Institute of Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, Gene Center Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Munich Prof. Dr. Eckhard Wolf

# Functional Analysis of Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein -4 and -6 in Transgenic Mice

Thesis for the attainment of the title of Doctor in Veterinary Medicine from the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Munich

by

Rui Zhou from Hubei, P. R. China

Munich, 2003

Aus dem Institut für Tierzucht der Tierärztlichen Fakultät der Universität München Lehrstuhl für Molekulare Tierzucht und Biotechnologie, Genzentrum Univ.-Prof. Dr. Eckhard Wolf

# Funktionelle Analyse der Insulin-like Growth Factor-Bindungsproteine -4 und -6 in transgenen Mäusen

Inaugural-Dissertation zur Erlangung der Tiermedizinischen Doktorwürde der Tierärztlichen Fakultät der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

von

Rui Zhou aus Hubei, VR China

München, 2003

# Gedruckt mit Genehmigung der Tierärztlichen Fakultät der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

Dekan:	UnivProf. Dr. R. Stolla
1. Referent:	UnivProf. Dr. E.Wolf
2. Referent:	UnivProf. Dr. Dr. F. Sinowatz
1. Korreferent:	UnivProf. Dr. J. Meyer
2. Korreferent:	Univ. Prof. Dr. K. Pfister
3. Korreferentin:	PrivDoz. Dr. M. Rinder

Tag der Promotion: 18. Juli 2003

Gedruckt mit Unterstützung des Deutschen Akademischen Austauschdienstes MY FAMILY

# CONTENTS

CONTEN	/TS	I
ABBREV	IATIONS	V
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	3
2.1	Transgenic technologies in mice for studying gene function	3
2.1.1	Conventional transgenic technologies in mice	. 4
2111	Pronuclear DNA microiniection	4
2112	Targeted mutagenesis in mice	
2.1.1.2.1	Knockout	5
2.1.1.2.2	Knockin	6
2.1.2	Conditional transgenic technologies in mice	6
2.1.2.1	Conditional overexpression	7
2.1.2.2	Conditional knockout	7
2.1.3	Gene-trap mutagenesis in mice	9
2.2	Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system	9
	insum nice growen needer (101) system	
2.2.1	IGF peptides	9
2.2.2	IGF receptors	11
2.2.3	IGF-binding proteins	13
2.3	IGFBP-4	16
2.3.1	Genomic organization of the IGFRP-4 gene	16
2.3.2	The structure-function relationship of IGFRP-4.	17
2321	IGF-binding	18
2.3.2.2	Cell surface association and tissue distribution	19
2.3.2.3	Glycosylation	20
2.3.2.4	Proteolysis	20
2.3.3	IGFBP-4 expression in vivo and its regulation	21
2.3.4	IGFBP-4 expression in vitro and its regulation	22
2.3.5	Actions of IGFBP-4	25
2.3.5.1	IGF-dependent actions	25
2.3.5.2	IGF-independent actions	26
2.3.6	Biological significance of IGFBP-4	26
2.3.6.1	Reproductive physiology.	26
2.3.6.2	Bone formation	28
2.3.6.3	Renal pathophysiology	29
2.3.6.4	IGFBP-4 and cancer	30
2.4	IGFBP-6	30
2.4.1	Genomic organization of the IGFBP-6 gene	30

3.9.1	Extraction of protein from tissues	56
3.9	Evaluation of gene expression at the protein level	56
3.8.1 3.8.2	Extraction of RNA from tissues and cells Reverse Transcription PCR (RT-PCR)	55 55
3.8	Evaluation of gene expression at the RNA level	54
3.7.2.3 3.7.2.4	Radioactive probe labeling Hybridization, washing and signal detection	53 53
3.7.2.1 3.7.2.2	Extraction of DNA from tail biopsies Digestion and transfer of the DNA	51 52
3.7.2	Southern blot	51
3.7.1.2	PCR conditions	50
3.7.1.1	Proteinase K digest of mouse tails	50
3.7.1	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	49
37	Identification of transgenic mice	
3.6.1 3.6.2	Generation of transgenic mice by DNA-microinjection Generation of H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-4 SPF transgenic mice by embryo transfer	49 49
3.6	Production of transgenic mice	49
3.5	Transfection of cells	48
3.4.2	The CMV-mgIGFBP-6 construct	47
3.4.1	The H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-4 and -6 constructs	46
3.4	Expression vectors	46
3.3.8	Preparation of minipreps and midipreps	46
3.3.7	Transformation of bacteria	45
3.3.6	Prenaration of competent bacteria	<del>4</del> 3
3.3.5	Ligation of DNA fragments	43
3.3.4	Extraction of DNA fragments from agarose gel	42 49
333	Dephosphorylation of 5'-ends	+2 17
5.5.1 332	Kestriction enzyme algest Filling 5'- and 3'-protruding ends	41 17
3.3	Construction of expression vectors	41
J.4.J	I nuwing ceu unes	41
3.2.2 3 7 2	r reezing cell lines Thewing coll lines	41 11
3.2.1	Cells	40
3.2	Cells and cell culture techniques	40
3.1	Animals	40
3	ANIMALS, MATERIALS AND METHODS	40
2.4.6	Biological significance of IGFBP-6	38
2.4.5	Actions of IGFBP-6	37
2.4.4	IGFBP-6 expression in vitro and its regulation	36
2.4.3	IGFBP-6 expression in vivo and its regulation	34
2.4.2	The structure-function relationship of IGFBP-6	31

3.9.2	Determination of protein concentration	57
3.9.3	SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	57
3.9.4	Electrophoretic blotting	59
3.9.5	[ <sup>125</sup> I]-IGF-II ligand blot	60
3.9.6	Western immunoblot	60
3.9.7	Immunohistochemisty	61
3.10	Phenotypic analysis of transgenic mice	62
3.10.1	H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice	62
3.10.1.1	Analysis of body weight gain and organ weights	62
3.10.1.2	Morphometry of thymus and spleen	62
3.10.1.3	Immunological analysis	65
3.10.1.3.1	Sample preparation	65
3.10.1.3.2	Flow cytometry (FACS)	66
3.10.1.3.3	Proliferation assay	67
3.10.1.3.4	Basal immunoglobin ELISA	68
3.10.2	CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mice	68
3.10.2.1	Analysis of body and organ weights.	
3.10.2.2	Morphometry of duodenum	
3.10.2.2.1	Tissue preparation and sampling	
3 10 2 2 2	Morphometric analysis	70
3 10 2 3	Scanning electron microscony	72
3 10 2 4	Serum glucose levels	
3 10 2 5	Serum glucagon levels	73
3 10 2 6	Glucose tolerance test	
3 10 2 7	Serum inculin levels	73
5.10.2.7	Serum insum levers	
3.11	Statistics	73
4	RESULTS	74
4.1	Expression of mIGFBP-4 and -6 <i>in vitro</i>	74
4.1.1	Expression of mIGFBP-4 and -6 in murine NIH-3T3 fibroblasts	
4.1.2	Expression of mIGFBP-6 in human 293 cells	
4.2	H-2K <sup>o</sup> -mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice	76
4.2.1	Identification of transgenic mice	
4.2.2	Transgene expression	77
4.2.3	Body weight gain and organ growth	79
4.2.4	Flow cytometry	81
4.2.5	Basal plasma immunoglobin levels	81
4.2.6	Mitogenic response of splenocytes	
4.3	H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice	85
4.3.1	Identification of tramsgenic mice	
4.3.2	Transgene expression	
4.4	CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mice	86
4.4.1	Identification of transgenic mice	
1 1 2	Transgene expression	88
4.4.4		

4.4.3 4.4.4	Effects on glucose homeostasis Effects on body and organ growth	
5	DISCUSSION	
5.1	<b>Overexpression of IGFBP-4 in transgenic mice</b>	
5.1.1	Transgene integration	
5.1.2	Transgene expression	
5.1.3	Effect on body and organ growth	100
5.1.4	Effect on the immune system	101
5.2	<b>Overexpression of IGFBP-6 in transgenic mice</b>	
5.2.1	Transgene integration	
5.2.2	Transgene expression	
5.2.3	Glucose homeostasis	
5.2.4	Effects on body and organ growth	
6	SUMMARY	110
7	ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	112
8	REFERENCES	114
ACKN	OWLEDGMENTS	
CURR	ICULUM VITAE	153

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

aa	amino acid
ACTH	adrenocorticotropic hormone
ALS	acid-labile subunit
APS	ammonium persulfate
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
αlAT	$\alpha$ 1-antitrypsin (promoter)
bFGF	basic fibroblast growth factor
bp	base pair
BLG	B-lactoglobin (promoter)
BSA	bovine serum albumin
BW	body weight
CAT	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase
cAMP	cvclic adenosine monophosphate
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CIAP	calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase
cm	centimeter
CMV	cvtomegalovirus (promoter)
ConA	Concanavalin A
cpm	count per minute
CRE	cAMP responsive element
CRF	chronic renal failure
CSF	cerebrospinal fluid
DEPC	diethylpyrocarbonate
dl	deciliter
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTPs	deoxynucleotide triphosphates
dpc	days post coitum
DTT	1,4-Dithio-DL-threitol
ECM	extracellular matrix
E. coli	Escherichia coli
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (disodium salt dihydrate)
EGF	epidermal growth factor
ELISA	enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
ERE	estrogen responsive element
ES cells	embryonic stem cells
ESMS	electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry
FACS	fluorescent-activated cell sorting
FCS	fetal calf serum
FELASA	the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations
FFa	follicular fluid from androgen-dominant follicles
FFe	follicular fluid from estrogen-dominant growing follicles
FSH	follicle-stimulating hormone
g	gram
g	relative centrifugal force (RCF)
GFP	green fluorescent protein
GH	growth hormone

GHRP-2GH releasing peptide-2GITgastrointestinal tractGMA2-hydroxyethyl methacrylatehhourhCGhuman chorionic gonadotrophinHBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-cosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-Rtype I IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	GHRA	GH receptor antagonist
GITgastrointestinal tractGMA2-hydroxyethyl methacrylatehhourhCGhuman chorionic gonadotrophinHBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-eosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	GHRP-2	GH releasing peptide-2
GMA2-hydroxyethyl methacrylatehhourhCGhuman chorionic gonadotrophinHBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-cosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN- $\gamma$ interferon- $\gamma$ IgimmunoglobinIGF-1, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZ $\beta$ -galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	GIT	gastrointestinal tract
hhourhCGhuman chorionic gonadotrophinHBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-cosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	GMA	2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate
hCGhuman chorionic gonadotrophinHBDheparin binding domainHBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-eosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-1, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIIC-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	h	hour
HBDheparin binding domainHEhematoxylin-eosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN- $\gamma$ interferon- $\gamma$ IgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZ $\beta$ -galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	hCG	human chorionic gonadotrophin
HEheratoxylin-eosinHRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	HBD	heparin binding domain
HRPhorseradish peroxidaseICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimolarMAAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	HE	hematoxylin-eosin
Internet productICMinner cell massIFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGFBPIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimeterMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	HRP	horseradish peroxidase
IFN-γinterferon-γIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	ICM	inner cell mass
InterformIgimmunoglobinIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-Schiffproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	IFN-v	interferon-v
InformationIGF-I, -IIinsulin-like growth factor-I, -IIIGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorKDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-Schiffproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	Ισ	immunoglohin
IGF-IRtype I IGF receptorIGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimolarMAAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-Schiffproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	IGF_I_II	insulin-like growth factor-III
IGF-IRtype II IGF receptorIGF-IIRtype II IGF receptorIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBP-rPsIGFBP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	IGF_IR	type I IGE recentor
IGF BPsIGF binding proteinsIGFBPsIGF binding proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	IGF-IIR	type II IGE receptor
IGF DF SIGF BP-related proteinsIGF BP-rPsIGF BP-related proteinsIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	IGERDs	IGE hinding proteins
ICITIST-11'SICITIST-12'sIL-1, -2, -6interleukin-1, -2, -6IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimetermMmillimeterMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic protein	ICEDD rDa	IGFPD related proteins
IL-1, -2, -0Interleuklin-1, -2, -0IRinsulin receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	$\frac{1112}{112}$	interleukin 1 2 6
IKInstitut receptorkDakilodaltonkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	IL-1, -2, -0	incerteuxili-1, -2, -0
KDaKilodationkbkilobaseLacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline		Insumi receptor
R0R100aseLacZ $\beta$ -galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimeterMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	KDa	
LacZβ-galactosidaseLPSlipopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	KD	kilobase
LPSIpopolysaccharideLucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	LacZ	β-galactosidase
LucluciferaseMmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	LPS	lipopolysaccharide
MmolarmAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	Luc	luciferase
mAmilliamperemgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	M	molar
mgmilligramMHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	mA	milliampere
MHCmajor histocompatibility complexminminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	mg	milligram
minminutemlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	MHC	major histocompatibility complex
mlmillilitermmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	min	minute
mmmillimetermMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	ml	milliliter
mMmillimolarMMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	mm	millimeter
MMAmethyl methacrylateMOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	mМ	millimolar
MOPS3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acidmRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	MMA	methyl methacrylate
mRNAmessenger ribonucleic acidMTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	MOPS	3-[N-morpholino]propanesulfonic acid
MTmetallothionein-I (promoter)nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
nmnanometerngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	MT	metallothionein-I (promoter)
ngnanogramNRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	nm	nanometer
NRLnose-rump-lengthOCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	ng	nanogram
OCosteocalcin (promoter)OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	NRL	nose-rump-length
OP-1osteogenic protein-1PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	OC	osteocalcin (promoter)
PAGEpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresisPAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	OP-1	osteogenic protein-1
PAPP-Apregnancy-associated plasma protein-APASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PASPeriodic-Acid-SchiffproMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	PAPP-A	pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A
proMBPproform of eosinophil major basic proteinPBSphosphate buffered saline	PAS	Periodic-Acid-Schiff
PBS phosphate buffered saline	proMBP	proform of eosinophil major basic protein
	PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCK polymerase chain reaction	PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PDGF-BB platelet-derived growth factor-BB	PDGF-BB	platelet-derived growth factor-BB
ng nicogram	pg	picogram
	PGK	phosphoglycerate kinase I (promoter)
program	PGK	phosphoglycerate kinase I (promoter)

PMSG	pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin
РТН	parathyroid hormone
RA	retinoic acid
RARE	retinoic acid responsive element
rev.	review
RIA	radioimmunoassay
RNA	ribonucleic acid
rpm	revolution per minute
RT	room temperature; reverse transcription
RT-PCR	reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
S	second
SD	standard deviation
SDS	dodecyl sulphate sodium salt
SFCM	serum-free conditioned medium
SMP	smooth muscle $\alpha$ -actin promoter
SPF	specific pathogen-free
SPR	surface plasmon resonance
SRE	steroid responsive element
SSR	site-specific recombinase
T <sub>3</sub>	triiodothyronine
$T_4$	thyroxine
TBS	Tris-buffered saline
TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
TG	transgenic
TGF-α, -β	transforming growth factor- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$
TIS	transcription initiation site
TNF-α	tumor necrosis factor-α
TPA	12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol 13-acetate
UV	ultraviolet
ViSA	villous surface area
μCi	microcurie
μg	microgram
μl	microliter
μm	micrometer
WAP	whey acidic protein (promoter)
WT	wild-type
WT-1	Wilms tumor-1 protein

# **1** INTRODUCTION

The growth of a mammalian organism is effected predominantly by proliferative events outbalancing apoptosis and increasing total cell number, with some additional contributions by cell hypertrophy and deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM). Growth is brought about by the operation of cellular signaling pathways controlled by growth factors and hormones. In general, growth factors are produced by many tissues and exert mainly local (autocrine/paracrine) controls that predominate during embryogenesis, whereas hormones act systemically away from the sites of their production (Lupu *et al.* 2001).

Among the peptide growth factors, the insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) are unique as they can act both locally as autocrine/paracrine growth factors, and systemically as a hormone (Cohick & Clemmons 1993; Mohan et al. 1996; Stewart & Rotwein 1996; Butler & LeRoith 2001). The IGFs have both mitogenic and metabolic actions that participate in the regulation of proliferation, differentiation, survival and specific functions of many cell types and tissues under different physiological and pathological conditions (Stewart & Rotwein 1996). The actions of IGFs, mediated via the type I IGF receptor (IGF-IR), are modulated by a family of six high-affinity IGFbinding proteins (IGFBP-1 to -6) by endocrine, autocrine and paracrine mechanisms (Clemmons 1997; Hwa et al. 1999; Mohan & Baylink 2002). Despite their structural similarity, each IGFBP has unique properties and exhibits specific functions. Some of the IGFBPs inhibit IGF actions, whereas others potentiate IGF effects. In addition, some IGFBPs show direct effects independent of IGF-binding (Rechler 1993; Jones & Clemmons 1995; Kelley et al. 1996; Clemmons 1997; Rajaram et al. 1997; Hwa et al. 1999; Duan 2002; Firth & Baxter 2002). The majority of the knowledge about these peptides is obtained from in vitro studies. Generation and analysis of transgenic mice overexpressing an individual IGFBP allows us to reveal the specific functions of the corresponding IGFBP in vivo. In the past decade, several transgenic mouse models for IGFBP-1, -2, -3 and -5 were established, which revealed some specific functions of the IGFBPs (rev. in Schneider et al. 2000; Silha & Murphy 2002). However, there is only one smooth muscle-specific transgenic mouse model of IGFBP-4 overexpression reported so far, and no IGFBP-6-overexpressing mice are available.

Introduction

The objective of the study reported here was to investigate the consequences of IGFBP-4 and -6 overexpression on the growth and development of mice, thereby determining their specific roles *in vivo*. For this purpose, transgenic mice overexpressing murine IGFBP-4 and IGFBP-6 were generated. Transgenic IGFBP-4 was highly expressed in the lymphoid organs, whereas transgenic IGFBP-6 expression was high in the pancreas and large amounts of active IGFBP-6 were found in the lumen of the duodenum of transgenic mice. The effects of IGFBP-4 overexpression on the body and organ growth (particularly of spleen and thymus), the development of immune-related cells and the mitogenic response of splenocytes were evaluated. For IGFBP-6 transgenic mice, the consequences of IGFBP-6 excess on the body and organ growth (particularly of duodenum) and the glucose homeostasis were characterized.

2

# **2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

#### 2.1 Transgenic technologies in mice for studying gene function

In the past decade many declared aims of the genome projects have been achieved. The total genomic sequences of several relatively noncomplex organisms, such as E. coli (Blattner et al. 1997), yeast (Goffeau et al. 1996), Caenorhabditis (The C.elegans Sequencing Consortium 1998) and Drosophila (Adams et al. 2000), have already been determined. The human genome working draft sequence was completed in June 2000, with analyses published in February 2001 (Gustafsson et al. 1999a; Lander et al. 2001), and the high-quality sequence of the entire human genome will be finished by the end of 2003. Furthermore, a high-quality draft sequence of the mouse genome has also been generated at the end of 2002 (Waterston et al. 2002). However, these achievements are not the end of the road but rather the first step toward the functional understanding of the genome of human and other organisms. The determined linear nucleotide sequences remain only lists of A, C, G and T, unless they are given functional significance. The coding sequences of genes can be identified in a relatively reliable manner by computational methods, but the exact function of their protein products can rarely be determined without obtaining additional information by biochemical or biological methods. Thus, following sequencing, the next step must be to assign functions to the identified genes. The final goal of genome research today may look futuristic, but the knowledge of the function of every single gene and the interactions between them will finally allow us to understand the development and functioning of an organism as a whole.

Transgenic technology is one of the powerful strategies for determining gene function, because it enables rapid movement between genotype and phenotype through specific loss-of-function, overexpression or ectopic expression (Si-Hoe *et al.* 2001). The mouse represents the excellent model organism of choice for the analysis of gene function because of its anatomical, physiological, reproductive and genomic similarity to humans, the ability to manipulate the mouse genome in a random or targeted way, as well its high fecundity and relatively low maintenance costs (Denny & Justice 2000; Malakoff 2000). Therefore, transgenic mice will play a pivotal role in studying mammalian gene function as we enter the post-genomic era.

#### 2.1.1 Conventional transgenic technologies in mice

#### 2.1.1.1 Pronuclear DNA microinjection

In most cases, a transgene resembles an expression cassette consisting of a gene driven by a promoter of choice. The classical transgenic procedure consists of randomly introducing such a transgene into the genome to generate an overexpression model (Brinster & Palmiter 1984). To this end, the DNA containing the transgene is microinjected into the male pronucleus of fertilized mouse zygotes. Subsequently, viable zygotes are implanted into the oviducts of pseudopregnant foster mothers. On the average, 10-30% of the resulting offspring bear the transgene in their genome. In general, a transgenic mouse line is established when the transgene is effectively transmitted to the following generations in a Mendelian way. Although the transgenic DNA is present in all cells, transgene expression is dependent on many factors such as the chosen promoter and enhancer elements, the number of integrated copies and the locus of integration.

This kind of transgenesis is employed most commonly for one of the following two purposes: (i) the forced expression of a recombinant protein to alter the physiology and/or morphology of the animal, or (ii) the analysis of transcriptional control mechanisms involved in regulatory pathways (Williams & Wagner 2000). To alter the phenotype of an intact animal, the transgene may encode a native protein, so that the experiment addresses the systemic effects at an altered protein level (overexpression) and the consequences of ectopic expression. Alternatively, the transgene is designed to encode a mutated protein that has been modified for a special purpose: to produce a constitutively active (gain-of-function mutant) or dominant-negative (loss-of-function mutant) form of a specific protein or to mimic a mutation observed in a human genetic disease.

Another common application is to identify transcriptional control elements that respond to developmental cues or physiological stimuli. In this application, the coding

4

region of a so-called "reporter gene", such as the gene encoding  $\beta$ -galactosidase (LacZ), green fluorescent protein (GFP), chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) or luciferase (Luc), is linked to the segment of DNA thought to contain the regulatory elements of interest.

The main limitation of the classical transgenic approach is related to the uncontrolled integration of the transgene into the host genome. This random integration may influence the expression of genes situated close to the transgene, and the locus of integration may affect the expression of the transgene itself. Therefore, it is mandatory to generate several transgenic mouse lines with comparable transgene expression patterns that show identical phenotypes.

#### 2.1.1.2 Targeted mutagenesis in mice

#### 2.1.1.2.1 Knockout

Most targeting experiments performed to date were to generate null-mutant animals, commonly referred to as "knockout" mice (Thomas & Capecchi 1987). The principle of targeted gene disruption in the mouse is the following: a modified version of the gene of interest, a so-called targeting vector, is introduced into embryonic stem (ES) cells. A varying preparation of transfected ES cells will have replaced a wild-type allele of the endogenous gene with the targeting vector by homologous recombination. ES cell clones carrying the homologously recombined allele are then microinjected into blastocyst-stage embryos where they aggregate with the inner cell mass (ICM). After implantation into the uterus of foster mothers, these embryos will develop into chimeric mice. When the injected ES cells contribute to the formation of germ cells in chimeric mice, the ES cell genotype can be propagated to the next generations.

Since the first mouse with a targeted gene disruption was presented in 1989 (Thompson *et al.* 1989), thousands of targeted mutations have been described (see the Transgenic/Targeted Mutation Database: *http://tbase.jax.org*). Most targeted mice have been generated to characterize developmental and physiological functions of

5

genes *in vivo*. The approach is especially powerful in revealing the distinctive functions of genes encoding related proteins.

Sometimes the study of knockout mice reveals unexpected phenotypes and thereby highlights unsuspected functions of the disrupted gene (for examples see Huang *et al.* 1993; Wenger & Gassmann 1997). Although such discoveries are serendipitous, they should not be regarded as marginal. The targeted mutagenesis strategy has boosted the pace of knowledge in many fields by providing new insights into the functions of various genes.

#### 2.1.1.2.2 Knockin

An interesting extension of the knockout approach is the so-called knock-in approach, in which the targeting vector contains, in addition to the selection cassette, a cDNA of interest inserted in-frame in an exon of the gene under study. The cDNA is thus expressed in place of the endogenous gene product. The main advantage of this approach is that it allows tight control of the expression of the cDNA of interest, because the cDNA is placed in the context of the complete set of *cis*-acting regulatory elements that normally control the expression of the endogenous gene. Furthermore, this approach avoids the position effect encountered in random gene-addition transgenesis. A common use of this approach is the targeted mutation of the LacZ reporter gene, which permits accurate definition of the expression pattern of endogenous genes (for examples see Schneider-Maunoury *et al.* 1993; Tajbakhsh *et al.* 1996). This approach has also proven to be a powerful tool for studying the functional relationships among members of a gene family and their potential abilities to functionally compensate for each other (for example see Hanks *et al.* 1998).

# 2.1.2 Conditional transgenic technologies in mice

Frequently, a genetic change has developmental consequences that either preclude or complicate studies on adult animals (e.g. embryonic lethality). Furthermore, conventional knockout strategies affect every cell in an animal, so that it is often impossible to distinguish primary and secondary changes in a complex phenotype. In order to tease out more precise information about the role of a gene in a specific cell

type at a critical stage of disease or development, more sophisticated approaches have been developed which extend and refine the possibilities of conventional transgenic technologies: conditional transgenic technologies, which allow flexible temporospatial control of gene expression or deletion by specific stimuli (rev. in Ryding *et al.* 2001).

#### 2.1.2.1 Conditional overexpression

The ideal conditional overexpression system should allow the investigator to switch transgene expression on and off, rapidly, reversibly, at any point during development and postnatal life, and only in the desired cell type. Many systems have been developed harnessing the inherent responsiveness of specific promoters to various stimuli, such as tetracycline responsive system, ecdysone induction system and cytochrome P-450 induction system (rev. in Ryding *et al.* 2001).

#### 2.1.2.2 Conditional knockout

Embryonic lethality in many conventional knockouts impedes attempts to study gene function in postnatal life. One way of avoiding this is to ablate specific genes at later stages of development or adulthood using recombinases. Two members of the  $\alpha$  integrase family of site-specific recombinases (SSR), Cre and Flp, have proven invaluable for conditional transgenic use.

Cre recombinase of the P1 bacteriophage directs recombination between loxP sites. Its function is to maintain phage-encoding plasmids as monomers. In a similar manner the Flp integrase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mediates recombination between FRT (<u>FLP recombination target</u>) sites within yeast plasmids. In each case, the only requirements for DNA rearrangement are the integrase and the recombination sites, no additional cellular factors are necessary. Both *loxP* and FRT sites are 34 bp DNA sequences comprising two 13 bp palindromes separated by an asymmetric 8 bp core. The recombinases catalyse DNA strand exchange between two aligned recombination sites, no factors are necessary.

7

sequences, according to the orientation of the recombination sites and the number of molecules involved (Ryding *et al.* 2001).

The Cre-loxP system. Modification of a specific gene with loxP sites flanking the region of interest (so called 'floxed gene/segment') is achieved using standard gene targeting vectors in ES cells. Mice derived from these targeted ES cells can be bred to homozygosity for the floxed allele, and crossing with other mice transgenic for Cre recombinase under the control of a specific promoter allows time- or tissue-specific deletion of the floxed segment. In this way, the activity of the gene can be modified in a limited range of cells at a particular developmental stage. Crossing with a different Cre transgenic mouse line allows the generation of a different temporo-spatial pattern of recombination, and possible phenotype. Tissue specificity is determined by the choice of promoter driving Cre recombinase expression. Many tissue-specific Cre transgenic mice and floxed strains are becoming available (see http://www.mshri.on.ca/nagy/cre.htm). Several strategies have also been designed to control the timing of recombination, using inducible forms of Cre recombinase (Ryding et al. 2001). Cre-loxP has been used most extensively for small-scale DNA rearrangements affecting single loci to study the function of a single gene. However, it can also be used for generating megabase chromosome rearrangements, which provides a powerful means for functional analysis of complex genomic regions (Mills & Bradley 2001).

*The Flp-FRT system.* The recombination efficiency of Flp is inferior to that of Cre, and no ubiquitously expressing reporter lines for Flp are currently available. Thus, the use of Flp in transgenic mice is at a less advanced stage than that of Cre. However, an enhanced mutant form of Flp, Flpe, was developed, which exhibits fourfold greater activity than wild-type form, and maximally excises a target gene in a broadly expressing reporter line (Buchholz *et al.* 1998; Rodriguez *et al.* 2000). Moreover, use of Flp and Cre in combination allows sophisticated manipulation of loci, for example, removal of unwanted plasmid sequences from a conditional allele at the ES cell stage with Flp, and subsequently deletion by Cre *in vivo* (Moon & Capecchi 2000). A floxed hypomorphic allele could be knocked out completely using conditional Cre, or reverted back to wild-type using Flp (Meyers *et al.* 1998). Recently, a more efficient targeting method has been developed based on the combined use of the two

8

independent site-specific recombination systems described above (Lauth *et al.* 2002). The gene of interest is flanked by lox P on one side and FRT on the other side (called a froxed gene). ES cells carrying the froxed gene are transfected with a replacement plasmid harbouring the same sites and a plasmid expressing Cre and Flpe. This method, called *Froxing*, allows to unidirectionally deliver transgenes to a defined genomic locus, renders the introduced sequence more stable and allows for a higher integration rate without selection (14-15%), compared to systems that utilize only a single SSR.

#### 2.1.3 Gene-trap mutagenesis in mice

Both transgenic and gene-targeting technologies require that the gene to be manipulated has been isolated and that the structure of the gene is known, at least partially. Another approach that allows the mutagenesis of uncharacterized genes is the gene-trap technology (Cecconi & Meyer 2000; Wiles *et al.* 2000). In this strategy, ES cells are electroporated with a so-called gene trap vector. These vectors are designed to integrate at random sites in the genome and to use the transcriptional activity of the endogenous target gene to drive a selection cassette and eventually a reporter gene. The integration of the gene trap vector may generate an insertional mutation. The gene trap vector also provides a tag to easily identify the targeted gene and the reporter gene may be used for an expression analysis, for example, for the identification of genes with specific expression patterns during embryogenesis. Mice generated from gene-trapped ES cells are subsequently used for the phenotypic analysis. The gene-trap technology is a powerful tool for combining large-scale random mutagenesis in the mouse with a rapid identification of the mutated gene and characterization of its biological functions.

# 2.2 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system

# 2.2.1 IGF peptides

In 1957, Salmon et al. found a growth hormone (GH)-dependent serum factor that stimulated sulfate incorporation into the ECM in rat cartilage. It was initially designated sulfation factor, and subsequently termed somatomedin C (Daughaday *et* 

al. 1972), which ultimately was shown to be IGF-I (Rinderknecht & Humbel 1978a). In the 1960s, several laboratories made efforts to identify serum components with insulin-like effects on metabolism which were not neutralized by anti-insulin antibodies. These effects were designated nonsuppressible insulin-like activities (Froesch et al. 1963; Megyesi et al. 1974), which were ultimately shown to correspond to both IGF-I and IGF-II (Rinderknecht & Humbel 1976a). In the early 1970s, a polypeptide fraction with multiplication-stimulating activity for chicken embryo fibroblasts was described in calf serum (Pierson, Jr. & Temin 1972) and rat liver cell conditioned medium (Dulak & Temin 1973a; Dulak & Temin 1973b) This factor was then identified as IGF-II (Rinderknecht & Humbel 1978b). The initial purification of these disparate factors suggested that they had overlapping activities and the term *insulin-like growth factor* was proposed to signify their relationship to insulin and to emphasize their growth-promoting activities (Rinderknecht & Humbel 1976b). It is currently known that insulin-like peptides and their cellular receptors represent two superfamilies of regulatory proteins whose common ancestry extends back to early metazoan evolution. The involvement of these proteins in cellular anabolic processes is widespread throughout the animal world, where both ligand and receptor homologs are seen to regulate metabolite uptake, mitogenesis, synthetic and growth functions, and developmental processes (rev. in Kelley et al. 2002).

