CPP7\_eGFP- $\Im$  resulted in a tiny capsule. Accordingly, the cross WT- $\bigcirc$  x CPP9\_eGFP- $\Im$  was unsuccessful.

To further confirm homoplasmy indicated by Southern blot (Figure 5), seeds originating from the reciprocal crosses were germinated on  $B5_{spec}$  (Figure 6). Seedlings originating from a cross lines-Q x WT- $\mathcal{J}$  exhibited a green phenotype confirming that plastids in the progenies were of maternal origin and only contained the mutant plastome. Accordingly, when a WT-Q was crossed with the lines- $\mathcal{J}$ , all resulting seedlings showed a pale white phenotype on  $B5_{spec}$ . This further confirmed that no plastids were spread by pollen and all plastids inherited by the mother were of WT origin.



**Figure 6. Crossing experiments with the CPPx\_eGFP lines.** (A) Handling of lines for reciprocal crossing (modified from Herrera-Diaz, 2011). (B) Tobacco WT capsule in comparison to a capsule originating from a fertilization event with CPP7\_eGFP line pollen. (C) Germination of seeds originating from reciprocal crosses: WT- $\mathcal{P}$  x CPP1\_eGFP- $\mathcal{J}$  (1) on B5 (2) on B5<sub>Spec</sub>, CPP1\_eGFP- $\mathcal{P}$  x WT- $\mathcal{J}$  (3) on B5 (4) on B5<sub>Spec</sub> – one exemplary result is shown.

To determine fusion protein localisation in seed-grown homoplastomic plants, early division stages of protoplast-derived cells embedded in alginate were studied at CLSM. CLSM provided exciting insights in the highly dynamic network of stromules within dividing protoplasts. However, no fundamental differences between the CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins were observed (Figure 7). Stromules (stroma-filled tubules) are tubular extensions of the plastid envelope (Köhler and Hanson 2000). They occur in all types of plastids and are connected to actin mircofilament



**Figure 7. First division stages of CPPx\_eGFP protoplast-derived cells.** CPPx-fusion protein was contained in the plastidstromule-network. Stromules, long extensions originating from the plastid envelope, were detected in all lines. Note, that these must not be mistaken for cytosolic components. Characteristic differences accounting for single CPPs were not identified. Detection of stromules was correlated with the degree of CPPx-eGFP fusion protein accumulation. Arrows: stromules. WT: wild-type, c: control\_eGFP line protoplast-derived cell, numbers 1 to 9: CPPx\_eGFP lines protoplast-derived cells. CLSM was performed with a Leica TCS-SP2. Scale bar: 20 µm.

bundles which are responsible for their dynamics (Kwok and Hanson, 2003, Natesan et al., 2005). Stromules were repeatedly described in the literature in the last 100 years (Gray et al., 2001) and were rediscovered only 15 years ago with the advent of GFP (Köhler et al., 1997). Their role is not fully understood but the transport of proteins as large as RubisCO (550 kDa) has been shown (Kwok and Hanson 2004). Mitochondria, the ER and the nucleus have been reported to be associated (Schattat et al., 2011 and references therein). Stromules greatly extend the surface of the plastid network, which suggests a role in communication / import / export with the cytosol (Natesan et al., 2005, Hanson and Sattarzadeh, 2008, Hanson and Sattarzadeh, 2011).

Recently, plastid DNA and ribosomes were shown not to travel through stromules which suggests that the exchange of genetic information via this route is not common (Newell et al., 2012).

Work with the CPPx-eGFP system was repeatedly challenged by artefacts displaying cytosolic fusion protein localisation. Initially, water-infiltrated leaves were studied. Water-infiltration however turned out to cause damage to the leaf tissue, which resulted in fusion protein release to the cytoplasm (Figure 8A). Accordingly, when scalpel cutting edges were studied, only cells in proximity to the cutting edge revealed cytosolic fusion protein localisation (Figure 8B). Even the most sensitive method to assess fusion protein localisation, the cultivation of protoplast-derived cells, yielded low frequencies of cells with CPPx-eGFP fusion protein in the cytosol (Figure 8C). In this regard it is important to note that in homoplasmic tissues the localisation of plastid-



Figure 8. Ease of artefact generation as a drawback of the CPPx-eGFP system. (A) Water-infiltration in leaves can disrupt the integrity of the cells which results in CPPx-eGFP fusion protein release from the plastid to the cytoplasm – shown here: CPP6\_eGFP. Fusion protein is distributed over the cytoplasm of all cells in the tissue. Scale bar: 25  $\mu$ M. (B) Scalpel cutting edges reveal the effect of disruptive methods on CPPx-eGFP fusion protein localisation within the plant tissues. Dashed line: cutting edge of CPP4\_eGFP leaf. Cells in proximity of the cutting edge are filled with fusion protein which was released from the plastids. Scale bar: 25  $\mu$ M. (C) Cytosolic fusion protein localisation as a rare event of studying dividing protoplast-derived cells – CPP7\_eGFP. Fusion protein which is released from the plastids (P) stains the cytoplasm (arrows) which is distributed to border regions in the cell by vacuolar elements (VE). Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m.

expressed proteins should be identical. Cytosolic localisation in only a small fraction (less than 5%) of the cells is therefor probably due to leakage from plastids caused by mechanical damage during sample preparation. Challenged by these limitations and taken into account that small scale escape from the plastid may not be detectable by visual means at all, we decided to highlight a potential escape scenario from the plastid with a second, highly sensitive physiological system. This system is described in section 2.4.

The degree of fusion protein accumulation in the transplastomic CPPx\_eGFP lines was determined. Total soluble protein (TSP) of mature plants before flowering was extracted and quantified by the Bradford assay. Ten  $\mu$ g of TSP were separated by SDS-PAGE. Only in the case of CPP7\_eGFP a faint band of the expected ~ 30 kDa was detected (Figure 9).



**Figure 9. Adult stages of CPPx\_eGFP lines and SDS-PAGE of extracted total soluble protein (TSP).** (A) TSP was extracted from seed-grown CPPx\_eGFP lines before flowering. WT: wild-type, c: control\_eGFP line, numbers: CPPx-eGFP lines. (B) Separation of 10 µg TSP by 10% SDS-PAGE. Faint band of CPP7-eGFP accumulation is marked with a star. Defined amounts of BSA were loaded for comparison. WT: wild-type, c: control\_eGFP line, numbers: CPPx\_eGFP lines, LSU: RubisCO large subunit, SSU: RubisCO small subunit.

To perform CPP fusion protein transduction assays into protoplasts and human cells, CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins were isolated from the transplastomic plants via the His-tag. Some fusion proteins (CPP1-eGFP, CPP3-eGFP, CPP7-eGFP) in the plant extracts, however, did not bind to the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-agarose (Biontex). To selectively isolate fusion proteins from the plants, the isolation strategy was switched to Hi-Trap Butyl HP columns (GE Healthcare). Only in the case of CPP7-eGFP (compare Figure 9), the enriched band was clearly visible in the SDS-gel (Figure 10).



Figure 10. HIC purified CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins separated on SDS-PAGE. 15  $\mu$ l each were loaded. Amount of CPP7eGFP was estimated 3  $\mu$ g, which equals 1.7 mg fusion protein per ~40 g of FW. c: control-eGFP line, numbers 1 to 9: CPPx-eGFP lines. Enriched band of CPP7-eGFP protein is marked with a star. LSU: RubisCO large subunit.

Due to the low accumulation levels of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins in the plastids, fusion proteins were isolated from the *E. coli* XL1 blue cells used for cloning (6.2.8.4). In six out of ten cases, there was detectable expression judged from GFP fluorescence of the harvested bacterial cell pellets. Purification was carried out with Ni<sup>2+</sup>-agarose and / or HIC columns according to the manufacturer's suggestions. Samples were dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 at 4 °C, adjusted to 10% glycerol, quantified by the Bradford assay and stored at - 80 °C until the analysis.

Fusion proteins purified from *E. coli* or plants (CPP7-eGFP) were used for transduction experiments into freshly isolated protoplasts and partially digested young leaf tissue (6.2.9.1). Incubations were carried out at fusion protein concentrations from 1-5  $\mu$ M for one hour (Figure 11) and 5  $\mu$ M for 24 hours (Figure 12). However, no fusion protein internalization was observed under these conditions.



**Figure 11. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into tobacco WT protoplasts.** Freshly isolated protoplasts were incubated with 1-5 μM CPPx-eGFP fusion protein for 1 h. Gain for GFP fluorescence was normalised to zero with the help of WT protoplasts before studying the eGFP signal after incubation with cPPx-eGFP fusion protein. No differences were revealed. For cytoplasmatic localisation compare Figure 8. Left row: bright field and chlorophyll autofluorescence overlay, Right row: GFP fluorescence recorded from protoplasts after incubation with CPPx-eGFP fusion protein. +: stands for fusion protein addition to WT protoplasts, c: control-eGFP fusion protein, numbers: CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins. Scale bar: 10 μm.

In addition to this experimental setup, fusion proteins were heat denatured (95 °C for 10 min), since it has been stressed that denaturation might facilitate the transduction of CPPs into cells (Nagahara et al., 1998). However, heat denaturation did not alter the outcome of the experiments (data not shown). It was later recognized that denaturation is not a prerequisite for transduction (Han et al., 2000, Caron et al., 2001).



Figure 12. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into partially digested young WT tissues. Partially digested young tissues were incubated with 5  $\mu$ M CPPx-eGFP fusion protein for 24 h. Gain for GFP fluorescence was normalised to zero with the help of WT tissue before studying the eGFP signal after incubation. No differences were observed. For cytoplasmatic localisation compare Figure 8. Upper row: bright field and chlorophyll autofluorescence overlay, Lower row: GFP fluorescence recorded after incubation with CPPx-eGFP fusion protein.  $\emptyset$ : untreated WT protoplasts, +: stands for fusion protein addition to WT protoplasts, c: control-eGFP fusion protein, numbers: CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m.

After CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins failed to penetrate plant cells, we were interested, if the isolated fusion proteins were able to penetrate human cells. Three cell lines were selected for transduction experiments: Jurkat T cells (suspension), HeLa cells (adherent) and Phoenix cells (adherent). Transduction was tested in a range of CPPx-eGFP fusion protein concentrations from 1 to 10  $\mu$ M with incubation times from 30 min to 24 h at 37 °C.

To avoid artefacts, cells were trypsinized and quenched prior to FACS analysis. Interestingly, experiments could not detect differences between untreated, control-eGFP-treated and CPPx-eGFP-treated human cells. The result of a characteristic experiment is shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into human cells (FACS analysis). The read-out of the transduction of one exemplary CPPx-eGFP fusion protein (CPP3-eGFP = Tat-eGFP) in two cell lines is presented (fusion protein concentration in the experiment: 10  $\mu$ M). FACS revealed an increase in GFP fluorescence in both the control-eGFP and the CPPx-eGFP-treated cells. Adherent Pheonix cells: (A) 1 h of incubation. (B) 24 h of incubation. Jurkat T suspension cells: (C) 1 h of incubation. (D) 24 h of incubation. HeLa cells were tested separately and gave comparable results (not shown).

#### 2.2 Plastid transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C)

Low fusion protein accumulation was observed in the transplastomic lines of vector series I (2.1). To potentially increase the protein yield in the plastids, a new plastid transformation vector intermediate was cloned (Figure 14). The same regulatory elements were used as with the vector series I, but a new insertion site was targeted, namely the trnfM / trnG insertion site (Ruf et al., 2001).

Increased expression strength was considered desirable, since modes of CPP penetration were reported to be associated with CPP concentration (Duchardt et al., 2007, Kosuge et al., 2008). Increased protein accumulation in the plastid and a resulting high protein pressure might favour a switch to a direct penetration mode and a subsequent transfer of CPP fusion protein from the plastid to the cytosol (vecort series II, 2.3). Besides this aspect, for a biotechnological production of therapeutic CPP fusion proteins in plastids, high protein yields are mandatory (vector series III, 2.4).



**Figure 14. Cloning the transformation vector intermediate pUC18\_IN\*\_CPP cassette\_rbcL (= pUC18(C)), targeting insertion site** *trnfM / trnG***.** A 3637 kb INS\* fragment was amplified from the tobacco plastome as part of a larger fragment (Primer INS\*\*fwd / INS\*\*rev) and was inserted via *Pst* I / *Kpn* I (*Pst* I (36840) and *Kpn* I (40481), restriction enzyme site locations in the tobacco plastome, Yukawa et al., 2005)) into pUC18 resulting in pUC18\_INS\*. CPP expression cassette with promoter and 5'regulatory elements was amplified from the eGFP vector series (Primer KNT1 fwd / KNT1 rev) and transferred into the *Spe* I site (38316, location in tobacco plastome) in pUC18\_INS\*, resulting in pUC18\_INS\*\_CPP cassette. Finally, *TrbcL* 3' (Primer rbcL fwd / rbcL rev) was inserted downstream of the CPP cassette via *Nhe* I and *AfI* III to give rise to pUC18\_INS\*\_CPP cassette\_rbcL (=pUC18(C)). Transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C) allows the convenient exchange of CPPx and Goi. It further allows the insertion of the *aadA* gene in the vector backbone for antibiotics selection marker removal according to Klaus et al., 2004.

#### 2.3 PAP1 vector series: providing a physiological CPP read-out

To overcome the artefact susceptibility of the fluorescence based eGFP system (see 2.1) and to increase the overall detection sensitivity, we were interested in a system which could provide a physiological read-out induced by a potential CPP-mediated fusion protein transfer from the plastid to the cytosol. Preferably such biological read-out could be detected by easy means without any processing of the plant.

The purple *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutant *Production of anthocyanin pigment 1-Dominant (pap1-D)* was identified by screening activation-tagged lines (Borevitz et al., 2000). The underlying phenotype is caused by overexpression of a gene encoding MYB transcription factor PAP1. When *PAP1* was overexpressed in tobacco, PAP1 activated the anthocyanin pathway which resulted in massive production of anthocyanins throughout the plant but no other morphological phenotype (Borevitz et al., 2000, Xie et al., 2006). Such dominant phenotypic readout was regarded suitable to test for CPP-mediated escape from the plastid. The following system was proposed (Figure 15).



**Figure 15. Proposed action of CPPx-PAP1 fusion proteins upon their production in the plastid.** In a first step, the CPPx-PAP1 fusion protein is translocated to the cytoplasm via CPP-mediated transfer from the plastid to the cytosol. This is followed by nuclear targeting sequence-mediated import into the nucleus. PAP1 activation of anthocyanin biosynthesis results in a high anthocyanin phenotype of the transplastomic plant. T: transformation vector delivery of the CPPx\_PAP1 series.

*Agrobacterium* harboring PAP1 transformation vector pSB419 (Sharma and Dixon, 2005) was a kind gift of Richard Dixon. Leave disc transformation (Horsch et al., 1985) was carried out to generate a positive control (nuclear transformant) for the approach.

Regeneration of nuclear transformants started from bleached leaf pieces with a purple callus which subsequently gave rise to *pap1* shoots (Figure 16). *Pap1* transformants were grown to maturity and allowed to self-pollinate in the greenhouse. Crossing was carried out until  $T_2$  generation.



**Figure 16. Generation and phenotype of nuclear transformant** *pap1.* Top left corner: high anthocyanin callus as the result of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated tobacco leaf disc transformation with transformation vector pSB419. Other pictures: anthocyanin accumulation in *pap1* compared to WT, *pap1* left, WT right side.

For stable transformation of the plastid genome, transformation vectors encoding fusion proteins of all nine CPPs with PAP1 and one control vector without CPP were cloned (Figure 17). Vector cloning was based on transformation vector intermediate pUC18 (C) introduced in 2.2 (Figure 14).



**Figure 17. Cloning of the PAP1 transformation vector series.** Briefly, the 747 bp PAP1 coding region was amplified (primer: Pap1 fwd / Pap1 rev) from *Agrobacterium* transformation vector pSB419 (Sharma and Dixon, 2005) and cloned via *Xho* I and *Nhe* I sites into pUC18\_INS\*\_CPP cassette\_rbcL (see 2.2, Figure 14). Next, the dicistronic operon was restored by exchanging TrbcL with aadA\_TrbcL via Nhe I / Not I transfer from the eGFP vector series (see 2.1, Figure 4). Finally, *cppx* parts were exchanged via *Sac* II and *Xho* I to give rise to the PAP1 plastid transformation vector series.

The full set of CPPx\_PAP1 vectors was transformed into tobacco by the biolistic method. Transformation yielded transplastomic plants for all constructs, however, despite repeated transformations attempts, no transplastomic line for transformation vector CPP5\_PAP1 was recovered. Positive lines were identified by PCR (Figure 18) and cyclization was carried out until cycle II to IV. No enhanced anthocyanin phenotype was observed. In contrast, upon shoot formation, lines showed a pale-green chlorotic phenotype. Southern blot analysis confirmed correct integration and indicated homoplasmy state for all lines except for CPP8\_PAP1 (Figure 18).



**Figure 18. Identification of transplastomic CPPx\_PAP1 lines and confirmation of correct vector integration into the plastome by PCR and Southern blot.** (A) Schematic drawing of PAP1 transformation vector, WT plastome and transplastomic plastomes. Primers for PCR are indicated with arrows. External primer (binds to the plastome): red; internal primer (binds to vector element): black. For Southern blot, *Hind* III cutting sites and probe location are given. (B) PCR with external primer INS\*\*fwd and internal primer PAP1 proof rev amplified a 3719 bp mutant fragment in the transplastomic lines but not in WT. (C) Southern blotting confirmed site-directed integration in the plastome and indicated homoplasmy by the detection of the mutant fragment and the absence of the WT fragment in the transplastomic lines. 2 μg of DNA was digested with *Hind* III and hybridized with a 376 bp probe which was amplified with primers Probe v2 fwd / Probe v2 rev. Expected fragment sizes: WT: 4494 bp, mutant: 3120 bp. Line CPP8\_PAP1 did show WT fragment. WT: wild-type, c°: control\_PAP1 line, numbers 1° to 9°: CPPx\_PAP1 lines.

Plants were transferred to the greenhouse for seed production. Growth under greenhouse conditions did not suggest elevated anthocyanin contents (Figure 19). This was further confirmed by thin-layer chromatography analysis of anthocyanin contents in leaves of greenhouse-grown plants (not shown). All lines in the greenhouse were capable of self-pollination except for line 7°. Line 8° and line 9° developed less and smaller capsules. Seeds obtained from pollinations with WT were surface sterilized and sown on B5<sub>Spec</sub> to check seed-grown phenotype plants (not shown).



Figure 19. Phenotype of transplastomic PAP1 lines in comparison to *pap1* nuclear transformant. PAP1 lines were regenerated from tissue culture and Southern blot confirmed homoplasmy. In  $T_1$  grown from seeds, the chlorotic phenotype was established however the growth size phenotype was less pronounced.  $c^\circ$ : control\_PAP1 line, numbers 1° to 9°: CPPx\_PAP1 lines, *pap1*: nuclear transformant (positive control).

To determine whether the observed chlorotic phenotype was due to high protein accumulation levels in the lines, TSP was extracted and separated by SDS-PAGE. No prominent band resulting from CPPx-PAP1 fusion protein accumulation was observed. Chlorosis, however, exhibited an effect on the amount of RubisCO large subunit (Figure 20).



**Figure 20. SDS-PAGE of extracted CPPx\_PAP1 soluble protein (TSP).** 10 µg TSP were separated, defined amounts of BSA were loaded for comparison. WT: wild-type, *pap1: pap1* nuclear transformant, c°: control\_PAP1 line, numbers 1° to 9°: CPPx\_PAP1 lines. LSU: RubisCO large subunit, SSU: RubisCO small subunit.

#### 2.4 PAH vectors series: CPP fusions for the clinic

To date, CPP fusion proteins / peptides are expressed in bacterial cells, alternative expression systems are missing (Asoh and Ohta, 2008). To introduce the expression of CPP fusions of clinical value in plants, we aimed to express CPP fusions to a human enzyme from the plastid. Such a plastid-expressed fusion protein could be used for the substitution of a non-functional protein in the human body. Approaches like this are termed enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) (Fratantoni et al., 1968, Neufeld, 2006). ERT is a promising field of disease treatment, especially in the treatment of lysosomal storage diseases (Brady 2006, Goldblatt et al., 2011). ERT is challenged by high costs for the development and subsequent production of the enzyme in question (Wraight, 2006). In 2007, studies have shown the feasibility of plant-based production of glucocerebrosidase for the ERT of Gaucher's disease (Shaaltiel et al., 2007, Aviezer et al., 2009) and today plant-based production of pharmaceuticals has proven successful in several clinical trials (for review: Paul and Ma, 2011).

Only recently, CPPs have entered the field of ERT with great success (Rapoport and Lorberboum-Galski, 2009). CPP-mediated ERT in the case of phenylketonuria (PKU) (Eavri and Lorberboum-Galski, 2007) is considered a very promising approach in the treatment of the disease (Sarkissian et al., 2009). PKU is the most frequent inborn genetic disorder of amino acid catabolism (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man 261600). It is characterised by the body's inability to convert food supplied phenylalanine (Phe) to tyrosine, caused by deficiency of phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH). If untreated, resulting Phe accumulation leads to impaired postnatal development. PKUs classical treatment includes a life-time vegan diet / dietary protein restriction combined with the supplementation of tyrosine. BH<sub>4</sub> supplementation, PAHs natural co-factor, was identified as an effective treatment for mild forms of PKU (Muntau et al., 2002). This led to the first commercial product on the market: Kuvan<sup>®</sup> (sapropterin dihydrochloride), the synthetic form of BH<sub>4</sub>. Kuvan<sup>®</sup> is today widely applied, its effect, however, is limited in some genotypes and its chemical synthesis is very expensive (Santos-Sierra et al., 2012).

Given the great promise of CPP-PAH fusions for the treatment of PKU, the diseases clear connection to food and the classical dietary way of treatment, PKU was selected for the proof-of-principle analysis of plastid expressed CPP fusions for the clinic.

Besides the CPP Tat (= CPP3) which was used by Eavri and Lorberboum-Galski (2007), synthetic Tat variant PTD-4 (= CPP2) was chosen since PTD-4 showed 43-times the transduction ability when compared with native Tat (Ho et al., 2001).
Transformation vector cloning was based on the transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C), which targets the *trnfM / trnG* insertion site in the plastid genome (see 2.2, Figure 14). *Pah* ORF for cloning was a kind gift of Ania Muntau (Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, LMU Munich). Two vector categories were generated (Figure 21). One with the *aadA* gene (antibiotics selection marker) between the homologous insertion flanks for conventional stable resistance marker integration and one with *aadA* cassette in the vector backbone for cointegrate formation and subsequent antibiotics resistance marker removal (Klaus et al., 2004).



**Figure 21. Cloning of the PAH transformation vector series.** (A) Cloning of the PAH transformation vector with *aadA* gene between the homologous insertion flanks for conventional resistance marker integration. First, the dicistronic operon was restored in pUC18\_INS\*\_CPP cassette\_rbcL (see 2.2, Figure 14) by exchanging TrbcL with *aadA\_rbcL* via *Nhe* I / *Not* I transfer from eGFP vector series (see 2.1, Figure 4). Next, *cppx* parts were exchanged to *cpp2, cpp3* and control via *Sac* II and *Xho* I. Finally, 1360 bp pah was inserted via *Xho* I / *Nhe* I into all three constructs to get final plastid transformation vectors. (B) Cloning of the PAH transformation vector with *aadA* cassette in vector backbone. *Sac* II / *Nhe* I part from pUC18\_INS\*\_CPP cassette\_rbcL\_PAP1\_aadA\_extern (unpublished, *aadA* cassette was inserted in the *Sca* I site, position: 2108, in pUC18 vector backbone) was exchanged with *Sac* II / *Nhe* I part from the PAH transformation vector series with the *aadA* gene between the homologous insertion flanks (see Figure 21 A).

Vectors of the CPPx\_PAH series were transformed into tobacco plastids by the biolistic method. Plants were regenerated for both vector categories. Despite several transformation attempts, no transplastomic plant was identified for the control transformation vector. This happened to be the case for both the construct with *aadA* in the vector backbone and for the one with *aadA* between the homologous insertion flanks. For CPP2 and CPP3, however, PCR and Southern blotting identified and confirmed successful transformation events. One plant per category was studied in greater detail (Figure 22).



**Figure 22.** Identification of transplastomic CPPx\_PAH lines and confirmation of correct vector integration into the plastome by PCR and Southern blot. (A) Schematic drawing of PAH transformation vector (internal *aadA*), WT plastome and transplastomic plastomes. Primers for PCR are indicated with arrows. External primer (binds to the plastome): red; internal primer (binds to vector element): black. For Southern blot, *Hind* III cutting sites and probe location are given. Note: PAH transformation vector (external *aadA*) is also presented. PCR and Southern blot for this construct resulted in the same fragment sizes as for the PAH transformation vector with internal *aadA*. (B) PCR with external primer INS\*\*fwd and internal PAH Nhe I rev amplified a 4410 bp mutant fragment in the transplastomic lines but not in WT. (C) Southern blotting confirmed site-directed integration in the plastome and indicated homoplasmy by the detection of the mutant fragment and the absence of the WT fragment in the transplastomic lines. 2 µg DNA was digested with *Hind* III and hybridized with a 376 bp probe which was amplified with primers Probe v2 fwd / Probe v2 rev. Expected fragment sizes: WT: 4494 bp, mutant: 3120 bp. WT: wild-type, 2\*: CPP2\_PAH (external *aadA*) line, 3\*: CPP3\_PAH (internal *aadA*) line.

Regenerated CPP2\_PAH (internal *aadA*) line showed a normal growth phenotype and faintly chlorotic leaves. CPP3-PAH (external *aadA*) in contrast had thick leaves combined with severe stunted growth and difficulties in root production (Figure 23). CPP2\_PAH plant was rooted and transferred to the greenhouse. To check for protein accumulation in the lines, total soluble protein was extracted from the homoplasmic lines and separated by SDS-PAGE (Figure 23).



**Figure 23.** Phenotype of CPPx\_PAH lines and TSP separated by SDS-PAGE. (A) Phenotype of CPPx\_PAH lines. 2\*: CPP2\_PAH (external *aadA*) line, 3\*: CPP3\_PAH (internal *aadA*) line. (B) 10 μg of TSP were separated by SDS-PAGE. No CPPx-PAH fusion protein accumulation was observed. LSU: RubisCO large subunit, SSU: RubisCO small subunit.

Seeds of line CPP2\_PAH were obtained by self-pollination in the greenhouse. When CPP2\_PAH (external *aadA*) seeds of  $T_1$  generation were germinated on B5 and B5<sub>spec</sub> medium, pale white seedlings occurred in presence of the antibiotics (Figure 24). This indicated the loss of the antibiotics marker *aadA* by loop-out recombination as suggested by Klaus et al., 2004.



Figure 24. Germination of CPP2\_PAH (external *aadA*)  $T_1$  seeds on B5 (left jar) and on B5<sub>Spec</sub> (right jar). Loss of the antibiotics resistance gene *aadA* resulted in pale white seedlings on media with antibiotics.

To test plastid-manufactured CPP2-PAH fusion proteins in the clinic, CPP2-PAH fusion proteins were isolated from CPP2\_PAH (external *aadA*) crude plant extract via FPLC and gel filtration. However, when fusion protein accumulation was assayed by Western blot, no CPP2-PAH fusion protein was detected in the analysed samples (Figure 25).



**Figure 25. Gel filtration and identification of CPP2-PAH fusion protein by Western blot.** (A) Gel filtration profile revealed two peaks (B1-B5, D8-D4) and one degraded fraction (E11-G09). (B) Western blot identification of CPP2-PAH fusion protein in different fractions. In the positive control only, PAH was detected. 1: crude plant extract, 2: pooled fractions after FPLC (Ni<sup>2+</sup>-affinity chromatography via His-tag), 3: fraction B1-B5 (gel filtration), 4: fraction D8-D4 (gel filtration), 5: control (PAH purified from *E. coli*). Analyses were performed by Dunja Reiß at Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, LMU Munich.

## 3 Discussion

This study was designed in view of the high number of reports about the expression of vaccine antigens and the comparably limited number of studies on the expression of other therapeutic peptides / proteins in plastids. Today, many promising therapeutics are limited in their clinical application due to limitations in their cellular delivery. Size and chemical nature of these drug candidates do not allow free diffusion across the plasma membrane and consequently demand a suitable carrier system. A group of versatile carrier peptides mediating cellular delivery are cell penetrating peptides (CPPs). Despite a long history of uncertainties concerning the exact CPP mechanism, clinical trials of CPP-mediated cellular delivery were launched only short time after CPP discovery. To date, CPP fusions for the clinic are prepared from bacterial cells with associated bottlenecks like endotoxin contamination, scale up etc. From the perspective of scientists working in the CPP field (Asoh and Otha, 2008), alternative expression platforms are highly desirable.

To date, no reports about the expression of CPP fusion proteins in plants have emerged in the literature. This study aimed to fill this gap. Three approaches were employed in a proof-of-principle study.

First, the general feasibility of CPP fusion protein expression in the plant was assessed. Second, it was addressed which fusion protein localisation results upon expression in the plastid. Third, it was asked whether plastids can be used for the expression of therapeutical CPP fusion proteins in a proof-of-principle study.

The results of this study suggest that the production of CPP fusion proteins is feasible in general. Leakage of CPP fusion protein from the plastid was not observed. The clinical proof-of-principle was not conducted due to low CPP fusion protein expression levels. Limitations of the current study are identified and discussed to provide a framework for further approaches.

# 3.1 Expression of CPP fusion proteins in tobacco plastids is feasible

Twenty transplastomic lines were established in this work, expressing an array of cell penetrating peptide fusions to proteins in the tobacco plastid. Up to nine different CPPs were fused to the fluorescent protein eGFP (vector series I, see 2.1), the transcription factor of anthocyanin biosynthesis PAP1 (vector series II, see 2.3) and the human enzyme of phenylalanine metabolism PAH (vector series III, see 2.4).

CPP fusion proteins have never been expressed in the plastid / plant before. Judging from the experiences made in this study, the expression of CPP fusion proteins in the organelle is feasible. Transplastomic plants reached homoplasmy, produced viable seeds and stably inherited the desired trait to their progenies in a maternal fashion. Transplastomic plants also provided an insight into pleiotropic effects, which can result from the expression of heterologous proteins in plastids (reviewed in Ruiz and Daniell, 2005, Lössl and Waheed, 2011).

A chlorotic phenotype was observed in some CPP\_PAP1 lines (Figure 19). This phenotype was also reflected by the lower amount of RubisCO large subunit detected by SDS-PAGE (Figure 20). In addition to chlorosis, small growth occurred in this vector series. Severe stunted growth was observed in the case of CPP3\_PAH (Figure 23). In this line, the observed dwarf phenotype was combined with impaired root development and late flowering (not shown). Reproducible traits, like male sterility and reduced seed capsule size were observed in both eGFP and PAP1 vector series. No plant was regenerated for CPP5\_PAP1. No plant could also be regenerated for the control construct of the PAH vector series.

For all lines SDS-PAGE revealed low levels of fusion protein accumulation in adult plants. This suggests that the mentioned phenotypic effects are rather a consequence of the nature of the CPP fusion protein itself than of the high degree of fusion protein accumulation. As introduced by Tregoning et al. (2003), high amounts of accumulated protein in the plastid can result in unwanted phenotypic effects, which can lead to even more severe pleiotropic effects if protein accumulation in the plastid increases (Oey et al., 2009). Recently, the group of Daniell reported protein accumulation up to 70% total leaf protein without negative effects on the plant (Ruhlman et al., 2010). Our results, however, are in agreement with studies which showed that comparably small amounts of heterologous protein accumulation can result in pleiotropic effects in the plant (Lössl et al., 2003, Magee et al., 2004, Waheed et al., 2011).

The expression of eGFP in tobacco plastids was reported before without any mentioned phenotypic effects (Newell et al., 2003). This was confirmed by our control plant expressing eGFP only. When CPPs were coupled to eGFP in our study, the transplastomic plants' phenotype was not greatly altered. However, effects like male sterility and impaired capsule development occurred in two out of nine lines (CPP7\_eGFP and CPP9\_eGFP). The underlying mechanism is currently not known. It seems however that the observed pleiotropic effects do result from a combination of the single CPP and the respective protein, which phenotypic outcome cannot be predicted. This is supported by the PAP1 lines. Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* MYB transcription factor PAP1 resulted in chlorosis in the control line (PAP1 without CPP). Interestingly, when CPPs were coupled to PAP1, resulting fusion protein expressing lines either resembled WT (CPP1 PAP1) or showed even more pronounced pleiotropic effects (CPP3 PAP1).

Plants aimed for the clinical trial, CPP PAH (vector series III), exhibited the most profound consequences of fusion protein expression, spanning from normal growth (CPP2 PAH), to a dwarf phenotype (CPP3\_PAH) and no regeneration at all (control\_PAH without CPP). For this group, an interference of the heterologous protein with the amino acid metabolism of the organelle cannot be excluded. Although PAH was recently reported only to be present in gymnosperms, mosses and Chlamydomonas (Pribat et al., 2010), one might speculate that human PAH is functional when it is expressed in the plastid of a higher plant. Although the human co-factor  $BH_4$  is missing, plastids were reported to be rich in 10-formyltetrahydrofolate (10-formyl THF, Orsomando et al., 2005). 10-formyl THF was identified as a co-factor for the enzyme in non-flowering plants (Pribat et al., 2010) and is also present in higher plants (Collakova et al., 2008). The observed phenotypes may therefore possibly result from a functional PAH enzyme in the plastid, in which the N-terminal fused CPPs attenuate PAH action. According to this hypothetical model, CPP2 hinders the function of the enzyme in the plastid which results in a WT phenotype. Accordingly, CPP3 does not completely interfere with PAH action and the plant shows the observed stunted bulky growth. A control plant, PAH without CPP, cannot be regenerated. Phenylalanine is converted to tyrosine which leads to the inability to regenerate the control PAH plant. Such explanation for the phenotypic consequences of CPPx\_PAH lines is supported by the observation that CPPs can interfere with the function of their cargo (Dowdy, 2006).

Although the expression of CPP fusion proteins turned out to be feasible in the plant, an issue that still needs to be optimized is the level of protein accumulation in the transplastomic lines. In principle, and in contrast to nuclear transformants which, with some exception, commonly accumulate as little as 0.01-0.4% TSP (Molina et al., 2004), the expression of transgenes from the plastid today enables to achieve protein accumulation up to 70 % TSP (Oye et al., 2009).

To maximize expression levels of CPP fusion proteins in our study, we optimized the CCPx\_eGFP expression cassettes in terms of codon-usage and structural elements for the expression in tobacco plastids (GENEART). In addition, expression cassette elements were chosen that were reported to confer high protein expression in the plastid (Scharff, 2002). However, despite this optimisation, protein accumulation levels were below what we had expected.

In vector series I, CPPx\_eGFP, high CPP fusion protein accumulation was probably hindered due to a directed repeat the underlying transformation vector pKCZglpk forms with the tobacco plastome (Scharff, 2002, Zou et al., 2003). This direct repeat is enabled through the use of tobacco *rrn* promoter (P*rrn*, plastid 16S rRNA promoter) in the transformation vector pKCZglpk (Zou et al., 2003). Upon site-specific integration, a 91 bp P*rrn* direct repeat is established with the native P*rrn* in the plastome and consequently recognized by plastid recombination machinery. This can lead to loop-out recombination events (Koop et al., 2007), which were confirmed for vector series I by the detection of the recombined transcript (not shown). Although no vector elements are affected, the recombination affects the coding regions for 16S rRNA, 23S rRNA, 4.5S rRNA, 5S rRNA, tRNA-Isoleucine, tRNA-Alanine. Despite this unfavorable condition, CPP7\_eGFP showed detectable CPP fusion protein accumulation in the Coomassie gel (Figure 9) and at the whole plant level by the bare eye upon UV illumination (not shown).

To overcome this structural limitation, the insertion site for homologous transformation vector integration was changed from trnN / trnR (Zou et al., 2003) to trnfM / trnG (Ruf et al., 2001) in the transformation vector intermediate pUC18(C) (Figure 14). However, for both resulting vector series (series II and series III), protein accumulation levels were not increased. Low fusion protein yields were observed for both CPPx-PAP1 (Figure 20) and CPPx-PAH (Figures 23, 25).

This raises the question, whether low protein accumulation levels are common feature of CPPfusions protein expression in plastids or if rather structural determinants of the expression cassette are more important for the observed low yields. On which level the different CPPs / CCP fusion proteins or the vector design (Figure 4) exert an effect on the final level of protein accumulation awaits to be determined. Codon-optimisation was reported to increase protein accumulation only a few-fold in higher plants (Ye et al., 2001, Tregoning et al., 2003), whereas optimisation of translation control signals affect protein accumulation in a 10,000-fold range (Maliga, 2002). Bock and Warzecha (2010) conclude that not accumulation of stable transcript, but rather translational efficiency and protein stability limit protein accumulation. In a systematic approach to identify determinants of protein stability in chloroplasts, the importance of N-terminal amino acid residues after the start codon was highlighted (Apel et al., 2010). Among these are N-terminal determinants of stability are targeting sequences and purification tags. These elements consequently affect protein stability and subsequent protein accumulation in transplastomic plants (Elghabi et al., 2011). One might speculate that the combination of a Histag with the varying CPPs upstream of the respective fusion protein partner decreases protein stability and largely accounts for the low protein accumulation in the vector series I to III.

Besides the need to increase CPP fusion protein accumulation, the phenotypic effects, which already resulted from low CPP fusion protein accumulation levels, suggest the use of an inducible system for future approaches. Although previous reports of inducible systems were still challenged by unsolved problems like low expression levels and promoter leakage in the noninduced state (Koop et al., 2007), inducibility represents a key achievement when it comes to heterologous protein expression in plants. Equally important for the plants to accept foreign protein expression in their organelle is public acceptance in this regard. A functional inducible system could account for public concerns which are connected with the constitutive production of heterologous proteins in plants. Respective main concerns are not connected with a plants' overall gene content but rather if a gene in question is expressed or not. The use of an inducible system has the potential to account for those demands. In addition it could help studying the detailed effect of PAH and CPPx-PAH fusions on the plastid.

## 3.2 CPP fusion proteins are entrapped in the organelle

The current study marks the first expression of CPP fusion proteins in the plastid. Performing such a study is not only exciting from the perspective, that two fields, co-existing now for more than twenty years, get to know the chances and benefits the other field offers. We, from a plant biology point of view were interested in the effect of CPP fusion protein expression in the organelle, especially in terms of CPP fusion protein distribution.