IGF-I and IGF-II are small single-chain peptides, approximately 7.5 kDa in size, which are structurally ~70% identical to one another and similar to pro-insulin. In addition to A and B domains, the IGFs also retain the C domain which is spliced out of insulin during pro-hormone processing, and contain an additional C-terminal D domain which is not found in insulin. C-terminal E peptides, which are generally cleaved posttranslationally, are also found in the IGF pro-peptides (rev. in Rechler & Nissley 1990; LeRoith & Roberts, Jr. 1993). Unlike insulin which is produced only by the pancreatic beta cells, IGFs are expressed in many cell types and tissues and act in endocrine, autocrine or paracrine manner to regulate cellular proliferation, survival and differentiation (rev. in Cohick & Clemmons 1993; Stewart & Rotwein 1996; Butler & LeRoith 2001).

IGF-I is a basic protein of 70 amino acids (aa). The human *IGF1* gene is located on chromosome 12. The major source of circulating IGF-I is the liver, but IGF-I is

widely expressed in most tissues, especially during postnatal development (Daughaday & Rotwein 1989). IGF-I was first identified as a mediator of GH actions. The primary regulator of *Igf1* transcription is GH. All species of IGF-I mRNAs increase after GH administration, although the magnitude of change varies with tissues and subclasses of mRNA (Roberts, Jr. *et al.* 1987). In addition to GH, hormonal, tissue-specific and developmental factors as well as nutritional status all modify IGF-I expression (Daughaday & Rotwein 1989). Null mutants for *Igf1* in mice show severe growth retardation with a highly variable, strain-dependent perinatal lethality (Baker *et al.* 1993; Liu *et al.* 1993; Powell-Braxton *et al.* 1993a; Powell-Braxton *et al.* 1993b) and also marked alteration in their nervous system (Beck *et al.* 1995) and reproduction (Baker *et al.* 1996).

IGF-II is a slightly acidic protein of 67 aa. The human IGF2 gene is located on chromosome 11, contiguous with the insulin gene. In contrast to IGF-I, IGF-II is highly expressed embryonically (Stylianopoulou et al. 1988a; Lee et al. 1990). In rodents, IGF-II levels decrease postnatally (Moses et al. 1980; Daughaday et al. 1982), except in the choroids plexus and leptomeninges of the brain (Stylianopoulou et al. 1988b). In human, circulating IGF-II remains high in the adult (Rechler and Nissley 1990). Although IGF-II expression is also regulated hormonally, the trophic factors involved in the regulation of IGF-II expression are poorly characterized (Daughaday & Rotwein 1989). Inactivation of Igf2 gene in mice produces growthdeficient but fertile and otherwise normal individuals (DeChiara et al. 1990). The growth deficiency of heterozygous mice carrying a disrupted paternal allele revealed that the *Igf2* gene is subject to maternal genomic imprinting. The imprinting of the Igf2 gene has led to the argument for additional regulation of IGF-II at the level of gene dosage (DeChiara et al. 1991; Filson et al. 1993). Although IGF-II is primarily an important regulator of embryonic growth and differentiation in the rodent, transgenic mouse models revealed some functions of this peptide in growth, metabolism and tumorigenesis in postnatal life (rev. in Wolf et al. 1998).

# 2.2.2 IGF receptors

*Type 1 IGF receptor (IGF-IR).* Most of the IGF actions are mediated by IGF-IR. Like the insulin receptor (IR), the IGF-IR is a member of the tyrosine-kinase class of

growth factor receptors. The IGF-IR is expressed at the cell surface as a heterotetramer, comprised of two ligand-binding  $\alpha$  and two transmembrane  $\beta$ subunits. The IGF-IR is similar in topography and sequence to the insulin receptor (IR) and shares more than 50% amino acid identity (Ullrich et al. 1986; Abbott et al. 1992; LeRoith et al. 1995). The IGF-IR binds IGF-I and IGF-II with high affinity, and insulin with considerably lower affinity. Ligand binding to extracellular cysteine-rich region within  $\alpha$ -subunits of the IGF-IR induces activation of intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of each  $\beta$ -subunit. After autophosphorylation on intracellular tyrosine residues, the receptor is fully activated as a tyrosine kinase towards endogenous substrates (LeRoith 2000). Activation of the IGF-IR triggers intracellular events that regulate the cell cycle, apoptosis, cell mobility and gene expression (De Meyts et al. 1994). In addition to binding IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin, the IGF-IR has also been reported to interact with IGFBP-3 (Mohseni-Zadeh & Binoux 1997), but the significance of this binding is currently undefined. *Igf1r* knockout mice weigh 45% of normal at birth and die immediately afterwards due to respiratory failure (Baker et al. 1993; Liu et al. 1993). A targeted partial invalidation of the Igflr gene exhibited a postnatal growth deficit of male hetero- and homozygous mice (Holzenberger et al. 2000). Patients with a deletion of the distal arm of chromosome 15 lack one copy of the *IGF1R* gene and exhibit both intrauterine and postnatal growth retardation (Siebler et al. 1995). Vast overexpression of human IGF-IR in mouse and rat fibroblasts has been found to cause IGF-dependent neoplastic transformation (Kaleko et al. 1990). This study highlights a potential role for the IGF-IR in tumorigenesis.

*Type II IGF receptor (IGF-IIR).* IGF-IIR is a single-chain membrane-spanning glycoprotein that also is known as the cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate (Man-6-P) receptor (Kornfeld 1992). The human *IGF2R* gene has been mapped to chromosome 6 (Laureys *et al.* 1988). In opposition to the *Igf2* gene, the expression of the *Igf2r* gene is paternally imprinted (Barlow *et al.* 1991). The mature human IGF-IIR contains 2451 amino acids that can be divided into three regions, a large 2264-residue extracellular domain, a 23-residue transmembrane region and a 164-residue C-terminal intracytoplasmic domain (Morgan *et al.* 1987; Oshima *et al.* 1988). The extracellular part of the IGF-IIR encodes a single binding site for IGF-II and two sites for Man-6-P-containing ligands, and the intracytoplasmic region regulates movement

among different cellular compartments. IGF-IIR binds IGF-II with high affinity but interacts minimally with IGF-I and insulin (Kornfeld 1992). The coordinated expression of IGF-II and IGF-IIR in most mammalian tissues and gene targeting experiments suggest a role of IGF-IIR in the control of extracellular IGF-II concentration by receptor-mediated endocytosis and subsequent degradation of the growth factor in lysosomes (Lau *et al.* 1994; Ludwig *et al.* 1996; Braulke 1999). Currently, there is no evidence that the IGF-IIR is involved in the IGF-signaling.

# 2.2.3 IGF-binding proteins

Unlike insulin, the IGFs in serum and other biological fluids are bound to specific IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) which were initially discovered as carrier proteins in serum (Zapf et al. 1975). The IGFBPs represent a family of six conserved proteins (IGFBP-1 to -6) that bind to IGFs with affinities similar to or greater than the IGF-IR. Six IGFBPs cloned in mammals share a 50% homologous protein sequence overall and up to 80% sequence homology among different mammalian species (Rechler 1993; Kelley et al. 1996). Human IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 genes are located on chromosome 7, IGFBP2 and IGFBP5 on chromosome 2, IGFBP4 on chromosome 17, and IGFBP6 on chromosome 12 (Allander et al. 1993; Allander et al. 1994; Ehrenborg et al. 1999; Hwa et al. 1999). The mammalian IGFBPs share a common domain organization, possessing a highly cysteine-rich amino (N)-terminal domain (12 cysteine residues), a cysteine-rich carboxy (C)-terminal domain (6 cysteine residues), and a central (L) domain with no cysteine residue except in IGFBP-4. The N- and C-domains are highly conserved among different IGFBPs in a given species, and the L-domain is highly variable (rev. in Duan 2002). Mutational studies suggest that both the N- and C-domains are involved in high-affinity IGF-binding (rev. in Clemmons 2001). IGF-binding may be modulated by IGFBP modifications, such as glycosylation, phosphorylation and proteolysis, and by cell surface or ECM association of the IGFBPs (rev. in Baxter 2000; Firth & Baxter 2002). Several lowaffinity IGF binders, termed IGFBP-related proteins (IGFBP-rPs), have also been discovered that exhibit significant structural homology to the N-domain of the six high-affinity IGFBPs (rev. in Hwa et al. 1999). The functional significance of the IGFBP-rPs for the IGF system, if any, is currently unknown.

IGFBPs are present in serum and other biological fluids and are secreted by a broad spectrum of cell types. Numerous in vitro studies have demonstrated that IGFBPs have a diversity of functions depending on cell types, culture conditions, IGFBP dose and post-translational modification. They all can inhibit IGF actions by binding to IGFs and preventing the binding of IGFs to the IGF receptors (rev. in Clemmons 1997; Clemmons 1998; Baxter 2000), whereas some of them (e.g. IGFBPs-1, -3 and -5) also potentiate IGF actions presumably due to their cell surface or ECM association (rev. in Jones et al. 1993a; Mohan et al. 1995b; Clemmons 1998). In addition, some IGFBPs (e.g. IGFBP-3 and -5) have IGF-independent actions probably by signaling via IGFBP receptors (Oh et al. 1993; Leal et al. 1997; Andress 1998) or by nuclear localization and interaction with transcriptional modulators (Radulescu 1994; Liu et al. 2000; Amaar et al. 2002). The roles of IGFBPs in vivo are only partially defined. Transgenic and knockout technologies in mice provide important tools to alter level and tissue-specificity of expression of a particular IGFBP and - after extensive phenotypic analysis – draw conclusions regarding its functions. Although knockout mice have been produced for all IGFBPs, they showed only minor phenotypic alterations, probably due to functional compensation of the lacking IGFBP by the remaining ones (Pintar et al. 1995; Pintar et al. 1998; Wood et al. 2000). In contrast, transgenic mice overexpressing a particular IGFBP exhibited more clear phenotypes, which confirmed that IGFBPs are important regulators of IGF actions and also revealed some new functions (rev. in Schneider et al. 2000; Silha & Murphy 2002). A summary of the established IGFBP transgenic mouse models is shown in Table 2.1.

IGFBP	Transgene <sup>a</sup>	Transgene expression	Phenotypic manifestation	Ref
IGFBP-1	MT-hBP-1	Brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, testes	Abnormal brain development.	1,2
	mPGK-rBP-1	Ubiquitous	Reduction in birth weight, postnatal growth retardation, reduction in litter size, impaired glucose tolerance.	3
	hα1AT-hBP-1	Liver	Reduced brain weight with structural alterations, reduced body weight gain, impaired glucose tolerance, reduced fecundity, proteinuria and glomerular lesions.	4
	entire hBP-1 <sup>b</sup>	Liver	Impaired glucose tolerance, abnor-	5

Table 2.1 Effects of overexpression of IGFBPs and ALS in transgenic mice

			malities in insulin action, growth re-	
IGFBP-2	CMV-mBP-2	Ubiquitous	Reduced postnatal body weight gain.	6.7
IGFBP-3	mPGK-hBP-3	Ubiguitous	Reduction in birth weight, postnatal	8
		I	growth retardation, reduction in litter	
			size, impaired glucose tolerance.	
	CMV-hBP-3	Ubiquitous	Reduction in birth weight, postnatal	8
			growth retardation, catch-up growth	
			after puberty, reduction in litter size,	
			increased adiposity, impaired gluco-	
			se tolerance and insulin sensitivity.	
	MT-hBP-3	Kidney, intestine	Selective organomegaly, spleen, liv-	9
			er, heart.	
	WAP-hBP-3	Mammary epithelial	Reduced size of alveoli at peak lac-	10
		cells during late pre-	tation, inhibition of the gland from	
		gnancy and through-	undergoing programmed remodelling	
		out lactation	and apoptosis after weaning.	
IGFBP-4	mSMP-rBP-4	Smooth muscle cells	Smooth muscle hypoplasia, signifi-	11
		(SMC)	cant reduction in wet weight of SMC-	
			rich tissues, including bladder, intes-	
			tine, aorta, uterus and stomach, with	
			no change in total body or carcass	
			weight.	
	mSMP-rBP4.7A ⁰	SMC	Greater growth inhibition of SM than	12
			native IGFBP-4.	
IGFBP-5	sBLG-hBP-5	Mammary epithelial	Impaired mammary gland develop-	13
		cells during involu-	ment, decreased mammary cell num-	
		tion of the gland	ber and milk synthesis, premature	
		_	cell death.	
	rOC-rBP-5	Bone	I ransient decrease in trabecular	14
			bone volume, impaired osteoblastic	
			tunction, osteopenia.	4 -
ALS	CMV-hALS a	Ubiquitous	Modest postnatal growth retardation,	15
			reduction in litter size	

<sup>a</sup> MT, metallothionein-I promoter; PGK, phosphoglycerate kinase I promoter;  $\alpha$ 1AT, alpha1antitrypsin promoter; CMV, cytomegalovirus promoter; WAP, whey acidic protein promoter; SMP, smooth muscle  $\alpha$ -actin promoter; BLG,  $\beta$ -lactoglobin promoter; OC, osteocalcin promoter; m, murine; r, rat; s, sheep; h, human. <sup>b</sup> A 35-kb fragment encompassing the entire human *IGFBP1* structural gene and its regulatory sequences that is responsive to normal hormonal stimuli. <sup>c</sup> BP4.7A, a protease-resistant IGFBP-4 mutant. <sup>d</sup> ALS, the acid-labile subunit that forms ternary complex with IGFBP-3 and IGF-I or IGF-II, responsible for transport of the majority of the IGF-I and IGF-II present in the circulation.1, Dai *et al.* 1994; 2, D'Ercole *et al.* 1994; 3, Rajkumar *et al.* 1995; 4, Gay *et al.* 1997; 5, Crossey *et al.* 2000; 6, Hoeflich *et al.* 1999; 7, Hoeflich *et al.* 2001; 8, Modric *et al.* 2001; 9, Murphy *et al.* 1995a; 10, Neuenschwander *et al.* 1996; 11, Wang *et al.* 1998; 12, Zhang *et al.* 2002; 13, Tonner *et al.* 2002; 14, Devlin *et al.* 2002; 15, Silha *et al.* 2001.

15

#### 2.3 IGFBP-4

# 2.3.1 Genomic organization of the IGFBP-4 gene

The human *IGFBP4* gene is located on chromosome 17 (Allander *et al.* 1993) and spans about 15.3 kb long (Zazzi *et al.* 1998). According to the mouse genome sequence determined so far, the mouse *Igfbp4* gene spans 11.3 kb on chromosome 11 (*http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/LocusLink/LocRpt.cgi?l=16010*). The rat *Igfbp4* gene spans at least 12 kb of genomic sequence (Gao *et al.* 1993). The genes for human and rat IGFBP-4 are composed of four exons separated by three introns, which give them an arrangement similar to the genes of the other IGFBPs except for IGFBP-3 (Cubbage *et al.* 1990). The splice sites are highly conserved between human *IGFBP4* and rat *Igfbp4* genes, but the sizes of the introns vary slightly between the two species (Zazzi *et al.* 1998). Cell type-specific transcript sizes were documented in mouse cell lines which, when translated, suggest an additional non-IGF binding variant present in mouse cells (Glantschnig *et al.* 1998).

Alignment of the published rat (Gao *et al.* 1993), human (Dai *et al.* 1997) and mouse (Glantschnig *et al.* 1998) IGFBP-4 promoter sequences revealed an overall high evolutionary conservation, but some promoter regions show less conservation and vary between the three species. It is interesting that the human sequence differs from rodent sequences by a 12-bp insertion upstream to the transcription initiation codon (Dai *et al.* 1997). The IGFBP-4 promoter possesses a typical TATA box and a CAAT box. Several potential regulatory elements, such as cAMP responsive element (CRE), steroid responsive element (SRE), AP-1 binding site, Sp1 binding site, exist in the IGFBP-4 5' flanking regions of the three species (Gao *et al.* 1993; Dai *et al.* 1997; Glantschnig *et al.* 1998; Zazzi *et al.* 1998). These *cis*-regulatory binding sites provide the targets for a variety of local and systemic factors such as cAMP, parathyroid hormone (PTH) and various ligands of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily (such as glucocorticoids, retinoic acid, triiodothyronine, vitamin D), to regulate the expression of IGFBP-4 as discussed below.

Several *Alu* repeat sequences are clustered in the proximity (upstream) of the human *IGFBP4* gene, with an average of one *Alu* sequence per kilobase (Zazzi *et al.* 1998), which is a higher frequency than the normal distribution in the human genome (Houck *et al.* 1979). This indicates that the *IGFBP4* gene is a hot spot for *Alu* integration. High-density *Alu* regions are often sites of genomic instability (Calabretta *et al.* 1982) and show a higher frequency of sequence polymorphism (Batzer & Deininger 2002). Apart from the *Alu* repeat sequences, several polymorphic microsatellites were found within the boundaries of the human *IGFBP4* gene (Zazzi *et al.* 1998). One of these was used as a marker to locate the hereditary breast-ovarian cancer gene (Tonin *et al.* 1993). Another highly polymorphic microsatellite was found in the first intron of the human *IGFBP4* gene (Zazzi *et al.* 1998).

A typical cleavage site for poly(A) was found at the 3'-end of the human *IGFBP4* gene, however no conserved poly(A) addition signal was detected within the 30 bp upstream region. Nevertheless, within this region an AAAAAA and several AACAAA consensus sequences were found, which could form a degenerate poly(A) addition signal. The few described eukaryotic genes that do not contain a standard AAUAAA sequence are involved in alternative polyadenylation, but this does not seem to be the case for the human *IGFBP4* gene, since no variation in mRNA length has been reported and no alternate polyadenylation site was found within the *IGFBP4* gene (Zazzi *et al.* 1998).

# 2.3.2 The structure-function relationship of IGFBP-4

IGFBP-4 is the smallest one of the six IGFBPs. Human (h)IGFBP-4 contains 237 aa, and rat (r)IGFBP-4 consists of 233 aa. IGFBP-4 contains an N-linked glycosylation site and commonly exists in biological fluids as a doublet: a 24-kDa non-glycosylated form and a 28-kDa glycosylated form (Wetterau *et al.* 1999). IGFBP-4 is unique among the six IGFBPs by having two extra cysteine residues in the variable L-domain (Landale *et al.* 1995), which are linked to each other (Chelius *et al.* 2001). These unique properties of IGFBP-4 may be responsible for the distinctive biological behavior of this binding protein, i.e. solely inhibitory actions and lack of cell surface association.

#### 2.3.2.1 IGF-binding

It is generally accepted that the IGF-binding site for the various high-affinity IGFBPs is located in the N-terminal region. Mutational analysis indicated that the IGF-binding activity of hIGFBP-4 is mainly determined by the N-terminal region Leu<sup>72</sup>-Ser<sup>91</sup>, and to a lesser extent by the C-terminal motif Cys<sup>205</sup>-Val<sup>214</sup> (Qin et al. 1998). Although the three-dimensional structure of most IGFBPs has not been determined, disulfide bridging in IGFBPs appears to be important for maintaining the secondary structure required for IGF-binding, since all six IGFBPs contain conserved cysteine residues in both the N-terminal and the C-terminal regions and reduced IGFBPs exhibit little or no IGF-binding activitiy (Landale et al. 1995; Qin et al. 1998; Neumann & Bach 1999). Direct evidence was provided by disruption of the disulfide linkages in the Ndomain of rat IGFBP-3 which resulted in complete loss of IGF-binding ability (Hashimoto et al. 1997). There is evidence suggesting that the IGF-binding domain in hIGFBP-4 involves a hydrophobic motif (Leu<sup>72</sup>-Met<sup>80</sup>) located in the distal part of the conserved N-terminal region, and that the N-terminal Cys residues (Cys9 and Cys12) are more critical than the C-terminal Cys residues (Cys17 and Cys 20) for IGFbinding (Byun et al. 2001a). Eight disulfide linkages in rat and human IGFBP-4 have been determined, four in the N-terminal (two of them are present in all six IGFBPs), three in the C-terminal (present in IGFBP-2 and -6 as well) and one in the midregion (Chelius et al. 2001).

Although the IGF-binding is mainly determined by the N-terminal conserved cysteine residues, the six conserved C-terminal cysteine residues in IGFBP-4 are essential for high-affinity binding of IGFs (Qin *et al.* 1998; Standker *et al.* 2000; Byun *et al.* 2001a). There is also evidence that in IGFBP-3 (Spencer & Chan 1995) and IGFBP-2 (Forbes *et al.* 1998; Wang *et al.* 1988) the C-terminal region plays an important role in IGF-binding. The six C-terminal cysteine residues in IGFBP-4 are linked in the same manner as in IGFBP-2 and -6 (Chelius *et al.* 2001). Both IGFBP-2 and -6 share a binding preference for IGF-II and have the same C-terminal disulfide linkages, suggesting that a different disulfide linkage could conceivably influence IGF-II-binding preference (Forbes *et al.* 1998). However, IGFBP-4 binds IGF-I and IGF-II with similar affinities, thus the highly conserved three C-terminal disulfide linkages

either have no effect on the IGF-binding preference, or they are not the sole determinants.

#### 2.3.2.2 Cell surface association and tissue distribution

Cell surface and ECM association is one of the possible mechanisms to alter the affinity of IGFBPs for the IGFs. Both IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-2 have an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif, which was shown to bind  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 integrin and consequently to mediate cell surface association of IGFBP-1 (Jones *et al.* 1993b). IGFBP-2 bound to the plasma membrane also in the absence of the RGD motif in IGFBP-2 (Hoeflich *et al.* 2002), indicating that additional mechanisms are involved in cell surface association of IGFBP-2 can bind to heparin, ECM and proteoglycans depending on the previous binding to IGF molecules (Arai *et al.* 1996). IGFBP-3 and -5 bind to distinct membrane receptors (Oh *et al.* 1993; Andress 1995; Leal *et al.* 1997; Andress 1998). However, there is no evidence for cell surface association of IGFBP-4 (Kelley *et al.* 1996), suggesting that IGFBP-4 exists primarily in a soluble extracellular form.

When IGFBP-3 was perfused through the isolated, beating rat heart, it crossed the microvascular endothelium and was distributed primarily in cardiac muscle. In contrast, perfused IGFBP-4 also crossed the microvascular endothelium of the rat heart, but was preferentially distributed in connective tissue (Bar et al. 1990; Boes et al. 1992). A small basic C-terminal region (heparin binding domain, HBD) of IGFBP-3 has been shown to be central to the ability of IGFBP-3 to bind to specific cells, such as endothelial cells (Booth et al. 1995; Knudtson et al. 2001). When this region was synthesized as 18-mer peptide (P3), P3 bound to endothelial cells (Booth et al. 1996). IGFBP-4 has no HBD and does not bind to endothelial cells (Booth et al. 1995). When the C-terminal 20 amino acids of IGFBP-4 region (P4) are replaced by the homologous P3, the generated chimeric IGFBP-43 bound specifically to endothelial cells, and it was distributed in the perfused rat heart similarly as the behavior of IGFBP-3 and different from that of IGFBP-4 (Knudtson et al. 2001), suggesting that the C-terminal region of IGFBP-4 is critical for its tissue distribution in the rat heart. These findings provide a novel potential mechanism of the tissue-specific actions of the IGFBPs.

#### 2.3.2.3 Glycosylation

In 1991, Ceda and colleagues isolated two IGFBPs with apparent molecular weights (MW) of 28 and 24 kDa in the conditioned medium of B104 rat neuroblastoma cells (Ceda *et al.* 1991). Sequence analysis revealed that both proteins had identical N-terminal sequences and appeared to be two forms of IGFBP-4. Treatment of these IGFBPs with endoglycosidase-F reduced the MW of the 28-kDa IGFBP to 24 kDa. However, there was no change in the 24-kDa IGFBP. The data from this and other studies (Carr *et al.* 1994; Cheung *et al.* 1991) demonstrated that IGFBP-4 exists as both N-glycosylated and non-glycosylated protein. Further analysis revealed that a single N-linked glycosylation site is located at the midregion (Asn<sup>104</sup>) of the rIGFBP-4 and that the glycosylation of IGFBP-4 does not affect its binding to IGFs (Chelius *et al.* 2001). Five different glycosylation isoforms of rIGFBP-4, isolated from rat serum, were recently identified (Chelius *et al.* 2002). All the identified oligosaccharides are biantennary and differ only in the number of sialic acid terminal residues and/or core modification with fucose. The physiological significance of the glycosylation in IGFBP-4 is unknown.

#### 2.3.2.4 Proteolysis

Proteolysis is a major regulatory mechanism of IGFBP-4 functions. Each of the six IGFBPs can undergo proteolysis, which results in decreased affinity for IGFs. While some of the proteases can use multiple IGFBPs as a substrate, there are apparently proteases that are specific for individual IGFBPs (Maile & Holly 1999). An IGF-dependent IGFBP-4-specific protease was first reported in the media conditioned by both human and sheep dermal fibroblasts (Fowlkes & Freemark 1992), which was then identified as pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) (Lawrence *et al.* 1999b). This proteolytic activity has also been detected in the conditioned media from human osteoblasts (Qin *et al.* 1999b), vascular smooth muscle cells (Bayes-Genis *et al.* 2001), granulosa cells (Conover *et al.* 2002), as well as in ovarian follicular fluid (Conover *et al.* 1999) and human pregnancy serum (Byun *et al.* 2001b).

PAPP-A was first isolated from human pregnancy serum (Lin et al. 1974) and belongs to the large metzincin family of metalloproteases (Lawrence et al. 1999a; Boldt et al. 2001). It cleaves IGFBP-4 at a single site, between Met<sup>135</sup>/Lys<sup>136</sup> in hIGFBP-4 (Byun et al. 2000; Laursen et al. 2002). IGFBP-4 cleavage by PAPP-A uniquely depends on the presence of IGF (Byun et al. 2000; Laursen et al. 2001). Recent data suggested that IGFs enhance the proteolysis by binding to IGFBP-4, but not by interaction with PAPP-A (Qin et al. 2000; Laursen et al. 2001). PAPP-A also cleaves hIGFBP-5 between Ser<sup>143</sup>/Lys<sup>144</sup>, which does not require the presence of IGF, but is slightly inhibited by IGF (Laursen et al. 2001). PAPP-A is secreted as a dimer of 400 kDa, but exists in human pregnancy serum as a 500 kDa covalent heterotetrameric 2:2 complex with the proform of eosinophil major basic protein (proMBP), which functions as an inhibitor of the proteolytic activity of PAPP-A (Overgaard et al. 2000). IGFBP-3, -5 and -6 can also inhibit IGFBP-4 proteolysis, likely through the homologous, highly basic heparin-binding domains in the C-termini of these IGFBPs (Fowlkes et al. 1997). Proteolysis of IGFBP-4 by PAPP-A enhances IGF bioavailability. Its physiological significance will be discussed below.

# 2.3.3 IGFBP-4 expression in vivo and its regulation

IGFBP-4 has been identified in all biological fluids, including serum, follicular fluid, seminal fluid, interstitial fluid and synovial fluid (Rajaram *et al.* 1997). It is the second most abundant IGFBP in adult rat serum after IGFBP-3. Northern blot analysis revealed that IGFBP-4 mRNA is widely expressed in adult rat tissues, including adrenal gland, testis, spleen, heart, liver, lung, kidney, stomach, hypothalamus and brain cortex, with liver being the site of the highest expression (Shimasaki *et al.* 1990). The expression of IGFBP-4 was also examined in rat small intestine (Shoubridge *et al.* 2001), smooth muscle (Smith *et al.* 2001), skeletal muscle (Jennische & Hall 2000), pancreas (Hill *et al.* 1999), uterus and placenta (Cerro & Pintar 1997), mouse spinal cord (Arnold *et al.* 2000), mouse and human thymus (Li *et al.* 1996), human prostate (Thomas *et al.* 2000), bone (Mohan *et al.* 1995a) and ovary (Zhou & Bondy 1993; el Roeiy *et al.* 1994) of several species. In the mouse embryo, IGFBP-4 transcripts were detected as early as day 11 in different regions, including telencephalon, mesencephalon, snout, tongue and differentiating sclerotomes. After 14 dpc, IGFBP-4 mRNA was undetectable in the brain, but clearly detectable in lung,

liver, kidney, intestine, vertebrae, ribs and incisivi (Schuller *et al.* 1993). IGFBP-4 protein was localized in telencephalon, mesencephalon, heart, liver, lung, tongue, blood vessels and kidney of 13.5-dpc-mouse embryo by immunohistochemical analysis (van Kleffens *et al.* 1999). These findings suggest that IGFBP-4 expression is developmentally regulated. Moreover, hormones, cytokines and other agents also regulate the expression of IGFBP-4 in a tissue-specific manner (see Table 2.2).

Agent	Tissue type/species	Effect	Reference
GH	Serum/zinc-deprived rat	S	Ninh <i>et al.</i> 1998
	Serum/postmenopausal woman	S	Kassem <i>et al.</i> 1998
	Serum/bGH transgenic mice	S	Blackburn <i>et al.</i> 1997
GHRA	Serum, liver/m	S	van Neck <i>et al.</i> 2000
GHRP-2	Plasma/b (high feed intake)	S	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2000
	Plasma/b ( low feed intake)	n	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2000
T <sub>3</sub>	Kidney, serum/hypothyroid rat	S	Voci <i>et al.</i> 2001
	Liver, serum/hypothyroid rat	S	Demori <i>et al.</i> 1997b
	Uterus/r	S	Bottazzi <i>et al.</i> 1996
<b>T</b> 4	Liver, mammary gland/r	S	Rosato <i>et al.</i> 2002
hCG	Mammary gland/r	i	Huynh 1998
	Ovary/r	S	Putowski <i>et al.</i> 1997
PMSG	Ovary/r	S	Putowski <i>et al.</i> 1997
FSH	Ovary/r	S	Putowski <i>et al.</i> 1997
Clenbuterol	Soleus muscle/r	S	Awede et al. 2002
Nandrolone	Diaphragm muscle/r	i	Lewis <i>et al.</i> 2002
Estrogen	Serum/constitutionally tall girls	S	Rooman <i>et al.</i> 2002
EB1089	Prostate/r	S	Nickerson & Huynh 1999
LPS	Serum/r	S	Soto et al. 1998

Table 2.2 Regulatory mechanisms for IGFBP-4 expression in vivo.

GHRA, GH receptor antagonist; GHRP-2, GH releasing peptide-2; T<sub>3</sub>, triiodothyronine; T<sub>4</sub>, thyroxine; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; PMSG, pregnant mare's serum gonadotropin; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; EB1089, vitamin D<sub>3</sub> analogue; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; b, bovine; h, human; m, mouse; r, rat; s, stimulation; i, inhibition; n, no effect.

# 2.3.4 IGFBP-4 expression in vitro and its regulation

Consistent with the widespread expression of IGFBP-4 *in vivo*, IGFBP-4 is expressed by various cell types *in vitro*, including fibroblasts, osteoblasts, myoblasts, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, chondrocytes and many kinds of tumor cells. The expression of IGFBP-4 *in vitro* is regulated by a large number of agents in a cell type-specific manner. The effects of these agents are summarized in Table 2.3.