A number of mechanisms were proposed for CPP transduction spanning from direct modes (energy-independent) to endocytic modes (based on endocytosis, energy-dependent), both between and within single CPPs (see introduction 1.5). Which mechanism is exactly exploited depends on a number of factors (van den Berg and Dowdy, 2011) and the read-out of an experiment is not *per se* predictable (Holm et al., 2011). Although the majority of recent data after artefact discovery in the year 2001 (Lundberg and Johansson, 2001) supported an endocytic mode of uptake especially for CPP fusion proteins (Trabulo et al., 2010), there are still reports providing evidence for direct penetration modes of CPP fusions (Hariton-Gazal et al., 2003, Rosenbluh et al., 2004, Chang et al., 2005, Cermenati et al., 2011). Such a direct mode of transduction could result, in terms of plastid expressed CPP fusion proteins, in a transfer of CPP fusion protein from the organelle to the cytosol.

In the past, endocytosis-free models like the transduction in organism not capable of endocytosis (Nekhotiaeva et al., 2004, Geueke et al., 2005, Liu et al., 2008) and CPP escape / transduction experiments with artificial lipid vesicles (Magzoub et al., 2005, Björklund et al., 2006, Bárány-Wallje et al., 2007, Säälik et al., 2011) were employed in CPP research to shed light on CPP mechanism. The expression of CPP fusions and studying their potential escape from the plastid as enclosed organelle is in line with such approaches.

Recently, the transfer of dually located proteins (proteins with dual location in different compartments of cell) from mitochondria and chloroplasts to other cellular compartments has gained increased attention (for review: Krause and Krupinska, 2009, Pfannschmidt, 2010). One major group of these dually located proteins has DNA binding ability (transcription factors, telomere binding proteins) - a feature which is also characteristic for many CPPs, which are often derived from transcription factors (Heitz et al., 2009). The association of CPPs with DNA is mediated by the net positive charge of their cationic sequence.

Interestingly, in a recent study (Isemer et al., 2012) plant transcription factor Whirly1 was reported to be relocated from the plastid to the nucleus. The underlying mechanism of translocation is currently unknown. This draws attention to parallels with the maize homeobox transcription factor KNOTTED1, which was first shown to travel between plant cells and later on shown to function as CPP in animal cells (see introduction 1.7).

Although further evidence in Whirly1 translocation is needed and the detailed mechanism is still elusive, the report demonstrates the growing interest in studying routes from plant organelles to the cytoplasm and the nucleus. Once established, such route could potentially be used for an export of proteins from the organelles to the cytosol. A route, regarded highly desirable for both basic and applied science. In basic science it would offer the possibility to study plastid to nucleus communication in e.g. in terms of retro signaling. For applied approaches it would allow to couple the high expression capacity of the plastid with the glycosylation machinery mediated from the cytosol. This would be of great interest for the expression of complex human proteins in the plastid, since glycosylation is a feature plastids do not offer.

However, when we first studied such CPP-mediated translocation scenario from the plastid to the cytosol with the CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins by optical means (vector series I), it became obvious that a second system was desirable. It was discovered that the optical eGFP system was amenable to artefacts (Figure 8). Water-infiltration turned out to disrupt cell integrity which resulted in fusion protein release from the plastid to the cytoplasm. Consequently, although first data suggested differences between the single CPPx-eGFP fusions, artefacts could be generated in all lines including the control. When dividing protoplast-derived cells were studied, CPP-eGFP signals were contained in the chloroplast / stromule network (Figure 7). However, even in this system artefacts were detected (Figure 8). Artefact and stromule detection were a function of the expression strength which varied between but also within the CPPx\_eGFP lines.

The second line of evidence which was established in terms of CPPx-fusion protein distribution was the PAP1 system. The proposed system (Figure 15) is *per se* considerably elegant since it is designed to provide a biological read-out which does not require any sample handling. However, also with this vector series, no differences between the control\_PAP1 and the CPPx\_PAP1 lines were detected when scored visually (Figure 19) and when judged from the thin layer chromatography (not shown). This is in line with the result from vector series I (CPPx\_eGFP) and suggests no fusion protein escape from the plastid by a direct mechanism of CPP translocation. This is in accordance with the majority of studies in the CPP field, which reported endocytic routes of transduction for CPPs coupled to large cargoes. Among these endocytic routes, macropinocytosis has increasingly gained importance for transduction (Kaplan et al., 2005, Nakase et al., 2007). This was confirmed by recent data from the Langel lab (Mäger et al., 2012)

and is also supported by the majority of contributions from the plant sector (Chugh et al., 2010, Qi et al., 2011). It seems that CPP definition keeps evolving and an updated version was reported to be suggested in the near future (Mäger et al., 2012).

Taking provided data into account, one could argue, that the general functionality of the physiological PAP1 system remains to be proven. If there is leakage from the organelle, and the fusion proteins successfully access the cytosol, CPPx-PAP1 proteins still have to enter the nucleus to provide a positive read-out. Cermenati et al. (2011) reported FITC labeled Tat (CPP3) accumulation in the nucleus of insect cells, however no Tat-eGFP fusion protein transduction in the nucleus. The authors state structural reasons of eGFP for this phenomenon, which, however, do not necessarily apply to the transcription factor PAP1.

For future studies, it could be interesting to test if protein fusions to lytic peptides, the class of peptides with even more disruptive effect on membranes, offer a tool to deliver cargoes to and from compartments. In addition, exciting candidates like plant transcription factors should be studied as fusions to GFP, most importantly in the case of Whirly1.

Taken together, from the current perspective, combined results from the eGFP and the PAP1 vector series suggest that none of the CPP fusion protein combinations was leaking from the plastid. However, when we tested for "classical" membrane transduction we were surprised that we found no transduction properties at all.

# **3.3** Do plant-produced CPP-fusion proteins penetrate into protoplasts and human cells?

The use of CPPs in plant biology marks a very recent expansion of the CPP field. CPP-mediated manipulations carried out in molecular plant science were so far performed from the "outside", trying to manipulate plant cells in terms of protein, RNA and more recently DNA delivery. The benefits of CPP use in plant science have been shown in many cases and a first comprehensive review in the field provides an overview about the achievements (Chugh et al., 2010).

Besides introducing the concept of CPP fusion protein expression in plants, we were interested whether or not our plastid-expressed CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins were able to penetrate into plant and human cells – the characterising feature of CPPs which is described throughout the CPP literature.

However, the low CPPx-eGFP fusion protein accumulation in the plastids did not yield sufficient fusion protein for transduction experiments except for CPP7-eGFP (Figure 10). Consequently, recombinant CPP-eGFP fusion proteins were isolated from *E. coli*. This enabled us

to study the transduction of one plastid-produced and six bacterial-produced CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins.

To our great surprise, we could not observe any transduction. There was no CPPx-eGFP penetration into tobacco protoplasts (Figure 11) and young tobacco leaf tissue (Figure 12). When CPPx-eGFP fusion protein transduction into human cell lines was studied, the same observation was made (Figure 13). Even altered experimental conditions (incubation time, concentration of fusion protein and buffer regime) did not change this result.

This is not consistent with the majority of reports in the CPP literature in which fast, concentration dependent CPP uptake is reported. If one only refers to studies of CPP-(e)GFP fusion protein delivery, transduction was shown into human cells (Park et al., 2002, Yang et al., 2002, Cao et al., 2002, Ryu et al., 2003, del Gaizo and Payne, 2003, Ferrari et al., 2003, Fittipaldi et al., 2003, Sengoku et al., 2004), into plants (Chang et al., 2005, Liu et al., 2008, Lu et al., 2010, Qi et al., 2011) and into insect cells (Cermenati et al., 2011).

In our experiments, transduction experiments were carried out at concentrations of 1-10 µM with incubation times from 30 min to 24 h, at room temperature for plant cells and 37 °C for human cells – experimental conditions under which transduction is expected to occur according to the above mentioned literature. In addition, well studied CPPs were chosen for our approach (Table 1). Even if one takes into account that experimental CPP transduction results cannot be predicted (Holm et al., 2011) and that CPP read-outs can differ despite of the same experimental setup (Cai et al., 2006, Dupont et al., 2011), the results obtained raise serious questions and consequently draw the attention to studies where transduction failed. Reports on the unsuccessful transduction of CPPs are not dominant in the CPP literature. Leifert and Whitton (2003) review discrepancies in the transduction of Tat (CPP3), Penetratin (CPP4) and VP22 (CPP9) and attempt to explain transduction by well-established biological principles. Factors leading to contradictory experimental read-outs in CPP experiments were presented in a nice work by Fischer and co-workers (compare Figure 3 in: Fischer et al., 2005). Chauhan and co-workers (2007) review the CPP literature and identify factors for discrepancies in CPP research among which are: cell age, proteolytic degradation within the cell, permeability of cell type, conformational reasons, effects of time, nature of CPP-cargo linkage. Foerg and Merckle (2008) finally challenge the hypothesis of CPPs as unrestricted delivery tools and come to the conclusion that "the concept of CPPs as a universal tool in drug delivery needs to be abandoned". Recently, Simon et al., 2010 shed light on the on-going discrepancy why some groups reported successful transduction across brain-blood-barrier (BBB) and others did not. The authors observed efficient transduction across BBB was correlated with a disrupted state of the barrier following ischemic injury. For an intact BBB in their hands and others, Tat (CPP3) was not identified as an effective vehicle for trans-BBB delivery. The achievements in the application of CPPS in hypoxia and ischemia were recently reviewed (Dietz, 2011).

Why all CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins expressed in our hands were unable to penetrate protoplasts and human cells under all tested experimental conditions is currently beyond our knowledge. It is interesting to note that although the combination of CPP with eGFP caused pleiotropic effects in the plant upon expression in the plastid (3.1), no differences in transduction behaviour were observed. However, these pleiotropic effects do not necessarily account for a functional fusion protein. To which degree the low expression levels are correlated to the absent transduction ability remains as a further question. To confirm the integrity of our CPP-eGFP fusions, proteins used in our transduction studies were sequenced. Although trypsin digest led to tiny fragments and e.g. an inability to detect CPP1, which consists of eight arginine residues, data confirmed that CPPs were present and correctly fused to eGFP.

Essential elements of the CPP fusion cassette in our study were arranged according to the design of Han et al. (2000) and Kwon et al. (2000). Reported vector series was used by this group for the successful transduction of proteins fused to different CPPs in a number of studies (Jin et al., 2001, Park et al., 2002, Ryu et al., 2004, Eum et al, 2004, Kim et al., 2005, Song et al., 2008). Most importantly the group worked on unfixed cells and included trypsin-EDTA washings to avoid artefacts in their studies. In addition, the N-terminal His-tag did not interfere with the transduction ability of fusion proteins.

The alternative fusion protein vector system which does not require cleavage of the N-terminal His-tag is pTAT-HA, provided by the Dowdy lab (Nagahara et al., 1998). This vector was used in the majority of CPP fusion protein studies but does solely rely on Tat (=CPP3) for transduction. For future approaches and to identify possible bottle-necks in our system, it would be interesting to integrate the pTAT-HA system in a chloroplast transformation vector and to test different combinations of CPPs fused to proteins.

In plant biology, a potential application is CPP-mediated transformation vector delivery. It has been shown that CPPs can be used for the delivery and transient expression of DNA (Chugh and Eudes, 2008b, Chugh et al., 2009), stable transformation however was not achieved. Experiments in our laboratory towards the non-covalent CPP-mediated delivery of nuclear genome and plastid transformation vectors with company-synthesized CPPs (MPG, Morris et al., 1999, MPGΔNLS, Simeoni et al., 2003) did not yield transplastomic plants. Recently, Ziemienowicz et al. (2012) reported the successful dimer-Tat-mediated delivery of a T-DNA, VirD2 and RecA nano-complex for the integration of transgenes into the genome of triticale.

# **3.4** Do CPP-PAH fusion proteins expressed in plastids exert a positive effect *in vivo*?

The field of plastid transformation is characterised by approaches of both basic and applied aspects. Applied research for human health in the past focused mainly on the expression of vaccine antigens in the plastid. Oral application for the delivery of these plastid-expressed vaccine antigens and targeting to the mucosa via adjuvants is one of the main strategies for their application. Reports of other protein therapeutics are rare, especially since therapeutics like human enzymes require targeting to tissues and compartments beyond the mucosa. Besides reports by the Daniell lab making use of adjuvants like CTB and LTB for trans-mucosal delivery (Limaye et al., 2006, Ruhlman et al., 2007, Verma et al., 2010, Boyhan and Daniell, 2011), there are no reports of versatile carrier system which allows for cellular delivery in the transplastomic field.

CPPs introduced in the present study offer a unique potential for cellular delivery of peptides and proteins and the huge, highly established field of CPPs can easily be connected to the plastid technology.

To finally introduce CPP fusion protein expression in plastids / plants with a concrete example, we focused on the expression of CPP fusions to a human enzyme in the plastid. The ultimate goal was to show the value of such a plastid-expressed CPP fusion protein in the substitution of a non-functional enzyme in a clinical mouse model. The mendelian disorder phenylketonuria (PKU) and its committing enzyme phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH) was selected due to its metabolic character, its clear connection to nutrition and the resulting long history of dietary treatment of the disease. The approach was coordinated with the help of Ania Muntau's lab at Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital at LMU Munich, which has expert knowledge in the characterization and treatment of PKU. Vector cloning, plant regeneration and characterization were carried out in our laboratory, purification and testing of fusion proteins in the mouse model was thought to take place at the Muntau lab.

However, when we tried to isolate CPP2-PAH fusion protein from greenhouse grown plants, no PAH fusion protein was detected in the analysed samples including the raw extract (Figure 25). The second transplastomic line, CPP3\_PAH, which was established in the approach, showed a dwarf phenotype and consequently did not yield sufficient amounts of fusion protein for extraction. In addition, when this line was tested for TSP banding pattern, no CPP3-PAH-specific band was observed, which suggests fusion protein accumulation to less than 1% TSP also for this line (Figure 23). As discussed in 3.1, no transformant was obtained for the control vector (PAH without CPP).

At the moment, not much can be said about the value of the PKU system since CPP-PAH fusion proteins for testing are still elusive. Compared to the protein accumulation levels observed for the eGFP and PAP1 vector series, even lower CPP fusion protein levels were provided by the plant for PAH. It is established in the literature that PAH stability can be negatively affected in the presence of a His-tag. For this reason an MBP tag is preferred for purification (Dunja Reiß, personal communication). This might affect the *per se* low accumulation level in the plastid and lead to the protein degradation products which were observed in the gel filtration profile (Figure 25). This explanation is supported by the effect N-terminal elements exert on the stability of fusion proteins in plastids as suggested in 3.1 (Apel et al., 2010, Bock and Warzecha, 2010). In contrast to this are the results in the report which served as guideline for our approach. In this study, a His-tagged CPP3-PAH fusion was successfully expressed (in *E. coli*) and applied without constraints of degradation (Eavri and Lorberboum-Galski, 2007). Similar to our results and promoting rather an effect of the protein itself than of N-terminal elements, Belluci and coworkers (2005) were unable to detect ß-zein accumulation in transplastomic tobacco after stably inserting the ß-zein gene in the plastome.

Currently, the low protein accumulation levels of CPPx-PAH fusion proteins in this study are not promoting the manufacture of CPP-PAH fusions in plastids. As mentioned before, also for this vector series, inducible expression again turned out to be desirable since this could ensure plant regeneration upon vector delivery (PAH control) and a higher biomass in terms of the CPP3-PAH. Marker removal by the formation of a transient cointegrate (Klaus et al., 2004) was nicely shown in our study by the incorporation of the antibiotics selection cassette in the backbone of transformation vector pUC18(C) (Figures 21, 24). Towards a potential application, however, more studies are needed to address further safety related issues. We have observed substantial changes in CPP fusion protein expression levels within single lines as was concluded from CLSM microscopy (not shown). For the favoured oral application of fusion proteins in edible plants (Daniell et al., 2001), highly standardized doses of the active proteins are required. It is questionable if plants can meet these requirements, especially when grown in the field. In addition, more future focus must be drawn on the effects of the raw consumption of transgenic plant material. Lacking knowledge in terms of these questions currently favours the contained (facility-based) expression in plants with subsequent product purification, although higher costs do result from such procedure.

As soon as these limitations are solved and requirements are met, contained expression of CPP fusions in plants will have the chance to become reality. The established field of CPP technology and the large number of clinical approaches suggest that CPPs could play a vital in plastid engineering in the future.

So far our study may serve as a starting point for future collaborations between the two fields. For future directions, reviews listed in the introduction (1.6) of this study suggest an impressive number of potential targets for the use of CPPs in the transplastomic field. To start with, a study addressing a direct comparison between adjuvant- and CPP-mediated delivery is of interest, since CPPs can be used for the delivery of antigens (for review: Brooks et al., 2010), a field classically targeted with adjuvants. A recent review highlights aspects of CPP-mediated oral delivery (Khafagy and Morishita, 2012). An additional route to test with extracts made of plants could be CPP-mediated transdermal delivery (Rothbard et al., 2000, Song et al., 2008, Lohcharoenkal et al., 2011). This could be of interest for the treatment of rare skin diseases like ichtyosis which suffer from insufficient treatment (Huber et al., 1995, Smith et al., 2006).

In conclusion we have introduced CPP fusion protein expression in plants, more specifically in the plastid. Further work is needed to fine-tune the technique and to study long-term effects. If these requirements will be met and if the expression of CPP fusion proteins in plants will increasingly gain importance remains to be determined.

## 4 Summary

Plastid transformation is a valuable technique for both basic and applied science. In basic science the technique is used to study chloroplast function. Applied approaches deal with the potential of the plastid for the production of medicinal therapeutics, in most cases vaccine antigens coupled to adjuvants. Adjuvants are used for the trans-mucosal delivery of attached cargoes. Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs) emerged as valuable tools for the delivery of cell-impermeable cargoes across cell barriers more than twenty years ago. Although the exact mechanism of CPP penetration of cells is still discussed, the applied value of CPPs is documented in a number of clinical studies. Recently, scientists working in the CPP field launched a call for an alternative expression platform for CPP fusion peptides / proteins. Only a short time before, CPPs were introduced into plant science and some impressive first results, manipulating plant cells from the "outside", were achieved.

The present study aimed at combining the fields of plastid transformation and CPPs from the "inside". We report the first expression of CPP fusion proteins in a plant, more precisely in the plastid. The approach focused on three aspects of CPP fusion protein expression in the organelle: (A) the principal feasibility of CPP-fusion protein expression in the plastid, (B) the location of CPP fusion proteins in the plant cell upon plastid-based expression and (C) the use of plastids for the manufacture of CPP fusions to provide an alternative to the bacterial expression system.

Nine prominent CPPs were employed in three vector series to investigate these aspects. In vector series I the selected CPPs were fused to the fluorescent protein eGFP to provide an optical readout; in vector series II, the CPPs were fused to *Arabidopsis* MYB transcription factor PAP1 to provide a biological read-out and in vector series III, two CPPs were fused to the human enzyme PAH to introduce plant-based CPP fusion protein expression.