ACTH Adrenocortical cells/h n Fottner et al. 2001   ACTH and IGFs Adrenocortical cells/h s Fottner et al. 2001   Activin Granulosa cells/r i Choi et al. 1997   Androgen Osteoblasts/h i Gori et al. 1999   Angiotensin II Vascular smooth muscle cells/r i Anwar et al. 2000;   Angiotensin II Vascular smooth muscle cells/r i Anwar et al. 2000;   Gustafsson et al. 1999 Fetal lung fibroblasts/r s Price 1999   cAMP Multiple myeloma/h n Feliers et al. 1999   Vascular smooth muscle cells/h s Chen et al. 1998   Vascular smooth muscle cells/h s McCusker & Clemmons 1998   Dexamethasone Bone marrow stromal cells/r s McCusker & Clemmons 1998   Vascular smooth muscle cells/h s Cheng et al. 1997 Sa05-2   Vascular smooth muscle cells/h s Cheng et al. 1998 Satte et al. 1997   Vascular smooth muscle cells/h s Cheng et al. 1998 Satte et al. 1997   EGF Fetal lung fibroblasts/r s Price 1999 SaOS-2 osteoblasts/h	Agent	Cell types/Species	Effect	Reference
ACTH and IGFsAdrenocortical cells/hsFottner et al. 2001ActivinGranulosa cells/riChoi et al. 1997AndrogenOsteoblasts/hiGori et al. 1997Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2002Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2000; Gustafsson et al. 1999bFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998cAMPVascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMilne et al. 2001bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/hsCin et al. 1996 & 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hn<	ACTH	Adrenocortical cells/h	n	Fottner et al. 2001
ActivinGranulosa cells/riChoi et al. 1997AndrogenOsteoblasts/hiGori et al. 1999AndrogenGranulosa cells/hnGreisen et al. 2002Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2000; Gustafsson et al. 1999bFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/rsMilne et al. 2001Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1939EStrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/hsQin et al. 1996 & 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKaszem et al. 1997GHGranulosa cells/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997GHGranulosa cells/hsQin et al. 1996 & 1997GHGranulosa cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hnGianni	ACTH and IGFs	Adrenocortical cells/h	S	Fottner <i>et al.</i> 2001
AndrogenOsteoblasts/hiGori et al. 1999Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2002Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2000; Gustafsson et al. 1999bFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998Osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsBone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsConover et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1997FSHGranulosa cells/hsQin et al. 1998GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/hiPiferrer et al. 1997GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998IGF-I <td>Activin</td> <td>Granulosa cells/r</td> <td>i</td> <td>Choi <i>et al.</i> 1997</td>	Activin	Granulosa cells/r	i	Choi <i>et al.</i> 1997
Angiotensin IIGranulosa cells/hnGreisen et al. 2002Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2000; Gustafsson et al. 1999bbFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsPrice 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1998UserstandSChen et al. 1998vascular smooth muscle cells/hsChen et al. 1998DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/hsQin et al. 1997SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 19961997FSHGranulosa cells/rsPrice 1999GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GluceseRetinal endothelial cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al.	Androgen	Osteoblasts/h	i	Gori <i>et al.</i> 1999
Angiotensin IIVascular smooth muscle cells/riAnwar et al. 2000; Gustafsson et al. 1999bbFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsPrice 1999vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsChen et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/rsBone marrow stromal cells/hsHayford et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsCGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsCascular smooth muscle cells/hsKascular smooth muscle cells/hsCGFFetal lung fibroblasts/hsKascular smooth muscle cells/hsKassem et al. 1999aSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsNFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hiKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hGuscagonOvarian thecal cells/hGlomerular endothelial cells/hn	Ū	Granulosa cells/h	n	Greisen <i>et al.</i> 2002
Gustafsson et al. 1999bbFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998Osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hBone marrow stromal cells/hsChen et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hBone marrow stromal cells/hsChen et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCascular smooth muscle cells/hsCascular smooth muscle cells/hsCascular smooth muscle cells/hsKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsKudo et al. 1996Kudo et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/rGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bNorain thecal cells/bnChamberlial endothelial cells/hnChamberlial endothelial cells/hiGiorenular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGucagonSmooth muscle cells/hGlucaseRetinal endothelial cells/	Angiotensin II	Vascular smooth muscle cells/r	i	Anwar <i>et al.</i> 2000;
bFGFMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999cAMPMultiple myeloma/hnFeliers et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998Osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Articular chonodrocytes/hiDi Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/rsMile et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998TC3 pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsFistoplasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/hsSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/hsGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GHGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hiGiomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHypoxiaVascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et	0			Gustafsson et al. 1999b
cAMPFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hnFeliers et al. 1998Osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Articular chonodrocytes/hiDi Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/hsChen get al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1998EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999saOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiFSHGranulosa cells/riGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997Kassem et al. 1996GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997iGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997iGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997nGhucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001Giomerular endothelial cells/hnGiomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001GlucaseRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2	bFGF	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
cAMPMultiple myeloma/h Vascular smooth muscle cells/h Osteoblasts/rnFeliers et al. 1999 Hayford et al. 1998 Chen et al. 1998 DexamethasoneDexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/r Bone marrow stromal cells/hsChen et al. 1997 Di Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/h Bone marrow stromal cells/hsMilne et al. 2001 Chen et al. 1998 SDexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/h Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1997 Cheng et al. 1998DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/h S pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1997 Conover et al. 1998EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r SaOS-2 osteoblasts/h hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1996 Qin et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/r hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKatz et al. 1997 Katz et al. 1996GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/b Vascular endothelial cells/hnChamberlain & Spicer 2001 Giannini et al. 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/h Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001Glomerular smooth muscle cells/h Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/h Glomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal e		Fetal lung fibroblasts/r	S	Price 1999
Vascular smooth muscle cells/h Osteoblasts/rsHayford et al. 1998 Chen et al. 1998 McCusker & Clemmons 1998 Di Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/r Bone marrow stromal cells/rsMilne et al. 2001 DexamethasoneDexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/r Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998 Cheng et al. 1998 SDexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/h Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1997 Cheng et al. 1998 SDexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/h Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998 Cheng et al. 1998 SEGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r BacoS-2 osteoblasts/h, bsCorover et al. 1995 Price 1999EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r BacoS-2 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1996 Qin et al. 1996 SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hFSHGranulosa cells/r Bacos-2 osteoblasts/hsKatz et al. 1997 Kudo et al. 1996 SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hFSHGranulosa cells/r Bacos-2 osteoblasts/hsKatz et al. 1997 Katz et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cells Price 1999nChamberlain & Spicer 2001 GilucoseGlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/h Glomerular endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 1999 Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999 Giannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 1999 S	cAMP	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
Osteoblasts/rsChen et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Articular chonodrocytes/hiDi Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/rsMilne et al. 2001Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998TC3 pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1997GHGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hnGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/hnGlorerular endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998Uterine myometrial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/h		Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	S	Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998
L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998DexamethasoneArticular chonodrocytes/hiDi Battista <i>et al.</i> 1997Bone marrow stromal cells/rsMilne <i>et al.</i> 2001Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng <i>et al.</i> 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford <i>et al.</i> 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover <i>et al.</i> 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsGHGranulosa cells/hsKassem <i>et al.</i> 19961997FSHGranulosa cells/hsGlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnClucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnHypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiomerular endothelial cells/hnGiomerular endothelial cells/hnGiomerular endothelial cells/hiGiomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini <i>et al.</i> 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hGiomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiomerular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini <i>et al.</i> 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini <i>et al.</i> 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini <i>et al.</i> 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiGiantini <i>et al.</i> 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/h		Osteoblasts/r	S	Chen <i>et al.</i> 1998
Articular chonodrocytes/hiDi Battista et al. 1997DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/rsMilne et al. 2001Bone marrow stromal cells/hsCheng et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hsHayford et al. 1998TC3 pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996rC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKassem et al. 1996rC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKassem et al. 1997Kassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riGHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997Katz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001nGlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/bnHypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnUterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Kascular smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Kascular smooth muscle cells/hiHuy		L6 myoblasts/r	S	McCusker & Clemmons 1998
DexamethasoneBone marrow stromal cells/r Bone marrow stromal cells/h Suscular smooth muscle cells/h TC3 pancreatic beta cellssMilne et al. 2001 Cheng et al. 1998 Hayford et al. 1998 Katz et al. 1997 Conover et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r SaOS-2 osteoblasts/h hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1999a Qin et al. 1999a SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hFSHGranulosa cells/r TC3 pancreatic beta cellsiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997 Kudo et al. 1996aFSHGranulosa cells/r TC3 pancreatic beta cellsiPiferrer et al. 1997 Piferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cells nnKatz et al. 1997 Kassem et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cells nnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/b Vascular endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001GIF-IRetinal endothelial cells/h Uterine myometrial cellsiGiannini et al. 1998 Giannini et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/h Uterine myometrial cellsiGiannini et al. 1999 Giannini et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/h Vascular smooth muscle cells/h L6 myoblasts/riGustafsson et al. 1999b Accusker & Clemmons 1998 Giansing et al. 1998		Articular chonodrocvtes/h	i	Di Battista <i>et al.</i> 1997
Bone marrow stromal cells/h Vascular smooth muscle cells/h TC3 pancreatic beta cellssCheng et al. 1998 Hayford et al. 1998 Katz et al. 1997 i Conover et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r basts/h,biConover et al. 1995 SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1999a Qin et al. 1999a SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hESHGranulosa cells/r TC3 pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1996 & 1997 Kudo et al. 1996 & 1997FSHGranulosa cells/r TC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997 Kassem et al. 1996GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/b Vascular endothelial cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001 Giannini et al. 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/b Glomerular endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001 Giannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/h Uterine myometrial cellsiGiannini et al. 1999 Giannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000 Smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999b Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998 Hayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998 Stastal and the startsKuestafstorsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998 Stastal and the start	Dexamethasone	Bone marrow stromal cells/r	S	Milne et al. 2001
Vascular smooth muscle cells/h TC3 pancreatic beta cellssHayford et al. 1998 Katz et al. 1997EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/r, biConover et al. 1995EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/h SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1996 & 1997FSHGranulosa cells/riPriferrer et al. 1996GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1996GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2005Smooth muscle cells/hiHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998Eibrobasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Cascura stal 1005iCascura stal 1005		Bone marrow stromal cells/h	S	Cheng et al. 1998
TC3 pancreatic beta cellssKatz et al. 1997Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riPricerer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrablaste/hiGizer & Clemmons 1998		Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	S	Havford <i>et al.</i> 1998
Fibroblasts/h,biConover et al. 1995EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/hsQin et al. 1999aSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riPiferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/bnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glucagon othmuscle cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrablasts/hiCaeswer et cl. 4005		TC3 pancreatic beta cells	S	Katz et al. 1997
EGFFetal lung fibroblasts/rsPrice 1999EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/hsQin et al. 1999aSaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riPiferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrablasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998		Fibroblasts/h.b	i	Conover et al. 1995
EstrogenMCF-7 breast cancer cells/h SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hsQin et al. 1999a Kudo et al. 1996 & 1997 Kudo et al. 1996 & 1997FSHGranulosa cells/riKudo et al. 1996 & 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001GluceseRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrehlaste/hsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998	EGF	Fetal lung fibroblasts/r	S	Price 1999
SaOS-2 osteoblasts/hiKudo et al. 1996 & 1997hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riPiferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/bnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001GlucoseNetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Einzelbasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998	Estrogen	MCF-7 breast cancer cells/h	s	Qin <i>et al.</i> 1999a
hFOB/ER9 osteoblasts/hsKassem et al. 1996FSHGranulosa cells/riPiferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1998Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Fibrablasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998		SaOS-2 osteoblasts/h	i	Kudo <i>et al.</i> 1996 & 1997
FSHGranulosa cells/riPiferrer et al. 1997GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiDuan & Clemmons 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrablasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998		hEOB/ER9 osteoblasts/h	S	Kassem <i>et al.</i> 1996
GHTC3 pancreatic beta cellsnKatz et al. 1997GlucagonOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/bnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibrablasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998	FSH	Granulosa cells/r	i	Piferrer <i>et al.</i> 1997
Glucagon GlucoseOvarian thecal cells/bnChamberlain & Spicer 2001HypoxiaRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/piHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibreblaate/hsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998	GH	TC3 pancreatic beta cells	n	Katz et al 1997
GlucoseRetinal endothelial cells/hnGiannini et al. 2001HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/hnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibreblaete/hiGastafsson et al. 1998	Glucadon	Ovarian thecal cells/b	n	Chamberlain & Spicer 2001
HypoxiaVascular endothelial cells/bnTucci et al. 1998IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001Glomerular endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999Vascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998Cascular smooth muscle cells/hiCascular smooth 1998Cascular smooth muscle cells/hiCascular smooth 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Cascular smooth muscle cells/hiCascular stal 1995L6 myoblasts/rsCascular stal 1995 <td>Glucose</td> <td>Retinal endothelial cells/h</td> <td>n</td> <td>Giannini <i>et al.</i> 2001</td>	Glucose	Retinal endothelial cells/h	n	Giannini <i>et al.</i> 2001
IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 2001IGF-IRetinal endothelial cells/hiGiannini et al. 1999Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibreblaete/hiCarever et al. 1995	Hypoxia	Vascular endothelial cells/b	n	Tucci et al. 1998
Glomerular endothelial cells/h Uterine myometrial cells Smooth muscle cells/h Vascular smooth muscle cells/r Vascular smooth muscle cells/p L6 myoblasts/r Giannini <i>et al.</i> 1999 Huynh 2000 Kuemmerle & Teng 2000 Substational cells/r i Duan & Clemmons 1998 Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998 Kuemmerle & Teng 2000 Clemmons 1998 Clemmons 1998 Converse to al. 1999 Converse to al. 1999 Converse to al. 1998 Converse to al. 1998	IGF-I	Retinal endothelial cells/h	i	Giannini <i>et al.</i> 2001
Uterine myometrial cellssHuynh 2000Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Cibroblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998		Glomerular endothelial cells/h	i	Giannini <i>et al</i> 1999
Smooth muscle cells/hiKuemmerle & Teng 2000Vascular smooth muscle cells/rsGustafsson et al. 1999bVascular smooth muscle cells/piDuan & Clemmons 1998Vascular smooth muscle cells/hiHayford et al. 1998L6 myoblasts/rsMcCusker & Clemmons 1998Eibreblaste/hiCascular shooth 1998		Uterine myometrial cells	s	Huvnh 2000
Vascular smooth muscle cells/r s Gustafsson <i>et al.</i> 1999b Vascular smooth muscle cells/p i Duan & Clemmons 1998 Vascular smooth muscle cells/h i Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998 L6 myoblasts/r s McCusker & Clemmons 1998		Smooth muscle cells/h	i	Kuemmerle & Teng 2000
Vascular smooth muscle cells/p i Duan & Clemmons 1998 Vascular smooth muscle cells/h i Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998 L6 myoblasts/r s McCusker & Clemmons 1998		Vascular smooth muscle cells/r	s	Gustafsson et al. 1999b
Vascular smooth muscle cells/h i Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998 L6 myoblasts/r s McCusker & Clemmons 1998		Vascular smooth muscle cells/n	i	Duan & Clemmons 1998
L6 myoblasts/r s McCusker & Clemmons 1998		Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	i	Havford et al. 1998
		I 6 myoblasts/r	ŝ	McCusker & Clemmons 1998
Elocoliasis/n I Conovererar 1995		Eibroblasts/h	i	Conover et al. 1995
Fibroblasts/h s Conover et al. 1995		Fibroblasts/b	s i	Conover et al. 1995
SH-SY5Y neurohlastoma cells/h s Bahaiko et al. 1997		SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells/h	5	Babaiko et al. 1997
IGE-II Marrow stromal cells/h i Thomas et al. 1999		Marrow stromal cells/h	i	Thomas $et al. 1999$
Adrenocortical cells/h s Fottner et al. 1999		Adrenocortical cells/h	ı e	Fottner et al. 1999
TC3 nancreatic beta cells n Katz et al 1997		TC3 papereatic beta cells	n	Katz $\alpha t a 1 1997$
Non-small cell lung cancer cells i Fottner et al. 1999		Non-small cell lung cancer cells	i	Fottper of al 1000
SH_SY5V neuroblestome colls/h s Robeiko at al 1007		SH_SV5V neuroblastoma colle/b	۱ ۲	Babaiko otal 1007
$11 10 \qquad \qquad 0 \text{ (Jerror of the original constraints)} \qquad \qquad 3 \text{ Dabayout of all 1000}$	II 10		ъ ;	Chamoun et al 1000
	ı <b>∟</b> ıh	Articular chonodrocytes/h	i	Di Battista <i>et al</i> 1997

Table 2.3 Regulatory mechanisms for IGFBP-4 expression in vitro.

	Fetal lung fibroblast/r	S	Price et al. 2002
IL-6	Hepatocytes/r	S	Fernandez-Celemin & Thissen 2001
	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
IFN-γ	Multiple myeloma/h	S	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
Insulin	Ovarian thecal cells/b	n	Chamberlain & Spicer 2001
	L6 myoblasts/r	S	McCusker & Clemmons 1998
	TC3 pancreatic beta cells	n	Katz <i>et al.</i> 1997
	SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Babajko <i>et al.</i> 1997
	Granulosa cells/h	S	Greisen et al. 2002
Insulin+glucagon	Ovarian thecal cells/b	i	Chamberlain & Spicer 2001
N-myc oncogene	SK-N-SH Neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 1999
OP-1	Osteoblasts/r	i	Yeh <i>et al.</i> 1996
PDGF-BB	Fetal lung fibroblasts/r	S	Price 1999; Price 2001
	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
	Articular chonodrocytes/h	S	Di Battista <i>et al.</i> 1997
	SaOS-2 osteoblasts/h	S	Kudo <i>et al.</i> 1996 & 1997
RA	Sertoli cells/p	S	Bardi <i>et al.</i> 1999
	Neuroblastoma cells/h	i	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 1998
	Osteoblasts/m	S	Glantschnig <i>et al.</i> 1996
	HT-29 colon carcinoma cells	S	Corkins <i>et al.</i> 2002
T <sub>3</sub>	Bone marrow stromal cells/r	S	Milne <i>et al.</i> 2001
	Sertoli cells/p	S	Bardi <i>et al.</i> 1999
	Primary hepatocytes/r	S	Demori <i>et al.</i> 1997a & b
	HepG2 hepatoma cells/h	n	Demori <i>et al.</i> 1997a
	Osteoblasts/m	S	Glantschnig <i>et al.</i> 1996
TGFα	Granulosa cells/r	S	Piferrer <i>et al.</i> 1997
TGF <sub>B</sub> 1	Glomerular endothelial cells/h	S	Giannini <i>et al.</i> 1999
I	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
	Stromal-vascular cells/p	S	Richardson <i>et al.</i> 1998
Thrombin	Vascular smooth muscle cells/r	i	Anwar <i>et al.</i> 2000
TNF-α	Multiple myeloma/h	n	Feliers <i>et al.</i> 1999
	Fetal lung fibroblasts/r	S	Price <i>et al.</i> 2002
D <sub>3</sub>	Bone marrow stromal cells/h	S	Kveiborg <i>et al.</i> 2001
ŴT-1	Embryonic stem cells/m	S	Wagner <i>et al.</i> 2001

ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate; EGF, epidermal growth factor; IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon; OP-1, osteogenic protein-1; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor-BB; RA, retinoic acid; TGF, transforming growth factor; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; D<sub>3</sub>,1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>; WT-1, Wilms tumor-1 protein; b, bovine; h, human; m, mouse; p, porcine; r, rat; s, stimulation; i, inhibition; n, no effect.

# 2.3.5 Actions of IGFBP-4

Several lines of evidence suggest that IGFBP-4 functions as a purely inhibitory protein *in vitro and in vivo*. These inhibitory actions can be exerted via IGF-dependent and -independent pathways.

#### 2.3.5.1 IGF-dependent actions

IGFBP-4 inhibits IGF-induced cell proliferation and differentiation in all cell types studied *in vitro* so far, including bone cells (Schiltz *et al.* 1993; Mohan *et al.* 1995b; Mohan & Baylink 2002), muscle cells (Damon *et al.* 1998a; Ewton *et al.* 1998; Gustafsson *et al.* 1999a), B104 rat neuroblastoma cells (Cheung *et al.* 1991), HT-29 human colon adenocarcinoma cells (Culouscou & Shoyab 1991), and M12 human prostate cancer cells (Damon *et al.* 1998b). These inhibitory actions of IGFBP-4 have been demonstrated to be IGF-dependent on the basis of the following facts: (i) IGFBP-4 had no effect or lower potency in blocking the biological activity of IGF analogues which have significantly (> 100-fold) reduced binding affinity to IGFBP-4 (Mohan *et al.* 1995b); (ii) IGFBP-4 inhibited the binding of IGF-I to purified IGF-IR *in vitro* (Mohan *et al.* 1995b).

Consistent with the *in vitro* data, IGFBP-4 is also a functional antagonist of IGF actions *in vivo*. For example, transgenic mice overexpressing IGFBP-4 selectively in smooth muscle cells exhibited smooth muscle hypoplasia (Wang *et al.* 1998; Zhang *et al.* 2002), which was in direct contrast to the smooth muscle hypertrophy induced by IGF-I overexpression (Wang *et al.* 1997). Moreover, a protease-resistant IGFBP-4 had more potency (Zhang *et al.* 2002) and the wet weight of selected smooth muscle tissues was reduced in the *Igf1/Igfbp4* double transgenic mice compared to the *Igf1* single transgenic mice (Wang *et al.* 1998), suggesting that these inhibitory effects of IGFBP-4 are IGF-I-dependent. In agreement with the above data, local administration of recombinant IGFBP-4 inhibited IGF-I-induced increases of bone formation in mice (Miyakoshi *et al.* 1999).
In contrast to local IGFBP-4 administration, systemic administration of IGFBP-4 increased bone formation (Miyakoshi *et al.* 1999; Miyakoshi *et al.* 2001). This was the only report regarding a growth-stimulatory effect of IGFBP-4, which was probably by increasing IGF bioavailability via an IGFBP-4 protease-dependent mechanism, since systemic administration of the native IGFBP-4, but not the protease-resistant IGFBP-4, increased the levels of serum free IGF-I, serum osteocalcin, serum and skeletal alkaline phosphatase and IGFBP-4 proteolytic activity in serum (Miyakoshi *et al.* 2001).

#### 2.3.5.2 IGF-independent actions

In addition to IGF-dependent actions, IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-4 have been suggested, based on the following findings: (i) IGFBP-4 caused a marked inhibition of ceramide-induced apoptosis of Hs578T human breast cancer cells which lack a functional IGF-IR (Perks *et al.* 1999); (ii) IGFBP-4 inhibited human ovarian steroidogenesis in the presence of either the IGF-IR blocker  $\alpha$ IR3 or excess IGFBP-3 to remove the effects of endogenous IGF action (Wright *et al.* 2002); (iii) Endogenous IGFBP-4 inhibited the mitogenic effects of IGF and insulin in HT-29 human colonic adenocarcinoma cells, which could not be compensated by the addition of an excess of IGF-I and insulin, but by the addition of an antibody against IGFBP-4 (Singh *et al.* 1994). However, unlike IGFBP-3 and -5, a specific receptor for IGFBP-4 has not been identified yet. Further studies are necessary to define the mechanism of IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-4.

# 2.3.6 Biological significance of IGFBP-4

#### 2.3.6.1 Reproductive physiology

The expression pattern of IGFBP-4 and its regulation were extensively studied in human and animal reproductive organs at various reproductive stages. The findings in these studies indicate important roles of IGFBP-4 for reproduction.

*Pregnancy.* IGFs, as mitogenic peptides, are important for fetal and placental growth during pregnancy (Han & Carter 2000). In the human placenta, IGFs regulate

syncytiotrophoblast steroidogenesis (Nestler 1987; Nestler 1990), glucose and amino acid transport in the villi (Kniss et al. 1994) and the invasion of the extravillous trophoblast into the maternal decidua (Han et al. 1996; McKinnon et al. 2001). IGFBPs are expressed by the maternal decidua and may regulate IGF actions during pregnancy (Han et al. 1996). In this context IGFBP-4 is particularly interesting for several reasons: (i) IGFBP-4 is the second most abundant IGFBP in the placental bed (Han et al. 1996); (ii) PAPP-A is secreted by human trophoblast cells and decidualized endometrial stroma (Giudice et al. 2002) and is markedly increased in the maternal circulation as pregnancy progresses (Byun et al. 2000); (iii) in human pregnancy serum the majority of PAPP-A (> 99%) is found as a PAPP-A/proMBP complex (Oxvig et al. 1993). During pregnancy, rapid placental development and fetal growth obviously increases the need for growth-promoting factors such as IGFs. The mitogenic activity of IGFs at the local cellular level depends on the concentration of free IGFs that are able to interact with their receptors. The increased IGFBP-4 proteolytic activity resulting from uncomplexed PAPP-A may be required locally to increase the concentration of free IGFs for placental development and therefore for fetal growth during pregnancy, whereas the PAPP-A activity in maternal circulation is inhibited by complex formation with proMBP. The absence of PAPP-A expression in the placenta of pregnant patients with Cornelia de Lange syndrome, a condition involving incomplete fetal development and subsequent deformities (Westergaard et al. 1983), provides direct evidence for a role of PAPP-A in pregnancy. However, the roles of this complex system of enzyme (PAPP-A), substrate (IGFBP-4), inhibitor (proMBP) and cofactor (IGF-II) in the placenta and maternal circulation during human pregnancy deserve further investigation. Different from human, recent data showed that the IGFBP-4 proteolytic activity in murine serum is not increased during pregnancy, eventually due to the lower level of PAPP-A expression in the placenta (Qin et al. 2002; Soe et al. 2002). The significance of this difference between species is unknown, but this difference must be taken into consideration when the mouse is used as a model organism for the study of PAPP-A function.

*Ovarian physiology.* IGFs are produced by ovarian granulosa and theca cells, and mediate gonadotropin actions on ovarian cellular steroidogenesis and growth (Poretsky *et al.* 1999). Regulation of IGF actions within the ovarian follicle is particularly important in the processes of ovarian follicle development and follicle

atresia (Monget et al. 2002). As a potent inhibitor of IGF actions, IGFBP-4 appears to be particularly important in ovarian physiology (Iwashita et al. 1996; Poretsky et al. 1999). High levels of IGFBP-4 are present in small androgen-dominant follicles (Zhou & Bondy 1993; el Roeiy et al. 1994;) and in follicular fluid from androgendominant follicles (FF<sub>a</sub>) that are growth-arrested or atretic (Cataldo & Giudice 1992; San Roman & Magoffin 1993). In contrast, IGFBP-4 is undetectable by ligand blot analysis in follicular fluid from estrogen-dominant growing follicles (FFe). IGFBP-4 inhibits human ovarian steroidogenesis in vivo (Mason et al. 1998; Wright et al. 2002), and it has been suggested that IGFBP-4 inhibits follicle development by inhibiting IGF action in the ovary, and conversely, the loss of this inhibitory factor allows for increased bioavailable IGFs, which coincides with selection of the dominant follicle (Poretsky et al. 1999). The complexity of this process has become even more apparent with the finding of the IGFBP-4-specific protease PAPP-A activity in FFe, but not in FFa (Chandrasekher et al. 1995). PAPP-A is expressed in human and mouse ovaries, being restricted to healthy granulosa cells and granulosalutein cells (Hourvitz et al. 2000; Hourvitz et al. 2002). This restricted expression pattern and its co-expression with aromatase and LH receptor in granulosa cells from preovulatory follicles suggests that PAPP-A could be considered as a functional marker of follicular development (Mazerbourg et al. 2001). During terminal development of follicles to the preovulatory stage, degradation of IGFBP-4 by PAPP-A in the ovary may increase IGF bioavailability that further stimulates granulosa cell proliferation and steroidogenesis, and then actively participates in the selection of dominant follicles in vivo. In contrast, in atretic follicles, the IGFBP-4 degradation is inhibited by locally increased IGFBP-2 and -5 that contain a HBD in their C-terminal regions (Mazerbourg et al. 2000). The IGFBP-4 protease activity has been reported in human, ovine, bovine, porcine, equine (Mazerbourg et al. 2000; Mazerbourg et al. 2001) and mouse ovaries (Hourvitz et al. 2002), suggesting a well-conserved mechanism for this protease in ovarian function.

#### 2.3.6.2 Bone formation

IGFs are the most abundant growth factors stored in the bone and regulate the proliferation and differentiation of bone cells (Bautista *et al.* 1990). As in other tissues, the local activity of IGFs in bone is modulated by IGFBPs. IGFBP-4 is one of

the major IGFBPs produced by bone cells (Mohan et al. 1995b) and has been proposed to function as an important regulator of bone formation. Evidence was provided by the following findings: (i) IGFBP-4 inhibited both basal and IGF-induced cell proliferation of MC3T3-E1 mouse osteoblasts and untransformed normal human bone cells (Mohan et al. 1995b) and the growth of embryonic chicken pelvic cartilage in vitro (Schiltz et al. 1993); (ii) a single local administration of IGFBP-4 inhibited IGF-I-induced increases in bone formation, whereas systemic administration of IGFBP-4 alone increased serum and skeletal levels of bone formation markers (osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase) in mice (Miyakoshi et al. 1999; Miyakoshi et al. 2001). The latter stimulatory effect resulted from an increase of IGF bioavailability in the circulation via an IGFBP-4 protease-dependent mechanism (Miyakoshi et al. 2001); (iii) the serum level of IGFBP-4 was shown to increase with aging and to correlate positively with serum PTH levels. PTH upregulated IGFBP-4 expression in human osteoblasts in vitro, and serum IGFBP-4 levels were found to be increased during oral 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> therapy in psoriasis patients. These findings suggest that during calcium deficiency, the increase in serum PTH and 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> may not only stimulate bone resorption, but also inhibit bone formation by stimulating IGFBP-4 production in bone cells (Mohan et al. 1995a; Rajaram *et al.* 1997); (iv) sera from patients with chronic renal failure (CRF) usually contain high levels of IGFBP-4, which may contribute to decreased bone formation in renal osteodystrophy (Van Doorn et al. 2001).

#### 2.3.6.3 Renal pathophysiology

IGFBP-4 is abundantly expressed in the kidney. A site-specific expression pattern of IGFBP-4 during nephrogenesis was described in human (Matsell *et al.* 1994), rat (Price *et al.* 1995a) and mouse (Lindenbergh-Kortleve *et al.* 1997), suggesting specific roles of IGFBP-4 in renal development and physiology. In addition, changes in IGFBP-4 abundance may be associated with pathological processes of the kidney. Upregulation of IGFBP-4 levels in serum correlated with the degree of renal dysfunction and growth retardation of children with CRF (Ulinski *et al.* 2000; Van Doorn *et al.* 2001), while downregulation of renal IGFBP-4 expression was reported in GH-induced rat hypersomatotrophy (Hise *et al.* 2001).

#### 2.3.6.4 IGFBP-4 and cancer

IGFBP-4 is expressed in a range of cells of tumor origin, such as lung adenocarcinoma (Price *et al.* 1995b), non-small cell lung cancer (Noll *et al.* 1996), breast cancer (Qin *et al.* 1999a), colon carcinoma (Michell *et al.* 1997), follicular thyroid carcinoma (Bachrach *et al.* 1995), gastric cancer (Yi *et al.* 2001), glioma (Bradshaw *et al.* 1999), hepatoma (Scharf *et al.* 1998), myeloma (Feliers *et al.* 1999), neuroblastoma (Cheung *et al.* 1991; Babajko & Binoux 1996), osteosarcoma (Mohan *et al.* 1995b) and prostate cancer (Srinivasan *et al.* 1996; Damon *et al.* 1998b; Drivdahl *et al.* 2001).