Taken together, the expression of CPP fusion in the plastid turned out to be feasible. Transplastomic plants reached homoplasmy, produced viable seeds and stably inherited the desired trait to their progenies in a maternal fashion. Only low protein accumulation levels were detected. Pleiotropic effects occured at the low protein accumulation levels observed. Localisation of CPP fusion proteins was shown to be restricted to the plastid. An inability of CPP fusion proteins isolated from vector series I to penetrate protoplasts, young plant tissue and human cell lines was revealed. The value of a plastid-based manufacture of CPP fusion proteins for clinical approaches failed to be demonstrated due to low fusion protein accumulation levels. Bottlenecks of the current study are discussed and suggestions are made to provide a framework for future efforts.

## 5 Zusammenfassung

Plastidentransformation ist eine wertvolle Technik sowohl für die Grundlagenforschung als auch für die angewandte Wissenschaft. In der Grundlagenforschung wird die Technik verwendet um die Funktion von Chloroplasten zu studieren. Angewandte Aspekte der Technologie beschäftigen sich mit der Produktion von Therapeutika für die menschliche Gesundheit. Dabei handelt sich in den meisten Fällen um Impfstoff-Antigene, die an Adjuvantien gekoppelt sind. Adjuvantien werden zur Vermittlung der Antigene über die Mucosa eingesetzt. Vor mehr als zwanzig Jahren wurden mit zell-penetrierenden Peptiden (cell penetrating peptide, CPP) wertvolle Tools eingeführt um membran-inpermeable Substanzen in die Zelle zu vermitteln. Obwohl der genaue Mechanismus, wie CPPs dies bewerkstelligen, immer noch diskutiert wird, ist der Wert von CPPs in einer Reihe von klinischen Studien dokumentiert. Vor kurzem äußerten Wissenschaftler in der CPP Forschung den Wunsch nach alternativen Plattformen für die Produktion von CPP-Fusionspeptiden und -proteinen. Nur kurze Zeit zuvor wurden CPPs das erste Mal in den modernen Pflanzenwissenschaften eingesetzt. Dies umfaßte Manipulationen von Pflanzenzellen von "außen" und welche in beeindruckenden ersten Ergebnissen dokumentiert wurden.

Die vorliegende Studie konzentrierte sich auf eine Kombination der Felder der Plastidentransformation und der CPPs von "innen". Wir berichten die erste Expression von CPP-Fusionsproteinen in einer Pflanze, genauer gesagt in den Plastiden. Drei Aspekte der Expression von CPP-Fusionsproteinen in den Organellen wurden untersucht: (A) Die prinzipielle Machbarkeit, (B) die Verteilung von CPP-Fusionsproteinen in der Pflanzenzelle bei Expression in den Plastiden, und (C) die Eignung von Plastiden zur Herstellung von CPP-Fusionsproteinen als eine Alternative zu dem bakteriellen Expressionssystem.

Um diese Aspekte zu untersuchen wurden neun prominente CPPs in drei Vektor-Serien an verschiedene Proteine gekoppelt und in den Plastiden produziert. In Vektor-Serie I, wurden die CPPs an das fluoreszierende Protein eGFP fusioniert, um eine optische Nachverfolgung zu ermöglichen. In Vektor-Serie II wurden die CPPs an den *Arabidopsis* MYB Transkriptionsfaktors PAP1 fusioniert, um eine biologische Auslese zu ermöglichen. In Serie III wurden zwei CPPs an das menschliche Enzym PAH gekoppelt um auf die prinzipielle Möglichkeit einer pflanzen-basierten Produktion von CPP Fusionsproteinen hinzuweisen.

Zusammengefasst, stellte sich die Expression von CPP-Fusionsproteinen in Plastiden als machbar heraus. Transplastomische Pflanzen erreichten Homoplastie, produzierten keimfähige Samen und vererbten die gewünschte Eigenschaft stabil an ihre Nachkommen. Nur geringe Ausbeuten von Fusionsproteinen wurden erzielt wobei pleiotrophe Effekte auftraten. Die Akkumulation von CPP- Fusionsproteinen war auf die Plastide beschränkt. Die Unfähigkeit von isolierten CPP-Fusionsproteinen der eGFP Vektor-Serie in Protoplasten, junges Pflanzengewebe und humane Zelllinien einzudringen, wurde aufgezeigt. Der Wert einer plastiden-basierten Herstellung von CPP-Fusionsproteinen für klinische Ansätze konnte wegen einer zu geringen Ausbeute an Fusionsprotein nicht aufgezeigt werden. Einschränkungen der aktuellen Studie werden diskutiert und Vorschläge gemacht, um einen Rahmen für zukünftige Anstrengungen zu geben.

## 6 Material and Methods

## 6.1 Material

Experimental work was conducted in molecular laboratories equipped with standard laboratory equipment, chemicals and consumables for cell and plant culture and analysis. Critical chemicals, additional equipment and the software used for this work are mentioned in the following.

## 6.1.1 Chemicals and Enzymes

Water was deionized by absorption and ultrafiltration (SG ultra-Clear, Barsbüttel, Germany) and autoclaved if necessary. Standard analytical (analytical grade) and tissue culture (for *in vitro* culture) chemicals were purchased from AppliChem (Darmstadt, Germany), Bayer Vital (Leverkusen, Germany), Duchefa (Harlem, Netherlands), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Serva (Heidelberg, Germay), Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). Critical compounds used in this work for cell / tissue culture sorted by supplier:

AppliChem	Ampicillin, sodium salt
	Ca-Panthotenate
	D-Glucose
Bayer Vital	Dimanin C
Duchefa	B5 salts
	Cellullase R10
	D(+)-Biotin
	Macerozyme R10
	MS salts
	Phyto agar
	Pyridoxine-HCl
	Spectinomycin dihydrochlorid
	Sucrose
Merck	Thiamine-HCl
Serva	Insosit
Sigma-Aldrich	Alginic acid
	Benzylaminopurine
	Naphthalene acetic
	Nicotinic acid

DNA and protein markers, DNA modifying enzymes and polymerases were purchased from MBI Fermentas (St. Leon-Rot, Germany), Finnzymes (Espoo, Finland), New England Biolabs (Frankfurt / Main, Germany), Promega (Mannheim, Germany), and Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany).

## 6.1.2 Kits, Consumables, Equipment and Software

#### • Kits

Clone Jet	MBI-Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany
Nucleo spin extract II	Machery and Nagel, Düren, Germany
Nucleo spin plasmid	Machery and Nagel, Düren, Germany
Prime-a-gene labelling system	Promega, Mannheim, Germany
Plasmid Maxi Kit	Qiagen, Hilden, Germany

#### • Consumables

AB mouse anti-PAH	Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany
AB Goat anti-mouse IgG HRP	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany
DMEM (Gibco)	Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany
Doppelseitiges Klebeband	Tesa, Hamburg, Germany
Gene gun consumables	Bio-Rad, München, Germany
HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column	GE Healthcare, München, Germany
HisTrap affinity column	GE Healthcare, München, Germany
HiTrap Butyl HP column	GE Healthcare, München, Germany
MicroSpin-Columns S-200 HR	GE Healthcare, München, Germany
N <sup>+</sup> Nylonmembran	Amersham Biosciences, UK
Nescofilm	Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany
Ni <sup>2+</sup> - agarose	Biontex, Martinsried, Germany
Nitrocellulose membrane BA-S 85	Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany
Novex NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel system	Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany
Optimem (Gibco)	Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany
Polypropylene grids Scrynel PP2000	K.H.Büttner, Wasserburg, Germany
RPMI Media 1640 (Gibco)	Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany
Steril filter PES 0.22µm	Serva, Heidelberg, Germany
Steril filter PES 0.22µm, 250 ml	Serva, Heidelberg, Germany
Tissue culture tube 163160	Greiner, Solingen, Germany

## • Equipment

ÄKTApurifier	GE Healthcare, München, Germany
Canon EOS 400D	Canon GmbH, Krefeld, Germany
Centrifuge Universal II	Hettich, Tuttlingen, Germany
Centrifuge Z323K	Hermle, Wehingen, Germany
Clean bench HERA safe KS12	Thermo Scientific, Langenselbold, Germany
Confocal microscope TCS SP5	Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany
DIANA III imaging system	Raytest GmbH, Sprockhövel, Germany
FACS Canto II	BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany
Leica DM 100	Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany
Microcentrifuge Fresco 17	Heraeus, Hanau, Germany
Microcentrifuge 5415	Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
Osmomat O30	Gonotech GmbH, Berlin, Germay
Particle-gun PDS-1000/He	Bio-Rad, München, Germany
Sterilbank Hera Safe Typ KS12	Thermo Scientific, Langenselbold, Germany
Sorvall RC6	Thermo Scientific, Langenselbold, Germany

## • Software

Clone Manager Professional	Sci-Ed Software, Cary NC, USA
Double digest	http://www.fermentas.com/en/tools/doubledigest
Google scholar	http://scholar.google.de/
ImageJ 1.45	http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/
NCBI	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
Office 2010	Microsoft GmbH, Unterschleißheim, Germany
Vector NTI 7.0	InforMax, Oxford, UK
Windows 7	Microsoft GmbH, Unterschleißheim, Germany

## 6.1.3 DNA and Organisms

• Vectors

0	Custom gene synthesis	GENEART, Regensburg, Germany
0	рКСZglpК	Scharff, 2002
0	pPNG1014_MCS120	Waheed et al., 2011

- PSB419 Sharma and Dixon, 2005
- pUC18 MBI-Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany
  - Oligos Metabion, Martinsried, Germany

Primer name	Sequence 5' -> 3' (restriction sites underlined if present)
GFP rev	GACGTCATTCCGGATCCAAGG
INS**fwd	TTAGCTGGTCGTGACCAAG
INS**rev	CACAGGCATCCCAGGTAATTC
KNT1 fwd	AACTAGTCCGCGGGCCGTCGTTC
KNT1 rev	TACAACTAGTGATATCTTAGAGCTCGT
LF fwd	CTACCTGCACCTGGACAGAAAG
Pah fwd	TT <u>CTCGAG</u> ATGTCCACTGCGGTCCTG
Pah Nhel rev	TTTT <u>GCTAGC</u> TTACTTTATTTTCTGGAGGGCACTG
Pap1 fwd	CTACCTGCACCTGGACAGAAAG
Pap1 rev	GACGTCATTCCGGATCCAAGG
Pap1 proof rev	GACGTCATTCCGGATCCAAGG
Probe new CPP fwd	GGTTTTGTTGGTTGGTTAATTG
Probe new CPP rev	CCTGTTTAGTCCCCTTCATTTC
Probe v2 fwd	GGTGATGGGCAATATCAG
Probe v2 rev	GCAGGTTGGTTACACCTA
rbcL fwd	AATTGCTAGCCTGCAGGCATGCAAG
rbcL rev	TTAGTACTTCCCGAGAGGTCACAATTCC

• Organisms

o E. coli

XL1 blue (genotype: *recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 supE44 relA1 lac* [F' *proAB lacl*<sup>q</sup>ZΔM15 Tn10 (Tet<sup>r</sup>)]

• Agrobacterium tumefaciens

GV3101 (pMP90) (Koncz and Schell, 1986)

o Tobacco

Nicotiana tabacum L-cv. Petite Havana (wild-type, WT)

o Human cell lines

HeLa cells, Jurkat T cells, Pheonix cells (293 derived cell line)

## 6.2 Methods

### 6.2.1 Vector cloning

Standard molecular manipulation techniques of DNA like restriction digest, agarose gel electrophoresis, purification of DNA from agarose gels, dephosphorylation and ligation were carried out as described by the supplier protocols or in Sambrook and Russell (2001). DNA of high purity for vector cloning and subsequent transformation of *E. coli* and tobacco was purified with kits from Machery-Nagel and Qiagen (see 6.1.2). Cloning work was confirmed by LMU Biocentre sequencing service.

### 6.2.2 Transformation

#### 6.2.2.1 E. coli

Bacterial growth

*E. coli* cells were cultured in LB medium at 37 °C under shaking for 180 rpm. Cell growth was monitored by measuring the optical density at 600 nm. For selective bacterial growth, sterile antibiotics (100 mg/l ampicillin, 75 mg/l spectinomycin) were added.

<u>LB medium</u>		
5 g	Yeast extract	
10 g	Bacto-Trypton	
10 g	NaCl	
10 g	Bacto agar, for solid plates	
pH 7.0 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. 1 l, autoclave.		

Competent cells

A 5 ml *E. coli* XL1 blue pre-culture was grown overnight as described in 'Bacterial growth'. The next day, 100 ml LB medium was inoculated with OD 0.1 and grown to OD 0.5-0.6. Cells were incubated on ice for 15 min and harvested by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. After resuspension in 20 ml RF1 the cells were further incubated on ice for 2 h. Cells were pelleted again and the pellet resuspended in 4 ml RF2 followed by ice incubation for 15 min. 50  $\mu$ l aliquots were shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C.

#### RF1

15.0 ml	Glycerol
0.9 g	MnCl <sub>2</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O
0.295 g	КАс
0.145 g	$CaCl_2*2H_2O$
1.2 g	RbCl

pH 5.8 with acetic acid, Q.S. to 100 ml with ultra-filtrated autoclaved H<sub>2</sub>O, sterile filtrate.

<u>RF2</u>

0.505 g	CaCl <sub>2</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O	
0.105 g	MOPS	
0.51 g	RbCl	
7.5 g	Glycerol	
pH 6.8 with 1 M NaOH, Q.S. to 50 ml with ultra-filtrated autoclaved H <sub>2</sub> O, sterile filtrate.		

## Heat shock transformation

An aliquot of competent XL1 blue cells was thawed on ice. Up to 10  $\mu$ l ligation product were added and the mixture was incubated on ice for 10 min. Heat-shock was performed for 45 s at 42 °C, followed by incubation on ice for 2 min.

Cells transformed with a vector harbouring an ampicillin resistance gene were plated immediately on solid LB plates with 100 mg/l ampicillin and grown over night.

Cells transformed with a vector carrying *aadA* (spectinomycin resistance gene) were adjusted to 500  $\mu$ l with LB medium, shaken for 1 h and pelleted by centrifugation (3 min, 2,000 rpm). Part of the supernatant was removed and harvested cells were resuspended in the remaining volume and plated on solid LB plates with 75 mg/l spectinomycin.

#### 6.2.2.2 Tobacco

Plant growth

Tobacco seeds were surface sterilized (1 min 70% ethanol, 10 min 5% Dimanin C, 3 washing steps with sterile  $H_2O$ ) and air-dried in the clean bench. Seeds were germinated in high density on  $B5_{mod}$  in an environmental chamber at 25 °C, 2000 Lux (Osram L58 W/25 Weiss/Universal, 16 h day). After one week, plants were separated in jars for continuous growth on  $B5_{mod}$  in the same chamber.

For transformation by the biolistic method and for protoplast isolation, four to five week old wild-type (WT) donor plants were used.

At the same age, WT and transplastomic lines were routinely transferred to the greenhouse for prolonged growth, flowering and seed development.

<u>B5<sub>mod</sub> (</u> Dovzhenko et al., 1998)		
3.1 g	B5-salts	
10 ml	<u>100x B5-vitamins</u> (Gamborg et al., 1968)	
	10 g	Inosit
	100 mg	Pyridoxine-HCl
	1 g	Thiamine-HCl
	100 mg	Nicotinic acid
	Q.S. to 1 l with	ultra-filtrated $H_2O$ , store at -20 °C.
0.983 g	$MgSO_4*7 H_2O$	
20 g	Sucrose	
5 g	Phyto agar	
pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated $H_2O$ , autoclave.		

Transformation of the plastid genome

Transformation of the plastid WT genome was performed by the biolistic method (BioRad PDS1000/He Biolistic Gene Gun) – either by the bombardment of tobacco leaves or by the bombardment of protoplast-derived microcolonies.

 $\circ$  Leaves

True leaves of aseptically grown four to five week old tobacco WT plants were placed with the adaxial side up on petri dishes with simple RMOP (composition see page 68) the day before the experiment and stored in the environmental chamber used for plant growth until the bombardment.

• Protoplast-derived microcolonies

For the bombardment of protoplast-derived microcolonies tobacco protoplasts were isolated and embedded in grids with alginate.

#### Protoplast isolation

Sterile grown true leaves of four to five week old tobacco WT plants were digested enzymatically for protoplast isolation. Leaves were cut in 1-3 mm stripes in 10 ml F-PIN for pre-plasmolysis (1 h). Medium was exchanged to the digestion medium (9.5 ml F-PIN + 250  $\mu$ l 10% Macerozyme solution + 250  $\mu$ l 10% Cellulase solution) and leaves were incubated at 25 °C in darkness for 14-16 h. The next day, protoplasts released from the digested leaf tissue were separated by filtration (Ø 100  $\mu$ m mesh size), gently overlaid with 2 ml F-PCN and centrifuged for 10 min at 70g. The interphase (intact protoplasts) was transferred to a new tube and was adjusted to 10 ml with MMM. Protoplasts were counted and the desired number was pelleted (10 min at 50 g) for further processing (embedding, CLSM).

<u> </u>		(Doverneinko et all) 1990)
100 ml	10x Macro MS-modified	
	10.12 g	KNO <sub>3</sub>
	4.4 g	CaCl <sub>2</sub> * 2H <sub>2</sub> O
	3.7 g	MgSO <sub>4</sub> *7H <sub>2</sub> O
	1.7 g	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>
	Q.S. to 1 l wit	h ultra-filtrated autoclaved $H_2O$ , store at - 20 °C.
10 ml	<u>2 M Ammoniu</u>	umsuccinate
	23.6 g	Succinic acid
	10.6 g	NH₄CI
	~ 22.4 g	КОН
	рН 5.8 (КОН) <i>,</i>	Q.S. to 100 ml with ultra-filtrated autoclaved $H_2O,$ sterile
	filtrate.	
10 ml	<u>100x Micro M</u>	<u>IS</u>
	4 g	Fe(III)-EDTA
	83 mg	КЈ
	620 mg	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>
	2.33 g	MnSO <sub>4</sub> * H <sub>2</sub> O
	860 mg	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> *7H <sub>2</sub> O
	25 mg	$Na_2MoO_4*2H_2O$
	2.5 mg	CuSO <sub>4</sub> *5H <sub>2</sub> O
	2.5 mg	CoCl <sub>2</sub> *6H <sub>2</sub> O
	Q.S. to 1 l wit	h ultra-filtrated autoclaved $H_2O$ , store at - 20 °C.

F-PIN Fast Protoplast Isolation Medium Nicotiana (Dovzhenko et al., 1998)

10 ml	100x PC-Vitamins	
	20 g	CaCl <sub>2</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O
	20 g	Inosit
	200 mg	Pyridoxine-HCl
	100 mg	Thiamine-HCl
	2 mg	Biotin
	200 mg	Ca-Panthotenate
	200 mg	Nicotinic acid
	Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated autoclaved $H_2O$ , store at -20°	
1.952 g	MES	
1 ml	Benzylaminopurine (1 mg/ml)	
100 µl	Naphthalene acetic acid (1 mg/ml)	
~ 130 g	Sucrose (to 550 mOsm)	

pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated autoclaved  $H_2O$ , sterile filtrate.

#### 10% Macerozyme solution

1 g	Macerozym R-10
0	

1.37 g Sucrose

Q.S. to 10 ml with autoclaved ultra-filtrated  $H_2O$ , centrifuge full-speed, sterile filtrate supernatant.

#### 10% Cellulase solution

10
•

1.37 g Sucrose

Q.S. to 10 ml with autoclaved ultra-filtrated  $H_2O$ , centrifuge full-speed, sterile filtrate supernatant.