*In vitro* and *in vivo* studies suggest that IGFBP-4 plays an important role in the growth regulation of a variety of tumors, possibly by inhibiting autocrine IGF actions or by as yet unknown IGF-independent mechanisms. Notably, in Coca-2 human colon carcinoma cells expression of IGFBP-4 mRNA was correlated with cell differentiation, indicating growth inhibitory effect in that cellular system (Hoeflich *et al.* 1996). Proliferation, anchorage-independent growth and tumor development in athymic nude mice were inhibited by overexpression of IGFBP-4 in M12 prostate cancer cells. Apoptosis was increased in the IGFBP-4-overexpressing cells, probably due to sequestrating IGF ligands (Damon *et al.* 1998b). Blocking of IGFBP-4 with antibodies enhanced both basal and IGF-stimulated growth of HT-29 human colonic carcinoma cells in both IGF-dependent and -independent manners (Singh *et al.* 1994). Recombinant IGFBP-4 caused marked inhibition of ceramide-induced apoptosis of Hs578T human breast cancer cells via an IGF-independent pathway (Perks *et al.* 1999).

# 2.4 IGFBP-6

#### 2.4.1 Genomic organization of the IGFBP-6 gene

The human *IGFBP6* gene spans 4.7 kb on chromosome 12 (Ehrenborg *et al.* 1999). The rat *Igfbp6* gene is about 5.1 kb long, with a similar genomic organization as the human *IGFBP6* gene (Zhu *et al.* 1993). Comparison of human, rat and mouse IGFBP-6 sequences within the proximal promoter showed up to 90% similarity among the

three species. Four and two clustered transcription initiation sites (TIS) were found in the 5'-flanking regions of the human IGFBP6 and rat Igfbp6 genes, respectively. In each case, no TATA box was found, but Sp1 sites are clustered near the TIS of the IGFBP-6 promoter, like in other TATA-less promoters (Dusing & Wiginton 1994; Huber et al. 1998). Other putative responsive elements were also identified in the 5'flanking region of the human IGFBP6 gene, including retinoic acid response elements (RAREs), CAAT boxes, CACCC boxes, AP-1, AP-2, AP-3, C/EBPa, C/EBPβ, c-ets-2, EGR-2, HiNF-C, HSF, NF-1, NFk<sup>β</sup> binding sites and a polypurine tract. Only a few of these sites are conserved in all three species (Dailly *et al.* 2001). The rat *Igfbp6* promoter contains an extended polyadenosine tract (Dailly et al. 2001) and a putative estrogen responsive element (ERE) (Zhu et al. 1993), which may play a role to shut off Igfbp6 transcription by means of the estrogen receptor, since it was found that IGFBP-6 mRNA was expressed only by estrogen receptor-negative human breast cancer cells but not by estrogen receptor-positive cells (Sheikh et al. 1992). There is a line of evidence for retinoic acid (RA) being a strong stimulator of IGFBP-6 expression. Three putative RAREs with widely spaced half-sites were found in the human IGFBP6 promoter region, but only the proximal one was functional, which is present in the human, rat and mouse IGFBP-6 proximal promoters, whereas the distal RAREs are not conserved. Each of the hexameric half-sites was shown to bind to retinoid receptors (Dailly et al. 2001). Moreover, several putative AP-2 binding sites were identified in the human IGFBP6 promoter region. Interestingly, AP-2 production can be stimulated by RA treatment in vitro, and AP-2, as well as RA, has been shown to be developmentally regulated. AP-2 may play a role in vertebrate embryogenesis, however, there is no evidence for IGFBP-6 expression until late in fetal development (Ehrenborg et al. 1999).

In contrast to human *IGFBP4* gene without conserved poly(A) addition signal, a single polyadenylation consensus sequence was identified 1 kb downstream of the stop codon of the human *IGFBP6* gene (Ehrenborg *et al.* 1999).

# 2.4.2 The structure-function relationship of IGFBP-6

IGFBP-6 is an O-linked glycoprotein with 216 aa in human and 201 aa in rat, which differs from the other five IGFBPs in a number of aspects (rev. in Bach 1999): (i) the

highest binding affinity for IGF-II among the six IGFBPs; (ii) marked binding preference for IGF-II over IGF-I (20-100-fold higher than IGF-I); (iii) limited cell surface association; (iv) relatively low susceptibility to proteolysis; (v) lack of two and four of the 12 N-terminal cysteines in human and rat IGFBP-6. Is there a relationship between the structural features and its special actions? An increasing body of findings can be used, at least in part, to answer this question.

IGF-II binding preference. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) studies revealed that the IGF-II-binding preference of IGFBP-6 is due to the lower dissociation rate of IGF-II, as compared to IGF-I (Marinaro et al. 1999b). Mutation of residues of hIGF-II that are important for binding to IGFBPs, such as Phe<sup>26</sup>, Phe<sup>48</sup>, Arg<sup>49</sup> and Ser<sup>50</sup>, decreased its binding to IGFBP-6 to a greater extent than to most other IGFBPs (Bach et al. 1993), suggesting that the precise structure of IGF-II is critical for its binding to IGFBP-6. On the other hand, the structural specificity of IGFBP-6 may also contribute to its IGF-II-binding preference. A chimera IGFBP-65, in which the Cdomain of IGFBP-6 was replaced by the C-domain of IGFBP-5, retained the binding preference for IGF-II (Twigg et al. 1998). Since the non-conserved L-domains of the IGFBPs are not thought to be directly involved in IGF-binding, the structural determinants of the IGF-II-binding preference are therefore likely to reside in the Nterminus. The high-affinity IGF-binding site of IGFBP-5 has been localized to the Nterminal sequence containing Cys9 to Cys12, which is equivalent to Cys7 to Cys10 of hIGFBP-6 (Kalus et al. 1998). Since all IGFBPs share the same disulfide linkages in this region (Neumann & Bach 1999; Chelius et al. 2001), it is likely that this region confers high IGF-binding affinity to all IGFBPs, but does not contribute to the IGF-IIbinding preference of IGFBP-6. Human and rat IGFBP-6 lack the adjacent cysteine pair that is a part of the  $GC^5GC^6C^7$  motif present in the N-domains of IGFBP-1 to -5. Moreover, the disulfide linkage pattern of the first 6 N-terminal cysteines has been demonstrated to be inconsistent with other IGFBPs, such as IGFBP-1 and -4 (Neumann & Bach 1999; Chelius et al. 2001). These structural differences might be responsible for the distinctive IGF-binding characteristics of IGFBP-6. An alternate possibility is that the structures of the N-domains of IGFBP-6 and other IGFBPs are folded in a similar way that is stabilized by quite different disulfide linkages. In that case, assuming the remainder of the structure to be also similar in the six IGFBPs, the IGF-II-binding preference of IGFBP-6 could depend more on differences in the

primary sequence compared with other IGFBPs than on differences in threedimensional structure, although both are likely to be influential (Neumann & Bach 1999).

Glycosylation. IGFBP-6 is O-glycosylated (Bach et al. 1992), but the effects of glycosylation on its properties are incompletely understood. The glycosylation sites of hIGFBP-6 were identified within the non-conserved midregion as Thr<sup>126</sup>, Ser<sup>144</sup>, Thr<sup>145</sup>, Thr<sup>146</sup> and Ser<sup>152</sup> (Neumann *et al.* 1998). Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESMS) of glycosylated hIGFBP-6 expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells revealed considerable heterogeneity of carbohydrate composition. Major glycoforms contained 8-16 monosaccharides, including N-acetylhexosamine, hexose and N-acetylneuraminic acid. One oligosaccharide chain contained 5-6 monosaccharides, while others contained 2-4 monosaccharides (Neumann et al. 1998). The rodent IGFBP-6 is glycosylated to a lesser extent (Bach 1999). Oglycosylation was reported to delay the clearance of IGFBP-6 from the circulation (Marinaro et al. 2000a), inhibit proteolysis of IGFBP-6 and the binding of IGFBP-6 to glycosaminoglycans and PC12 rat phaeochromocytoma cell membranes, but it does not directly influence the high IGF-II-binding and the IGF-II-binding preference of IGFBP-6 (Marinaro et al. 2000b).

**Proteolysis.** Proteolysis of IGFBP-6 has been described in a limited number of studies. Proteolytic activity against IGFBP-6 was found in media conditioned by NIH-3T3 fibroblasts (Claussen *et al.* 1995) and HaCaT keratinocytes (Marinaro *et al.* 1999a) after acidification, and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells at neutral pH (Shalamanova *et al.* 2001). The proteolytic activity in the NIH-3T3 cells was inhibited to variable extents by a range of protease inhibitors, suggesting that a cascade of proteases may be involved. It was also inhibited by IGFs. In contrast, the proteolytic activity in HaCaT keratinocytes was not regulated by IGFs, and it could only be inhibited by pepstatin A, suggesting that the proteolytic activity is a cathepsin D-like protease. However, another study suggested that IGFBP-6 was not cleaved by cathepsin D (Claussen *et al.* 1997). Moreover, 7S nerve growth factor at high concentrations also cleaves IGFBP-6 (Rajah *et al.* 1996). The relative paucity of described IGFBP-6 proteases may reflect protection of this glycoprotein from proteolysis by O-linked oligosaccharide chains. Although O-glycosylation can

partially protect IGFBP-6 from proteolysis by chymotrypsin and trypsin, both proteases can partly cleave glycosylated IGFBP-6 with different preferred cleavage sites (Marinaro *et al.* 2000b). Inferred major cleavage sites of chymotrypsin are Tyr<sup>74</sup>, Leu<sup>97</sup>, Tyr<sup>179</sup>, Tyr<sup>186</sup> and Phe<sup>195</sup>/Tyr<sup>196</sup> in hIGFBP-6, whereas trypsin preferentially cleaves hIGFBP-6 after Lys<sup>118</sup> and Arg<sup>199</sup>. The resulting fragments have lost the ability of IGF-II-binding.

*Cell surface association.* As noted in the IGFBP-4 section, the C-terminal heparinbinding domain (HBD) of IGFBP-3 is critical for the ability of IGFBP-3 to bind to endothelial cells (Booth *et al.* 1995). Human IGFBP-6 has a similar sequence (His<sup>191</sup>- $\operatorname{Arg}^{209}$ ) (Bach 1999). A synthesized 18-mer peptide based on this IGFBP-6 sequence (P6) mimics the properties of P3 (Booth *et al.* 1995; Booth *et al.* 1996; Booth *et al.* 2000). However, intact hIGFBP-6 does not bind to endothelial cell monolayers (Booth *et al.* 1995). Recently, a chimera IGFBP-6<sub>3</sub> was generated by replacement of the P6 region in IGFBP-6 with the homologous P3 region of IGFBP-3 (Boes *et al.* 2002). Unlike IGFBP-4<sub>3</sub> (Knudtson *et al.* 2001), IGFBP-6<sub>3</sub> did not bind to endothelial cells, suggesting that there may be other conformational or structural constraints of IGFBP-6 limiting its cell-association. For example, it is possible that O-linked carbohydrates mask the heparin-binding site (Marinaro *et al.* 2000b), since glycosylation of ECM proteins may affect binding to other matrix components (Varki 1993).

# 2.4.3 IGFBP-6 expression in vivo and its regulation

IGFBP-6 was originally isolated from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (Roghani *et al.* 1989), but it also exists in other physiological fluids, including serum, amniotic fluid, follicular fluid and human milk (Baxter & Saunders 1992; Ney *et al.* 1995; Van Doorn *et al.* 1999). The serum levels are about the same as those of IGFBP-2, higher than those of IGFBP-1 and less than 5 % of those of IGFBP-3.

IGFBP-6 expression is developmentally regulated. IGFBP-6 mRNA is widely expressed in the adult rat, with lung being the site of highest expression, but not in the developing fetal lung (Wallen *et al.* 1997). In the rat embryo, IGFBP-6 is expressed in the liver, nasal epithelium, cells surrounding cartilage and some muscle precursor

cells on embryonic day 14 as determined by *in situ* hybridization (Cerro *et al.* 1993). In the mouse fetus, IGFBP-6 mRNA is expressed at low levels in lung, liver, vertebrate and ribs in late gestation (Schuller *et al.* 1993). In contrast, IGFBP-6 mRNA is widely expressed in 14-18-week-old human fetuses at various sites, including skin, gut, heart, kidney, lung, brain, liver and skeletal muscle (Delhanty *et al.* 1993).

IGFBP-6 expression is also hormonally regulated in a tissue-specific manner. In rat white adipose tissue, levels of IGFBP-6 mRNA were decreased by hypophysectomy and partially restored by GH but not by IGF-I treatment (Peter *et al.* 1993). In fetal lung explant cultures, dexamethasone increased the abundance of IGFBP-6 mRNA (van de Wetering *et al.* 1997). In *Igf2r/Igf2*-deficient mice, a strong increase of IGFBP-6 immunoreactivity was observed in all cell types of the pancreatic islet, in the acinar cells and interlobular connective tissue of exocrine pancreas compared with controls (Braulke *et al.* 1999). Protein restriction had no effect on the levels of IGFBP-6 mRNA in the liver and kidney (Lemozy *et al.* 1994), but levels of IGFBP-6 in rat serum were increased by high-calorie total parenteral nutrition (Ney *et al.* 1995). IGFBP-6 levels in human serum are about 70% higher in men than women, decreased by 30% in pregnancy (Baxter & Saunders 1992) and increase gradually with aging (Van Doorn *et al.* 1999).

IGFBP-6 expression is regulated in some pathological conditions as well. Levels were reduced by 60% in patients with active acromegaly, but remained unchanged in hypothyroid patients (Baxter & Saunders 1992). Levels in blood from the umbilical cord and adults were similar. In children with CRF, serum IGFBP-6 levels were increased 5-20-fold. IGFBP-6 levels were inversely correlated with glomerular filtration rate, but were not correlated with height or changed by GH treatment (Powell *et al.* 1997). Serum IGFBP-6 levels are increased in some patients with non-islet cell tumor hypoglycemia, in which tumors overexpress a large metabolically active precursor form of IGF-II. Treatment with prednisolone or GH had no effect on the IGFBP-6 levels, but removal of the tumor normalized the IGFBP-6 levels (Baxter 1996). Furthermore, the levels of IGFBP-6 were significantly elevated in the CSF of patients with dementia of the Alzheimer type (Tham *et al.* 1993). In contrast, IGFBP-

35

6 levels were significantly decreased in the CSF of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and remained low during 36 weeks of chemotherapy (How *et al.* 1999).

# 2.4.4 IGFBP-6 expression in vitro and its regulation

IGFBP-6 is expressed in a great number of cell types *in vitro*. These include transformed and non-transformed fibroblasts (Forbes *et al.* 1990; Martin *et al.* 1990), osteoblasts (Gabbitas & Canalis 1997), myoblasts (Ewton & Florini 1995), endothelial cells (Moser *et al.* 1992), epithelial cells (Cohick & Turner 1998), smooth muscle cells (Boes *et al.* 1996), skeletal muscle cells (Bayol *et al.* 2000), chondrocytes (De Los & Hill 2000), keratinocytes (Kato *et al.* 1995) and many tumor cells of different histogenetic origin. As described in Table 2.4, IGFBP-6 is regulated in a cell type-specific manner by a lot of agents *in vitro*. Furthermore, IGFBP-6 expression is also regulated during cell differentiation. In general, IGFBP-6 expression appears to be highest when cells are confluent and non-proliferating (rev. in Bach 1999).

Agent	Cell type/Species	Effect	References
IGF-I	Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	S	Hayford et al. 1998
	PC12 phaeochromocytoma cells/r	S	Bach <i>et al.</i> 1997
	SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Babajko <i>et al.</i> 1997
	Osteoblasts/r	n	Gabbitas & Canalis 1997
			McCarthy et al. 1994
	Fibroblasts/h	n	Conover <i>et al.</i> 1995
IGF-II	PC12 phaeochromocytoma cells/r	S	Bach <i>et al.</i> 1997 & 1998
	SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Babajko <i>et al.</i> 1997
	Osteoblasts/r	n	Gabbitas & Canalis 1997
			McCarthy <i>et al.</i> 1994
$D_3$	HT-29 colon cancer cells/h	S	Oh <i>et al.</i> 2001
	SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Chambery et al. 2000
	Prostate tumor cells/h	S	Drivdahl <i>et al.</i> 1995
T <sub>3</sub>	SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells/h	i	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 2000
	Bone marrow stromal cells/r	n	Milne <i>et al.</i> 2001
Dexamethasone	Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	S	Hayford <i>et al.</i> 1998
	PC12 phaeochromocytoma cells/r	i	Bach <i>et al.</i> 1997 & 1998
	Bone marrow stromal cells/r	n	Milne <i>et al.</i> 2001
	Fibroblasts/h	n	Conover <i>et al.</i> 1995
Glucocorticoids	Osteoblasts/r	S	Gabbitas & Canalis 1996a
RA	Bronchial epithelial cells/h	S	Sueoka <i>et al.</i> 2000a

	MCF-7 breast cancer cells/h	S	Martin <i>et al.</i> 1995
	Normal & transformed fibroblasts/h	S	Martin <i>et al.</i> 1994
	SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 2000
	Osteoblasts/r	S	Gabbitas & Canalis 1996b
TCDD	EL4 thymoma cells/m	S	Park <i>et al.</i> 2001
TPA	SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells/h	S	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 2000
cAMP	Vascular smooth muscle cells/h	S	Hayford et al. 1998
	MCF-7 breast cancer cells/h	S	Martin et al. 1995
	PC12 phaeochromocytoma cells/r	S	Bach <i>et al.</i> 1998
	Normal & transformed fibroblasts/h	i	Martin <i>et al.</i> 1994
	Mammary epithelial cells/b	i	Cohick & Turner 1998
OP-1	Osteoblasts/r	i	Yeh <i>et al.</i> 1996
TGF-β1	Normal & transformed fibroblasts/h	i	Martin <i>et al.</i> 1994
	Osteoblasts/r	i	Gabbitas & Canalis 1997
bFGF	Osteoblasts/r	n	Gabbitas & Canalis 1997
PDGF BB	Osteoblasts/r	n	Gabbitas & Canalis 1997
Prostaglandin E2	Osteoblasts/r	n	McCarthy <i>et al.</i> 1994
hGH	Osteoblasts/r	n	McCarthy <i>et al.</i> 1994
Estradiol	MCF-7 breast cancer cells/h	S	Martin et al. 1995
Phorbol esters	HEC-1B endometrial carcinoma cells/h	S	Gong <i>et al.</i> 1992
N-myc oncogene	SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells/h	i	Chambery <i>et al.</i> 1999

TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin; TPA, 12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol 13-acetate; s, stimu-lation; i, inhibition; n, no effect.

# 2.4.5 Actions of IGFBP-6

A number of *in vitro* studies suggested that IGFBP-6 is a relatively specific inhibitor of IGF-II actions. Exogenously added IGFBP-6 from natural and recombinant sources inhibited IGF-II-induced proliferation, differentiation, survival and migration in a wide range of cell types including myoblsts (Bach *et al.* 1994; Bach *et al.* 1995; Ewton & Florini 1995), bronchial epithelial cells (Sueoka *et al.* 2000a), osteosarcoma cells (Yan *et al.* 2001), neuroblastoma cells (Babajko & Binoux 1996; Babajko *et al.* 1997; Grellier *et al.* 1998; Babajko *et al.* 2001; Grellier *et al.* 2002; Seurin *et al.* 2002), colon cancer cells (Leng *et al.* 2001; Oh *et al.* 2001; Kim *et al.* 2002a; Kim *et al.* 2002b) and rhabdomyosarcoma cells (Gallicchio *et al.* 2001). In most studies, IGFBP-6 did not inhibit IGF-I actions or did it with markedly decreased potency. In myoblasts, IGFBP-6 inhibited the actions of IGF-II and a series of IGF-II actions by forming an IGF-IGFBP-6 complex that prevents IGF binding to the IGF receptor (Bach *et al.* 1994; Bach *et al.* 1995). Overexpression of IGFBP-6 inhibited

neuroblastoma (Babajko *et al.* 1997; Grellier *et al.* 1998; Babajko *et al.* 2001; Grellier *et al.* 2002; Seurin *et al.* 2002) and rhabdomyosarcoma (Gallicchio *et al.* 2001) cellular proliferation *in vitro* and xenograft growth *in vivo*, suggesting that IGFBP-6 may be a potential therapeutic agent for some cancers.

As for IGFBP-4, there is no evidence that IGFBP-6 can enhance IGF actions. This may be due to its relative resistance to proteolysis and lack of cell surface association. O-glycosylation contributes to both of these properties, so this post-translational modification may be important in maintaining the inhibitory properties of IGFBP-6. However, unexpected mitogenic and anti-apoptotic effects of IGFBP-6 were reported in the human osteoblastic osteosarcoma cell line Saos-2/B-10 which expresses little IGF-I and IGF-II (Schmid *et al.* 1999). The mechanism involved in these processes is not clear.

A number of studies suggested IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-6 based on the following findings: (i) IGFBP-6 inhibited des(1-3)IGF-I-induced proliferation of neuroblastoma cells (Babajko *et al.* 1997; Grellier *et al.* 1998) and (ii) IGFBP-6-induced apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer cells was not affected by addition of IGF-I or -II (Sueoka *et al.* 2000b). However, neither a specific receptor nor mechanisms of IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-6 have been defined yet. Recent data suggested that IGFBP-6 inhibits osteoblast differentiation by an intracrine mechanism that may involve nuclear localization to modulate transcription of target genes (Strong *et al.* 2002). This may represent a pathway for IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-6 and indeed a novel paradigm for IGFBP actions in general.

# 2.4.6 Biological significance of IGFBP-6

From the information outlined above, it would seem that the main, if not exclusive, function of IGFBP-6 is to inhibit the actions of IGF-II. Since the actions of IGF-II have been studied to a far lesser extent than those of IGF-I and are therefore less completely understood, the biological significance of IGFBP-6 is still largely unknown.

Since IGF-II expression is maximal prenatally and largely extinguished in adult rodents, it has been suggested that IGF-II is mainly a fetal growth factor. However, IGF-II is the predominant IGF in the human circulation, suggesting that it has a continuing physiological role in the postnatal life of humans. Studies using transgenic mice overexpressing IGF-II also supported this contention (rev. in Wolf *et al.* 1998). Furthermore, IGF-II has some actions that are not shared by IGF-I. Evidence was provided by the following findings: (i) while all IGF-I actions were mediated by the IGF-IR, gene-targeting studies suggested that some prenatal actions of IGF-II were mediated through a receptor other than IGF receptors (Ludwig *et al.* 1996); (ii) IGF-II but not IGF-I stimulated proliferation of fibroblasts lacking IGF-IR through the IR *in vitro* (Morrione *et al.* 1997); (iii) IGF-II has been implicated as an autocrine growth factor in various tumors (Toretsky & Helman 1996), and systemic administration of IGF-I could not replace the action of the autocrine IGF-II in the oncogene-induced islet tumors of transgenic mice (Christofori *et al.* 1994).

Whether IGFBP-6 regulates these specific actions of IGF-II, needs to be clarified by further studies, as well as the possibility of IGFBP-6 regulating the actions of IGF-I. In addition, potential IGF-independent actions of IGFBP-6 should be taken into consideration.

#### **3** ANIMALS, MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 3.1 Animals

Specific pathogen-free (SPF) C57BL/6 inbred mice were purchased from Elevage Janvier (Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France), and SPF NMRI outbred mice from Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany). They were maintained and bred under SPF condition in the facility on the  $5^{\text{th}}$  floor in the Gene Center of Munich. The health status of the facility was monitored based on the guidelines of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) (Rehbinder et al. 1996). Non-SPF C57BL/6 inbred, NMRI outbred and B6D2F1 hybrid mice (Charles River Laboratories, Germany) were maintained under standard non-barrier conditions and had free access to standard rodent diets (#V1126 for breeding and #V1534 for maintaining; Ssniff, Soest, Germany) and tap water in the facilities on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor in the Gene Center. Mice used in expression studies and for phenotypic analysis were weaned at an age of three weeks, marked by ear piercing and housed in cages separated by sex. At the time of weaning, tail tips were clipped and frozen on dry ice for genotypic analysis. All experiments were carried out according to the German Animal Protection Law (Tierschutzgesetz; Genehmigungsaktenzeichen: 211-2531-31/96).

#### **3.2** Cells and cell culture techniques

#### 3.2.1 Cells

Murine NIH-3T3 fibroblasts (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and human kidney epithelial cells (293 cells; ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; GIBCO, Karlsruhe, Germany) with 10 % heat-inactivated (56 °C, 30 min) fetal calf serum (FCS; GIBCO) at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 100 % humidity. Cells were subcultivated (1:5) twice a week.

# 3.2.2 Freezing cell lines

After the culture medium was discarded, the cell layer was washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with trypsin-EDTA (0.05% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA, GIBCO) for 5 min. Trypsin action was stopped by addition of serum-containing medium and cells were spun down at  $250 \times g$  for 5 min. The pellet was resuspended in pre-cooled 1 ml DMEM + 20% FCS + 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany) and cells were frozen immediately in 1.5-ml or 1.8-ml cryo vials (Nunc, Kamstrup, Denmark) at -80 °C. After a few days they were transferred into liquid nitrogen.

41

PBS (pH 7.4):	NaCl	8.00 g
	KCI	0.20 g
	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	1.44 g
	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	0.24 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml
	The buffer was auto	claved.

# 3.2.3 Thawing cell lines

Cryo vials were removed from liquid nitrogen and incubated in a water-bath of 37 °C until the frozen medium had melted. The medium containing the cells was diluted in 5 ml medium and washed twice by centrifugation. The resulting cell pellet was resuspended in the appropriate culture medium.

# **3.3** Construction of expression vectors

#### 3.3.1 Restriction enzyme digest

Restriction enzyme digests were performed in the recommended buffer and at the appropriate temperature for 90 min in a 20-100  $\mu$ l volume. Usually, 10 units of enzyme (MBI, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) were used for each microgram of DNA.

# 3.3.2 Filling 5'- and 3'-protruding ends

Following the restrict enzyme digestion that generated the 5'- or 3'-protruding ends, the DNA were purified rapidly with the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche, Mannhein, Germany) and eluted with 35  $\mu$ l of Elution buffer (1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5). The overhang ends were converted to blunt ends as follows: 10  $\mu$ l of 5  $\times$  T4 DNA polymerase buffer, 5  $\mu$ l of 1 mM of each dNTP and 2.5  $\mu$ l of T4 DNA polymerase (5 units/ $\mu$ l, MBI) were added to 32.5  $\mu$ l of the purified DNA, and incubated at 11 °C for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by heating at 70 °C for 10 min.

42

# 3.3.3 Dephosphorylation of 5'-ends

After the vector was blunt ended, it was purified as described in the section 3.3.2 and treated with Calf Intestinal Alkaline Phosphatase (CIAP; MBI) to remove the phosphate groups from the 5'-ends to prevent self-ligation of the vector. Add the following components directly to the purified DNA:

$10 \times CIAP$ reaction buffer	10 µl
CIAP (0.01 unit/pmol of ends)*	1-2 µl
bidistilled water	ad 100 µl

\* A general formula for calculating the picomoles of ends of linear double-stranded DNA is: ( $\mu$ g DNA/kb size of DNA)  $\times$  3.04 = pmol of ends.

The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by heating at 85 °C for 15 min. The DNA was then purified as described in the section 3.3.2.

# 3.3.4 Extraction of DNA fragments from agarose gel

DNA fragments used for further manipulations were separated from other sequences by electrophoresis in a 1-2% TAE-buffered agarose gel. Ethidium bromide was added to permit visualization of nucleic acids under ultraviolet (UV) light.

 $6 \times$  loading buffer at the appropriate volume was added to the samples, they were loaded into the slots and electrophoresis was performed using  $1 \times$  TAE buffer. Gel

was photographed under UV light at 366 nm (Eagle Eye II, Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany), fragments were excised from the gel and weighed. DNA was extracted with the JETQUICK Gel Extraction Spin Kit (GENOMED, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany) and the concentration was estimated in a new agarose gel electrophoresis using 1 µg of the Lambda/*Hind*III+*Eco*RI marker (MBI) as a standard.

43

$50\times\text{TAE}$ buffer:	Tris	242 g
	glacial acetic acid	57.1 ml
	0.5 M EDTA, pH 8	100 ml
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml
	The buffer was sterilized	by autoclaving.

6 × loading buffer:	glycerol	3 ml
	bidistilled water	7 ml
	bromphenol blue	one pinch

# 3.3.5 Ligation of DNA fragments

A molar vector : insert DNA ratio of 1:2 or 1:3 was used in every ligation. The amount of required insert was obtained using the following formula:

ng of insert = ng of vector x kb size of insert x molar ratio / kb size of vector

100 ng of vector DNA, appropriate quantity of insert DNA, 1  $\mu$ l of 10 × ligation buffer and 1  $\mu$ l (for overhang ends) or 2  $\mu$ l (for blunt ends) of T4 DNA ligase (MBI) prediluted in the ligation buffer (1 unit/ $\mu$ l) were transferred in a sterile 1.5-ml centrifuge tube, and bidistilled water was added to a final volume of 10  $\mu$ l. The ligation mix was incubated at 22 °C for 1-2 h or overnight.

# 3.3.6 Preparation of competent bacteria

A single colony of TOP10 Escherichia coli [genotype:  $F mcrA \Delta(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) \Phi lacZ\Delta M15 \Delta lacX74 recA1 deoR araD139 \Delta (ara-leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (Str<sup>R</sup>) endA1 nupG; Invitrogen, CH Groningen, The Netherlands] from a plate grown$ 

for 16-20 h at 37°C was picked and grown in 5 ml TYM medium (containing 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin; Sigma) at 37 °C overnight with vigorous agitation. 1 - 2.5 ml of this overnight culture was transferred to 250 ml fresh TYM medium (without streptomycin) and incubated at 37°C with vigorous agitation to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.7 to 0.8 (about 2 - 4 h). After chilling for 10 min on ice in six 50-ml Falcon centrifuge tubes (40 ml culture/tube), cells were recovered by centrifugation at 800 × *g* and 4 °C for 10 min. The medium was completely removed. The pellet in each tube was carefully resuspended in 12 ml of ice-cold TfbI buffer and incubated for 10 min on ice. A new centrifugation was performed at 600 × *g* and 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was removed again. The pellet in each tube was then carefully resuspended in 1.6 ml of ice-cold TfbII buffer. Competent bacteria were aliquoted (200 µl) in 1.5-ml centrifuge tubes and stored at -80°C.

TYM medium:	bacto-tryptone	20 g
	bacto-yeast extract	5 g
	NaCl	5.8 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 m

The mixture was shaken until the solutes had dissolved, the pH value was adjusted to 7.0 with 5 M NaOH and then the medium was autoclaved. 5 ml of 2 M MgCl<sub>2</sub> (presterilized by filtration) was added to an end concentration of 10 mM after the medium had cooled to RT.

Tfbl buffer:	1 M potassium acetate (KOAc)	9 ml
	1 M CaCl <sub>2</sub>	30 ml
	glycerol	45 ml
	bidistilled water	211 ml

The solution was autoclaved and then 5 ml of 3 M MnCl<sub>2</sub> (pre-sterilized by filtration) was added after the solution had cooled to RT.

Tfbll buffer:	1 M MOPS	0.3 ml
	1 M KCI	3.0 ml
	1 M CaCl <sub>2</sub>	3.2 ml
	glycerol	4.5 ml
	bidistilled water	19 ml

The buffer was autoclaved and stored at 4°C.

# 3.3.7 Transformation of bacteria

Competent bacteria were thawed on ice and 40  $\mu$ l were transferred to a sterile centrifuge tube. 1  $\mu$ l of plasmid (5 - 10 ng) or up to 5  $\mu$ l of a ligation mixture were added. The ligation was mixed with a pipette, the tube was flicked once and incubated on ice for 30 min. The tube was transferred to a rack preheated to 37°C, incubated for exactly 30 s and then rapidly returned to ice and chilled for 5 min. 800  $\mu$ l of SOC medium were added to each tube and cultures were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with vigorous shaking.

45

SOC medium:	bacto-tryptone peptone	20 g
	Bacto-yeast extract	5 g
	NaCl	0.5 g
	bidistilled water	ad 950 ml

The mixture was shaken until the solutes had dissolved. 10 ml of a 0.25 M KCl solution was added and the pH value was adjusted to 7.0, then the medium was autoclaved. The final medium additionally contains 100 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 20 mM glucose.

The appropriate volumes (for plasmids: 5 and 50  $\mu$ l; for ligations: 100 and 250  $\mu$ l) of transformed competent cells were distributed onto agar-LB plates containing 50  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin (AppliChem, Damstadt, Germany). Using a sterile bent glass rod, the transformed cells were gently spread over the surface of the agar plate, which were subsequently inverted and incubated at 37°C. Colonies appeared within 12-16 h.

Luria-Bertani (LB) medium:	bacto-tryptone	10 g
	bacto-yeast extract	5 g
	NaCl	10 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml
The mixture was	shaken until the solutes had	dissolved, the pH value was
adjusted to 7.0 wit	h 5 M NaOH and then the med	lium was autoclaved.

Agar-LB plates:

LB medium 1000 ml agar, granulated 15 g

After autoclaving, swirl the solution to distribute the melted agar evenly. When the temperature reaches 50 °C, add the antibiotic and pour the plates directly from the flask in 90 mm Petri dishes. After the medium has hardened completely, invert the plates and store them at 4 °C.

# 3.3.8 Preparation of minipreps and midipreps

Colonies were picked with a tooth-pick, immersed in 5 ml LB medium with 50  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin and incubated for 12-18 h at 37 °C under constant agitation. 2 ml of the bacterial culture were transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 15,000 × *g* for 30 s at 4°C. The remaining 3 ml bacterial cultures were stored at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the bacterial pellet was used to prepare minipreps using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of the minipreps was determined with a spectrophotometer (Beckman, Palo Alto, CA, USA). 500 ng of minipreps were used for restriction enzyme analysis.

Following the identification of desirable clones in a miniprep, a midiprep was prepared. 1 ml of the miniprep culture was added to 100 ml LB medium with ampicillin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) and incubated for 12-18 h at 37 °C under constant agitation. Midipreps were prepared with the Nucleobond AX100 Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

# **3.4 Expression vectors**

# 3.4.1 The H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 and -6 constructs

The murine *Igfbp4* and *Igfbp6* cDNAs (mcIGFBP-4 and -6) were released from pGEM4Z-mIGFBP-4 and -6 (kindly donated by Dr. Drop, University of Rotterdam, The Netherlands), blunt ended with T4 DNA polymerase, and then subcloned into the blunted and dephosphorylated *Sal*I restriction site of the pUC-H2XXS mammalian expression vector (kindly provided by Prof. Pfeffer, Technical University of Munich, Germany). Location of the insert in sense direction was confirmed by restriction

enzyme digest. The injection fragments (5 kb for H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 and 4.9 kb for H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6) were released from the vectors by a *Xho* I digest.

#### 3.4.2 The CMV-mgIGFBP-6 construct

The murine *Igfbp6* genomic DNA (mgIGFBP-6) was released from pBSK-BP-6(6.0) (kindly donated by Prof. Braulke, University of Hamburg, Germany) by *XhoI* and *SalI* digests, blunt ended and then subcloned between blunt ended *Eco*RI and *XbaI* sites of the mammalian expression vector pCMV-int, kindly provided by Dr. Kramer (Martinsried, Germany). The sense recombinant vector was identified by PCR (*Taq* DNA polymerase, Qiagen) using primers CMV#3 and mIGFBP-6#7 (see 3.7.1.2). The injection fragment (7.7 kb) was released from the vector by a *SpeI / XhoI* digest.



Figure 3.1 Schematic representation of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 and -6 vectors. H-2K<sup>b</sup> promoter, mouse H-2K<sup>b</sup> promoter (2.1 kb); mcIGFBP-4 or -6, mouse *Igfbp4* (1176 bp) or *Igfbp6* (1138 bp) complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA); beta-globin, a 1.7kb human β-globin splice cassette including the last 20 bp of the exon 2, all of the intron 2 (850 bp; shaded box) and exon 3 (228 bp) and the polyadenylation signal sequence (593 bp). Transgene-specific PCR primers are indicated by *arrows*.



Figure 3.2 Schematic representation of the CMV-mgIGFBP-6 vector. CMV, cytomegalovirus immediate-early enhancer/promoter (763 bp); mgIGFBP-6, mouse *Igfbp6* genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (6 kb). hGH-term, transcription terminator and polyadenylation signal (624 bp) of the human growth hormone gene; SV40 ori, SV40 origin of replication (391 bp).

# 3.5 Transfection of cells

In order to determine whether the recombinant expression vectors are functionally active, they were stably or transiently transfected in the appropriate cells (H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 or -6 stably in NIH-3T3 cells; CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transiently in 293 cells). Cells were seeded into 6-well plates and allowed to grow to 60% confluence. 4  $\mu$ g of recombinant expression vector and a mock vector lacking the *Igfbp4* and *Igfbp6* cDNA or the *Igfbp6* genomic DNA were transfected using the SuperFect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen). The transfection mixtures were pipetted as follows:

48

For stable transfection:

Vector	x µl (= 4 µg DNA)
SuperFect Transfection Reagent	9 µl
serum-free DMEM	ad 77 µl

For transient transfection:

Vector	x µl (= 4 µg DNA)
SuperFect Transfection Reagent	13.5 µl
serum-free DMEM	ad 83.5 µl

The components were mixed by pipetting and incubated at RT for 10 min. The cells were washed once with PBS. 800  $\mu$ l DMEM with 10% FCS were added to each transfection mixture and pipetted into each well. The cells were incubated in this solution for 2.5 h at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Thereafter, they were washed once with PBS and cultivated in 3 ml DMEM with 10% FCS for 48 h. For transient transfection, the cells were washed twice with PBS and 2 ml of serum-free DMEM were added. After two days of culture in the 2 ml serum-free DMEM, the serum-free conditioned media (SFCM) were collected, centrifuged at 600 × g and 4 °C for 10 min to remove cellular debris and stored in aliquots at -20 °C until use. Cells were lysed with 1 ml of TriPure<sup>®</sup> Isolation Reagent (Roche) to extract RNA. For stable transfection, selection was started by incubating the cells with selection medium [DMEM containing 10% FCS and 500 µg/ml geneticin (G-418 sulphate; GIBCO)]. The selection medium was renewed twice a week. After about two weeks, individual clones developed that had

stably integrated the vectors. They were picked, subcultured and frozen. The clones were subcultured in 6-well plates up to 90% confluence, the cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with 2 ml of serum-free DMEM for 48 h. SFCM and RNA were prepared as described above.

#### **3.6** Production of transgenic mice

#### 3.6.1 Generation of transgenic mice by DNA-microinjection

Transgenic mice were generated by microinjection of the *XhoI-* or *SpeI/XhoI-* fragment, released from the expression vectors, into male pronuclei of F2 zygotes from C57BL/6  $\times$  DBA/2 F1 (B6D2F1) parents. The microinjected zygotes were implanted into the oviduct of pseudopregnant NMRI mice and carried to term. Founder transgenic mice were backcrossed to wild-type C57BL/6 mice for establishment and propagation of lines. Transgenic mice were identified by PCR using DNA recovered from tail clips. Transgene integration pattern was analyzed by Southern blot.

# 3.6.2 Generation of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 SPF transgenic mice by embryo transfer

To analyse the potential effects of transgene expression on the immune system in the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, transgenic mice were produced under SPF conditions by embryo transfer. Male heterozygous H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, generated by DNA-microinjection under standard non-barrier conditions, were mated with superovulated female C57BL/6 SPF mice, one-cell-stage embryos were obtained, transferred into the oviduct of pseudopregnant NMRI mice (SPF) and carried to term under SPF conditions. The transgenic offspring was backcrossed with C57BL/6 SPF mice and maintained under SPF conditions.

# 3.7 Identification of transgenic mice

# 3.7.1 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

#### 3.7.1.1 Proteinase K digest of mouse tails

Tail tips were clipped at weaning and frozen at -80 °C. Fragments of 3-5 mm were cut and incubated at 56 °C overnight in 1.5-ml centrifuge tubes containing 100  $\mu$ l of Kawasaki buffer and 6  $\mu$ l proteinase K (Sigma, 20 mg/ml in bidistilled water).

 Kawasaki buffer (pH 8.3):
 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3

 1.5 mM MgCl2

 25 mM KCl

 The buffer was autoclaved. Tween-20 was added to an end concentration of 0.5%

 (v/v) after the solution had cooled to RT.The resulting buffer was kept at RT.

After the overnight digest, the samples were heated at 95 °C for 15 min to inactivate proteinase K, centrifuged at  $15,000 \times g$  and 4 °C for 5 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. 2 µl of the supernatant were used as template for PCR.

#### 3.7.1.2 PCR conditions

For the detection of construct integration, the following primers were used to amplify transgene-specific sequences:

H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-4:								
mBP4#14 (sense):	5'-TAA	GCC	TGA	GCC	TTC	TCG	TG-3	3 <b>'</b>
$\beta$ -globin#1 (antisense):	5'-GGC	AGC	CTG	CAC	TGG	TGG-	-3′	
H-2K <sup>b</sup> -mcIGFBP-6:								
mBP6#6 (sense):	5 <b>′</b> -CAG	СТА	GTT	AGA	AAG	ATT	GCT	G-3′
$\beta$ -globin#1 (antisense):	5'-GGC	AGC	CTG	CAC	TGG	TGG-	-3′	
CMV-mgIGFBP-6:								
CMV#3 (sense):	5 <b>'-</b> GTG	TAC	GGT	GGG	AGG	TC-3	3 <b>'</b>	
mBP6#7 (antisense):	5'-CAG	GCC	ATC	CCA	GGT	CAT-	-31	

To confirm the integrity of the DNA isolated from mouse tails, a sequence of the  $\beta$ -actin gene was amplified using the following primers:

$\beta$ -actin#1 (sense):	5 <b>′</b> -GGC	ATC	GTG	ATG	GAC	TCC	G-3′
$\beta$ -actin#2 (antisense):	5 <b>'-</b> GCT	GGA	AGG	TGG	ACA	GGG	A-3'

The reaction was prepared in 0.2-ml PCR reaction tubes (G. Kisker GbR, Steinfurt, Germany) on ice. The PCR was carried out with *Taq* DNA polymerase (5 units/ $\mu$ l; Qiagen) in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l:

DNA sample (template)	2.00 µl
10 x Qiagen PCR buffer	2.00 µl
5 x Q-solution	4.00 µl
25 mM MgCl₂	1.25 µl
1 mM dNTPs	1.00 µl
2 µM sense primer	1.00 µl
2 µM antisense primer	1.00 µl
Taq DNA polymerase	0.10 µl
bidistilled water	7.65 µl

The reaction was performed as following in a Biometra Uno II-Thermoblock (Göttingen, Germany): denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 36 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min (denaturation), 60 °C for 1 min (annealing) and 72 °C for 2 min (extension); after a final 10-min extension at 72 °C, PCR products were cooled to 4 °C and mixed with 4  $\mu$ l of 6 × loading buffer, separated in 1.2% TAE-buffered agarose gels with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

#### 3.7.2 Southern blot

#### 3.7.2.1 Extraction of DNA from tail biopsies

0.5-1 cm of mouse tail tip fragments were used to extract the genomic DNA using Wizard<sup>®</sup> Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the Technical Manual. DNA concentration was estimated by measuring the OD of

100  $\mu$ l of a 1:50 dilution of the samples at 260 nm and 280 nm in a spectrophotometer (Beckman). Ratios (260nm/280nm) between 1.6 and 2.0 were considered to indicate an appropriately pure sample.

52

#### 3.7.2.2 Digestion and transfer of the DNA

10  $\mu$ g of genomic DNA were digested with an appropriate restriction enzyme (100 units) in the recommended buffer in a volume of 50  $\mu$ l overnight (at least 12 h). 10  $\mu$ l of 6 × loading buffer were added and the cleaved DNA was separated in a 0.8% TBE-buffered agarose gel with a kb DNA ladder (MBI), using 1 × TBE as running buffer.

10 × TBE buffer:	Tris	121.1 g
	boric acid	51.35 g
	EDTA	3.72 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml

The gel was run until the bromophenol blue had reached at the end of the gel. Thereafter, the gel was photographed with a ruler and shaken for 30 min in 0.25 M HCl. After washing twice in distilled water, the gel was shaken for another 30 min in 0.4 M NaOH. The DNA was transferred to a Biodyne A Membrane (nylon membrane; Pall Gelman Laboratory, Ann Arbor, USA) by alkaline blotting: the gel was placed upside down over a Saran Wrap plastic film (Dow Chemical Company, Germany) and air bubbles were removed manually. It was overlaid with the nylon membrane, two sheets of gel blotting papers (GB002, 0.4mm thick; Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany) and a stack of tissue paper towels. Membrane and papers were cut to fit the gel size and the membrane was cut on the upper left corner to identify the orientation of the blot. At each step, air bubbles were removed with the help of a glass pipette. Finally, a glass dish of approximately 1 kg was placed at the top and capillary transfer took place for 18-24 h. Thereafter, the membrane was removed and rinsed in  $5 \times SSC$  for 1 min, the nucleic acids were crosslinked by exposition to UV light (120 J/cm<sup>2</sup>) using a Crosslinker (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany).

#### 3.7.2.3 Radioactive probe labeling

100 ng of cDNA were labeled with the HexaLabel<sup>TM</sup> DNA Labelling Kit (MBI) using  $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ -dCTP (Amersham). The following components were added into a 1.5-ml centrifuge tube:

cDNA template	x µl (=100 ng)
hexanucleotide in 5 x reaction buffer	10 µl
deionized water	ad 41 µl

The tube was vortexed and spun down for 3-5 sec, incubated at 95 °C for 10 min, chilled on ice and spun down quickly. Thereafter, the following components were added in the same tube:

Mix C	3 µl
[α- <sup>32</sup> P]-dCTP (10 μCi/μl)	5 µl
Klenow fragment, exo <sup>_</sup> (5 units/µl)	1 µl

The tube was shaken once, spun down for 3-5 sec and then incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. After the addition of 4  $\mu$ l 0.25 mM dNTPs it was incubated again for another 5 min and the reaction was stopped by addition of 1  $\mu$ l 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed using a MicroSpin<sup>TM</sup> S-300 HR Column (Amersham). 5  $\mu$ l of a 1:100 dilution in water were put into a scintillation vial and the number of counts per minute (cpm) was estimated in a multi-purpose scintillation counter (Beckman). The probe was stored on ice if used at the same day or kept at -20 °C for later application. The final cpm value was obtained with the following formula (the Cerenkov factor corrects the measurements without scintillation liquid):

 $cpm/\mu I = cpm \times 20$  (dilution factor)  $\times 1.55$  (Cerenkov factor)

#### 3.7.2.4 Hybridization, washing and signal detection

The crosslinked membrane was placed into a glass tube and prehybridized with 12 ml Rapid-Hyb buffer (Amersham) at 65 °C for at least 30 min in a hybridization oven. During this time, sufficient probe to give a concentration of  $2 \times 10^6$  cpm/ml in the 12 ml hybridization volume was incubated at 95 °C for 5 min and then chilled immediately on ice. The denatured probe was added directly to the prehybridization solution and hybridization occurred at 65 °C for 2 h or overnight. Subsequently, three wash steps were performed to remove unspecific radioactivity:  $1 \times 20$  min at RT with  $2 \times SSC / 0.1$  % SDS and  $2 \times 15$  min at 65 °C with  $1 \times SSC / 0.1$  % SDS.

# **20 × SSC (pH 7.0):** 3 M NaCl

0.3 M sodium citrate

The buffer was autoclaved and kept at RT.

The hybridization solution with probe and the washing buffers were discarded into the radioactive waste. The membrane was sealed in a plastic bag and exposed either to a Storage Phosphor Screen (Molecular Dynamics, Krefeld, Germany) or to an X-ray film (Amersham). The membrane was not allowed to dry at any time. If background was still too high, the membrane was washed again more stringently ( $0.5 \times SSC / 0.1\% SDS$ ) and at higher temperatures (up to 70 °C).

#### **3.8** Evaluation of gene expression at the RNA level

To avoid its degradation by nucleases, following attentions should be paid while working with the extraction, manipulation or analysis of RNA:

- gloves were worn all the time and changed frequently

- all equipment was autoclaved, glasses and magnet stirrers were sterilized at 180 °C for 8-10 h

- all solutions, except those containing Tris, were made with DEPC-H<sub>2</sub>O (DEPCtreated water is used at a final concentration of 0.1 % diethylpyrocarbonate. 1 ml of DEPC was added into 1000 ml deionized water in a glass bottle. The bottle was shaken vigorously and incubated at 37 °C for about 2 h, then the solution was autoclaved and kept at RT.).

# 3.8.1 Extraction of RNA from tissues and cells

50-100 mg tissue samples stored at -80 °C were transferred directly into a 5-ml plastic tube (Falcon) containing 1 ml of the TriPure<sup>®</sup> Isolation Reagent (Roche) and homogenized with a tissue homogenizer (ART Labortechnik, Müllheim, Germany) at position D (23,500 rpm) for 1 min. The homogenizer was cleaned with 0.2 M NaOH and thereafter with DEPC-H<sub>2</sub>O after homogenizing each sample. RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions and dissolved in 50-100  $\mu$ l DEPC-H<sub>2</sub>O according to the size of the pellets. RNA concentration was estimated with a spectrophotometer (Beckman).

55

Cells from a well of the 6-well plate were lysed in 1 ml of the TriPure<sup>®</sup> Isolation Reagent. RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instruction, resuspended in 60  $\mu$ l of DEPC-H<sub>2</sub>O, and the concentration was estimated as described above.

# 3.8.2 Reverse Transcription PCR (RT-PCR)

To get rid of DNA contamination in the RNA samples, 10  $\mu$ g of total RNA were digested with RNase-free DNase I (Roche) at 37 °C for 30 min in a volume of 20  $\mu$ l as following:

Total RNA	х µl (= 10 µg)
$10 \times DNase I$ reaction buffer	2 µl
RNase-free DNase I (10-50 units/µI)	1 µl
DEPC-H <sub>2</sub> O	ad 20 µl

The solution was then incubated at 75 °C for 10 min to inactivate the enzyme, chilled on ice, spun down and stored at -20 °C for further use.

10 × DNase I reaction buffer:	250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3
	375 mM KCI
	15 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub>
The buffer v	was autoclaved, aliquoted and stored at -20 °C

5  $\mu$ l of the DNase I digests were used for reverse transcription with the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (GIBCO) at 37 °C for 1 h in a 20- $\mu$ l volume as following:

56

DNase I digested RNA	5.0 µl
oligo dT (15mer, 500 ng/µl)	1.0 µl
dNTPs (10 mM)	2.0 µl
5 x first strand buffer	4.0 µl
DTT (0.1 mM)	2.0 µl
reverse transcriptase	0.1 µl
DEPC-H <sub>2</sub> O	5.9 µl

The cDNA solution was then incubated at 95 °C for 10 min to inactivate the enzyme, chilled on ice, spun down and stored at -20 °C for further use. 2  $\mu$ l of the cDNA solution were used as template in a PCR reaction as described in section 3.7.1.2.

# 3.9 Evaluation of gene expression at the protein level

#### 3.9.1 Extraction of protein from tissues

Tissue samples stored at -80 °C were weighed, placed in 5 ml plastic Falcon tubes and homogenized in protein extraction buffer with a tissue homogenizer (ART Labortechnik) at position D for 1 min. For each 20 mg tissue, 500  $\mu$ l extraction buffer were used. The homogenizer was cleaned with PBS after homogenization of each sample.

The homogenized samples were placed on ice, transferred to 1.5-ml centrifuge tubes, incubated at 95 °C for 5 min, chilled on ice and centrifuged at  $15,000 \times g$  and 4 °C for 5 min. The supernatants were aliquoted to new centrifuge tubes and stored at -20 °C until use. 10 µl of them was used for determination of protein concentration.

Protein extraction buffer:	1 M Tris (pH 7.5)	2 ml
	Triton X-100	2 ml
	5  imes Laemmli buffer	20 ml
	bidistilled water	76 ml

5 × Laemmli buffer:	1 M Tris (pH6.8)	65.5 ml
	glycerol	100 ml
	0.5 M EDTA (pH8.0)	2.0 ml
	SDS	20 g
	bromophenol blue	0.1%
	bidistilled water	ad 200 ml

# 3.9.2 Determination of protein concentration

The protein concentration of the tissue extracts was estimated by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA; Sigma) protein assay. A set of protein standards of known concentration was prepared by serially diluting a bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma) stock solution (4 mg/ml in the BSA dilution buffer) with the BSA dilution buffer. 50  $\mu$ l of the samples (1:5 diluted in PBS) and the standards were pipetted into 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes, 200  $\mu$ l of a mixture of BCA and 4 % CuSO<sub>4</sub> (50:1) were added to each tube and mixed. 100  $\mu$ l of the mixture was pipetted in duplicate into a 96-well plate. The plate was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and the absorbance was measured at 562 nm with an ELISA reader (Spectra Max 250; Molecular Devices, Sunyvale, CA, USA). A standard curve was prepared by plotting the absorbance of standards versus protein concentration. The protein concentration of the samples was determined using the standard curve.

BSA dilution buffer:	protein extraction buffer	1 ml	
	PBS	4 ml	
	The buffer was stored at 4 °C.		

# 3.9.3 SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

16  $\mu$ l of the SFCM with 4  $\mu$ l of 5 × Laemmli buffer or 50  $\mu$ g of protein from the tissue extract were pipetted into centrifuge tubes, incubated at 95 °C for 5 min and chilled on ice.

The proteins were separated using the Mini Protean II System (BioRad, Munich, Germany). The separating gel (15% acrylamide) was prepared in an Erlenmeyer flask

under continuous agitation and poured into the gap between the glass plates of the system, leaving space enough for the stacking gel (about 2.5 cm). The separating gel was overlaid with bidestilled water to ensure an even surface.

Separating gel:	bidistilled water	2.35 ml
(for two gels)	1.5 M Tris-HCI (pH 8.8)	2.5 ml
	30% acrylamide	5.0 ml
	10% SDS	100 µl
	10% ammonium persulfate (APS)*	50 µl
	Temed	5 µl
	* The 10% APS solution was stored at -20 °C in	aliquots.

After complete polymerization (about 1 h), the water was discarded and the stacking gel (5% acrylamide) was prepared in the same way and loaded on the top of the separating gel. The comb was inserted taking care to not trap air bubbles under the teeth.

Stacking gel:	bidistilled water	4.2 ml
(for two gels)	0.5 M Tris-HCI (pH 6.8)	0.75 ml
	30% acrylamide	0.9 ml
	10% SDS	60 µl
	10% APS	60 µl
	Temed	5 µl

After complete polymerization, the comb was removed and the plates were mounted in the electrophoresis apparatus, which was filled with SDS-PAGE electrophoresis buffer.

10 x SDS-PAGE buffer:	Tris	30.3 g
	glycine	144 g
	SDS	10 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml

The buffer was stored at RT.

Samples were loaded and the electrophoresis was performed initially at 80 V for about 20 min and then at 120 V until the bromophenol blue left the separating gel at the bottom. A molecular weight standard (Low molecular weight range, M-3913; Sigma) was pipetted in the first slot for estimation of the protein size.

# 3.9.4 Electrophoretic blotting

The gel was removed from the electrophoresis chamber and the separated proteins were transferred to an Immobilon-P PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) by semidry electrophoretic blotting in the MilliBlot-Graphite Electroblotter (MBBDGE001; Millipore). Six sheets of gel blotting paper (Schleicher & Schuell) cut to the same size as the gel ( $8.5 \text{ cm} \times 7 \text{ cm}$ ) were soaked in transfer buffer, stacked one on the top of the other on the bottom electrode and squeezed with a pipette to remove air bubbles. The PVDF membrane and then the gel were placed exactly over the paper stack and were covered with another six soaked gel blotting paper sheets. The upper electrode was placed and the system was connected to a power supply (POWER PAC 3000; BioRad). The transfer took place for 90 min at 60 mA for each gel (1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>).

$10 \times \text{Transfer buffer:}$	Tris	58.2 g
	glycine	29.2 g
	SDS	3.7 g
	bidistilled water	ad 1000 ml
The buffer was stor	ed at RT. Before use 20%	6 of methanol were added.

After the transfer, the membrane was unambiguously labeled with a ballpoint pen and the molecular weight standard bands were marked. The membrane was stained with Ponceau red for 2 min under constant agitation, dried and stored at 4 °C.

Ponceau red:	Ponceau S (Sigma)	0.2 g
	glacial acetic acid	3 ml
	bidistilled water	ad 100 ml

59

# 3.9.5 [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blot

This methodology, first developed by Hossenlopp *et al.* (1986), has as major advantage over traditional Western immunoblot the possibility of detecting bioactive IGFBPs and a high sensitivity. The membrane containing the separated proteins was washed and hybridized in 50-ml Falcon plastic tubes in a hybridization oven as indicated bellow. All incubation steps were performed at 4 °C. The membrane was dried and IGFBPs visualized on Storage Phophor Image screens or X-ray film. The following steps were performed:

- washing with 10 ml Tris-buffered saline (TBS) solution with 3% Nonidet P40 for 30 min.

Tris-buffered saline (TBS):	NaCl	43.8 g
	Tris	6.06 g
	bidistilled water	ad 5000 ml
<b>T</b> I II I I I I		

The pH value was adjusted to 7.4 with 1 N HCl and the buffer was stored at 4 °C.

- blocking in 5 ml TBS with 1% fish gelatin (Amersham) for at least 2 h.

- washing in 20 ml TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 for 30 min.

- incubation with 5 ml IGF-II tracer (Amersham) overnight.

IGF-II tracer in TBS:	fish gelatine	1%
	Tween 20	0.1%
	[ <sup>125</sup> I]-rhIGF-II	500,000 cpm/ml

- washing 2  $\times$  30 min in 20 ml TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 and 3  $\times$  30 min in 20 ml TBS.

# 3.9.6 Western immunoblot

The membrane containing the separated proteins was washed with TBS-T at RT for 10 min, and then incubated at RT for 60 min or at 4 °C overnight in a hybridization oven with blocking solution (TBS-T buffer with 3% fat-free milk powder).

TBS-T buffer:	Tris	2.42 g				
	NaCl	8 g				
	1 N HCI	3.8 ml				
	bidistilled wate	er ad 1000 ml				
	The pH value was a	adjusted to 7.6, 0.5	ml Tween-20	was	added	(end
	concentration 0.05%) a	and the buffer was store	ed at 4 °C.			

After blocking, incubation with the primary antibody diluted in blocking solution was performed at RT for 60 min. The membrane was then washed 3 times for 5 min at RT with TBS-T. Incubation with the secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer took place at RT for 1 h. Finally, the membrane was washed 3 - 5 times with TBS-T at RT for 5 min. Detection was performed by incubating the membrane with 2 ml of the ECL Western blotting detection reagent (Amersham). The membrane was sealed under plastic and exposed to an ECL film (Amersham) or visualized with the Kodak Digital Image Station (440CF; NEN<sup>®</sup> Life Science Products, Inc., Zaventem, Belgium).

# 3.9.7 Immunohistochemistry

Mouse pancreata were separated from surrounding tissues, weighed and fixed in 4% PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde (pH 7.4) for 48 h. The fixed tissues were dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 3 µm were cut deparaffinized prior immunohistochemical and to staining. **IGFBP-6** immunodetection was performed using chicken anti-mIGFBP-6 antibodies and the HRP-coupled rabbit anti-chicken IgG according to the method reported by Putzer et al. (1998), or using rabbit anti-mIGFBP-6 polyclonal antiserum (GroPep Limited ABN, Thebarton, Australia) and HRP-coupled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) according to the method reported by van Kleffens et al. (1999).
# 3.10 Phenotypic analysis of transgenic mice

# 3.10.1 H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice

#### 3.10.1.1 Analysis of body weight gain and organ weights

The body weight from selected litters was recorded once weekly from weaning to about three months of age. Eight- and twelve-week-old mice from selected groups were anesthetized with ether and were bled from the retro-orbital sinus. After measurement of the distance between nose and the base of the tail (nose-rump-length, NRL) to the nearest mm, they were killed by cervical dislocation and weighed. Organs and carcass were separated, blotted dry on paper towels and weighed to the nearest mg and 0.1 g, respectivly. Complete organs or parts of them were frozen on dry ice and then stored at -80 °C, or fixed in 4% PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde solution.

4% paraformaldehyde:	Paraformaldahyde	(Sigma)	40 g		
	PBS		1000 ml		
	4 M NaOH		4 drops		
The mix	xture was heated in	a 50°C wate	er bath until	the solute	was
dissolve	d. The pH value was	adjusted to 7.	4 with 1 N HC	; .	

#### 3.10.1.2 Morphometry of thymus and spleen

The thymus and spleen were released from the surrounding tissues and weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg. The volumes (V°) of the thymus and spleen were determined using the fluid displacement method according to Scherle (1970). Briefly, a 50-ml plastic cylinder filled with about 45 ml of 0.9% NaCl solution was placed on an analytical balance. Then the organ was hanged with a line and immersed completely in the NaCl solution without contact with the wall of the cylinder. The increased weight (g) of the NaCl solution after the organ immersion corresponds to the volume (cm<sup>3</sup>) of the immersed organ, because the specific gravity of the 0.9 % NaCl solution is 1.0048 g/cm<sup>3</sup> ( $\approx$  1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). The specific gravity of the thymus and spleen was calculated

according to their weight and volume. Ten animals were used for the measurement (n = 10).

The organs were fixed in 4% PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Cavalieri's principle (Gundersen & Jensen, 1987) was applied to estimate the volume of the paraffin-embedded thymus and spleen. First, the embedded organ was trimmed free of paraffin, and its length along the longitudinal axis was recorded. After positioning the first cut randomly within an interval of 1 mm, the organs were exhaustively sectioned perpendicular to their longitudinal axes into parallel slices of approximately 1 mm. These slices were placed with the right-hand cut-surface upward in tissue capsules and re-embedded in paraffin (Figure 3.3). Sections of 3  $\mu$ m thickness representing systematic samples of the whole organs were prepared, stained with HE and subjected to morphometric evaluation.



Figure 3.3: Schematic presentation of sectioning the organ into parallel slices (*A*) and the position of the histological sections on the glass slide for morpometrical studies (*B*).