#### F-PCN Fast Protoplast Culture Medium Nicotiana (Dovzhenko et al., 1998)

same basic composition as F-PIN, instead of 130 g sucrose, use:

- 20 g Sucrose
- ~ 65 g Glucose (to 550 mOsm)

pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated autoclaved  $H_2O$ , sterile filtrate.

#### Embedding

For grid bombardments of protoplast-derived microcolonies, isolated tobacco protoplasts were embedded in a 1:1 mixture of F-PCN with alginate and kept growing for about 7-10 days before transformation (16-32 cell stadium). Briefly, 500,000 isolated protoplasts in MMM were harvested by centrifugation (50 g, 10 min) and carefully resuspended in a mixture of 3 ml F-PCN with 3 ml F-Alginate. 625 µl were pipetted on a dry polypropylene grid (10x10 meshes) on a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-agar plate. The mixture was allowed to solidify for 1.5 h before the grids were transferred to 4 ml new F-PCN for 1 h. After adaption to F-PCN, the medium was removed and exchanged by 2 ml fresh F-PCN. Grids were incubated in darkness for 14-24 h at 25 °C. The next day, embedded protoplasts with regenerated cell wall were exposed to light for subsequent microcolony formation. One day before particle bombardment, grids with protoplast-derived microcolonies were placed on dishes with RMOP (see page 68) stored in the environmental chamber until the bombardment.

#### F-Alginate

137 mg	MES
250 mg	MgSO <sub>4</sub> *7H <sub>2</sub> O
204 mg	MgCl <sub>2</sub> *6H <sub>2</sub> O
~ 7.7 g	Mannitol (to 550 mOsm)
2.4 g	Alginic acid

pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 100 ml with ultra-filtrated  $H_2O$ , autoclave.

MMM MES-Magnesium Mannit (Dovzhenko et al., 1998)

1.952 g	MES	
1.250 g	MgSO <sub>4</sub> *7 H <sub>2</sub> O	
1.020 g	MgCl <sub>2</sub> *6 H <sub>2</sub> O	
~ 85 g	Mannitol (to 550 mOsm)	
pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated autoclaved $H_2O$ , sterile filtrate.		

#### Ca<sup>2+-</sup>agar

2.94 g	CaCl <sub>2</sub> *2 H <sub>2</sub> O
1.95 g	MES
~ 82 g	Manniol (to 550 mOsm)
10 g	Phyto agar

pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated H<sub>2</sub>O, autoclave.

#### o Particle gun-mediated transformation

Prior to transformation by the biolistic method, gene gun, parts and consumables were surface sterilized and air-dried in the clean bench.

Next, transformation vector DNA was coated on gold particles. A stock of 0.6  $\mu$ m gold particles (Biorad) was suspended in 100% enthanol (60 mg/ml) and stored at - 20 °C. 35  $\mu$ l of the gold stock were transferred into an Eppendorf tube, and pelleted at 14,000 rpm for 10 s. The supernatant was removed, the gold pellet resuspended in 1 ml sterile water and pelleted again (14,000 rpm, 1 min). The following steps were carried out on ice.

The washed pellet was resuspended in a mixture of 230  $\mu$ l water and 250  $\mu$ l 2.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 25  $\mu$ g of DNA (in water) were added. DNA was coated on gold particles by the addition of 50  $\mu$ l 0.1 M spermidine and incubation on ice for 10 min, while gently vortexing every 2-3 min.

The stabilized complex was centrifuged for 1 min at 10,000 rpm and the supernatant was removed. The gold-DNA complex was washed two times with 600  $\mu$ l of 100% ethanol by resuspension and centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 1 min. The complex was taken up in 72  $\mu$ l ethanol and 5.4  $\mu$ l were pipetted on each macrocarrier placed in the macrocarrier holder and allowed to dry for a few minutes. Transformation was carried out using the following parameters:

Pressure of helium:	1100 psi
Rupture discs:	900 psi
Distance rupture disc to macrocarrier:	8-10 mm
Distance macrocarrier to stopping screen:	10 mm
Distance stopping plate to leaf:	7 cm
Vacuum:	27-28 inHg

#### o Tissue culture conditions

2-3 days post bombardment, leaves were cut into small pieces and placed on RMOP (regeneration medium) with 500 mg/l spectinomycin as selective agents. After two weeks, enlarged leaf pieces were cut again and recultured on fresh RMOP selection plates. Cultivation continued (from now every 3-4 weeks transferred to a new plate) until green, putative transplastomic, primary shoots emerged from the bleached leaf pieces. Bombarded protoplast-derived microcolonies were subcultured according to the same regime.

<u>RMOP</u> (Svab et al., 1990)		
4.4 g	MS-salts	
10 ml	100x NT-Vitamins (Nagata und Takebe, 1971)	
	10 g	Inosit
	100 mg	Thiamin-HCl
	Q.S. to 1 I with	ultra-filtrated $H_2O$ , store at - 20 °C.
1.0 ml	Benzylaminopu	urine (1 mg/ ml)
100 µl	Naphthalene a	cetic acid (1 mg/ ml)
30.0 g	Sucrose	
5.5 g	Phyto agar	
pH 5.8 with 1 M KOH, Q.S. to 1 l with ultra-filtrated $H_2O$ , autoclave.		

• Transformation of the nuclear genome

Transformation of the nuclear genome was performed according to Horsch et al. (1985). *Agrobacterium* GV3101 harboring plasmid PSB419 was a kind gift from Richard Dixon (Sharma and Dixon, 2005).

### 6.2.3 Transgenic plants

#### 6.2.3.1 Transplastomic lines

Primary shoots emerging from bombarded leaves or microcolonies were isolated and transferred to fresh RMOP medium (6.2.2.2) for cyclization. Cyclization, i.e. the repeated regeneration of heteroplasmic leaf material on RMOP plates with selection pressure (500 mg/l spectinomycin), was carried until homoplasmy was achieved.

#### 6.2.3.2 Nuclear transformants

Nuclear transformants ( $T_0$ ) obtained by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation were allowed to self in the greenhouse. Resulting seeds ( $T_1$ ) were sterilized and germinated on  $B5_{mod}$ . Transformants were separated and grown in the greenhouse to produce  $T_2$ .

#### 6.2.4 Molecular analysis

#### 6.2.4.1 DNA isolation from plant tissue

• Quick protocol

About 100 mg of leaf tissue was ground in a screw cap tube with glass beads and 400  $\mu$ l extraction buffer. Tubes were centrifuged for 15 min at 15,000 rpm and 330  $\mu$ l of the supernatant were transferred to a new tube with an equal volume of isopropanol. After mixing and 10 min incubation at -20 °C, the DNA was pelleted by centrifugation (30 min, 15,000 rpm). The supernatant was removed and the pellets air-dried. A final volume of 40  $\mu$ l sterile water was added to the tubes without disturbing the pellet. Tubes were stored at 4 °C overnight prior to PCR. For immediate analyses, about 1 h is sufficient for resolving the DNA from the pellet.

Extraction buffer (Quick and Dirty)0.2 MTris-HCl (pH 7.5)0.25 MNaCl0.025 MEDTA0.5% (w/v)SDS

Use ultra-filtrated H<sub>2</sub>O, autoclave.

• 2x CTAB

400 mg of fresh leaves were ground in liquid nitrogen. 2x CTAB (1 equal volume) was added to the ground powder in a 2 ml Eppendorf tube and the frozen samples were allowed to thaw (aided by short vortexing). Cells were lysed in a water bath at 65 °C for at least 1 h. One volume of chloroform was added and the tubes were rotated 30 min at RT. Samples were centrifuged for 20 min at 15,000 rpm and the RNA in the supernatant was digested (10  $\mu$ l RNAse; 10  $\mu$ g/ml, 30 min, 42 °C), After a second chloroform extraction step, the DNA in the supernatant was precipitated by incubation with 0.7 vol isopropanol (30 min, - 20 °C) and centrifugation (30 min at 15,000 rpm, 4 °C). DNA was washed two times with ethanol, air-dried, redissolved in 60  $\mu$ l sterile water and frozen at - 20 °C.

2x CTAB-Extractionbuffer200 mMTris-HCl (pH 8.0)2% (w/v)CTAB20 mMEDTA1400 mMNaCl1% (w/v)Polyvinylpyrrolidon (40 kDa)Use ultra-filtrated H₂O, autoclave.

#### 6.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction

DNA fragments for cloning purposes were amplified with Phusion polymerase (Finnzyme), while Taq polymerase was used for colony PCR and for screening transplastomic lines (MBI Fermentas). Reaction conditions were adapted to the oligos used for amplification, the length of the PCR product and the polymerase. PCR products were analysed on agarose gels.

<u>Taq Polymerase</u>		Phusion Polymerase
2.0 μl (10x)	Buffer	10 μl (5x)
1.6 µl	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	/
0.4 μl	dNTP (10 mM)	1 µl
0.4 μl	Oligo fwd (10 pmol/ul)	2.5 μl
0.4 μl	Oligo rev (10 pmol/ul)	2.5 μl
0.2 μl	Polymerase	0.5 μl
100 ng	Template	100 ng
Q.S. 20 µl	Water	Q.S. 50 μl
Heated Lid 112.0 °C		Heated Lid 112.0 °C
95.0 °C for 5 min		98.0 °C for 30 s
30-35x		30-35x
95.0 °C for 30 s		98.0 °C for 10 s
Anneal for 30 s		Anneal for 30 s
72.0 °C for elongation		72.0 °C for elongation
End		End
72 °C for 5 min		72 °C for 10 min
Store at 4 °C		Store at 4 °C

#### 6.2.4.3 Southern blot analysis

2 µg of CTAB-extracted DNA was digested with an appropriate restriction enzyme for 4 h and separated on an agarose gel at 10-30 Volt overnight. The next day, DNA was transferred to a positive charged nylon membrane via blotting the gel in an alkali environment (0.4 M NaOH). The gel was placed on the blotting aperture, followed by the membrane, two layers of Whatmann paper, several layers of tissue paper and a weight on top to apply pressure. After blotting, the gel was checked for complete transfer using UV illumination. The membrane was washed with 2x SSC until a neutral pH was achieved, air-dried, UV-cross linked and stored at RT until hybridization.

For hybridisation probes were PCR amplified, kit extracted and adjusted to 5 ng/µl. Radioactive labeling was performed with  $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>-P-dCTP using the prime-a-gene labeling system (Promega) as indicated by the manufacturer. Radioactively labeled probes were purified via MicroSpin-Columns S-200 HR (GE Healthcare), denatured for 3 min at 100 °C and put on ice. After pre-hybridization for 1 h at 65 °C in hybridization buffer, the membrane was incubated with the labeled probe in 7.5 ml hybridization buffer at 65 °C overnight.

Unspecifically bound probe was removed the next day by washing steps with increasing stringency (1x 30 minutes with 0.5x SSC-buffer, 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 60 °C, 2x 30 minutes with 0.1x SSC-Puffer und 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 65 °C). The membrane was exposed to a phoshoimager screen and signals were detected with a BAS 1500-Phosphoimager (Fujifilm).

<u>20 x SSC</u>		
3.0 M	NaCl	
0.3 M	Sodium citrate (pH 7.0)	
pH 7.0 with 1 M HCl, use ultra-filtrated $H_2O$ , autoclave.		

Hybridization buffer (Church and Gilbert, 1984)250 mMNa2HPO4 / NaH2PO4 (pH 7.5)7% (w/v)SDSStore at - 20 °C.

#### 6.2.5 Macroscopic analysis

#### 6.2.5.1 Vegetative and reproductive growth

Sterile seed-grown homoplastomic plants at an age of 3-4 weeks were transferred to the greenhouse and the growth was documented. At the time of flowering, floral buds were covered with paper bags to avoid cross pollination and the plants ability to self-pollinate and to develop seeds was monitored.

#### 6.2.5.2 Seed assay

Seed-grown plants were crossed with and to WT plants. Resulting seeds were surface sterilized and germinated on  $B5_{mod}$  medium (6.2.2.2) with and without 100 mg/l Spec. After 14 days, germination rates and seedlings phenotypes were documented.

#### 6.2.6 Microscopic analysis

#### 6.2.6.1 Fluorescence microscopy

Expression strength of plant lines were routinely checked with a DM 1000 (Leica Microsystems).

#### 6.2.6.2 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

Confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed on a TCS SP5 (Leica Microsystems), with an argon laser excitation at 488 nm (Leica EGFP) and detection at 496 nm-559 nm.

Leaves

Leaves of seed-grown homoplastomic plants were water-infiltrated for microscopy. Waterinfiltration was carried out with a 50 ml syringe by first applying a vacuum to the leaf in the waterfilled syringe, followed by release of the vacuum and subsequent water in-flow into the leaf. An explant of the water-infiltrated leaf was cut, carefully mounted on slides with custom prepared spacers to avoid cover glass damage to the explant and studied by CLSM.
• Protoplast-derived cells

Protoplasts of seed-grown homoplastomic plants were isolated and embedded in grids as described in 6.2.2.2. For the microscopy of early division stages of protoplast-derived cells, the grid was carefully removed from the cells embedded in alginate. Released alginate embedded cells were mounted on custom prepared slides and studied by CLSM.

### 6.2.7 Chromatographic analysis

• Thin-layer chromatography (TLC)

200 mg leaf tissue from greenhouse-grown plants before flowering were shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground with glass beads in a screw-cap tube for 40 s at 5,000 rpm in darkness. 1 ml methanol:HCl [99.5:0.5 (v/v)] was added and the extraction was repeated. The extract was centrifuged for 5 min at 5,000 rpm and the supernatant was transferred to new tube. For analysis of anthocyanin content, cellulose analytic TLC plates (Sigma) were developed in concentrated HCl:formic acid:water (19.0:39.6:41.4).

### 6.2.8 Biochemical analysis

#### 6.2.8.1 Extraction of total soluble protein (TSP)

Total soluble protein was extracted from 100 mg leaf tissue of adult plants before flowering. Leaves were ground with glass beads in 500 ml ice-cold TSP extraction buffer in a bead mill for 3 min at 250 rpm. The extract was placed on ice and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was taken as total soluble protein.

TSP extraction buffer:50 mMNaH2PO4/Na2HPO4(pH 7.0)10 mMEDTA10 mM2-mercaptoethanol0.1% (v/v)Triton X-1001Tablet Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) per 10 ml

#### 6.2.8.2 The Bradford assay

5  $\mu$ l of TSP was added to 1 ml 1 : 5 diluted Bradford solution in PBS. Samples were mixed and extinction at 595 nm was measured after 10 min. Protein content was calculated using a standard curve of known BSA concentrations.

#### 6.2.8.3 Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Total soluble proteins were separated on discontinuous 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gels (with 20 mA for each mini gel) according to Laemmli. Gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Serva) on a shaker for 1 h. Background staining was removed with washing solution (10% acetic acid) and the gels scanned.

#### 6.2.8.4 Isolation of CPP fusion proteins

CPP fusion proteins were isolated from *E. coli* cells and tobacco leaves.

• from E. coli

*E. coli* XL1 blue harbouring CPP\_eGFP plastid transformation vectors were grown in 1-1.5 l LB<sub>Amp</sub> (see 6.2.2.1) cultures for fusion protein isolation. Cells were harvested (10 min, 4,000 rpm, 4 °C) and lysed in binding buffer by sonification. Purification was carried out by binding to Ni<sup>2+</sup>-agarose (Biontex) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Isolated proteins were dialysed overnight 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 at 4 °C, adjusted to 10% glycerol, shock-frozen and stored at - 80 °C.

• from Nicotiana tabacum L.

CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins were isolated from greenhouse-grown tobacco plants before flowering. About 50 g of FW plant material was ground in liquid nitrogen to a fine powder and total proteins extracted in ice-cold Tris-HCl pH 8.0. The extract was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was vacuum filtrated (0.45  $\mu$ M filter pore size).

Prior to HIC column purification, ammonium sulfate precipitation was carried out. Unwanted proteins were precipitated by adjusting to 30% (w/v) ammonium sulfate. The eGFP fusion proteins were finally precipitated with 80% ammonium sulfate. The pellet was resuspended in

high salt buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 3 M NaCl) and applied to a HiTrap Butyl HP column (GE Healthcare). Binding and washing was carried out as suggested in application note 28-9192-12 AB (GE Healthcare). Proteins were adjusted to 50 % glycerol and stored short-time at - 20 °C. Before transduction assays (6.2.9), purified proteins were dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 at 4 °C overnight and quantified using the Bradford assay.

• Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC)

CPP-PAH fusion proteins were isolated from adult greenhouse plants. 20 g FW were ground to a fine powder and extracted in 40 ml sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazol). The extract was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was vacuum filtrated (0.45  $\mu$ M pore size). The recombinant fusion proteins were purified on ÄKTApurifier (GE Healthcare) at 4 °C by loading crude extract on a HisTrap affinity chromatography column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with sodium phosphate buffer and subsequent step-wise gradient elution was performed with the same buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazol. The fractions from affinity chromatography were analysed by gel filtration on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM NaHEPES, pH 7.0 containing 200 mM NaCl.

#### 6.2.8.5 Western blot

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (4-12% Novex NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel system, Invitrogen) at a constant voltage of 200 Volt for 90 min and subsequently blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane (BA-S 85; Schleicher & Schuell). The blocked membrane (5% milk powder in TBS-Tween) was incubated for 1 h with the primary antibody, mouse anti-PAH (1:1,000 dilution; Calbiochem), and 1 h with the secondary, goat anti-mouse IgG HRP conjugated antibody (1:10,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The blot was visualized with SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and chemiluminescence was monitored with a DIANA III imaging system (Raytest).

### 6.2.9 Functional fusion protein assays

#### 6.2.9.1 Transduction of CPP fusion proteins into plant cells

For the transduction of fusion proteins into protoplasts, 2.5 x  $10^5$  freshly isolated protoplasts (6.2.2.2) were incubated with protein concentrations from 1-5  $\mu$ M in F-PCN for 1 h in darkness.

After incubation, protoplasts were washed two times with 10 ml F-PCN (10 min at 50 g) and studied by CLSM.

In addition, transduction in partially digested young leaves was studied. Young leaves of 4-5 week old tobacco leaves were digested for 20 h. The resulting partially digested young leaves were washed two times in F-PCN and adjusted to 5  $\mu$ M peptide in F-PCN. Incubation was carried out for 24 h at RT in darkness. For analysis, leaf tissue was washed two times with F-PCN and studied by CLSM.

#### 6.2.9.2 Transduction of CPP fusion proteins into human cells

To test transduction into human cells lines, suspension cells were kept in RPMI medium 1640 (Gibco) with 10% FCS (fetal calf serum), 5% penicillin / streptomycin, 5% L- glutamin and 0.1% beta-mercaptoethanol at standard cell culture conditions (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) in cell culture flasks. For transduction assay 2 x  $10^6$  cells were washed twice with PBS, resuspended in Optimem medium (reduced serum medium, Gibco) and transferred into a 6-well plate. The fusion protein was added and incubated with the cells (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). At selected time-points, cells were washed twice with PBS and treated with trypsin for 15 min to remove membrane-bound fusion protein. Reaction was stopped by adding complete medium, in which cells were washed again. In order to quench the residual signal coming from unspecific membrane attachment, cells were resuspended in trypan blue (0.005% in PBS). Flow cytometric analysis was performed on a FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences).

Adherent Phoenix (293 derived cell line) cells were kept in DMEM medium (Gibco) containing 10% FCS, 5% penicillin / streptomycin, 5% L-glutamine and 0.1% beta-mercaptoethanol at standard cell culture conditions in cell culture dishes. The day before the transduction assay 5 x  $10^5$  cells per well were seeded out in 6-well plates. Prior to transduction, cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with Optimem for 1 h. The fusion protein was added and incubated with the cells (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). At selected time-points, cells were washed twice with PBS and treated with trypsin. The reaction was stopped with complete medium, in which cells were washed again. Finally cells were resuspended trypan blue (0.005% in PBS) and analysed by FACS.

# 7 Abbreviations

5' UTR	5'-untranslated region
aadA	3' aminoglycoside-adenyltransferase, antibiotics selection gene
AB	Antibody
(Arg) <sub>9</sub>	Nonaarginine, CPP
Amp	Ampicillin
Arg	Arginine
AS	Amino acid(s)
bp/kb	Base pair(s)/ Kilo base pairs
BH4	6-R-L-erythro-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
B5	Gamborg's medium
с	Centi
CLSM	Confocal laser scanning microscopy
CPP(x)	Cell penetrating peptide (x represents a CPP/number according to Table 1)
CPPx-XXX	Fusion protein of given vector series
CPPx_XXX	Vector or resulting plant line of given vector series
СТАВ	Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide
СТВ	Mucosa-binding subunit B of cholera toxin
Da	Dalton
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
E. coli	Escherichia coli
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
e.g.	Exempli gratia, Latin: "for example"
(e)GFP	(Enhanced) green fluorescent protein
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
ERT	Enzyme replacement therapy
FACS	Fluorescence activated cell sorting
FDA	Fluorescein diacetate
FPLC	Fast protein liquid chromatography
FW	Fresh weight
g	Centrifugal force or gram
G10L RBS	Ribosomal binding site from gene 10 leader sequence
gfp14	First 14 amino acids of GFP
Goi	Gene of interest
GUS	β-glucuronidase
h	Hour(s)
HIC	Hydrophobic interaction chromatography
His-tag	Polyhistidine-tag
HIV-1	Human immunodeficiency virus type 1
inHg	Inches of mercury
INSR	Right insertion site
INSL	Left insertion site
k	Kilo

1	Litre
Lab	Laboratory
LB	Luria-Bertani
LMU	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
LTB	Escherichia coli heat-labile enterotoxin subunit B
m	Milli or metre
MCS	Multiple cloning site
MES	2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid
min	Minute(s)
(m)M	(milli)Molar = (milli)moles/l
MOPS	3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid
mOsm	Milliosmole
n	Nano
NEP	Nuclear encoded polymerase
numbers 1 to 9	CPP abbreviation / number used in the text (compare Table 1.)
OD	Optical density
ORF	Open reading frame
PAH	Phenylalanine hydroxylase
PAP1	Production of anthocyanin pigment 1
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PEP	Plastid-encoded polymerase from rrn 16
PKU	Phenylketonuria
PNG10-	Fusion of PEP, NEP and G10L RBS
psi	Pounds per square inch
Q.S.	Quantity sufficient
rbcL	Large subunit of the ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RT	Room temperature
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
siRNA	Small interfering RNA
Spec	Spectinomycin
Т	Thymus or trangenic plant generation or Thrombin site
Tat	Transactivator of transcription (HIV-1), CPP
T <i>rbc</i> L	rbcL terminator from C. reinhardtii
tRNA	Transfer RNA
TSP	Total soluble protein
UV	Ultraviolet light
WT	Wild-type
w/v	Weigth per volume
v/v	Volume per volume
₽ <b>/</b> ð	Female / male
°C	Degree Celsius
μ	Micro
0	Symbol for PAP1 vector series
*	Symbol for PAH vector series or insertion site: <i>trnfM / trnG</i>

# 8 Tables and Figures

<b>Table 1.</b> Selected CPPs, CPP abbreviation / number used in the text, origin, sequence and originalpublication.19
<b>Figure 1</b> . Events from the biolistic transformation vector delivery to the regeneration of a homoplastomic plant. 10
<b>Figure 2.</b> Schematic overview of possible applications where cell-penetrating peptides have been
shown to function well as delivery vehicles, both in vitro and in vivo
Figure 3. Suggested mechanisms of cell entry used by CPPs
<b>Figure 4.</b> Codon-optimized expression cassette for CPP fusion protein expression and
transformation vector assembly of the eGFP vector series
<b>Figure 5.</b> Identification of transplastomic CPPx_eGFP lines and confirmation of correct vector
integration into the plastome by PCR and Southern blot
Figure 6. Crossing CPPx_GFP lines
Figure 7. First division stages of CPPx_eGFP protoplast-derived cells
Figure 8. Ease of artefact generation as a drawback of the CPPx-eGFP system24
Figure 9. Adult stages of CPPx_eGFP lines and SDS-PAGE of extracted total soluble protein (TSP) 25
Figure 10. HIC purified CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins separated on SDS-PAGE
Figure 11. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into tobacco WT protoplasts27
Figure 12. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into partially digested young WT tissues28
Figure 13. Transduction of CPPx-eGFP fusion proteins into human cells
Figure 14. Cloning the transformation vector intermediate pUC18_INS*_CPP cassette_rbcL
(= pUC18(C)), targeting insertion site trnfM / trnG
Figure 15. Proposed action of CPPx-PAP1 fusion proteins upon their production in the plastid31
Figure 16. Generation and phenotype of nuclear transformant pap1
Figure 17. Cloning of the PAP1 transformation vector series
Figure 18. Identification of transplastomic CPPx_PAP1 lines and confirmation of correct vector
integration into the plastome by PCR and Southern blot
<b>Figure 19.</b> Phenotype of transplastomic PAP1 lines in comparison to pap1 nuclear transformant.
Figure 20. SDS-PAGE of extracted CPPx_PAP1 soluble protein (TSP)
Figure 21. Cloning of the PAH transformation vector series
Figure 22. Identification of transplastomic CPPx_PAH lines and confirmation of correct integration
into the plastome
Figure 23. Phenotype of CPPx_PAH lines and TSP separated by SDS-PAGE
Figure 24. Germination of CPP2_PAH (external aadA) seeds on (A) on B5 (B) on B5 <sub>Spec</sub> 40
Figure 25. Gel filtration and identification of CPP2-PAH fusion protein by Western blot40

## 9 References

**Apel W and Bock R** (2009) Enhancement of carotenoid biosynthesis in transplastomic tomatoes by induced lycopene-to-provitamin A conversion. Plant Physiol 151: 59-66.

Apel W, Schulze WX and Bock R (2010) Identification of protein stability determinants in chloroplasts. Plant J 63: 636-650.

Asoh S and Ohta S (2008) PTD-mediated delivery of anti-cell death proteins/peptides and therapeutic enzymes. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 60: 499-516.

Aussedat B, Sagan S, Chassaing G, Bolbach G and Burlina F (2006) Quantification of the efficiency of cargo delivery by peptidic and pseudo-peptidic Trojan carriers using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Biochim Biophys Acta 1758: 375-383.

Aviezer D, Brill-Almon E, Shaaltiel Y, Hashmueli S, Bartfeld D, Mizrachi S, Liberman Y, Freeman A, Zimran A and Galun E (2009) A plant-derived recombinant human glucocerebrosidase enzymea preclinical and phase I investigation. PLoS One 4: e4792.

**Bárány-Wallje E, Gaur J, Lundberg P, Langel Ü and Gräslund A** (2007) Differential membrane perturbation caused by the cell-penetrating peptide Tp10 depending on attached cargo. FEBS Lett 581: 2389-2393.

**Bellucci M, de Marchis F, Mannucci R, Bock R and Arcioni S** (2005) Cytoplasm and chloroplasts are not suitable subcellular locations for ß-zein accumulation in transgenic plants. J Exp Bot 56: 1205-1212.

**Benko-Iseppon AM, Galdino SL, Calsa T Jr, Kido EA, Tossi A, Belarmino LC and Crovella S** (2010) Overview on plant antimicrobial peptides. Curr Protein and Pept Sci 11: 181-188.

**Bevan MW, Flavell RB and Chilton M-D** (1983) A chimaeric antibiotic resistance gene as a selectable marker for plant cell transformation. Nature 304: 184-187.

**Bidwell III GL, Davis AN and Raucher D** (2009) Targeting a c-Myc inhibitory polypeptide to specific intracellular compartments using cell penetrating peptides. J Control Release 135: 2-10.

Bienenstock J and Befus AD (1980) Mucosal immunology. Immunology 41: 249-270.

**Björklund J, Biverståhl H, Gräslund A, Mäler L and Brzezinski P** (2006) Real-time transmembrane translocation of penetratin driven by light-generated proton pumping. Biophys J 91: L29-L31.

Bock R and Khan MS (2004) Taming plastids for a green future. Trends Biotechnol 22: 311-318.

**Bock R and Warzecha H** (2010) Solar-powered factories for new vaccines and antibiotics. Trends Biotechnol 28: 246-252.

**Borevitz J, Xia Y, Blount JW, Dixon RA and Lamb C** (2000) Activation tagging identifies a conserved MYB regulator of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Plant Cell 12: 2383-2393. **Boyhan D and Daniell H** (2011) Low-cost production of proinsulin in tobacco and lettuce plastids for injectable or oral delivery of functional insulin and C-peptide. Plant Biotechnol J 9: 585-598.

Boynton JE, Gillham NW, Harris EH, Hosler JP, Johnson AM, Jones AR, Randolph-Anderson BL, Robertson D, Klein TM, Shark KB and Sanford JC (1988) Plastid transformation in *Chlamydomonas* with high velocity microprojectiles. Science 240: 1534-1538.

Brady RO (2006) Enzyme replacement for lysosomal diseases. Annu Rev Med 57: 283-296.

**Brooks NA, Pouniotis DS, Tang CK, Apostolopoulos V and Pietersz GA** (2010) Cell-penetrating peptides: application in vaccine delivery. Biochim Biophys Acta 1805: 25-34.

**Butow RA and Fox TD** (1990) Organelle transformation: shoot first, ask questions later. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 15: 465-468.

Cai SR, Xu G, Becker-Hapak M, Ma M, Dowdy SF and McLeod HL (2006) The kinetics and tissue distribution of protein transduction in mice. Eur J Pharm Sci 27: 311-319.

**Cao G, Pei W, Ge H, Liang Q, Luo Y, Sharp FR, Lu A, Ran R, Graham SH and Chen J** (2002) *In vivo* delivery of a Bcl-xL fusion protein containing the TAT protein transduction domain protects against ischemic brain injury and neuronal apoptosis. J Neurosci 22: 5423-5431.

**Cardi T, Lenzi P and Maliga P** (2010) Plastids as expression platforms for plant-produced vaccines. Expert Rev Vaccines 9: 893-911.

**Caron NJ, Torrente Y, Camirand G, Bujold M, Chapdelaine P, Leriche K, Bresolin N and Tremblay JP** (2001) Intracellular delivery of a Tat–eGFP fusion protein into muscle cells. Mol Therapy 3: 310-318.

**Cascales L, Henriques ST, Kerr MC, Huang Y-H, Sweet MJ, Daly NL and Craik DJ** (2011) Identification and characterization of a new family of cell penetrating peptides: Cyclic cell penetrating peptides. J Biol Chem 286: 36932-36943.

**Cermenati G, Terracciano I, Castelli I, Giordana B, Rao R, Pennacchio F and Casartelli M** (2011) The CPP Tat enhances eGFP cell internalization and transepithelial transport by the larval midgut of *Bombyx mori* (Lepidoptera, Bombycidae). J Insect Physiol 57: 1689-1697.

Chan CX and Bhattacharya D (2010) The origin of plastids. Nat Education 3: 84.

Chang M, Chou J-C, Chen C-P, Liu BR and Lee H-J (2007) Noncovalent protein transduction in plant cells by macropinocytosis. New Phytol 174: 46-56.

**Chang M, Chou J-C and Lee H-J** (2005) Cellular internalization of fluorescent proteins via argininerich intracellular delivery peptide in plant cells. Plant Cell Physiol 46: 482-488.

**Chauhan A, Tikoo A, Kapur AK and Singh M** (2007) The taming of the cell penetrating domain of the HIV Tat: myths and realities. J Control Release 117: 148-162.

**Chen C-P, Chou J-C, Liu BR, Chang M and Lee H-J** (2007) Transfection and expression of plasmid DNA in plant cells by arginine-rich intracellular delivery peptide without protoplast preparation. FEBS Lett 581: 1891-1897.

**Chen L and Harrison SD** (2007) Cell-penetrating peptides in drug development: enabling intracellular targets. Biochem Soc Trans 35: 821-825.

**Chugh A, Amundsen E and Eudes F** (2009) Translocation of cell-penetrating peptides and delivery of their cargoes in triticale micro-spores. Plant Cell Rep 28: 801-810.

**Chugh A and Eudes F** (2007) Translocation and nuclear accumulation of monomer and dimmer of HIV-1 Tat basic domain in triticale mesophyll protoplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1768: 419-426.

**Chugh A and Eudes F** (2008a) Cellular uptake of cell-penetrating peptides pVEC and transportan in plants. J Pept Sci 14: 477-481.

**Chugh A and Eudes F** (2008b) Studies of uptake of cell-penetrating peptides and their cargoes in wheat immature embryos. FEBS J 275: 2403-2414.

**Chugh A, Eudes F and Shim YS** (2010) Cell-penetrating peptides: Nanocarrier for macromolecule delivery in living cells. IUBMB Life 62: 183-193.

Church GM and Gilbert W (1984) Genomic sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81: 1991-1995.

**Clough SJ and Bent AF** (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 16: 735-743.

**Ciobanasu C, Siebrasse JP and Kubitscheck U** (2010) Cell-penetrating HIV1 Tat peptides can generate pores in model membranes. Biophys J 99: 153-162.

**Collakova E, Goyer A, Naponelli V, Krassovskaya I, Gregory JF, Hanson III AD and Shachar-Hill Y** (2008) *Arabidopsis* 10-formyl tetrahydrofolate deformylases are essential for photorespiration. Plant Cell 208: 1818-1832.

**Corriveau JL and Coleman AW** (1988) Rapid screening method to detect potential biparental inheritance of plastid DNA and results for over 200 angiosperm species. Am J Bot 75: 1443-1458.

**Daniell H, Singh ND, Mason H and Streatfield SJ** (2009) Plant-made vaccine antigens and biopharmaceuticals. Trends Plant Sci 14: 669-679.

**Daniell H, Streatfield SJ and Wycoff K** (2001) Medical molecular farming: production of antibodies, biopharmaceuticals and edible vaccines in plants. Trends Plant Sci 6: 219-226.

**Day A and Goldschmidt-Clermont M** (2011) The plastid transformation toolbox: selectable markers and marker removal. Plant Biotech J 9: 540-553.

**Del Gaizo V and Mark Payne R** (2003) A novel TAT-mitochondrial signal sequence fusion protein is processed, stays in mitochondria, and crosses the placenta. Molec Ther 7: 720-730.

**Deshayes S, Plénat T, Charnet P, Divita G, Molle G and Heitz F** (2006) Formation of transmembrane ionic channels of primary amphipathic cell-penetrating peptides. Consequences on the mechanism of cell penetration. Biochim Biophys Acta 1758: 1846-1851.

**Dietz GPH and Bähr M** (2004) Delivery of bioactive molecules into the cell: the Trojan horse approach. Mol Cell Neurosci 27: 85-131.

**Dietz GPH and Bähr M** (2005) Peptide-enhanced cellular internalization of proteins in neuroscience. Brain Res Bull 68: 103-114.

**Dietz GPH** (2011) Protection by neuroglobin and cell-penetrating peptide-mediated delivery *in vivo*: a decade of research. Comment on Cai et al.: TAT-mediated delivery of neuroglobin protects against focal cerebral ischemia in mice. Exp Neurol. 2011; 227(1): 224-31. Experimental neurology 231: 1-10.

Doherty GJ and McMahon HT (2009) Mechanisms of endocytosis. Annu Rev Biochem 78: 857-902.

**Dovzhenko A, Bergen U and Koop H-U** (1998) Thin-alginate-layer technique for protoplast culture of tobacco leaf protoplasts: Shoot formation in less than two weeks. Protoplasma 204: 114-118.

**Dowdy SF** (2006) Applications of cell-penetrating peptides in gene modulation and protein transport. In: Langel Ü (eds) Handbook of cell-penetrating peptides, Second Edition. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, pp 309-311.

**Duchardt F, Fotin-Mleczek M, Schwarz H, Fischer R and Brock R** (2007) A comprehensive model for the cellular uptake of cationic cell-penetrating peptides. Traffic 8: 848-866.

**Dupont E, Prochiantz A and Joliot A** (2011) Penetratin story: an overview. Methods Mol Biol 683: 21-29.

**Eavri R and Lorberboum-Galski H** (2007) A novel approach for enzyme replacement therapy. The use of phenylalanine hydroxylase-based fusion proteins for the treatment of phenylketonuria. J Biol Chem 282: 23402-23409.

**Edenhofer F** (2008) Protein transduction revisited: Novel insights into the mechanism underlying intracellular delivery of proteins. Curr Pharm Des 14: 3628-3636.

**Eiríksdóttir E, Konate K, Langel U, Divita G and Deshayes S** (2010) Secondary structure of cellpenetrating peptides controls membrane interaction and insertion. Biochim Biophys Acta 1798: 1119-1128. **El-Andaloussi S, Johansson HJ, Holm T and Langel Ü** (2007) A novel cell-penetrating peptide, M918, for efficient delivery of proteins and peptide nucleic acids. Mol Ther 15: 1820-1826.

**Elghabi Z, Karcher D, Zhou F, Ruf S and Bock R** (2011) Optimization of the expression of the HIV fusion inhibitor cyanovirin-N from the tobacco plastid genome. Plant Biotechnol J 9: 599-608.

**Elliott G and O'Hare P** (1997) Intercellular trafficking and protein delivery by a herpes virus structural protein. Cell 88: 223-233.

**Eudes F and Chugh A** (2008) Cell-penetrating peptides: From mammalian to plant cells. Plant Signal and Behavior 3: 549-550.

Eum WS, Choung IS, Li MZ, Kang JH, Kim DW, Park J, Kwon HY and Choi SY (2004) HIV-1 Tat mediated protein transduction of Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase into pancreatic  $\beta$  cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Free Radic Biol Med 37: 339-349.

Fawell S, Seery J, Daikh Y, Moore C, Chen LL, Pepinsky B and Barsoum J (1994) Tat-mediated delivery of heterologous proteins into cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91: 664-668.

**Ferrari A, Pellegrini V, Arcangeli C, Fittipaldi A, Giacca M and Beltram F** (2003) Caveolaemediated internalization of extracellular HIV-1 Tat fusion proteins visualized in real time. Molec Ther 8: 284-294.

**Fischer R, Fotin-Mleczek M, Hufnagel H and Brock R** (2005). Break on through to the other sidebiophysics and cell biology shed light on cell-penetrating peptides. Chembiochem 6: 2126-2142.

**Fittipaldi A, Ferrari A, Zoppe M, Arcangeli C, Pellegrini V, Beltram F and Giacca M** (2003) Cell membrane lipid rafts mediate caveolar endocytosis of HIV-1 Tat fusion proteins. J Biol Chem 278: 34141-34149.

**Foerg C and Merkle HP** (2008) On the biomedical promise of cell penetrating peptides: limits versus prospects. J Pharm Sci 97: 144-162.