Light microscopic planimetric evaluation was performed on a semiautomated image analysis system (Videoplan; Zeiss-Kontron, Eching, Germany) coupled to a microscope via a color video camera (Panasonic, Japan). A  $2.5 \times$  objective was used, providing a 90  $\times$  final linear magnification. An object micrometer (Zeiss) was used for calibration. The cross-sectional areas of organ structures of interest were determined on images displayed on a color monitor. These structures included the thymus, cortex and medulla of thymus, the spleen, red pulp (RP), white pulp (WP) and marginal zone (MZ) of the spleen. The following parameters were calculated:

The volumes of the thymus (th) and spleen (sp) before fixation:

$$V^{\circ}_{(th \text{ or sp})} = W_{(th \text{ or sp})} / 1.10 \text{ g/cm}^{3}$$

Mean slice thickness:

 $T_{(th \text{ or } sp)} = L_{(th \text{ or } sp)} / N_{(th \text{ or } sp)}$ 

The volumes of the thymus and its compartments after embedding:

$$V'_{(a)} = T_{(th)} \times \sum A_{(a)}$$

The volumes of the spleen and its compartments after embedding:

$$V'_{(b)} = T_{(sp)} \times \sum A_{(b)}$$

The shrinkage factor of thymus or spleen:

$$Fs(th \text{ or } sp) = V^{\circ}(th \text{ or } sp) / V'(th \text{ or } sp)$$

The real volumes of the compartments of thymus (corrected for embedding shrinkage):

$$V_{(a)} = V'_{(a)} \times Fs_{(th)}$$

The real volumes of the compartments of spleen (corrected for embedding shrinkage):

$$V_{(b)} = V'_{(b)} \times Fs_{(sp)}$$

65

#### where

 $W_{(th)}$  and  $W_{(sp)}$  = weight of thymus and spleen before fixation 1.10 g/cm<sup>3</sup> = the mean specific gravity of thymus and spleen determined as described above a = thymus and its compartments (cortex and medulla) b = spleen and its compartments (RP and WP + MZ)  $L_{(th \text{ or } sp)}$  = length of the thymus or spleen (in mm)  $\sum A_{(a)}$  = sum of the cross-sectional areas of the thymus or its compartments  $\sum A_{(b)}$  = sum of the cross-sectional areas of the spleen or its compartments  $N_{(th \text{ or } sp)}$  = number of slices per organ

#### 3.10.1.3 Immunological analysis

To investigate the potential effect of transgene expression on the immune function, the following assays were carried out at the Institute of Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, Technical University of Munich.

#### 3.10.1.3.1 Sample preparation

Blood (400-600 µl) of 7-8-week-old mice was collected by bleeding from the retroorbital sinus in a 1-ml lithium-heparin tube (Kabe Labortechnik GmbH, Nümbrecht-Elsenroth, Germany), centrifuged at  $4500 \times g$  for 5 min, and the plasma was recovered for ELISA analysis. The nucleated peripheral blood cells were obtained after removal of the erythrocytes by incubating the blood cells in 10 ml lysis buffer (140 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 17 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2) for 5 min followed by two washing steps in FACS buffer (PBS containing 2 % FCS and 0.01 % NaN<sub>3</sub>).

Eight-week-old mice from selected groups were anesthetized with ether and were killed by cervical dislocation. The thymus, spleen and subiliac lymph nodes were taken and cut into small pieces in FACS buffer, cells were pushed through a 100-µm nylon cell strainer (Becton Dickinson Labware, Le Pont de Claix, France) to obtain

single-cell suspensions. Subsequently, thymocytes and cells from the lymph node were washed twice in FACS buffer. Erythrocytes in the single-cell suspensions from spleen were removed as above and washed twice in FACS buffer. Suspensions of bone marrow cells were flushed from the tibiae with FACS buffer.

66

The cells of each sample were distributed into ten 1.4-ml linbro tubes (Integra Biosciences, Fernwald, Germany) pre-racked in a 96-linbro rack. All subsequent pipetting steps were performed with 12-channel pipettes, minimizing labor and pipetting errors.

#### 3.10.1.3.2 Flow cytometry (FACS)

For immunofluorescence staining of the nucleated blood cells, thymocytes, splenocytes and cells from bone marrow and lymph node, Fc receptors were blocked by incubation with 10  $\mu$ g/ml 4G8 rat anti-mouse Fc receptor for 5 min. After being washed with 300  $\mu$ l FACS buffer, cells were incubated at 4 °C for 20 min with 30  $\mu$ l of the respective antibody combination. The antibody-labeling panel consisted of a number of antibody combinations (Table 3.1).

Tuble 5.1 I aller of allebody combinations used in the now cytometry	Table 3.1	Panel of antibody	combinations used	in the flow of	cytometry
--	-----------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------

Blood:	1. CD4 FITC / CD19 PE / CD8 PerCP / CD3e Cy5
	2. lambda FITC / Kappa PE / CD5 PerCP / CD45 APC
	3. DX5 FITC / CD122 PE / CD8 PerCP / CD3e Cy5
	4. CD34 FITC / CD62L PE / CD4 PerCP / CD44 APC
	5. H2K <sup>k</sup> FITC / β7 intigrin PE / PI control / CD11b APC
Bone marrow:	1. CD43 FITC / IgM PE/B220 APC
	2. IgD FITC / B220 PE / CD24 bio Streptavidin Cy5
	3. AA4.1 FITC / B220 PE
	4. IgD FITC / IgM PE / CD45R APC
Thymus:	1. TCR $\beta$ FITC / CD8 PE / CD4 Cy5
	2. CD25 FITC / CD44 PE / CD4 PerCP / CD8 Cy5
	3. CD4 FITC / CD27 PE / CD8 PerCP / CD3 Cy5
	4. CD4 FITC / HSA PE / CD8 Cy5

Spleen:	1. IgD FITC / IgM PE / CD23 bio Strept. PerCP
	2. B220 FITC / CD24 bio Strept. PerCP
	3. AA4.1 FITC / IgM PE / CD24 bio Strept. PerCP
	4. B220 FITC / CD3e Cy5
	5. IgD FITC / IgM PE / CD23 bio Strept. APC
	6. IgD FITC / IgM PE / CD21 Cy5
	7. CD19 PE / CD23 bio PerCP / CD21 Cy 5
	8. CD1 PE / B220 APC
	9. CD1 PE / IgM bio Strept. Cy 5
Lymph node:	1. B220 PE / CD 3e Cy5
	2. IgD FITC / IgM PE / CD45R APC
	3. B220 FITC / IgM PE / CD23 bio Strept. PerCP / CD5 Cy5

After incubation, the cells were washed twice and measured on a FacsCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, California, USA). The data were analyzed using the Attractors<sup>TM</sup> and CellQuest softwares (Becton Dickinson) according to Flaswinkel *et al.* (2000).

# 3.10.1.3.3 Proliferation assay

Splenocytes were cultured in flat-bottom 96-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Nunc, Kamstrup, Denmark) at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/ml in 200 µl RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 4 mM glutamine (GIBCO), 1 mM pyruvate (GIBCO), 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and 10 % heat-inactivated FCS (GIBCO), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (GIBCO). Splenocytes were stimulated either with 2 µg/ml Con A (Sigma), 10 µg/ml LPS (Sigma), 5 µg/ml anti-CD3 plus 10 U IL-2 (from Dr. Bauer, Technical University of Munich) or 5 µg/ml goat anti-mouse IgM antibody (Sigma). After 48 h of culture at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 100% relative humidity, the cells were pulsed with 1 µCi of [<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine (Amersham) per well for 18 h of culture. The cells were harvested using a Micro 96 harvester (Skatron Instruments, Tranby, Norway). Incorporation of [<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine was quantified in a Betaplate liquid scintillation counter (Wallac, Gaithersburg, MD, USA).

#### 3.10.1.3.4 Basal immunoglobin ELISA

Basal immunoglobulin levels were determined by using sandwich ELISAs specific for IgM, IgG3 and IgA as described by Flaswinkel *et al.* (2000). Autoreactive antibody in plasma was also measured according to Flaswinkel *et al.* (2000).

# 3.10.2 CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mice

#### 3.10.2.1 Analysis of body and organ weights

The body weight of mice was recorded once a week from 3-15 weeks of age. Fourmonth-old mice were anesthetized with ether, weighed and bled from the retro-orbital sinus. After measurement of the NRL, the mice were killed by cervical dislocation. The weights and gross dimensions of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) were measured according to the methods described by Ogiolda et al. (1998). Briefly, the abdominal cavity was opened, and the caudal end of the duodenum was located and cut with scissors (the duodenum was identified by the absence of mesentery, whereas jejunum/ileum are supported by mesentery). Then stomach and duodenum as well as the other segments of the GIT were separated from adjacent organs and from the mesentery, respectively, and placed on an ice-cold glass plate. After the lengths of duodenum, jejunum/ileum, caecum and colon were recorded, small longitudinal incisions were made, and the luminal contents were flushed out with PBS. The stomach was removed from the duodenum at the pylorus, opened along the large curvature, flattened on a piece of paper, and the outline of the surface area was drawn onto the paper for planimetric evaluation. All other internal organs and the carcass were weighed to the nearest mg and 0.1 g, respectively. The empty GIT was fixed in 10% PBS-buffered formalin in a glass cylinder. After an overnight fixation, all GIT segments were blotted dry and weighed. Then they were immersed for a week in formalin solution and routinely processed for histological analysis. For expression studies, some mice from all groups were sacrificed as described above. The luminal contents of the various GIT segments were removed, transferred into individual centrifuge tubes, solubilized in Laemmli buffer and analyzed immediately as described above. The empty GIT segments were frozen on dry ice and thereafter kept

in -80 °C freezer. Samples from the other organs were frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C for RNA and protein isolation or fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for histological analysis.

#### 3.10.2.2 Morphometry of duodenum

#### 3.10.2.2.1 Tissue preparation and sampling

The duodenum of each animal was longitudinally opened, randomly spun, flatted on a sheet of paper and fixed in 10% PBS-buffered formalin. Vertical sections were created according to Baddeley *et al.* (1986). Briefly, tissue samples (about 0.8 cm in length) were taken from four equidistant locations from proximal to distal duodenum. For each sample, a pair of mutually perpendicular stripes (about 5 mm in length) was taken, dehydrated and embedded in plastic in order to minimize shrinkage of tissue (Gerrits & Horobin 1996) according to the following procedure:

Dehydrate and clear the samples using an automate (Shandon) at RT for 20 h: 1 × washing solution, 2 h; 2 × 50% ethanol, each 1 h; 2 × 70% ethanol, each 1 h; 2 × 96% ethanol, each 2 h; 3 × 100% ethanol, each 2 h; 2 × xylene, each 2 h.

#### Washing solution:

Cacodylic acid sodium salt trihydrate (C2H6AsNaO2· 3H2O)	16.5 g
1 N HCI	6.23 ml
bidistilled water	1500 ml
The pH value was adjusted to 7.2, then 105 g of D (+)-Suc	crose ( $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ ) and
1.105 g CaCl <sub>2</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O were added and resolved, pH was adjust	ed to 7.2. The solution
was stored at RT and prepared freshly every month.	

- Incubate with GMA-MMA solution [2-Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (GMA): Methyl methacrylate (MMA), 1:1] for 18-24 h at 4 °C with gentle agitation.
- Incubate with solution A for 3-4 h (not longer than 4 h) at 4 °C with gentle agitation.

69

The two tissue samples from the same location were placed on the bottom of a 12 ml embedding plastic cup, oriented perpendicular to surface, then the solution A containing 0.15% (v/v) N,N-dimethylaniline was poured into the cup. The cups were covered and placed in a pre-cooled water bath, which was subsequently placed at 4°C overnight. After the polymerization, the plastic-embedded tissue blocks were pulled out of the cups and stored in a -20°C freezer.

Solution A:	Benbzoyl peroxide (with 25 % water)	3.38 g
	MMA	200 ml
	GMA	600 ml
	Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether	160 ml
	Polyethylene glycol 400	20 ml

- 1.5 µm sections were cut normal to the horizontal plane, and PAS (Periodic-Acid-Schiff) staining was carried out as follows:
  - A. Incubate with 1% periodic acid solution for 15 min.
  - B.  $3 \times$  rinsing with bidistilled water.
  - C. Incubate in fresh Schiff's reagent in dark room for 80 min.
  - D. Wash with running water for 30 min.
  - E. Dry on a 60°C stretching table.
  - F. Incubate with Mayer's Haemalaun (hematoxylin) solution for 20 min.
  - G. Wash with running water for 10 min.
  - H. Rinse shortly in 1% HCl-ethanol solution.
  - I. Wash with running water for 10 min.
  - J. Dry on a 60°C stretching table.
  - K. The sections were at last rinsed shortly in xylene and mounted with Eukitt mounting medium (O. Kindler GmbH & Co, Freiburg, Germany).

#### 3.10.2.2.2 Morphometric analysis

Light microscopic morphometric evaluation was performed on a semiautomated image analysis system as described above. A  $10 \times$  objective was used, providing a  $350 \times$  final linear magnification. A cycloid test system consisting of 35 cycloid arcs and 70 test points (Fig. 7B in Baddeley *et al.* 1986) was photocopied on a transparent

sheet and superimposed over each test field displayed on the monitor screen (Figure 3.4). The vertical axis of the test system was aligned with the vertical direction on the section. Point counting was performed according to Baddeley *et al.* (1986). The number (P) of test points which hit the different layers of duodenum, and the number (I) of intersection points between cycloid arcs and villous surface were counted. Each vertical section was completely evaluated. With these data, the following parameters were calculated:



Figure 3.4 Schematic representation of the cycloid test system (*left panel*) superimposed over a test field of a vertical section of the duodenum (*right panel*). Muc, mucosa; Sub, submucosa; Mus, muscularis.

Fractional volumes of the 3 layers in duodenum (%):

$$Vv_{(x/duo)}$$
 = 100 ×  $\Sigma$  P<sub>(x)</sub> /  $\Sigma$  P<sub>(duo)</sub>

Total volumes of the 3 layers of duodenum (cm<sup>3</sup>):

$$V_{(x)} = Vv_{(x/duo)} \times V_{(duo)}$$

Villous surface area density (cm<sup>-1</sup>):

$$Sv = 2 \text{ (p/l)} \times M \times \sum I_{(muc)} / \sum P_{(muc)}$$

Total villous surface area (ViSA) of duodenum (cm<sup>2</sup>):

ViSA = 
$$Sv \times V_{(muc)}$$

72

where

x = one of the three layers of the duodenum: mucosa (muc), submucosa (sub) or muscularis (mus).

 $\sum P_{(x)}$  = total number of test points which hit the muc, sub or mus layer.

 $\sum P_{(duo)}$  = total number of test points which hit the total duodenal wall.

p/l = the ratio of test points to test curve length = 70/(35 x 1.2 cm) = 1/0.6 (cm<sup>-1</sup>).

M = the final linear magnification (x 350).

 $\sum I_{(muc)}$  = total number of intersection points between cycloid arcs and villous surface.

 $\sum P_{(muc)}$  = total number of test points which hit the mucosal wall.

 $V_{(duo)} = W_{(duo)} (g) / 1.06 g/cm^3.$ 

 $W_{(duo)}$  = the weight of duodenum before fixation.

1.06 g/cm<sup>3</sup> = the mean specific gravity of the murine small intestine determined using the fluid displacement method (Ogiolda *et al.* 1998).

#### 3.10.2.3 Scanning electron microscopy

Duodenum samples were taken from one- and two-month-old transgenic and control mice, opened longitudinally, washed three times in 0.9 % NaCl solution and fixed in 1 % glutaraldehyde solution. The specimens were then washed in PBS (pH 7.4), dehydrated in ascending concentrations of acetone, dried with a CPD-030 critical-point dryer (BAL-TEC, Schalksmuehle, Germany), and sputter coated (Balzers Union SCD-040, Balzers, Wiesbaden, Germany) with gold-palladium, mounted on a stub and then examined with a scanning electron microscope (DSM-950, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

#### 3.10.2.4 Serum glucose levels

Blood was extracted from the tail of 12 h-fasted animals and again after a period of 4 hours after the animals had free access to food. Glucose blood levels were measured with the Medisense Precision QID<sup>TM</sup> System (MediSense, Taufkirchen, Germany).

73

#### 3.10.2.5 Serum glucagon levels

Serum glucagon levels were measured using serum from non-fasted mice with a commercial glucagon RIA kit (BioTrend GmbH, Cologne, Germany).

#### 3.10.2.6 Glucose tolerance test

12 h-fasted animals received orally 250  $\mu$ l of 1 M glucose solution/30 g body weight. Blood was collected from the tail vain immediately prior to glucose administration and after 10, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min. Blood glucose levels were measured with the Medisense Precision QID<sup>TM</sup> System.

#### 3.10.2.7 Serum insulin levels

Blood was collected by bleeding from the retro-orbital sinus from 12 h-fasted animals and again after a period of 4 h after the animals had free access to food. Insulin serum levels were measured with a commercial insulin RIA kit (Insulin-CT, CIS Bio International, Gif Sur Yvette Cedex, France).

# 3.11 Statistics

The data were analyzed for significance of differences using the Student's t-test. A difference was considered to be statistically significant at p < 0.05.

### **4 RESULTS**

# 4.1 Expression of mIGFBP-4 and -6 in vitro

## 4.1.1 Expression of mIGFBP-4 and -6 in murine NIH-3T3 fibroblasts

The constructs H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 and -6 were tested by stable transfection of murine NIH-3T3 cells. Several clones were established by G418 selection. Their genomic integration was analyzed by PCR (data not shown). The human  $\beta$ -globin splicing cassette allows the unambiguous identification of the transgene transcripts. RT-PCR revealed that the selected clones expressed transgene mRNA, which were 850-bp shorter than the corresponding sequences in the expression vectors due to the splicing of the 850-bp intron in the human  $\beta$ -globin splice cassette, whereas the untransfected parental cells (P) and mock clone (MC) did not (Figure 4.1 and 4.2). [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting analysis showed that a 24 kDa or 26 kDa protein was increased in the SFCM from pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 or -6 transfected clones compared to P and MC



Figure 4.1 IGFBP-4 expression in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts. A. RT-PCR of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4. B. RT-PCR of β-actin to confirm the efficiency of the reverse transcription (RT). C. PCR of β-actin without RT to confirm the efficiency of DNase I-digest. D. [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting analysis of SFCM from untransfected cells (P), vector-onlytransfected (MC) and H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4-transfected clones. M, pUC Mix 8 DNA marker; -, water; p, plasmid pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 (A) and pCR-TOP-beta-actin (B, C).



(Figure 4.1 and 4.2), which were identified as being IGFBP-4 or -6 by Western immunoblotting (Figure 4.7B and 4.15B).

Figure 4.2 IGFBP-6 expression in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts. A. RT-PCR of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6. B. RT-PCR of β-actin to confirm the efficiency of the reverse transcription (RT). C. PCR of β-actin without RT to confirm the efficiency of DNase I-digest. D. [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting analysis of SFCM from untransfected cells (P), vector-only-transfected (MC) and H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6-transfected clones. M, pUC Mix 8 DNA marker; -, water; p, plasmid pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 (A) and pCR-TOP-beta-actin (B, C).

# 4.1.2 Expression of mIGFBP-6 in human 293 cells

To test the construct CMV-mgIGFBP-6, human 293 cells were transiently transfected with pCMV-mgIGFBP-6. [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting showed that a 26-kDa protein was detected in the SFCM from pCMV-mgIGFBP-6-transfected 293 cells (CMV-BP6) but not in the ones from the untransfected (P) and vector-only-transfected 293 cells (MK). This protein was identical to the IGFBP-6 expressed by the NIH-3T3 clone #11 described above (Figure 4.3).



Figure 4.3 [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting analysis of SFCM from non-transfected (P), vector-onlytransfected (MK) and pCMV-mgIGFBP-6-transfected 293 cells (CMV-BP6). The SFCM from pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6-transfected NIH-3T3 clone 11 (#11) was loaded as a positive control.

# 4.2 H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice

# 4.2.1 Identification of transgenic mice

One litter comprising 3 mice was obtained from microinjected zygotes. Two mice were identified as being transgenic founders by PCR (data not shown) and backcrossed with wild-type C57BL/6 mice. Both of them transmitted the transgene to offspring, producing two transgenic lines (L1 and L2). Genomic integration patterns of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 in the both lines were analyzed by Southern blot analysis (Figure 4.4). In wild-type and transgenic animals, hybridization signals of the endogenous IGFBP-4 were detected at 8 kb and 2.7 kb after *Eco*RI digest. In addition to these bands, a 5 kb band, as large as the microinjection fragment, was detected in both transgenic lines. Furthermore, a band larger than 10 kb was detected in line 1 and a 10 kb band in line 2.

Two litters comprising 5 animals were obtained from embryo transfer of the line 2 F2transgenic mice under SPF conditions. Three of the offspring (F3) were identified as being transgenic and mated with wild-type C57BL/6 SPF mice under SPF conditions to generate F4 animals for immunological analysis.



Figure. 4.4 Southern blot analysis of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic (Line1 and Line 2) and control (WT) mice. M, kb DNA ladder.

# 4.2.2 Transgene expression

From both of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic lines, three transgenic (TG) and three control mice (WT) were selected for expression studies. Total RNA and protein were extracted from the following organs/tissues: adrenal gland, bladder, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, ovary/testis, pancreas, salivary gland, skeletal muscle, skin, spleen, stomach, small and large intestine and thymus. Except for pancreas, total RNA was successfully extracted from all organs/tissues. The RNA was degraded consistently in the pancreas (Figure 4.5). RT-PCR revealed ubiquitous expression of the transgene in all tested organs/tissues (Figure 4.6). However, elevated IGFBP-4 protein levels were detected only in spleen, thymus, lung and kidney by [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting and Western immunoblotting (Figure 4.7). IGFBP-4 overexpression was consistently higher in line 2 than in line 1.



Figure 4.5 Agarose gel electrophoresis of total RNA extracted from liver, spleen, thymus and NIH-3T3 cells (3T3) using TriPure® Isolation Reagent.



Figure 4.6 RT-PCR analysis of transgene mRNA expression in different tissues of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-4 transgenic mice: adrenal gland (1), bladder (2), brain (3), heart (4), kidney (5), liver (6), lung (7), skeletal muscle (8), ovary (9), salivary gland (10), skin (11), spleen (12), testis (13) and thymus (14). 15, water. 16, pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-4-transfected NIH-3T3 clone #9. 17, plasmids pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-4 (*A*) or pCR-TOP-beta-actin (*B*,*C*). *A*. RT-PCR of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-4. *B*. RT-PCR of β-actin to confirm the efficiency of the reverse transcription (RT). *C*. PCR of β-actin without RT to confirm the efficiency of DNase I-digest.

Results



Figure 4.7 IGFBP-4 protein expression in several tissues of transgenic (Line 1 and Line 2) and control (WT) mice as determined by [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting (A) and Western immunoblotting (B). +, SFCM of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4-transfected NIH-3T3 clone #9. M, Sigma low-range protein marker.

# 4.2.3 Body weight gain and organ growth

Transgenic mice and non-transgenic littermates from both lines were weighed once a week from 3 to 10 weeks of age to detect a possible effect of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgene expression on body weight gain. No significant difference was observed between TG and WT animals in both lines (Figure 4.8).

79



Figure 4.8 Body weight gain of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic and control mice. The data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

NRL, body and organ weights were recorded in 8- and 12-week-old animals. There was no significant difference in NRL, body and organ weights between TG and WT mice. The only exception was the thymus of TG mice, which was significantly reduced in weight when compared to WT mice (Figure 4.9A). This reduction persisted when thymus weight was related to body weight (Figure 4.9B). Morphometric analysis revealed that the volumes of thymus and its cortex of TG mice were significantly reduced compared to WT littermates, whereas the volume of medulla of thymus was not different between TG and WT animals (Figure 4.10A). In contrast, there was no significant difference in weight (data not shown), total and compartment volumes of spleen between TG and WT mice (Figure 4.10B), although IGFBP-4 was also overexpressed in the spleen.

# 4.2.4 Flow cytometry

To investigate the potential effect of transgene expression in the thymus and spleen of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice on the development of lymphocytes, the lymphocytes from the peripheral blood, thymus, spleen, lymph node and bone marrow of TG and WT mice (SPF) from line 2 were analyzed by flow cytometry (FACS), using antibodies against different markers expressed in the T- and B-cells and other populations of lymphocytes, as described in Material and Methods. No significant difference was found between the two groups (data not shown).

# 4.2.5 Basal plasma immunoglobin levels

To detect possible effect of transgene expression in the thymus and spleen of H-2K<sup>b</sup>mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice (SPF) on the production of the humoral immunocompetence, the basal plasma immunoglobin (Ig) levels were measured using ELISAs specific for IgM, IgG3 and IgA. Plasma autoreactive antibody was also determined. Their levels of TG mice were not different from the ones of WT littermantes (data not shown).



Figure 4.9 Reduced absolute (A) and relative (B) weight of thymus of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic (TG) mice compared to control (WT) mice. 15-20 mice from each group were investigated. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

Results



Figure 4.10 A. Thymus, cortex and medulla volumes of 8-week-old H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic (TG) mice (n = 4) and their wild-type (WT) littermates (n = 4). B. Volumes of spleen, red pulp (*RP*), white pulp and marginal zone (*WP*+*MZ*) of 8-week-old H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 TG mice (n = 4) and their WT littermates (n = 4). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

83

# 4.2.6 Mitogenic response of splenocytes

To ascertain the proliferative capacity of T- and B-cells in the IGFBP-4 transgenic mice, splenocytes were stimulated with ConA, anti-CD3 antibody, LPS and anti-IgM antibody/IL2 respectively. First results revealed that the proliferative activity of IGFBP-4 transgenic splenocytes was significantly reduced after ConA (p < 0.05) and LPS (p < 0.01) stimulation, but did not altered after anti-CD3 and anti-IgM/IL2 stimulation (Figure. 4.11). These findings await further confirmation.



Figure 4.11 Proliferation assay of splenocytes from H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic (TG) and wild-type (WT) mice.

# 4.3 H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice

# 4.3.1 Identification of tramsgenic mice

Seven litters comprising 56 mice were obtained from microinjected zygotes. Ten mice were identified as being transgenic founders by PCR (some of them were shown in Figure 4.12), and transmitted the transgene to offspring, producing 10 transgenic lines.



Figure 4.12. PCR analysis of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-6 transgenic (TG) and wild-type (WT) mice. A, transgene-specific PCR using primers mBP6#6 and β-globin#1. B, β-actin PCR. M, pUC Mix 8 DNA marker. +, pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mclGFBP-6 (A). -, water.

# 4.3.2 Transgene expression

Transgenic mRNA expression in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice was screened by RT-PCR as described in the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic model. Consistent with H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, transgene was expressed ubiquitously at RNA level in all tissues of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice. The results of spleen, kidney, bladder liver and lung from lines 1 and 4 were shown in Figure 4.13. However, the IGFBP-6 protein levels were not increased in the TG mice as determined by [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blotting, when compared to the controls (data not shown).



Figure 4.13 RT-PCR analysis of transgene expression in the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic (L1, L4) and control (WT) mice. A. RT-PCR of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6. B. RT-PCR of β-actin to confirm the efficiency of the reverse transcription (RT). C. PCR of βactin without RT to confirm the efficiency of DNase I-digest. -, water. #11, H-2K<sup>b</sup>mcIGFBP-6-transfected NIH-3T3 clone #11. p, plasmid pH-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 (A) and pCR-TOP-beta-actin (B, C).

# 4.4 CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mice

# 4.4.1 Identification of transgenic mice

Ten litters comprising 45 mice were obtained from the microinjected zygotes. Five animals were identified as being transgenic founders by PCR (Figure 4.14) and mated with wild-type C57BL/6 mice. Four founders transmitted the transgene to offspring, producing four transgenic lines (lines 1, 2, 4 and 5).



Figure 4.14 PCR identification of CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic founders (*upper panel*) and Southern blot analysis of the TG and wild-type (WT) mice from the F1-generation (*lower panel*). A. transgene-specific PCR using primers CMV#3 and mBP6#7. B. βactin PCR. M, pUC Mix 8 DNA marker (*upper panel*) and kb DNA ladder (*lower panel*). -, water. +, plasmids pCMV-mgIGFBP-6 (A) and pCR-TOP-beta-actin (B). The transgene in L3 was lost in the F1-generation. Genomic integration patterns of CMV-mIGFBP-6 in the four transgenic lines were analyzed by Southern blot analysis (Figure 4.14). In all transgenic and wild-type mice, hybridization signals of the endogenous IGFBP-6 were detected at 8 kb and 3.8 kb after *EcoR* I digest. In addition to these bands, a 2.8 kb and a 1.5 kb fragment were detected in all four transgenic lines. Furthermore, two fragments of 5 kb and 2.3 kb were detected in line 1; a 3.5 kb band in line 2; a 6 kb band in line 4; and two bands of 7 kb and 4.5 kb in line 5

### 4.4.2 Transgene expression

Western ligand blot analysis revealed that the abundance of a 26-kDa protein, with can bind to  $[^{125}\Pi$ -IGF-II, was predominantly high in the pancreas, relatively low in the liver and lung, and undetectable in other organs of the CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mice from all lines. There was no difference between transgenic mice and controls in the levels of serum IGFBPs. Data are shown only for lines 2 and 5 which were further characterized (Figure 15A). The 26-kDa IGFBP detected in the pancreas extracts by ligand blot was IGFBP-6 confirmed by Western immunoblot using chicken antimIGFBP-6 antibodies (Figure 15B). To localize IGFBP-6 expression in the pancreas, immunohistochemical staining was performed. Two available anti-mouse IGFBP-6 antibodies were used in this study. Although there was strong background, IGFBP-6 immunoreactivity was stronger in exocrine pancreas than in the islets (data not shown). Interestingly, elevated levels of active IGFBP-6 were also detected in the luminal content of the duodenum, but neither in the luminal contents of other GIT segments, nor in the tissue extracts of any GIT segment of transgenic mice (Figure 16). This finding, together with the immunohistochemical staining pattern in the pancreas, suggests that the increased IGFBP-6 levels in the duodenum of transgenic mice originated from the exocrine pancreas.



Figure 4.15 *Panel A*: [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blot analysis of IGFBP-6 expression in pancreas, liver, lung and serum from CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic mouse lines L2 and L5 and control (WT) mice. *Panel B:* Western immunoblot analysis of IGFBP-6 expression in the pancreata of the TG and WT mice. The SFCM of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mIGFBP-6-transfected NIH-3T3 cell clone #11 was loaded as IGFBP-6 protein positive control (+).



Figure 4.16. Detection of IGFBP-6 protein in the tissue extracts (*upper panel*) and luminal contents (*lower panel*) of different segments of the gastrointestinal tract from CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic (TG) and control (WT) mice. Increased IGFBP-6 level was found only in the luminal content of duodenum of transgenic mice. The SFCN of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mIGFBP-6-transfected NIH-3T3 clone #11 (+) and pancreas extracts (PAN) were also loaded as controls. STO, stomach; DUO; duodenum; J-I, jejunum/ileum; CAE, caecum; COL, colon.

# 4.4.3 *Effects on glucose homeostasis*

In order to investigate the potential effect of high IGFBP-6 levels in pancreas on glucose homeostasis, blood glucose levels and the corresponding serum insulin and glucagon levels were measured in fasted/refed transgenic and control animals, and a glucose tolerance test was also carried out. No significant differences between transgenic and control animals were found (Figure 4.17).



Figure 4.17. Effect of transgene expression on glucose homeostasis as determined by serum glucagon (*A*), glucose (*B*) and insulin levels (*C*) and by glucose tolerance tests (*D*) of CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic (TG) and control (WT) mice. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

# 4.4.4 Effects on body and organ growth

Transgenic mice and nontransgenic littermates from lines 2 and 5 were weighed once a week from 3-15 weeks of age to detect possible effects of CMV-mIGFBP-6 transgene expression on body weight gain. No significant difference was observed between transgenic and control animals in both lines (Figure 4.18).

Body and organ weights were recorded in 4-month-old animals. There was no significant difference in NRL and organ weights between transgenic mice and their nontransgenic littermates. The only exception was the duodenum of transgenic mice, which was significantly reduced in length (L2: 17%; L5: 14%) and weight (L2: 32%; L5: 17%) when compared to controls (Figure 4.19). This reduction persisted when organ length and weight measurements were related to body weight (Figure 4.20). No significant difference between transgenic mice and controls was observed for the weights or lengths of other segments of the GIT. Morphometric analysis revealed that the fractional volume of mucosa in the duodenum of transgenic mice was significantly reduced, whereas those of submucosa and muscularis were significantly increased (Figure 4.21A). Accordingly, the absolute volume of the mucosa of duodenum was significantly decreased in transgenic mice by 31%, but those of submucosa and muscularis were not different between the two groups (Figure 4.21B). The mean total villous surface area (ViSA) of the duodenum of transgenic mice was significantly smaller (42%) than that of the nontransgenic littermates (Figure 4.22). Scanning electron microscopic observation suggested that the villous dimension of duodenum is smaller in transgenic mice than that in control mice (Figure 4.22).



Figure 4.18 Body weight gain of CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic (TG) and control (WT) mice. The data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.



Figure 4.19 Absolute weight (A) and length (B) of duodenum of four-month-old transgenic (TG) and their wild-type (WT) littermates. 15-20 mice from each group were investigated. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.



Figure 4.20 Relative weight (*A*) and length (*B*) of duodenum of four-month-old transgenic (TG) and their wild-type (WT) littermates. 15-20 mice from each group were investigated. To keep the same dimension, weight and length were separately related to body weight (BW), and BW<sup>1/3</sup>, respectivly. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.



Figure 4.21 Fractional (*A*) and absolute (*B*) volumes of muscularis, submucosa and mucosa in/of the duodenum of four-month-old transgenic (TG) mice (n = 4) and their wild-type (WT) littermates (n = 4). Tissue samples were taken equidistantly from four locations covering duodenum, and the fractional volume of each layer was determined as described in Material and Methods. No significant difference was found among the four locations of each animal (data not shown). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

Results



Figure 4.22. *Upper panel*: total villous surface area (ViSA) of the duodenum of 4-month-old female transgenic (TG) mice (n=4) and their wild-type (WT) littermates (n=4). ViSA was estimated from vertical sections as described in Materials and Methods. Data are presented as mean ± SD. *Lower panel*: scanning electron microscopy images of duodenal mucosa from a 2-month-old TG and a WT mouse. Note that the villous diameters appear to be reduced in the TG mouse.
## **5 DISCUSSION**

## 5.1 Overexpression of IGFBP-4 in transgenic mice

### 5.1.1 Transgene integration

Initial identification of transgenic founders and transgenic mice in the subsequent generations was performed with PCR technique using the DNA from tail biopsies. This simple technique allows us to investigate a large number of animals in a very short time. However, transgene integration pattern can not be determined by PCR analysis. To this end, Southern blot analysis is necessary to determine the number of integration sites, the number of integrated copies, orientation of the copies within the integration site and the integrity of the construct.

Southern blot analysis showed that two bands of about 8 kb and 2.7 kb representing the endogenous *Igfbp4* were detected in both transgenic and wild-type mice, and a transgene-specific band of about 5 kb, as large as the microinjection fragment, represented in all transgenic mice from both lines. Additional bands, a > 10 kb only present in the mice from line 1 and a 10 kb band only present in the mice from line 2, represent the genomic regions that flanked the transgene construct in its integration site, indicating different integration sites in the two transgenic lines. From the Southern blot, we can see that there were more copies integrated in the genome of line 2 than in line 1. However, the exact number of the integrated copies was not determined, as we rather focused on the determination of the abundance of expression of the transgene and its biological activity.

#### 5.1.2 Transgene expression

The transgene H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 was found to be transcribed and correctly spliced in all tissues tested, including adrenal gland, bladder, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, skeletal muscle, ovary, salivary gland, skin, spleen, testis and thymus. However, elevated protein levels were only detected in the spleen, thymus, lung and kidney by [<sup>125</sup>I]-IGF-II ligand blot. The elevated protein in the spleen was confirmed to be IGFBP-4 by Western immunoblot using an antibody specific for IGFBP-4. The

biological activity of the transgenic IGFBP-4 was confirmed by its capability to bind recombinant human IGF-II in a Western ligand blot.

The expression pattern of the transgene was identical in the two different transgenic mouse lines, indicating that expression of the transgene H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 occurs independently of the integration sites. Except for spleen, thymus, lung and kidney, the IGFBP-4 protein levels were not elevated in the other tissues, suggesting that transgenic mRNA expression was high in the spleen, thymus, lung and kidney, and low in the others. This expression pattern was similar to the endogenous H-2K<sup>b</sup> expression (Morello *et al.* 1986).

The H-2K gene is one of the class I genes of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) of the mouse which encode the heavy chain of cell surface H-2 antigens. The MHC comprises a multigenic family including both classical H-2 (H-2K, H-2D and H-2L) and H-2 like (Qa-TL) genes (Weiss *et al.* 1984). Their expression is developmentally regulated: classical H-2 antigens are not expressed from the early embryonic stages of development, become active between embryonic day 11 and 13, and are expressed and present at the surface of all somatic cells (Morello *et al.* 1978). In the adult mouse, the endogenous H-2K<sup>b</sup> mRNA expression is ubiquitous, although the levels are different among the tissues. Its expression is relatively high in lung, liver, spleen and lymph nodes, intermediate in thymus, kidney and heart, and very low in muscle, brain, pancreas and testicular germ cells (Morello *et al.* 1986). *Cis*-acting sequences required for establishing this developmental expression pattern are present in the H-2K<sup>b</sup> gene itself, and distinct regulatory elements controlling the tissue specificity are restricted to the 2-kb upstream promoter sequence (Drezen *et al.* 1992).

The mouse H-2K<sup>b</sup> promoter has been used by several investigators for generation of transgenic mice expressing hGH (Morello *et al.* 1986), c-myc (Morello *et al.* 1989), c-fos (Ruther *et al.* 1988), hIGF-II (Buul-Offers *et al.* 1995) and mutant forms of the TNFRp55 (Plitz *et al.* 1999). In these mice, a tissue specificity similar to the endogenous H-2K<sup>b</sup> mRNA expression was observed. In the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice reported here, transgene expression pattern resembled also the expression of the endogenous H-2K<sup>b</sup> gene. Transgene expression was ubiquitous, being high in the spleen, thymus, lung and kidney, and low in the others. Unlike H-

2K<sup>b</sup>-hGH and H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice, serum IGFBP-4 level was not increased in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, probably due to the low transgene expression in the liver. According to the property of the H-2K<sup>b</sup> promoter as noted above, transgene expression under the control of this promoter should be high in the liver, as reported in the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hGH and H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice (Morello *et al.* 1986; Buul-Offers *et al.* 1995). This different expression in the liver is unknown. A possibility might be that the IGFBP-4 was degraded after its translation by protease in the liver. However, experimental evidence is needed to support this notion.

Comparison of transgene expression in both transgenic lines revealed that the expression in line 2 was higher than line 1. This result is positively correlated with the integration number of the transgene construct, suggesting a mechanism of gene expression regulated by gene dosage. Alternatively, this phenomenon could be due to a position effect.

### 5.1.3 Effect on body and organ growth

To investigate potential effects of transgene expression on body and organ growth, a large panel of allometric measurements was carried out as described in Materials and Methods. Except for the thymus, overexpression of IGFBP-4 during postnatal life did not affect body and organ growth. This is in contrast to findings in other IGFBP transgenic mouse models and probably related to the following facts: (i) Circulating IGFBP levels were not increased. (ii) Elevated tissue levels of IGFBP-4 were only observed in the spleen, thymus, lung and kidney of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice. However, the postnatal weight of spleen, lung and kidney was not changed, indicating that IGFBP-4 has no major effect on growth of these organs. However, potential histological alteration of these organs of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mIGFBP-4 ransgenic mice warrants further investigation. (iii) The exclusive effect of IGFBP-4 on growth of thymus might be the consequence of an interaction with a thymus-specific factor whose identity, however, remains to be unraveled.

The marked decrease in weight and volume of the thymus in the postnatal life is the outstanding feature of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice. This is directly in contrast to the phenotype of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice (Buul-Offers *et al.* 1995). Similar

to our H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, IGF-II was highly expressed in spleen, thymus and liver in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice, and only the postnatal growth of thymus was promoted by IGF-II overexpression. The absence of splenomegaly in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice was proposed due to the upregulation of IGFBP-3 expression in the spleen by IGF-II overexpression (Smink *et al.* 1999). Whether the expression of some growth-promoting genes (such as the other components of the IGF system) was regulated by IGFBP-4 overexpression in the spleen, lung and kidney of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice is unknown. Further expression studies need to be done.

In order to define the histological alteration in the thymus and spleen of H-2K<sup>b</sup>mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, histomorphometric analyses were performed. The transgenic mice showed a significant decrease in cortex volume compared to the controls, whereas the volume of the medulla was not altered. This finding is also directly in contrast to the increased cortex size of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice (Van der Ven *et al.* 1997). No alteration in the architecture of the spleen of H-2K<sup>b</sup>mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice was observed, which is in agreement with the unchanged weight and volume of this organ.

### 5.1.4 Effect on the immune system

The cross-talk between the endocrine and immune systems has been suggested by numerous studies, which have been summarized in many reviews (Blalock 1994; Madden & Felten 1995; Savino & Dardenne 1995; Besedovsky & del Rey 1996). Cytokines, the soluble factors secreted by the immune cells, exert biological actions on the endocrine system (Silva *et al.* 1998), conversely, a variety of hormones and peptide growth factors have receptors in the tissues of the immune system and modulate immune functions (Dorshkind & Horseman 2000). Increasing evidence indicates that GH and IGFs are not only involved in endocrine modulation of the development and function of the immune system but also act as cytokines to regulate local growth and differentiation (Buul-Offers & Kooijman 1998; Jeay *et al.* 2002). The components of the IGF system have been detected in lymphoid tissues. IGF-I mRNA and peptide are expressed by myeloid cells, particularly by macrophages in relatively large amounts (Arkins *et al.* 1993) and by peripheral lymphocytes in small

amounts (Arkins et al. 1993; Nyman & Pekonen 1993). Bone marrow stromal cells and thymic epithelial cells also produce IGF-I (Dorshkind 1990; Abboud et al. 1991; Timsit *et al.* 1992). IGF-II is the dominant peptide of the insulin family expressed by human and rat thymic epithelial cells (Geenen et al. 1993). IGF-IR are present on the majority of B cells, NK cells and monocytes as well as erythrocytes (Polychronakos et al. 1983; Catanese et al. 1986; Kozak et al. 1987; Tapson et al. 1988; Stuart et al. 1991; Kooijman et al. 1992). Different T-cell populations express different levels of IGF-IR (Kooijman et al. 1995b), suggesting that IGFs are associated with T-cell differentiation. Actually, there is increasing evidence indicating that both IGF-I and IGF-II affect the immune system. IGF-I augments the in vitro proliferation and differentiation of thymocytes and pro-B cells (Landreth et al. 1992; Gibson et al. 1993; Kooijman et al. 1995b). Systemic administration of IGF-I significantly increases the size and cellularity of both primary and secondary lymphoid organs in rodents (Binz et al. 1990; Beschorner et al. 1991; Murphy et al. 1992; Clark et al. 1993; Jardieu et al. 1994). IGF-I administration can also enhance immune response and alter lymphocyte survival and regeneration in thymus and spleen of the dexamethasone-treated rat (Hinton et al. 1995; Hinton et al. 1998). Administration of IGF-II also stimulates growth of thymus and spleen, but to a lesser extent than IGF-I (Buul-Offers et al. 1994; Conlon et al. 1995). Overexpression of IGF-I in mice stimulates T- and B-cell development and antigen specific IgG synthesis (Clark et al. 1993; Robbins et al. 1994). Overexpression of IGF-II in transgenic mice leads to a selective effect on thymic growth, increasing the thymic cellularity and stimulating the development of phenotypically normal T-cells but not mature B-cells (Buul-Offers et al. 1995; Kooijman et al. 1995a; Kooijman et al. 1997).

Some evidence indicates that IGFBPs modulate IGF actions in lymphoid tissues as they do in the circulation and many other tissues. Overexpression of IGFBP-1 in transgenic mice leads to inconsistent effects on spleen size (Dai *et al.* 1994; Murphy *et al.* 1995b; Rajkumar *et al.* 1995), overexpression of IGFBP-2 reduces spleen weight of male transgenic mice (Hoeflich *et al.* 1999), whereas overexpression of IGFBP-3 causes increased spleen size (Murphy *et al.* 1995b). Preliminary data suggest that IGFBP-2 knockout mice show no gross phenotype except for a reduced spleen size (Pintar *et al.* 1995). Some lymphocytes express IGFBPs. By RT-PCR, normal human peripheral lympocytes were shown to express mRNA for IGFBP-2 and

-3, and after mitogenic stimulation they additionally expressed IGFBP-4 and -5 (Grellier *et al.* 1995). IGFBP-4 to -6 are released by murine bone marrow stromal cells (Grellier *et al.* 1995). Sheep thymus cells also produce IGFBPs and IGFBP-3 protease in culture, and the secretion is increased by mitogen stimulation (Tonner *et al.* 1995). Human leukemic T and B lymphoblasts secrete IGFBP-2 and -4 (Neely *et al.* 1991), and their mRNA expression is affected by autocrine/paracrine IGF-II (Elmlinger *et al.* 1998). Thymic epithelial cells express different levels of IGFBP-2 to -6, with a predominance of IGFBP-4 (Kecha *et al.* 1999). IGFBP-4 has also been detected in murine thymic macrophages and in macrophage cell lines (Li *et al.* 1996). IGFBP-4 is thought to be a consistent inhibitor of IGF actions. Considering the predominant expression of the transgenic IGFBP-4 in lymphoid organs, we investigated the potential effect of IGFBP-4 on the development of immune-related cells and on mitogenic response of splenocytes.

To investigate the potential effects of transgene expression on the development of immune-related cells, the fractions of various cell types in the bone marrow, thymus, spleen, lymph node and blood were determined by flow cytometry using antibodies against different cell surface markers as described in Materials and Methods. Unlike in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice, no difference was found in the parameters determined so far between H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice and control animals. This finding suggests that overexpression of IGFBP-4 in the thymus and spleen did not affect the development of the immune-related cells, although growth of the thymus was significantly inhibited by the transgene expression.

To ascertain the proliferative capacity of T- and B-cells in H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice, splenocytes were stimulated with mitogens Con A, anti-CD3, LPS and anti-IgM/IL2, respectively. The proliferative activity of the splenocytes was significantly reduced in transgenic mice after Con A and LPS stimulation, but not after anti-CD3 and anti-IgM/IL2 stimulus, when compared to the wild-type animals. Usually, Con A is thought to be a T-cell specific activator, and LPS is specific for B-cell proliferation. However, LPS can also stimulate the proliferation of macrophage (Paul 1993). Although the location of expression of the transgenic IGFBP-4 in thymus and spleen has not been characterized yet, the expression of endogenous MHC-I was shown to be high in the thymic epithelial cells and macrophages (Roitt 1991), as well

as in the marginal zone macrophages in the spleen (Kraal 1992). There is evidence indicated that transgenic IGF-II was highly expressed in the non-lymphocytic cells (monocyte/macrophage and epithelial cells) in the thymus and spleen of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-hIGF-II transgenic mice (Van der Ven *et al.* 1997). Transgenic IGFBP-4 might also be expressed in the non-lymphocytic cells, such as macrophages, rather than in the Tand B-cells. This might be the reason why the development of T- and B-cells and the proliferative activity of splenocytes of transgenic animals after anti-CD3 and anti-IgM/IL2 stimulation were not affected. The reduced proliferative activity of the transgenic splenocytes after Con A and LPS stimulation might be due to the expression of IGFBP-4 in the macrophages, although it is unknown whether Con A can also stimulate the proliferation of other cells besides T-cells. Pre-purification of the lymphocytes from splenocytes may be necessary for the proliferation assay. Furthermore, detailed expression studies of IGFBP-4 in the thymus and spleen of H- $2K^b$ -mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mice need to be done.

# 5.2 Overexpression of IGFBP-6 in transgenic mice

### 5.2.1 Transgene integration

As in the IGFBP-4 transgenic model, IGFBP-6 transgenic mice were initially identified by PCR analysis. Ten  $H-2K^b$ -mcIGFBP-6 transgenic founders were obtained, which all transmitted the transgene into the following generations, whereas one of the five CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic founders lost the transgene construct in the F1 generation. This instability of the transgene integration is probably due to its integration site, whose flanking region in the genome may affect the stability of the transgene.

Southern blot analysis showed that two bands of about 8 kb and 3.8 kb representing the endogenous *Igfbp6* were detected in both transgenic and wild-type animals, because the genomic DNA sequence of mouse *Igfbp6* gene was used in the transgene construct. However, there were more copies of the two bands in transgenic mice than in wild-type mice. Two transgene-specific bands of 2.8 kb and 1.5 kb were present in all transgenic animals. The different density of these two bands was shown in the four

transgenic lines, suggesting different number of transgene copies were incorporated in the genome of the four lines. Additional bands of different length were represented in the offspring from the four transgenic founders, indicating that the four transgenic strains are independent with different integration sites.

#### 5.2.2 Transgene expression

Consistent with the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic mouse model, the transgene was expressed ubiquitously at the RNA level in the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice. However, the IGFBP-6 protein level was not increased in serum and any tissue of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice from 10 lines, although the same expression vector (pUCH2XXS) was used in both transgenic models. The reason for this difference is unknown.

To investigate the specific function of IGFBP-6 *in vivo*, we established another IGFBP-6 transgenic mouse model (CMV-mgIGFBP-6), in which murine *Igfbp6* genomic DNA was cloned under the transcription control of the CMV promoter. Transgene expression was very high in pancreas, and relatively low in lung and liver. In the GIT, elevated levels of IGFBP-6 were detected only in the luminal contents of duodenum from transgenic mice, but neither in the lumen of other segments of the GIT nor in tissue extracts from flushed GIT segments. Based on the high transgene expression in the pancreas, which could be located to the exocrine part by immunohistochemistry, it can be assumed that the luminal IGFBP-6 originated from the pancreas and was excreted into the lumen of the duodenum. We cannot exclude that a proportion of the luminal IGFBP-6 was produced by the intestinal mucosa, although ligand blot analysis of the tissue extracts from preflushed intestinal samples did not support this possibility.

The fact that large amounts of active IGFBP-6 were detected by ligand blot analysis in the luminal contents of the duodenum indicates that the secreted IGFBP-6 was at least to some degree resistant to proteolysis, although large amounts of the serine proteases trypsin and chymotrypsin are produced by pancreatic acinar cells as zymogens and activated in the intestine (Mayer *et al.* 1999). In addition, they are also produced by the small intestine itself (Koshikawa *et al.* 1998). The relative resistance

of human IGFBP-6 to proteolysis has been shown to be related to O-glycosylation which inhibits proteolysis by trypsin and chymotrypsin, thus preserving IGF-binding capacity (Marinaro et al. 2000b). However, upon longer exposure, large amounts of trypsin and chymotrypsin were able to cleave also O-glycosylated IGFBP-6 (Marinaro et al. 2000b). In contrast to human IGFBP-6 which contains five O-glycosylation sites, mouse IGFBP-6 is O-glycosylated only at one site (Bach 1999). It is unclear whether the presence of active IGFBP-6 in the duodenum of transgenic mice is due to glycosylation-mediated protection from proteolysis. The distribution of immunoreactive IGFBP-6 fragments in different segments of the GIT remains to be determined.

### 5.2.3 Glucose homeostasis

Insulin is the central hormone in regulating blood glucose levels. However, other hormonal and non-hormonal factors are also important in blood glucose homeostasis. On a molar basis, the IGFs are present in the circulation in a 100-fold excess compared to insulin. Although the IGFs have only about 5% of the insulin-like activity of insulin, the vast majority of the insulin-like activity present in mammalian serum is due to the IGFs rather than insulin itself (Burgi *et al.* 1966). Several lines of evidence support the idea that the IGFs do indeed have some role in glucose homeostasis: (i) increased circulating levels of free IGFs induce hypoglycemia (Zapf 1994); (ii) glucose intolerance was observed in liver-specific *Igf1*-knockout mice (Yakar *et al.* 2001). As high-affinity IGF-binders, IGFBPs may modulate the insulin-like activity of IGFs in the circulation by alteration the concentration of free IGFs.

To investigate the potential effect of IGFBP-6 overexpression on glucose homeostasis, measurement of serum glucose, glucagon and insulin levels as well as a glucose tolerance test were performed. No significant difference was found between CMV-mgIGFBP-6 transgenic and control mice. This finding suggests that IGFBP-6 overexpression in the exocrine pancreas of transgenic mice has no effect on the production of insulin and glucagon, as well as on blood glucose homeostasis. In contrast, impaired glucose tolerance was observed in several transgenic mouse models overexpressing IGFBP-1 (Gay *et al.* 1997; Rajkumar *et al.* 1996) or IGFBP-3 (Silha *et al.* 2002). In one of the IGFBP-1 transgenic models an increased size and number

of pancreatic islets, with more beta cells and less alpha cells, was observed (Dheen *et al.* 1996). A common feature of these transgenic mice is a significant increase in the circulating levels of the corresponding IGFBP, which may increase the proportion of IGFBP-complexed IGF-I in serum and consequently attenuate the hypoglycemic effect of free IGF-I (Rajkumar *et al.* 1996; Silha *et al.* 2002). In our IGFBP-6 transgenic mice, no obvious alteration in the structure of pancreatic islets nor changes in the activity of any serum IGFBP were observed, which may explain why glucose homeostasis was not affected.

#### 5.2.4 *Effects on body and organ growth*

To investigate potential effects of transgene expression on body and organ growth, a large panel of allometric measurements was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Except for parameters of growth of the duodenum (see below) no significant difference was observed between transgenic mice and controls in any of the parameters investigated. This is in contrast to findings in other IGFBP transgenic mouse models and probably related to the facts that (a) circulating IGFBP levels were not increased and (b) high tissue levels of IGFBP-6 were only observed in the pancreas of our CMV-mIGFBP-6 transgenic mice. However, pancreas weight was not altered, suggesting that IGFBP-6 has no major effect on growth and – based on the findings regarding glucose homeostasis – on endocrine functions of the pancreas. A potential reason for the latter finding could be the expression pattern of the transgene, which was almost exclusively in the exocrine pancreas. Nevertheless, detailed histological and ultrastructural studies of the pancreas of CMV-mIGFBP-6 transgenic mice still need to be done.

In contrast to pancreas, the weight and length of duodenum of the IGFBP-6 transgenic mice were significantly reduced, compared with their wild-type littermates. The reduction in weight of the duodenum was mainly attributable to a significantly smaller volume and surface area of the tunica mucosa in transgenic *vs.* control mice as determined by morphometric analysis. This finding suggests that luminal IGFBP-6 is a specific inhibitor of mucosal growth.

Gastrointestinal growth is regulated by many factors, such as nutritional, hormonal, pharmacological and luminal factors (Klein & McKenzie 1983). It has been well documented that IGF-I and IGF-II are very important for growth of the gut under physiological and pathological conditions (Lund 1998; MacDonald 1999). IGFs and their receptors are expressed in the intestinal tract of several mammalian species (Brown *et al.* 1986; Schober *et al.* 1990; Termanini *et al.* 1990; Winesett *et al.* 1995). Their expression is high in fetal and newborn tissues and decreases with age. The gut is one of the most responsive target tissue for the IGFs (Read *et al.* 1991). Oral or intraluminal administration of IGF peptides stimulates intestinal growth (rev. in MacDonald 1999), and IGF-I analogues such as des(1-3)IGF-I and long R<sup>3</sup>-IGF-I with reduced or poor binding affinities for the IGFBPs have a higher potency (Garnaut *et al.* 2002; Steeb *et al.* 1994), suggesting that IGFBPs may be important regulators of IGF actions in the gut, as they are in other tissues and in the circulation.

IGFs and IGFBPs have been detected in human (Baxter *et al.* 1984; Corps *et al.* 1988; Elmlinger *et al.* 1999; Van Doorn *et al.* 1999), rat (Donovan *et al.* 1991; Philipps *et al.* 1991), porcine (Simmen *et al.* 1988; Donovan *et al.* 1994) and bovine milk (Collier *et al.* 1991). Recent data indicate that the components of the IGF system present in milk can, at least in part, arrive intact in the neonatal intestine (Elmlinger *et al.* 2002). Although their functional relevance has not been proven, oral intake of IGFs and IGFBPs may be important for the maturation of the neonatal gastrointestinal tract (GIT).

IGFBP-6 mRNA expression was demonstrated in the neonatal porcine small intestine, with decreasing levels after weaning (Tang *et al.* 2002). IGFBP-6 was detected in human milk at a concentration of 6-45 ng/ml (Van Doorn *et al.* 1999), which is relatively low compared to the concentration of IGFBP-2 (about 2  $\mu$ g/ml) (Elmlinger *et al.* 1999). However, protease resistance of O-glycosylated IGFBP-6 might lead to relatively high levels of active IGFBP-6 in the gut. Thus, IGFBP-6 may take part in the regulation of gut growth and development. A recent study suggested that the mitogenic activity of IGF-II in human gastric epithelium was tightly inhibited by two locally produced IGF-II carriers, IGFBP-2 and -6 (Tremblay *et al.* 2001), providing direct evidence that IGFBP-6 can regulate GIT growth via an IGF-dependent mechanism. This observation and the findings of the present study are important in

the context of gastrointestinal growth in neonatal mammals, considering that IGFBPs in milk may at least in part arrive intact in the intestine. Whether IGFBP-6 regulates the bioavailability of IGF-I or IGF-II in the duodenum of our transgenic mouse model is not known.

In addition to IGF-dependent mechanisms, IGF-independent pathways of IGFBP-6 have to be taken into consideration to explain our present findings, considering the facts that (i) IGFBP-6 binds IGF-II with a great preference over IGF-I; (ii) the circulating levels of IGF-II are very low in the postnatal life of rodents, and (iii) a line of evidence suggests that IGFBP-6 may also act via an IGF-independent manner. These facts have been reviewed above in the section 2.4.

#### **6** SUMMARY

# Functional analysis of insulin-like growth factor binding protein -4 and -6 in transgenic mice

Insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) are expressed in many cell types and tissues and act in endocrine, autocrine or paracrine manner to regulate cellular proliferation, survival and differentiation. IGF actions are initiated upon binding to the type I IGF receptor (IGF-IR) and are modulated through interactions with a family of six secreted IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP-1 to -6). Although the six conserved IGFBPs are structurally related, each of them has specific characteristics and may have specific functions. Most knowledge about the IGFBPs has been gained from the numerous *in vitro* studies, their specific roles *in vivo* are largely unknown.

Transgenic mice overexpressing a particular IGFBP allow us to investigate the specific functions of the corresponding IGFBP *in vivo*. To this end, IGFBP-4- and IGFBP-6-overexpressing models were established and analyzed in the present study.

First, an expression vector containing the murine H-2K<sup>b</sup> promoter and a human betaglobin splicing cassette was used to construct the transgenes, to obtain ubiquitous expression of the mouse Igfbp4 and Igfbp6 cDNA. Two lines of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 and ten lines of H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice were generated. The transgene was ubiquitously expressed at RNA level in both transgenic models, however, at protein level, transgene expression was only detected in the spleen, thymus, lung and kidney of both H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic lines, but in no organ of H-2K<sup>b</sup>mcIGFBP-6 transgenic mice. Phenotypic analyses of the H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4 transgenic model revealed that overexpression of IGFBP-4 had no significant effect on the postnatal body and organ growth, except that the weight and volume of thymus in 8- and 12-week-old transgenic mice were significantly reduced (p < 0.05) compared to the controls. Histomorphometric analysis demonstrated that the volume of the thymic cortex was significantly decreased in transgenic mice (p < 0.05), whereas that of the thymic medulla was not changed. The fractions of various cell types in the bone marrow, thymus, spleen, lymph node and peripheral blood were determined by flow cytometry. No significant difference was found between

transgenic and control groups, suggesting that IGFBP-4 excess in the lymphoid organs did not affect the development of the lymphatic cells. The proliferative capacity of the splenocytes of transgenic animals was significantly reduced after Con A and LPS stimulation (p < 0.05), but not altered after the stimulation by anti-CD3 and anti-IgM/IL2. This is probably due to transgenic IGFBP-4 expression restricted in the non-lymphatic cells. However, detailed expression of the transgene warrants further investigation.

In order to realize IGFBP-6-overexpressing mice, a second construct was designed, namely CMV-mgIGFBP-6, in which the mouse Igfbp6 genomic sequence was cloned under the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. Four independent lines of transgenic mice were generated. Transgene expression was high in the exocrine pancreas and relatively low in the lung and liver. The activities of serum IGFBPs were not different between transgenic mice and controls. In transgenic mice, high levels of active IGFBP-6 were detected in the luminal content of the duodenum, but neither in the luminal contents of other segments of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), nor in tissue extracts of all GIT segments. Glucose homeostasis was not altered by IGFBP-6 expression. Postnatal body and organ growth was not affected in transgenic mice, except for the absolute and relative weight and length of duodenum which were significantly reduced in 4-month-old transgenic mice as compared to controls (p < p0.05). This reduction was mainly due to a significantly smaller volume and surface area of the tunica mucosa as determined by histomorphometric analsis. Our analysis of the first IGFBP-6 transgenic mouse model provides direct evidence for inhibition of intestinal growth by luminal IGFBP-6 excess. This finding is important in the context of neonatal intestinal growth of mammals, considering the fact that milk contains large amount of IGFBPs which may at least in part arrive intact in the intestine.

#### 7 ZUSAMMENFASUNG

# Funktionelle Analyse der Insulin-like Growth Factor-Bindungsproteine -4 und -6 in transgenen Mäusen

Die insulinänlichen Wachstumsfaktoren (IGF-I und IGF-II) werden in verschiedenen Zelltypen und Geweben exprimiert, und regulieren Zellproliferation, -überleben und differenzierung durch endokrine, autokrine oder parakrine Mechanismen. Die Aktivitäten der IGFs werden durch den Typ 1 IGF-Rezeptor (IGF-IR) initiiert und durch die Interaktionen mit einer Familie von sechs IGF-Bindungsproteinen (IGFBP-1 bis -6) moduliert. Trotz ihrer strukturellen Ähnlichkeiten zeigt jedes IGFBP spezifische Eigenschaften und spielt eine spezifische Rolle. Weil die meisten Kenntnisse über die IGFBPs durch *in vitro* Studien gewonnen wurden, sind ihre spezifischen Funktionen *in vivo* weitgehend unklar.

Transgene Mäuse, in denen ein bestimmtes IGFBP überexprimiert ist, eignen sich zur funktionellen Analyse des entsprechenden IGFBP *in vivo* bedienen. Deshalb wurden IGFBP-4 und IGFBP-6 transgene Mausmodelle erstellt und analysiert.