**Foged C and Nielsen HM** (2008) Cell-penetrating peptides for drug delivery across membrane barriers. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 5: 105-117.

Smith FJD, Irvine AD, Terron-Kwiatkowski A, et al. (2006) Loss-of-function mutations in the gene encoding filaggrin cause ichthyosis vulgaris. Nat Genetics 38: 337-342.

**Frankel AD and Pabo CO** (1988) Cellular uptake of the tat protein from human immunodeficiency virus. Cell 55: 1189-1193.

**Fratantoni JC, Hall CW and Neufeld EF** (1968) Hurler and Hunter syndromes: mutual correction of the defect in cultured fibroblasts. Science 162: 570-572.

**Fretz MM, Penning NA, Taei SA, Futaki S, Takeuchi T, Nakase I, Storm G and Jones AT** (2007) Temperature, concentration and cholesterol dependent translocation of L- and D-octa-arginine across the plasma and nuclear membrane of CD34<sup>+</sup> leukaemia cells. Biochem J 403: 335-342.

**Futaki S, Suzuki T, Ohashi W, Yagami T, Tanaka S, Ueda K and Sugiura Y** (2001) Arginine-rich peptides. An abundant source of membrane-permeable peptides having potential as carriers for intracellµlar protein delivery. J Biol Chem 276: 5836-5840.

Gamborg OL, Miller RA and Ojima K (1968) Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cells. Exp Cell Res 50, 151-158.

Geueke B, Namoto K, Agarkova I, Perriard JC, Kohler H-PE and Seebach D (2005) Bacterial cell penetration by  $\beta$ 3-oligo-homoarginines: indication for passive transfer through the lipid bilayer. Chembiochem 6: 982-985.

**Goldblatt J, Fletcher JM, McGill J, Szer J and Wilson M** (2011) Enzyme replacement therapy "drug holiday": results from an unexpected shortage of an orphan drug supply in Australia. Blood Cells Mol Dis 46: 107-110.

**Golds TJ, Maliga P and Koop H-U** (1993) Stable plastid transformation in PEG-treated protoplasts of *Nicotiana tabacum*. Nat Biotechnol 11: 95-97.

Gray JC, Sullivan JA, Hibberd JM and Hansen MR (2001) Stromules: mobile protrusions and interconnections between plastids. Plant Biology 3: 223-233.

**Green M and Loewenstein PM** (1988) Autonomous functional domains of chemically synthesized human immunodeficiency virus tat trans-activator protein. Cell 55: 1179-1188.

**Gunaratna KR, Andersson M and Good L** (2002) Microbial membrane-permeating peptides and their applications. In: Langel Ü (eds) Cell penetrating peptides: processes and applications. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, pp. 377-396.

**Gupta B, Levchenko TS and Torchilin VP** (2005) Intracellular delivery of large molecules and small particles by cell-penetrating proteins and peptides. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 57: 637-651.

Han K, Jeon MJ, Kim KA, Park J and Choi SY (2000) Efficient intracellular delivery of GFP by homeodomains of *Drosophila* Fushi-tarazu and engrailed proteins. Mol Cells 10: 728-732.

Hanson MR and Sattarzadeh A (2008) Dynamic morphology of plastids and stromules in angiosperm plants. Plant Cell Environ 31: 646-657.

Hanson MR and Sattarzadeh A (2011) Stromules: recent insights into a long neglected feature of plastid morphology and function. Plant Physiol 155: 1486-1492.

Hariton-Gazal E, Rosenbluh J, Graessmann A, Gilon C and Loyter A (2003) Direct translocation of histone molecules across cell membranes. J Cell Sci 116: 4577-4586.

Heitz F, Morris MC and Divita G (2009) Twenty years of cell-penetrating peptides: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutics. Br J Pharmacol 157: 195-206.

**Henriques ST, Costa J and Castanho MA** (2005) Translocation of beta-galactosidase mediated by the cell-penetrating peptide Pep-1 into lipid vesicles and human HeLa cells is driven by membrane electrostatic potential. Biochemistry 44: 10189-10198.

Henriques ST, Melo MN and Castanho MA (2006) Cell-penetrating peptides and antimicrobial peptides: how different are they? Biochem J 399: 1-7.

**Herce HD, Garcia AE, Litt J, Kane RS, Martin P, Enrique N, Rebolledo A and Milesi V** (2009) Arginine-rich peptides destabilize the plasma membrane, consistent with a pore formation translocation mechanism of cell-penetrating peptides. Biophysical Journal 97: 1917-1925.

**Herrera Díaz A** (2011) Regeneration and plastid transformation approaches in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and Rapid-Cycling *Brassica rapa*. Dissertation, LMU München

Herrera-Estrella L, Depicker A, Van Montagu M and Schell J (1983) Expression of chimaeric genes transferred into plant cells using a Ti-plasmid-derived vector. Nature 303: 209-213.

Hirose H, Takeuchi T, Osakada H, Pujals S, Katayama S, Nakase I, Kobayashi S, Haraguchi T and Futaki S (2012) Transient focal membrane deformation induced by arginine-rich peptides leads to their direct penetration into cells. Molecular Therapy: in press (doi:10.1038/mt.2011.313).

**Ho A, Schwarze SR, Mermelstein SJ, Waksman G and Dowdy SF** (2001) Synthetic protein transduction domains: enhanced transduction potential in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res 61: 474-477.

Holm T, Andaloussi SE and Langel U (2011) Comparison of CPP uptake methods. Methods Mol Biol 683: 207-217.

Holmgren J and Czerkinsky C (2005) Mucosal immunity and vaccines. Nat Medicine 11: S45-S53.

**Holmgren J and Lycke N** (1986) Immune mechanisms in enteric infections. In: Holmgren J, Lindberg A and Möllby R (eds) Development of vaccine and drugs against diarrhea, Studentlitteratur/Chartwell-Bratt Ltd: Lund, Sweden, 9 p.

Horsch RB, Fraley RT, Rogers SG, Sanders PR and Lloyd A (1985) A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science 227: 1229-1231.

Horton KL, Stewart KM, Fonseca SB, Guo Q and Kelley SO (2008) Mitochondria-penetrating peptides. Chem Biol 15: 375-382.

Huber M, Rettler I, Bernasconi K, Frenk E, Lavrijsen SP, Ponec M, Bon A, Lautenschlager S, Schorderet DF and Hohl D (1995) Mutations of keratinocyte transglutaminase in lamellar ichthyosis. Science 267: 525-528.

**Ijaz S** (2010) Plant mitochondrial genome: "A sweet and safe home" for transgene. African Journal of Biotechnology 9: 9196-9199.

Isemer R, Mulisch M, Schäfer A, Kirchner S, Koop H-U and Krupinska K (2012) Plastid encoded Whirly1 is translocated to the nucleus. FEBS Lett 586: 85-88.

**Järver P, Mäger I and Langel Ü** (2010) *In vivo* biodistribution and efficacy of peptide mediated delivery. Trends Pharmacol Sci 31: 528-535.

Jin LH, Bahn JH, Eum WS, Kwon HY, Jang SH, Han KH, Kang TC, Won MH, Kang JH, Cho SW, Park J and Choi SY (2001) Transduction of human catalase mediated by an HIV-1 TAT protein basic domain and arginine-rich peptides into mammalian cells. Free Radical Biol Med 31: 1509-1519.

Johansson HJ, EL Andaloussi S and Langel Ü (2011) Mimicry of protein function with cellpenetrating peptides. Methods Mol Biol 683: 233-247.

Johnson RM, Harrison SD and Maclean D (2011) Therapeutic applications of cell-penetrating peptides. Methods Mol Biol 683: 535-551.

Johnston SA, Anziano PQ, Shark K, Sanford JC and Butow RA (1988) Mitochondrial transformation in yeast by bombardment with microprojectiles. Science 240: 1538-1541.

Joliot A, Pernelle C, Deagostini-Bazin H and Prochiantz A (1991) Antennapedia homeobox peptide regulates neural morphogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88: 1864-1868.

**Jones AT** (2007) Macropinocytosis: searching for an endocytic identity and role in the uptake of cell penetrating peptides. J Cell Mol Med 11: 670-684.

**Jones AT** (2010) Uptake and intracellular dynamics of proteins internalized by cell-penetrating peptides, in organelle-specific pharmaceutical nanotechnology. In: Weissig V and D'Souza GGM (eds) Organelle-specific pharmaceutical nanotechnology. John Wiley & Sons Inc: Hoboken, NJ, Chapter 15.

**Kaplan IM, Wadia JS and Dowdy SF** (2005) Cationic TAT peptide transduction domain enters cells by macropinocytosis. J Control Release 102: 247-253.

**Khafagy E-S and Morishita M** (2012) Oral biodrug delivery using cell-penetrating peptide. Adv Drug Deliv Rev: in press (doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.03.031).

Kim DW, Eum WS, Jang SH, Kim SY, Choi HS, Choi SH, et al. (2005) Transduced Tat-SOD fusion protein protects against ischemic brain injury. Mol Cells 19: 88-96.

**Kim JY, Yuan Z, Cilia M, Khalfan-Jagani Z and Jackson D** (2002) Intercellular trafficking of a KNOTTED1 green fluorescent protein fusion in the leaf and shoot meristem of *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 4103-4108.

Klaus SM, Huang FC, Golds TJ and Koop H-U (2004) Generation of marker-free plastid transformants using a transiently cointegrated selection gene. Nat Biotechnol 22: 225-229.

**Koncz C and Schell J** (1986) The promoter of TL-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel type of *Agrobacterium* binary vector. Mol. Gen. Genet. 204: 383-396.

**Koop H-U, Herz S, Golds T and Nickelsen J** (2007) The genetic transformation of plastids. In: Bock R (eds) Cell and molecular biology of plastids. Springer: Berlin / Heidelberg, pp 457-510.

Kosuge M, Takeuchi T, Nakase K, Jones AT and Futaki S (2008) Cellular internalization and distribution of arginine-rich peptides as a function of extracellular peptide concentration, serum, and plasma membrane-associated proteoglycans. Bioconjugate Chem 19: 656-664.

Köhler RH, Cao J, Zipfel WR, Webb WW and Hanson MR (1997) Exchange of protein molecules through connections between higher plant plastids. Science 276: 2039-2042.

Köhler RH and Hanson MR (2000) Plastid tubules of higher plants are tissue-specific and developmentally regulated. J Cell Sci 113: 81-89.

Krause K and Krupinska K (2009) Nuclear regulators with a second home in organelles. Trends Plant Sci 14: 194-199.

Krichevsky A, Meyers B, Vainstein A, Maliga P and Citovsky V (2010) Autoluminescent plants. PLoS ONE 5: e15461.

Kurata T, Okada K and Wada T (2005) Intercellular movement of transcription factors. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8: 600-605.

**Kwok EY and Hanson MR** (2003) Microfilaments and microtubules control the morphology and movement of non-green plastids and stromules in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Plant J 35: 16-26.

**Kwok EY and Hanson MR** (2004) GFP-labeled RubisCO and aspartate aminotransferase are present in plastid stromules and traffic between plastids. J Exp Bot 55: 595-604.

Kwon HY, Eum WS, Jang HW, Kang JH, Ryu J, Ryong Lee B, Jin LH, Park J and Choi SY (2000) Transduction of Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase mediated by an HIV-1 Tat protein basic domain into mammalian cells. FEBS Lett 485: 163-167.

Langel Ü (2002) Preface In: Langel Ü (eds) Cell penetrating peptides: processes and applications. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.

**Leifert JA and Whitton JL** (2003) "Translocatory proteins" and "Protein transduction domains": a critical analysis of their biological effects and the underlying mechanisms. Mol Ther 8: 13-20.

**Limaye A, Koya V, Samsam M and Daniell H** (2006) Receptor-mediated oral delivery of a bioencapsulated green fluorescent protein expressed in transgenic plastids into the mouse circulatory system. FASEB J 20: 959-961.

**Lindgren M, Hallbrink M, Prochiantz A and Langel Ü** (2000) Cell-penetrating peptides. Trends Pharmacol Sci 21: 99-103.

**Lindgren M and Langel Ü** (2011) Classes and prediction of cell-penetrating peptides. Methods Mol Biol 683: 3-19.

**Liu BR, Chou J-C and Lee H-J** (2008) Cell membrane diversity in noncovalent protein transduction. J Membr Biol 222: 1-15.

**Liu BR, Huang YW, Winiarz JG, Chiang HJ and Lee H-J** (2011) Intracellular delivery of quantum dots mediated by a histidine- and arginine-rich HR9 cell-penetrating peptide through the direct membrane translocation mechanism. Biomaterials 32: 3520-3537.

**Liu K, Lee H-J, Leong SS, Liu C-L and Chou J-C** (2007) A bacterial indole-3-acetyl-L-aspartic acid hydrolase inhibits mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) seed germination through arginine-rich intracellular delivery. J Plant Growth Regul 26: 278-284.

Lohcharoenkal W, Manosaroi A, Götz F, Werner RG, Manosroi W and Manosaroi J (2011) Potent enhancement of GFP uptake into HT-29 cells and rat skin permeation by coincubation with tat peptide. J Pharmaceu Sci 100: 4766-4773.

**Lössl A, Eibl C, Harloff HJ, Jung C and Koop H-U** (2003) Polyester synthesis in transplastomic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.): Significant contents of polyhydroxybutyrate are associated with growth reduction. Plant Cell Rep 21: 891-899.

**Lössl A, Bohmert K, Harloff H, Eibl C, Mühlbauer S and Koop H-U** (2005) Inducible transactivation of plastid transgenes: expression of the *R. eutropha phb* operon in transplastomic tobacco. Plant Cell Physiol 46: 1462-1471.

**Lössl A and Waheed MT** (2011) Chloroplast-derived vaccines against human diseases: achievements, challenges and scopes. Plant Biotechnol 9: 527-539.

Lu SW, Hu JW, Liu BR, Lee CY, Li JF, Chou JC and Lee H-J (2010) Arginine-rich intracellular delivery peptides synchronously deliver covalently and noncovalently linked proteins into plant cells. J Agric Food Chem 58: 2288-2294.

Lucas WJ, Bouché-Pillon S, Jackson DP, Nguyen L, Baker L, Ding B and Hake S (1995) Selective trafficking of KNOTTED1 homeodomain protein and its mRNA through plasmodesmata. Science 270: 1980-1983.

Lundberg M and Johansson M (2001) Is VP22 nuclear homing an artifact? Nat Biotechnol 19: 713.

**Lundberg M and Johansson M** (2002) Positively charged DNA-binding proteins cause apparent cell membrane translocation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 291: 367-371.

Lundin P, Johansson H, Guterstam P, Holm T, Hansen M, Langel Ü and EL Andaloussi S (2008) Distinct uptake routes of cell-penetrating peptide conjugates. Bioconjug Chem 19: 2535-2542.

**Lycke N and Holmgren J** (1986) Strong adjuvant properties of cholera toxin on gut mucosal immune responses to orally presented antigens. Immunology 59: 301.

Madani F, Lindberg S, Langel Ü, Futaki S and Gräslund A (2011) Mechanisms of cellular uptake of cell-penetrating peptides. J Biophys 2011: ID 414729.

**Mäe M, Myrberg H, Jiang Y, Paves H, Valkna A and Langel Ü** (2005) Internalisation of cellpenetrating peptides into tobacco protoplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 1669: 101-107.

Magee AM, Coyne S, Murphy D, Horvath EM, Medgyesy P and Kavanagh TA (2004) T7 RNA polymerase-directed expression of an antibody fragment transgene in plastids causes a semilethal pale-green seedling phenotype. Transgenic Res 13: 325-337.

**Magzoub M and Gräslund A** (2004) Cell-penetrating peptides: small from inception to application. Quart Rev Biophy 37: 147-195.

**Magzoub M, Pramanik A and Gräslund A** (2005) Modeling the endosomal escape of cellpenetrating peptides: transmembrane pH gradient driven translocation across phospholipid bilayers. Biochemistry 44: 14890-14897.

Maliga P (2002) Engineering the plastid genome of higher plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5: 164-172.

Maliga P (2004) Plastid transformation in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 55: 289-313.

Maliga P and Bock R (2011) Plastid biotechnology: Food, fuel, and medicine for the 21st century. Plant Physiol 155: 1501-1510.

**Mäger I, Langel K, Lehto T, Eiríksdóttir E and Langel Ü** (2012) The role of endocytosis on the uptake kinetics of luciferin-conjugated cell-penetrating peptides. Biochim Biophys Acta 1818: 502-511.

McBride KE, Svab Z, Schaaf DJ, Hogan PS, Stalker DM and Maliga P (1995) Amplification of a chimeric *Bacillus* gene in plastids leads to an extraordinary level of an insecticidal protein in tobacco. Biotechnology 13: 362-365.

**Meyers B, Zaltsman A, Lacroix B, Kozlovsky SV and Krichevsky A** (2010) Nuclear and plastid genetic engineering of plants: Comparison of opportunities and challenges. Biotechnology Advances 28: 747-756.

**Mizuno T, Miyashita M and Miyagawa H** (2009) Cellular internalization of arginine-rich peptides into tobacco suspension cells: a structure-activity relationship study. J Pept Sci. 15: 259-263.

**Molina A, Hervás-Stubbs S, Daniell H, Mingo-Castel A and Veramendi J** (2004) High-yield expression of a viral peptide animal vaccine in transgenic tobacco chloroplasts. Plant Biotechnol J 2: 141-153.

Morris MC, Chaloin L, Mery J, Heitz F, Divita G (1999) A novel potent strategy for gene delivery using a single peptide vector as a carrier. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 3510-3517.

**Morris MC, Depollier J, Mery J, Heitz F and Divita G** (2001) A peptide carrier for the delivery of biologically active proteins into mammalian cells. Nat Biotechnol 19: 1173-1176.

Muntau AC, Roschinger W, Habich M, Demmelmair H, Hoffmann B, Sommerhoff CP and Roscher AA (2002) Tetrahydrobiopterin as an alternative treatment for mild phenylketonuria. N Engl J Med 347: 2122-2132.

**Mühlbauer SK and Koop H-U** (2005) External control of transgene expression in tobacco plastids using the bacterial *lac* repressor. Plant J 43: 941-946.

Mühlbauer SK, Lössl A, Tzekova L, Zou Z and Koop H-U (2002) Functional analysis of plastid DNA replication origins in tobacco by targeted inactivation. Plant J 32: 175-184.

Nagahara H, Vocero-Akbani AM, Snyder EL, Ho A, Latham DG, Lissy NA, Becker-Hapak M, Ezhevsky SA and Dowdy SF (1998) Transduction of full-length TAT fusion proteins into mammalian cells: TAT-p27<sup>Kip1</sup> induces cell migration. Nat Med 4: 1449-1452.

**Nagata T and Takebe I** (1971) Plating of isolated tobacco mesophyll protoplasts on agar medium. Planta 99: 12-20.

Nakase I, Tadokoro A, Kawabata N, Takeuchi T, Katoh H, Hiramoto K, Negishi M, Nomizu M, Sugiura Y and Futaki S (2007) Interaction of arginine-rich peptides with membrane-associated proteoglycans is crucial for induction of actin organization and macropinocytosis. Biochemistry 46: 492-501.

**Natesan SKA, Sullivan JA and Gray JC** (2005) Stromules: a characteristic cell-specific feature of plastid morphology. J Exp Bot 56: 787-797.

**Nekhotiaeva N, Elmquist A, Rajarao GK, Hallbrink M, Langel Ü and Good L** (2004) Cell entry and antimicrobial properties of eukaryotic cell-penetrating peptides. FASEB J 18: 394-396.

**Neufeld EF** (2006) Enzyme replacement therapy – a brief history. In: Mehta A, Beck M, Sunder-Plassmann G (eds) Fabry Disease: Perspectives from 5 Years of FOS. Oxford PharmaGenesis: Oxford, Chapter 10.

**Newell CA, Birch-Machin I, Hibberd JM and Gray JC** (2003) Expression of green fluorescent protein from bacterial and plastid promoters in tobacco chloroplasts. Transgenic Res 12: 631-634.

Newell CA, Natesan SKA, Sullivan JA, Jouhet J, Kavanagh TA and Gray JC (2012) Exclusion of plastid nucleoids and ribosomes from stromules in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 69: 399-410.

**Oey M, Lohse M, Kreikemeyer B and Bock R** (2009) Exhaustion of the chloroplast protein synthesis capacity by massive expression of a highly stable protein antibiotic. Plant J 57: 436-445.

**Orsomando G, de la Garza RD, Green BJ, Peng M, Rea PA, Ryan TJ, Gregory III JF and Hanson AD** (2005) Plant  $\gamma$ -glutamyl hydrolases and folate polyglutamates: characterization, compartmentation, and co-occurrence in vacuoles. J Biol Chem 280: 28877-28884. **Papadopoulou LC and Tsiftsoglou AS** (2011) Transduction of Human Recombinant Proteins into Mitochondria as a Protein Therapeutic Approach for Mitochondrial Disorders. Pharmaceu Res 28: 2639-2656.

Park J, Ryu J, Jin LH, Bahn JH, Kim JA, Yoon CS, Kim DW, Han KH, Eum WS, Kwon HY, Kang TC, Won MH, Kang JH, Cho SW and Choi SY (2002) 9-Polylysine protein transduction domain: enhanced penetration efficiency of superoxide dismutase into mammalian cells and skin. Mol Cells 13: 202-208.

**Patel LN, Zaro JL and Shen WC** (2007) Cell penetrating peptides: intracellular pathways and pharmaceutical perspectives. Pharm Res 24: 1977-1992.

**Paul M and Ma JK** (2011) Plant-made pharmaceuticals: leading products and production platforms. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 58: 58-67.

**Pelegrini PB, Perseghini del Sarto R, Silva ON, Franco OL and Grossi-de-Sa MF** (2011) Antibacterial peptides from plants: What they are and how they probably work. Biochemistry Research International: 2011: ID 250349.

**Pfannschmidt T** (2010) Plastidial retrograde signaling - A true "plastid factor" or just metabolite signatures? Trends Plant Sci 15: 427-435.

**Pooga M, Hällbrink M, Zorko M and Langel Ü** (1998) Cell penetration by transportan. FASEB J 12: 67-77.

**Pribat A, Noiriel A, Morse AM, Davis JM, Fouquet R, Loizeau K, Ravanel S, Frank W, Haas R, Reski R, Bedair M, Sumner LW and Hanson AD** (2010) Nonflowering plants possess a unique folate-dependent phenylalanine hydroxylase that is localized in chloroplasts. Plant Cell 22: 3410-3422.

**Ruiz ON, Daniell H** (2005) Engineering cytoplasmic male sterility via the chloroplast genome by expression of  $\beta$ -ketothiolase. Plant Physiol 138:1232-1246.

**Prochiantz A** (2000) Messenger proteins: homeoproteins, TAT and others. Curr Opin Cell Biol 12: 400-406.

**Prochiantz A** (2008) Protein and peptide transduction, twenty years later a happy birthday. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 60: 448-451.

**Qi X, Droste T and Kao CC** (2011) Cell-penetrating peptides derived from viral capsid proteins. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 24: 25-36.

**Randolph-Anderson BL, Boynton JE, Gillham NW, Harris EH, Johnson AM, Dorthu M-P and Matagne RF** (1993) Further characterization of the respiratory deficient *dum-1* mutation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and its use as a recipient for mitochondrial transformation. Molecular and General Genetics 236: 235-244.

**Rapoport M and Lorberboum-Galski H** (2009) TAT-based drug delivery system - new directions in protein delivery for new hopes? Expert Opin Drug Deliv 6: 453-463.

Raskin I, Ribnicky DM, Komarnytsky S, Ilic N, Poulev A, Borisjuk N, Brinker A, Moreno DA, Ripoll C, Yakoby N, O'Neal JM, Cornwell T, Pastor I and Fridlender B (2002) Plants and human health in the twenty-first century. Trends Biotechnol 20: 522-531.

**Richard JP, Melikov K, Vives E, Ramos C, Verbeure B, Gait MJ, Chernomordik LV and Lebleu B** (2003) Cell-penetrating peptides. A reevaluation of the mechanism of cellular uptake. J Biol Chem 278: 585-590.

**Richard JP, Melikov K, Brooks H, Prevot P, Lebleu B and Chernomordik LV** (2005) Cellular uptake of unconjugated TAT peptide involves clathrin-dependent endocytosis and heparin sulfate receptors. J Biol Chem 280: 15300-15306.

**Roberts MR** (2005) Fast-track applications: the potential for direct delivery of proteins and nucleic acids to plant cells for the discovery of gene function. Plant Methods 1: 12.

**Rosenbluh J, Singh SK, Gafni Y, Graessmann A and Loyter A** (2004) Non-endocytic penetration of core histones into petunia protoplasts and cultured cells: a novel mechanism for the introduction of macromolecules into plant cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1664: 230-240.

**Rothbard JB, Garlington S, Lin Q, Kirschberg T, Kreider E, McGrane PL, Wender PA and Khavari PA** (2000) Conjugation of arginine oligomers to cyclosporin A facilitates topical delivery and inhibition of inflammation. Nat Med 6: 1253-1257.

**Rothbard JB, Jessop TC, Lewis RS, Murray BA and Wender PA** (2004) Role of membrane potential and hydrogen bonding in the mechanism of translocation of guanidinium-rich peptides into cells. J Am Chem Soc 126: 9506-9507.

**Ruf S, Hermann M, Berger IJ, Carrer H and Bock R** (2001) Stable genetic transformation of tomato plastids and expression of a foreign protein in fruit. Nat Biotechnol 19: 870-875.

**Ruf S, Karcher D and Bock R** (2007) Determining the transgene containment level provided by plastid transformation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 6998-7002.

**Ruhlman, T, Ahangari R, Devine A, Samsam M and Daniell H** (2007) Expression of cholera toxin Bproinsulin fusion protein in lettuce and tobacco plastids - oral administration protects against development of insulitis in non-obese diabetic mice. Plant Biotechnol J 5: 495-510.

**Ruhlman T, Verma D, Samson, N and Daniell H** (2010) The role of heterologous chloroplast sequence elements in transgene integration and expression. Plant Physiol 152: 2088-2104.

Rydström A, Deshayes S, Konate K, Crombez L, Padari K, Boukhaddaoui H, Aldrian G, Pooga M and Divita G (2011) Direct translocation as major cellular uptake for CADY self-assembling peptide-based nanoparticles. PLoS ONE 6: e25924.

**Ryser HJP** (1968) Uptake of protein by mammalian cells: an underdeveloped area. Science 159: 390-396.

**Ryu J, Han K, Park J and Choi SY** (2003) Enhanced uptake of a heterologous protein with an HIV-1 Tat protein transduction domain (PTD) at both termini. Mol Cells 16: 385-391.

Ryu J, Lee H-J, Kim KA, Lee JY, Lee KS, Park J and Choi SY (2004) Intracellular delivery of p53 fused to the basic domain of HIV-1 Tat. Mol Cells 17: 353-359.

**Sambrook J and Russell DV** (2001) Molecular cloning, a laboratory manual, Third edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 2344 p.

Santos-Sierra S, Kirchmair J, Perna AM, Reiß D, Kemter K, Röschinger W, Glossmann H, Gersting SW, Muntau AC, Wolber G and Lagler FB (2012) Novel pharmacological chaperones that correct phenylketonuria in mice. Hum Mol Genet 21: 1877-1887.

Sarkissian CN, Gamez A and Scriver CR (2009) What we know that could influence future treatment of phenylketonuria. J Inherit Metab Dis 32: 3-9.

Säälik P, Niinep A, Pae J, Hansen M, Lubenets D, Langel Ü and Pooga M (2011) Penetration without cells: membrane translocation of cell-penetrating peptides in the model giant plasma membrane vesicles. J Control Release 153: 117-125.

**Scharff LB** (2002) Die Eignung der Glycerin-Kinase für die positive Selektion transgener Pflanzen. Diplomarbeit LMU München

Schattat MH, Barton K, Baudisch B, Klösgen RB and Mathur J (2011) Plastid stromule branching coincides with contiguous endoplasmic reticulum dynamics. Plant Physiol 155: 1-11.

Schwarze SR, Ho A, Vocero-Akbani A and Dowdy SF (1999) In vivo protein transduction: delivery of a biologically active protein into the mouse. Science 285: 1569-1572.

Sengoku T, Bondada V, Hassane D, Dubal S and Geddes JW (2004) Tat-calpastatin fusion proteins transduce primary rat cortical neurons but do not inhibit cellular calpain activity. Exp Neurol 188: 161-170.

Shaaltiel Y, Bartfeld D, Hashmueli S, Baum G, Brill-Almon E, Galili G, Dym O, Boldin Adamsky SA, Silman I, Sussman JL, Futerman AH and Aviezer D (2007) Production of glucocerebrosidase with terminal mannose glycans for enzyme replacement therapy of Gaucher's disease using a plant cell system. Plant Biotechnol J 5: 579-590.

**Sharma SB and Dixon RA** (2005) Metabolic engineering of proanthocyanidins by ectopic expression of transcription factors in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 44: 62-75.

**Shen W-C, Wan J and Ekrami H** (1992) Means to enhance penetration. Enhancement of polypeptide and protein absorption by macromolecular carriers via endocytosis and transcytosis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 8: 93-113.

**Shi W and Dowdy SF** (2006) Tat-mediated peptide/protein transduction *in vivo*. In: Ülo Langel (eds) Handbook of cell-penetrating peptides, Second Edition. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, pp 201-217.

**Simeoni F, Morris MC, Heitz F and Divita G** (2003) Insight into the mechanism of the peptidebased gene delivery system MPG: implications for delivery of siRNA into mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 2717-2724.

Simon MJ, Kang WH, Gao S, Banta S and Morrison III B (2010) Increased delivery of TAT across an endothelial monolayer following ischemic injury. Neurosci Lett 486: 1-4.

Soomets U, Lindgren M, Gallet X, Hällbrink M, Elmquist A, Balaspiri L, Zorko M, Pooga M, Brasseur R and Langel Ü (2000) Deletion analogues of transportan. Biochim Biophys Acta 1467: 165-176.

**Song HY, Lee JA, Ju SM, Yoo KY, Won MH, Kwon HJ, Eum WS, Jang SH, Choi SY and Park J** (2008) Topical transduction of superoxide dismutase mediated by HIV-1 Tat protein transduction domain ameliorates 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-induced inflammation in mice. Biochem Pharmacol 75: 1348-1357.

**Splith K and Neundorf I** (2011) Antimicrobial peptides with cell-penetrating peptide properties and vice versa. Eur Biophys J 40: 387-397.

**Staub JM and Maliga P** (1995) Expression of a chimeric *uidA* gene indicates that polycistronic mRNAs are efficiently translated in tobacco plastids. Plant J 7: 845-848.

**Svab Z, Hajdukiewicz P and Maliga P** (1990) Stable transformation of plastids in higher plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87: 8526-8530.

**Svab Z and Maliga P** (2007) Exceptional transmission of plastids and mitochondria from the transplastomic pollen parent and its impact on transgene containment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 7003-7008.

**Tassetto M, Maizel A, Osorio J and Joliot A** (2005) Plant and animal homeodomains use convergent mechanisms for intercellular transfer. EMBO Rep 6: 885-890.

**Ter-Avetisyan G, Tünnemann G, Nowak D, Nitschke M, Herrmann A, Drab M and Cardoso MC** (2009) Cell entry of arginine- rich peptides is independent of endocytosis. J Biol Chem 284: 3370-3378.

**Terrone D, Sang SL, Roudaia L and Silvius JR** (2003) Penetratin and related cell-penetrating cationic peptides can translocate across lipid bilayers in the presence of a transbilayer potential. Biochemistry 42: 13787-13799.

**Thorén PEG, Persson D, Isakson P, Goksör M, Önfelt A and Nordén B** (2003) Uptake of analogs of penetratin, Tat (48–60) and oligoarginine in live cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 307: 100-107.

**Torchilin VP** (2006) Recent approaches to intracellular delivery of drugs and DNA and organellee targeting. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering 8: 343-375.

**Trabulo S, Cardoso AL, Mano M and Pedroso de Lima MC** (2010) Cell-penetrating peptides - Mechanisms of cellular uptake and generation of delivery systems. Pharmaceuticals 3: 961-993.

**Tregoning JS, Nixon P, Kuroda H, Svab Z, Clare S, Bowe F, Fairweather N, Ytterberg J, van Wijk KJ, Dougan G and Maliga P** (2003) Expression of tetanus toxin Fragment C in tobacco chloroplasts. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 1174-1179.

Unnamalai, N., Kang, BG and Lee WS (2004) Cationic oligopeptide-mediated delivery of dsRNA for post-transcriptional gene silencingin plant cells. FEBS Lett 566: 307-319.

van den Berg A and Dowdy SF (2011) Protein transduction domain delivery of therapeutic macromolecules. Curr Opin Biotechnol 22: 888-893.

Verhounig A, Karcher D and Bock R (2010) Inducible gene expression from the plastid genome by a synthetic riboswitch. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 6204-6209.

**Verma D and Daniell H** (2007) Plastid vector systems for biotechnology applications. Plant Physiol 145: 1129-1143.

Verma D, Samson NP, Koya V and Daniell H (2008) A protocol for expression of foreign genes in chloroplasts. Nat Protoc 3: 739-758.

**Verma D, Moghimi B, LoDuca PA, Singh HD, Hoffman BE, Herzog RW and Daniell H** (2010) Oral delivery of bioencapsulated coagulation factor IX prevents inhibitor formation and fatal anaphylaxis in hemophilia B mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 7101-7106.

**Vivès E, Brodin P and Lebleu B** (1997) A truncated HIV-1 Tat protein basic domain rapidly translocates through the plasmamembrane and accumulates in the cell nucleus. J Biol Chem 272: 16010-16017.

Wadia JS, Stan RV and Dowdy SF (2004) Transducible TAT-HA fusogenic peptide enhances escape of TAT-fusion proteins after lipid raft macropinocytosis. Nat Med 10: 310-315.

Waheed MT, Thönes N, Müller M, Hassan SW, Gottschamel J, Lössl E, Kaul HP and Lössl A (2011) Plastid expression of a double-pentameric vaccine candidate containing human papillomavirus-16 L1 antigen fused with LTB as adjuvant: transplastomic plants show pleiotropic phenotypes. Plant Biotechnol J 9: 651-660.

Walrant A, Bechara C, Alves ID and Sagan S (2012) Molecular partners for interaction and cell internalization of cell-penetrating peptides: How identical are they? Nanomed 7: 133-143.

Wang Y-H, Chen C-P, Chan M-H, Chang M, Hou Y-W, Chen H-H, Hsu H-R, Liu K and Lee H-J (2006) Arginine-rich intracellular delivery peptides noncovalently transport protein into living cells. Biochem Biol Res Commun 346: 758-767.

Wang Y-H, Hou Y-W and Lee H-J (2007) An intracellular delivery method for siRNA by an argininerich peptide. Journal of Biochemical and Biophysical Methods 70: 579-586.

Watkins CL, Schmaljohann D, Futaki S and Jones AT (2009) Low concentration thresholds of plasma membranes for rapid energy - independent translocation of a cell penetrating peptide. Biochem J 420: 179-189.

**Wraith JE** (2006) Limitations of enzyme replacement therapy: current and future. J Inher Metab Dis 29: 442-447.

**Wu S and Gallagher KL** (2011) Mobile protein signals in plant development. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14: 563-570.

**Xie DY, Sharma SB, Wright E, Wang ZY and Dixon RA** (2006) Metabolic engineering of proanthocyanidins through co-expression of anthocyanidin reductase and the PAP1 MYB transcription factor. Plant J 45: 895-907.

Yang Y, Ma J, Song Z and Wu M (2002) HIV-1 TAT-mediated protein transduction and subcellular localisation using novel expression vectors. FEBS Lett 532: 36-44.

**Ye GN, Hajdukiewicz PTJ, Broyles D, Rodriquez D, Xu CW, Nehra N and Staub JM** (2001) Plastidexpressed 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase genes provide high level glyphosate tolerance in tobacco. Plant J 25: 261-270.

Yukawa M, Tsudzuki T and Sugiura M (2005) The 2005 version of the chloroplast DNA sequence from Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). Plant Mol Biol Rep 23: 359-365.

**Ziegler A** (2008) Thermodynamic studies and binding mechanisms of cell-penetrating peptides with lipids and glycosaminoglycans. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 60: 580-597. **Ziemienowicz A, Shim Y-S, Matsuoka A, Eudes F and Kovalchuk I** (2012) A novel method of transgene delivery into triticale plants using the *Agrobacterium* T-DNA-derived nano-complex. Plant Physiol 158: 1503-1513.

**Zou Z, Eibl C and Koop H-U** (2003) The stem-loop region of the tobacco *psbA* 5'UTR is an important determinant of mRNA stability and translation efficiency. Mol Genet Genomics 269: 340-349.

## 10 Acknowledgements

Ulrich Koop, Dario Leister and Cordelia Bolle for making this study possible. Ulrich's supervision was probably the best I ever had.

Elisabeth Weiss, Angelika Böttger, Cordelia Bolle and Heinrich Jung for reading the manuscript.

Our great team: Christian, Stefan, Caro, Lena and Areli – a hard to beat crew inside and outside the lab.

The AG Vothknecht, especially Norbert, Claudia, Monika, Geraldine for advice, equipment and bright moments.

Ania Muntau for the collaboration in the PAH project (Christineh N. Sarkissian for her kind advice) and especially Dunja Reiß for the joint efforts in getting the project working.

Ulrich Wißnet and Ariel Rosenberger for taking care of my plants in the greenhouse.

Mattias Pribil and Ulrike Oster for protein sequencing.

Ykä Helariutta, Ove Lindgren, Hannes Kollist, Günter Brader and Hans-Peter Kaul for their support.

Stefan Wanninger, Verena Spitzauer, Felix Armbruster, Paul Seidler, Christian Fraunhofer, Verena Kunz, Susanne Gschwendner, Eva Kammergruber, Michi Brand, Jonas Grube, Alarich Reiter, Martin Bergbauer, Oliver Gorka, Sabine Nick, Martina Köstinger, Stefanie Schweizer for friendship, hostage, support, comments on the manuscript & leisure time.

My Family for all their support.

Christine for being so wonderful.

# 11 Erklärung

Ich versichere hiermit an Eides statt, dass die vorgelegte Dissertation von mir selbständig und ohne unerlaubte Hilfe angefertigt ist. Ich habe weder an anderer Stelle versucht eine Dissertation oder Teile einer solchen einzureichen bzw. einer Prüfungskommission vorzulegen, noch eine Doktorprüfung zu absolvieren.

Datum, Ort

Unterschrift