Um eine ubiquitäre Expression der cDNA von Igfbp4 oder Igfbp6 zu erzielen, wurde ein Expressionsvektor, der den murinen H-2K<sup>b</sup> Promotor und eine humane betaglobin Spleißkassette enthält, zuerst zum Aufbau transgener Konstrukte verwendet. Zwei Linien H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4- und zehn Linien H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6-transgener Mäuse wurden erstellt. Ubiquitäre transgene Expression wurde auf RNA-Ebene bei beiden transgenen Modellen erreicht. Erhöhte IGFBP-4 Proteinmengen konnten nur in Milz, Thymus, Lunge und Niere H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4-transgener Tiere nachgewiesen werden, aber nicht bei den H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-6-transgenen Mäusen. Eine phänotypische Analyse des H-2K<sup>b</sup>-mcIGFBP-4-transgenen Modells zeigte keinen Effekt der IGFBP-4-Überexpression auf das postnatale Körper- und Organwachstum, bis auf das Gewicht und Volumen des Thymus, das bei 8- und 12-Wochen alten transgenen Mäusen deutlich reduziert war (p < 0,05). Histomorphometrische Untersuchungen zeigten, dass das Volumen des Cortexes, aber nicht der Medulla, verkleinert ist. Die Fraktionen verschiedener Zelltypen aus dem Knochenmark, dem Thymus, der Milz, dem Lymphknoten und dem peripheren Blut wurden mittels der

Flowcytometrie untersucht. Es konnte kein Unterschied zwischen den IGFBP-4transgenen und Wildtyp-Tieren gezeigt werden. Dieses Ergebnis gibt keinen Hinweis, dass die IGFBP-4-Überexpression eine Wirkung auf die Entwicklung der Lymphozyten hat. Nach der Con A- und LPS-Stimulation wurde die Proliferationskapazität der Milzzellen deutlich verringert, dagegen zeigte sich kein zwischen Unterschied den transgenen und Wildtyp-Mäusen bei der Proliferationskapazität nach der Stimulation mit anti-CD3 und anti-IgM/IL-2. Solche Phänotypen konnten infolge davon sein, dass das Transgen hauptsätzlich in den Nicht-Lymphozyten exprimiert wird. Jedoch muss das genaue Expressionsmuster des Transgens in den Lymphorgane weiter studiert werden.

Um die IGFBP-6-Überexpression in vivo zu erreichen, wurde ein zweites Transgenkonstrukt (CMV-mgIGFBP-6) kloniert, in dem eine genomische Sequenz des murinen Igfbp6-Gens unter der Transkriptionskontrolle des Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-Promotors steht. Vier unabhängige transgene Mauslinien wurden erstellt. Die Transgenexpression war sehr hoch im exokrinen Pankreas und relativ niedrig in Lunge und Leber der transgenen Tiere. Die Menge aller IGFBPs im Serum verändertete sich nicht. Interessanterweise wurde eine erhöhte Proteinmenge des aktiven IGFBP-6 im Lumeninhalt des transgenen Duodenums nachgewiesen, aber konnte nicht in den Lumeninhalten anderer Segmente des Magen-Darm-Traktes und auch nicht in den Gewebextrakten aller Segmente des Magen-Darm-Traktes nachgewiesen werden. Hinsichtlich der Glukosehomöostase und des postnatalen Körper- und Organwachstums konnte kein Unterschied zwischen den transgenen und wildtypen Tieren gezeigt werden. Eine Ausnahme waren das Gewicht und die Länge (absolute und auch relative Werte) des Duodenums 4-Monate-alten-transgener Mäuse, die im Vergleich zu Wildtyp-Tieren deutlich reduziert waren (p < 0.05). Morphometrische Untersuchungen zeigten, dass diese Reduzierung die Folge von einer Verkleinerung von Volumen und Oberfläche der Duodenummukosa war. Die Analyse des ersten IGFBP-6-transgenen Mausmodels hat einen direkten Hinweis gegeben, dass erhohte IGFBP-6-Aktivität im Lumen des Darmes das Darmwachstum verhindern kann. Diese Entdeckung ist wichtig im Kontext vom Darmwachtum bei der neonatalen Säugertieren, unter Berücksichtigung der Tatsache, dass die Milch eine grosse Menge von IGFBPs enthält, welche zumindest teilweise intakt den Darm erreichen können.

## 8 REFERENCES

Abbott AM, Bueno R, Pedrini MT, Murray JM & Smith RJ 1992 Insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene structure. *J.Biol.Chem.* **267** 10759-10763.

Abboud SL, Bethel CR & Aron DC 1991 Secretion of insulinlike growth factor I and insulinlike growth factor- binding proteins by murine bone marrow stromal cells. *J.Clin.Invest* **88** 470-475.

Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, et al 2000 The genome sequence of Drosophila melanogaster. Science 287 2185-2195.

Allander SV, Bajalica S, Larsson C, Luthman H, Powell DR, Stern I, Weber G, Zazzi H & Ehrenborg E 1993 Structure and chromosomal localization of human insulin-like growth factor-binding protein genes. *Growth Regul.* **3** 3-5.

Allander SV, Larsson C, Ehrenborg E, Suwanichkul A, Weber G, Morris SL, Bajalica S, Kiefer MC, Luthman H & Powell DR 1994 Characterization of the chromosomal gene and promoter for human insulin- like growth factor binding protein-5. *J.Biol.Chem.* **269** 10891-10898.

Amaar YG, Thompson GR, Linkhart TA, Chen ST, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 2002 Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 5 (IGFBP-5) interacts with a four and a half LIM protein 2 (FHL2). *J.Biol.Chem.* **277** 12053-12060.

Andress DL 1995 Heparin modulates the binding of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-5 to a membrane protein in osteoblastic cells. *J.Biol.Chem.* **270** 28289-28296.

Andress DL 1998 Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-5 (IGFBP-5) stimulates phosphorylation of the IGFBP-5 receptor. *Am.J.Physiol* **274** E744-E750.

Anwar A, Zahid AA, Phillips L & Delafontaine P 2000 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 expression is decreased by angiotensin II and thrombin in rat aortic vascular smooth muscle cells. *Arterioscler.Thromb.Vasc.Biol.* **20** 370-376.

Arai T, Busby W, Jr. & Clemmons DR 1996 Binding of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) I or II to IGF-binding protein-2 enables it to bind to heparin and extracellular matrix. *Endocrinology* **137** 4571-4575.

Arkins S, Rebeiz N, Biragyn A, Reese DL & Kelley KW 1993 Murine macrophages express abundant insulin-like growth factor-I class I Ea and Eb transcripts. *Endocrinology* **133** 2334-2343.

Arnold PM, Ma JY, Citron BA, Zoubine MN & Festoff BW 2000 Selective developmental regulation of gene expression for insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins in mouse spinal cord. *Spine* **25** 1765-1770.

Awede BL, Thissen JP & Lebacq J 2002 Role of IGF-I and IGFBPs in the changes of mass and phenotype induced in rat soleus muscle by clenbuterol. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab* **282** E31-E37.

Babajko S & Binoux M 1996 Modulation by retinoic acid of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and IGF binding protein expression in human SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **134** 474-480.

Babajko S, Grellier P, de Galle B, Menouny M & Binoux M 2001 IGFBPs are involved in xenograft development in nude mice. *Med.Pediatr.Oncol.* **36** 154-156.

Babajko S, Leneuve P, Loret C & Binoux M 1997 IGF-binding protein-6 is involved in growth inhibition in SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells: its production is both IGF- and cell density- dependent. *J Endocrinol.* **152** 221-227.

Bach LA 1999 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6: the "forgotten" binding protein? *Horm.Metab Res.* **31** 226-234.

Bach LA, Hsieh S, Brown AL & Rechler MM 1994 Recombinant human insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-6 inhibits IGF-II-induced differentiation of L6A1 myoblasts. *Endocrinology* **135** 2168-2176.

Bach LA, Hsieh S, Sakano K, Fujiwara H, Perdue JF & Rechler MM 1993 Binding of mutants of human insulin-like growth factor II to insulin- like growth factor binding proteins 1-6. *J.Biol.Chem.* **268** 9246-9254.

Bach LA, Leeding KS & Leng SL 1997 Regulation of IGF-binding protein-6 by dexamethasone and IGFs in PC12 rat phaeochromocytoma cells. *J.Endocrinol.* **155** 225-232.

Bach LA, Leeding KS & Leng SL 1998 Cyclic AMP agonists increase levels of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-6 in PC12 rat phaeochromocytoma cells. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* 265-271.

Bach LA, Salemi R & Leeding KS 1995 Roles of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptors and IGF-binding proteins in IGF-II-induced proliferation and differentiation of L6A1 rat myoblasts. *Endocrinology* **136** 5061-5069.

Bach LA, Thotakura NR & Rechler MM 1992 Human insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 is O-glycosylated. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **186** 301-307.

Bachrach LK, Nanto-Salonen K, Tapanainen P, Rosenfeld RG & Gargosky SE 1995 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein production in human follicular thyroid carcinoma cells. *Growth Regul.* **5** 109-118.

Baddeley AJ, Gundersen HJ & Cruz-Orive LM 1986 Estimation of surface area from vertical sections. *J.Microsc.* **142 ( Pt 3)** 259-276.

Baker J, Hardy MP, Zhou J, Bondy C, Lupu F, Bellve AR & Efstratiadis A 1996 Effects of an Igf1 gene null mutation on mouse reproduction. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **10** 903-918.

Baker J, Liu JP, Robertson EJ & Efstratiadis A 1993 Role of insulin-like growth factors in embryonic and postnatal growth. *Cell* **75** 73-82.

Bar RS, Clemmons DR, Boes M, Busby WH, Booth BA, Dake BL & Sandra A 1990 Transcapillary permeability and subendothelial distribution of endothelial and amniotic fluid insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in the rat heart. *Endocrinology* **127** 1078-1086.

Bardi G, Bottazzi C, Demori I & Palmero S 1999 Thyroid hormone and retinoic acid induce the synthesis of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 in prepubertal pig sertoli cells. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **141** 637-643.

Barlow DP, Stoger R, Herrmann BG, Saito K & Schweifer N 1991 The mouse insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor is imprinted and closely linked to the Tme locus. *Nature* **349** 84-87.

Batzer MA & Deininger PL 2002 Alu repeats and human genomic diversity. *Nat.Rev.Genet.* **3** 370-379.

Bautista CM, Mohan S & Baylink DJ 1990 Insulin-like growth factors I and II are present in the skeletal tissues of ten vertebrates. *Metabolism* **39** 96-100.

Baxter RC 1996 The role of insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins in tumor hypoglycemia. *Horm.Res.* **46** 195-201.

Baxter RC 2000 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding proteins: interactions with IGFs and intrinsic bioactivities. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab* **278** E967-E976.

Baxter RC & Saunders H 1992 Radioimmunoassay of insulin-like growth factorbinding protein-6 in human serum and other body fluids. *J.Endocrinol.* **134** 133-139.

Baxter RC, Zaltsman Z & Turtle JR 1984 Immunoreactive somatomedin-C/insulinlike growth factor I and its binding protein in human milk. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **58** 955-959.

Bayes-Genis A, Schwartz RS, Lewis DA, Overgaard MT, Christiansen M, Oxvig C, Ashai K, Holmes DR, Jr. & Conover CA 2001 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 protease produced by smooth muscle cells increases in the coronary artery after angioplasty. *Arterioscler.Thromb.Vasc.Biol.* **21** 335-341.

Bayol S, Loughna PT & Brownson C 2000 Phenotypic expression of IGF binding protein transcripts in muscle, in vitro and in vivo. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **273** 282-286.

Beck KD, Powell-Braxton L, Widmer HR, Valverde J & Hefti F 1995 Igf1 gene disruption results in reduced brain size, CNS hypomyelination, and loss of hippocampal granule and striatal parvalbumin-containing neurons. *Neuron* **14** 717-730.

Beschorner WE, Divic J, Pulido H, Yao X, Kenworthy P & Bruce G 1991 Enhancement of thymic recovery after cyclosporine by recombinant human growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor I. *Transplantation* **52** 879-884.

Besedovsky HO & del Rey A 1996 Immune-neuro-endocrine interactions: facts and hypotheses. *Endocr.Rev.* **17** 64-102.

Binz K, Joller P, Froesch P, Binz H, Zapf J & Froesch ER 1990 Repopulation of the atrophied thymus in diabetic rats by insulin-like growth factor I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **87** 3690-3694.

Blackburn A, Dressendorfer RA, Blum WF, Erhard M, Brem G, Strasburger CJ & Wolf E 1997 Interactions of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-II and growth hormone in vivo: circulating levels of IGF-I and IGF-binding proteins in transgenic mice. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **137** 701-708.

Blalock JE 1994 The syntax of immune-neuroendocrine communication. *Immunol.Today* **15** 504-511.

Blattner FR, Plunkett G, III, Bloch CA, Perna NT, Burland V, Riley M, Collado-Vides J, Glasner JD, Rode CK, Mayhew GF, Gregor J, Davis NW, Kirkpatrick HA, Goeden MA, Rose DJ, Mau B & Shao Y 1997 The complete genome sequence of Escherichia coli K-12. *Science* **277** 1453-1474.

Boes M, Booth BA, Dake BL, Moser DR & Bar RS 1996 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein production by bovine and human vascular smooth muscle cells: production of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 by human smooth muscle. *Endocrinology* **137** 5357-5363.

Boes M, Booth BA, Sandra A, Dake BL, Bergold A & Bar RS 1992 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)4 accounts for the connective tissue distribution of endothelial cell IGFBPs perfused through the isolated heart. *Endocrinology* **131** 327-330.

Boes M, Dake BL, Booth BA, Sandra A, Bateman M, Knudtson K & Bar RS 2002 Structure-function relationships of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 6 (IGFBP-6) and its chimeras. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **12** 91-98.

Boldt HB, Overgaard MT, Laursen LS, Weyer K, Sottrup-Jensen L & Oxvig C 2001 Mutational analysis of the proteolytic domain of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A): classification as a metzincin. *Biochem.J.* **358** 359-367.

Booth BA, Boes M, Andress DL, Dake BL, Kiefer MC, Maack C, Linhardt RJ, Bar K, Caldwell EE, Weiler J & . 1995 IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-5 association with endothelial cells: role of C- terminal heparin binding domain. *Growth Regul.* **5** 1-17.

Booth BA, Boes M, Dake BL, Caldwell EE, Weiler JM & Bar RS 2000 Effect of IGFBP-derived peptides on incorporation of (35)SO(4) into proteoglycans. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **10** 224-229.

Booth BA, Boes M, Dake BL, Linhardt RJ, Caldwell EE, Weiler JM & Bar RS 1996 Structure-function relationships in the heparin-binding C-terminal region of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3. *Growth Regul.* **6** 206-213.

Bottazzi C, Demori I, Leone M & Fugassa E 1996 Thyroid hormone affects rat uterine expression of IGF-I and IGFBP-4. *Boll.Soc.Ital.Biol.Sper.* **72** 133-138.

Bradshaw SL, D'Ercole AJ & Han VK 1999 Overexpression of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-2 in C6 glioma cells results in conditional alteration of cellular growth. *Endocrinology* **140** 575-584.

Braulke T 1999 Type-2 IGF receptor: a multi-ligand binding protein. *Horm.Metab Res.* **31** 242-246.

Braulke T, Dittmer F, Gotz W & von Figura K 1999 Alteration in pancreatic immunoreactivity of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein (IGFBP)-6 and in intracellular degradation of IGFBP-3 in fibroblasts of IGF-II receptor/IGF-II-deficient mice. *Horm.Metab Res.* **31** 235-241.

Brinster RL & Palmiter RD 1984 Introduction of genes into the germ line of animals. *Harvey Lect.* **80** 1-38.

Brown AL, Graham DE, Nissley SP, Hill DJ, Strain AJ & Rechler MM 1986 Developmental regulation of insulin-like growth factor II mRNA in different rat tissues. *J.Biol.Chem.* **261** 13144-13150.

Buchholz F, Angrand PO & Stewart AF 1998 Improved properties of FLP recombinase evolved by cycling mutagenesis. *Nat.Biotechnol.* **16** 657-662.

Burgi H, Muller WA, Humbel RE, Labhart A & Froesch ER 1966 Non-suppressible insulin-like activity of human serum. I. Physicochemical properties, extraction and partial purification. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta* **121** 349-359.

Butler AA & LeRoith D 2001 Minireview: tissue-specific versus generalized gene targeting of the igf1 and igf1r genes and their roles in insulin-like growth factor physiology. *Endocrinology* **142** 1685-1688.

Buul-Offers SC, de Haan K, Reijnen-Gresnigt MG, Meinsma D, Jansen M, Oei SL, Bonte EJ, Sussenbach JS & van den Brande JL 1995 Overexpression of human insulin-like growth factor-II in transgenic mice causes increased growth of the thymus. *J.Endocrinol.* **144** 491-502.

Buul-Offers SC & Kooijman R 1998 The role of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factors in the immune system. *Cell Mol.Life Sci.* **54** 1083-1094.

Buul-Offers SC, Reijnen-Gresnigt MG, Hoogerbrugge CM, Bloemen RJ, Kuper CF & van den Brande JL 1994 Recombinant insulin-like growth factor-II inhibits the growth- stimulating effect of growth hormone on the liver of Snell dwarf mice. *Endocrinology* **135** 977-985.

Byun D, Mohan S, Baylink DJ & Qin X 2001a Localization of the IGF binding domain and evaluation of the role of cysteine residues in IGF binding in IGF binding protein-4. *J.Endocrinol.* **169** 135-143.

Byun D, Mohan S, Kim C, Suh K, Yoo M, Lee H, Baylink DJ & Qin X 2000 Studies on human pregnancy-induced insulin-like growth factor (IGF)- binding protein-4 proteases in serum: determination of IGF-II dependency and localization of cleavage site. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **85** 373-381.

Byun D, Mohan S, Yoo M, Sexton C, Baylink DJ & Qin X 2001b Pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A accounts for the insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) proteolytic activity in human pregnancy serum and enhances the mitogenic activity of IGF by degrading IGFBP-4 in vitro. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **86** 847-854.

Calabretta B, Robberson DL, Barrera-Saldana HA, Lambrou TP & Saunders GF 1982 Genome instability in a region of human DNA enriched in Alu repeat sequences. *Nature* **296** 219-225.

Carr JM, Grant PA, Francis GL, Owens JA, Wallace JC & Walton PE 1994 Isolation and characterization of ovine IGFBP-4: protein purification and cDNA sequence. *J.Mol.Endocrinol.* **13** 219-236.

Cataldo NA & Giudice LC 1992 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein profiles in human ovarian follicular fluid correlate with follicular functional status. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **74** 821-829.

Catanese VM, Grigorescu F, King GL & Kahn CR 1986 The human erythrocyte insulin-like growth factor I receptor: characterization and demonstration of ligand-stimulated autophosphorylation. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **62** 692-699.

Cecconi F & Meyer BI 2000 Gene trap: a way to identify novel genes and unravel their biological function. *FEBS Lett.* **480** 63-71.

Ceda GP, Fielder PJ, Henzel WJ, Louie A, Donovan SM, Hoffman AR & Rosenfeld RG 1991 Differential effects of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-II on the expression of IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs) in a rat neuroblastoma cell line: isolation and characterization of two forms of IGFBP-4. *Endocrinology* **128** 2815-2824.

Cerro JA, Grewal A, Wood TL & Pintar JE 1993 Tissue-specific expression of the insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) mRNAs in mouse and rat development. *Regul.Pept.* **48** 189-198.

Cerro JA & Pintar JE 1997 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein gene expression in the pregnant rat uterus and placenta. *Dev.Biol.* **184** 278-295.

Chamberlain CS & Spicer LJ 2001 Hormonal control of ovarian cell production of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **182** 69-81.

Chambery D, de Galle B & Babajko S 1998 Retinoic acid stimulates IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-6 and depresses IGFBP-2 and IGFBP-4 in SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells. *J.Endocrinol.* **159** 227-232.

Chambery D, de Galle B, Ehrenborg E & Babajko S 2000 Multi-hormonal regulation of IGFBP-6 expression in human neuroblastoma cells. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **10** 349-359.

Chambery D, Mohseni-Zadeh S, de Galle B & Babajko S 1999 N-myc regulation of type I insulin-like growth factor receptor in a human neuroblastoma cell line. *Cancer Res.* **59** 2898-2902.

Chamoun D, DeMoura MD, Levitas E, Resnick CE, Gargosky SE, Rosenfeld RG, Matsumoto T & Adashi EY 1999 Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of intraovarian insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins by interleukin-1beta (IL-1beta): evidence for IL-1beta as an antiatretic principal. *Endocrinology* **140** 3488-3495.

Chandrasekher YA, Van Dessel HJ, Fauser BC & Giudice LC 1995 Estrogen- but not androgen-dominant human ovarian follicular fluid contains an insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 protease. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **80** 2734-2739.

Chelius D, Baldwin MA, Lu X & Spencer EM 2001 Expression, purification and characterization of the structure and disulfide linkages of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4. *J.Endocrinol.* **168** 283-296.

Chelius D, Wu SL & Bondarenko PV 2002 Identification of N-linked oligosaccharides of rat insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **12** 169-177.

Chen Y, Shu H, Ji C, Casinghino S, Kim K, Gundberg CM, Centrella M & McCarthy TL 1998 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins localize to discrete cell culture compartments in periosteal and osteoblast cultures from fetal rat bone. *J.Cell Biochem.* **71** 351-362.

Cheng SL, Zhang SF, Mohan S, Lecanda F, Fausto A, Hunt AH, Canalis E & Avioli LV 1998 Regulation of insulin-like growth factors I and II and their binding proteins in human bone marrow stromal cells by dexamethasone. *J.Cell Biochem.* **71** 449-458.

Cheung PT, Smith EP, Shimasaki S, Ling N & Chernausek SD 1991 Characterization of an insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP-4) produced by the B104 rat neuronal cell line: chemical and biological properties and differential synthesis by sublines. *Endocrinology* **129** 1006-1015.

Choi D, Rohan RM, Rosenfeld RG, Matsumoto T, Gargosky SE & Adashi EY 1997 Activin-attenuated expression of transcripts encoding granulosa cell- derived insulinlike growth factor binding proteins 4 and 5 in the rat: a putative antiatretic effect. *Biol.Reprod.* **56** 508-515.

Christofori G, Naik P & Hanahan D 1994 A second signal supplied by insulin-like growth factor II in oncogene- induced tumorigenesis. *Nature* **369** 414-418.

Clark R, Strasser J, McCabe S, Robbins K & Jardieu P 1993 Insulin-like growth factor-1 stimulation of lymphopoiesis. *J.Clin.Invest* **92** 540-548.

Claussen M, Buergisser D, Schuller AG, Matzner U & Braulke T 1995 Regulation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-6 and mannose 6-phosphate/IGF-II receptor expression in IGF-IL-overexpressing NIH 3T3 cells. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **9** 902-912.

Claussen M, Kubler B, Wendland M, Neifer K, Schmidt B, Zapf J & Braulke T 1997 Proteolysis of insulin-like growth factors (IGF) and IGF binding proteins by cathepsin D. *Endocrinology* **138** 3797-3803. Clemmons DR 1997 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins and their role in controlling IGF actions. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **8** 45-62.

Clemmons DR 1998 Role of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in controlling IGF actions. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **140** 19-24.

Clemmons DR 2001 Use of mutagenesis to probe IGF-binding protein structure/function relationships. *Endocr.Rev.* **22** 800-817.

Cohick WS & Clemmons DR 1993 The insulin-like growth factors. *Annu.Rev.Physiol* **55** 131-153.

Cohick WS & Turner JD 1998 Regulation of IGF binding protein synthesis by a bovine mammary epithelial cell line. *J.Endocrinol.* **157** 327-336.

Collier RJ, Miller MA, Hildebrandt JR, Torkelson AR, White TC, Madsen KS, Vicini JL, Eppard PJ & Lanza GM 1991 Factors affecting insulin-like growth factor-I concentration in bovine milk. *J.Dairy Sci.* **74** 2905-2911.

Conlon MA, Francis GL, Tomas FM, Wallace JC, Howarth GS & Ballard FJ 1995 Continuous 14 day infusion of IGF-II increases the growth of normal female rats, but exhibits a lower potency than IGF-I. *J.Endocrinol.* **144** 91-98.

Conover CA, Clarkson JT & Bale LK 1995 Effect of glucocorticoid on insulin-like growth factor (IGF) regulation of IGF-binding protein expression in fibroblasts. *Endocrinology* **136** 1403-1410.

Conover CA, Faessen GF, Ilg KE, Chandrasekher YA, Christiansen M, Overgaard MT, Oxvig C & Giudice LC 2001 Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-a is the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 protease secreted by human ovarian granulosa cells and is a marker of dominant follicle selection and the corpus luteum. *Endocrinology* **142** 2155.

Conover CA, Oxvig C, Overgaard MT, Christiansen M & Giudice LC 1999 Evidence that the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 protease in human ovarian follicular fluid is pregnancy associated plasma protein-A. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **84** 4742-4745.

Corkins MR, McQuade J, Schaffer BS & MacDonald RG 2002 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 expression is dependent on the carbohydrate in the media in HT-29 cells. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **12** 184-192.

Corps AN, Brown KD, Rees LH, Carr J & Prosser CG 1988 The insulin-like growth factor I content in human milk increases between early and full lactation. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **67** 25-29.

Crossey PA, Jones JS & Miell JP 2000 Dysregulation of the insulin/IGF binding protein-1 axis in transgenic mice is associated with hyperinsulinemia and glucose intolerance. *Diabetes* **49** 457-465.

Cubbage ML, Suwanichkul A & Powell DR 1990 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3. Organization of the human chromosomal gene and demonstration of promoter activity. *J.Biol.Chem.* **265** 12642-12649.

Culouscou JM & Shoyab M 1991 Purification of a colon cancer cell growth inhibitor and its identification as an insulin-like growth factor binding protein. *Cancer Res.* **51** 2813-2819.

D'Ercole AJ, Dai Z, Xing Y, Boney C, Wilkie MB, Lauder JM, Han VK & Clemmons DR 1994 Brain growth retardation due to the expression of human insulin like growth factor binding protein-1 in transgenic mice: an in vivo model for the analysis of igf function in the brain. *Brain Res.Dev.Brain Res.* **82** 213-222.

Dai B, Widen SG, Mifflin R & Singh P 1997 Cloning of the functional promoter for human insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 gene: endogenous regulation. *Endocrinology* **138** 332-343.

Dai Z, Xing Y, Boney CM, Clemmons DR & D'Ercole AJ 1994 Human insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (hIGFBP-1) in transgenic mice: characterization and insights into the regulation of IGFBP-1 expression. *Endocrinology* **135** 1316-1327.

Dailly YP, Zhou Y, Linkhart TA, Baylink DJ & Strong DD 2001 Structure and characterization of the human insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)-6 promoter: identification of a functional retinoid response element. *Biochim.Biophys.Acta* **1518** 145-151.

Damon SE, Haugk KL, Birnbaum RS & Quinn LS 1998a Retrovirally mediated overexpression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4: evidence that insulin-like growth factor is required for skeletal muscle differentiation. *J.Cell Physiol* **175** 109-120.

Damon SE, Maddison L, Ware JL & Plymate SR 1998b Overexpression of an inhibitory insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP), IGFBP-4, delays onset of prostate tumor formation. *Endocrinology* **139** 3456-3464.

Daughaday WH, Hall K, Raben MS, Salmon WD, Jr., van den Brande JL & van Wyk JJ 1972 Somatomedin: proposed designation for sulphation factor. *Nature* **235** 107.

Daughaday WH, Parker KA, Borowsky S, Trivedi B & Kapadia M 1982 Measurement of somatomedin-related peptides in fetal, neonatal, and maternal rat serum by insulin-like growth factor (IGF) I radioimmunoassay, IGF-II radioreceptor assay (RRA), and multiplication- stimulating activity RRA after acid-ethanol extraction. *Endocrinology* **110** 575-581.

Daughaday WH & Rotwein P 1989 Insulin-like growth factors I and II. Peptide, messenger ribonucleic acid and gene structures, serum, and tissue concentrations. *Endocr.Rev.* **10** 68-91.

De Los RP & Hill DJ 2000 Expression and release of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in isolated epiphyseal growth plate chondrocytes from the ovine fetus. *J.Cell Physiol* 172-181.

De Meyts P, Wallach B, Christoffersen CT, Urso B, Gronskov K, Latus LJ, Yakushiji F, Ilondo MM & Shymko RM 1994 The insulin-like growth factor-I receptor. Structure, ligand-binding mechanism and signal transduction. *Horm.Res.* **42** 152-169.

DeChiara TM, Efstratiadis A & Robertson EJ 1990 A growth-deficiency phenotype in heterozygous mice carrying an insulin- like growth factor II gene disrupted by targeting. *Nature* **345** 78-80.

DeChiara TM, Robertson EJ & Efstratiadis A 1991 Parental imprinting of the mouse insulin-like growth factor II gene. *Cell* **64** 849-859.

Delhanty PJ, Hill DJ, Shimasaki S & Han VK 1993 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4, -5 and -6 mRNAs in the human fetus: localization to sites of growth and differentiation? *Growth Regul.* **3** 8-11.

Demori I, Bottazzi C, Arzani D, Voci A & Fugassa E 1997a Regulation of IGFBP-1 and -4 expression by triiodothyronine (T3) in cultured hepatocytes is cell- and gene-specific. *Boll.Soc.Ital.Biol.Sper.* **73** 47-53.

Demori I, Bottazzi C, Voci A, Gallo G, Scharf JG & Fugassa E 1997b Triiodothyronine increases insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 4 expression in rat hepatocytes. *J.Endocrinol.* **154** 155-165.

Denny P & Justice MJ 2000 Mouse as the measure of man? *Trends Genet.* **16** 283-287.

Devlin RD, Du Z, Buccilli V, Jorgetti V & Canalis E 2002 Transgenic mice overexpressing insulin-like growth factor binding protein-5 display transiently decreased osteoblastic function and osteopenia. *Endocrinology* **143** 3955-3962.

Dheen ST, Rajkumar K & Murphy LJ 1996 Effects of insulin-like growth factors (IGF) on pancreatic islet function in IGF binding protein-1 transgenic mice. *Diabetologia* **39** 1249-1254.

Di Battista JA, Dore S, Morin N, He Y, Pelletier JP & Martel-Pelletier J 1997 Prostaglandin E2 stimulates insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 4 expression and synthesis in cultured human articular chondrocytes: possible mediation by Ca(++)-calmodulin regulated processes. *J.Cell Biochem.* **65** 408-419.

Donovan SM, Hintz RL & Rosenfeld RG 1991 Insulin-like growth factors I and II and their binding proteins in human milk: effect of heat treatment on IGF and IGF binding protein stability. *J.Pediatr.Gastroenterol.Nutr.* **13** 242-253.

Donovan SM, McNeil LK, Jimenez-Flores R & Odle J 1994 Insulin-like growth factors and insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in porcine serum and milk throughout lactation. *Pediatr.Res.* **36** 159-168.

Dorshkind K 1990 Regulation of hemopoiesis by bone marrow stromal cells and their products. *Annu.Rev.Immunol.* **8** 111-137.

Dorshkind K & Horseman ND 2000 The roles of prolactin, growth hormone, insulinlike growth factor-I, and thyroid hormones in lymphocyte development and function: insights from genetic models of hormone and hormone receptor deficiency. *Endocr.Rev.* **21** 292-312.

Drezen JM, Nouvel P, Babinet C & Morello D 1992 Different regulation of class I gene expression in the adult mouse and during development. *J.Immunol.* **149** 429-437.

Drivdahl RH, Loop SM, Andress DL & Ostenson RC 1995 IGF-binding proteins in human prostate tumor cells: expression and regulation by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Prostate* **26** 72-79.

Drivdahl RH, Sprenger C, Trimm K & Plymate SR 2001 Inhibition of growth and increased expression of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) and -6 in prostate cancer cells stably transfected with antisense IGFBP-4 complementary deoxyribonucleic acid. *Endocrinology* **142** 1990-1998.

Duan C 2002 Specifying the cellular responses to IGF signals: roles of IGF-binding proteins. *J.Endocrinol.* **175** 41-54.

Duan C & Clemmons DR 1998 Differential expression and biological effects of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 and -5 in vascular smooth muscle cells. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273** 16836-16842.

Dulak NC & Temin HM 1973a A partially purified polypeptide fraction from rat liver cell conditioned medium with multiplication-stimulating activity for embryo fibroblasts. *J.Cell Physiol* **81** 153-160.

Dulak NC & Temin HM 1973b Multiplication-stimulating activity for chicken embryo fibroblasts from rat liver cell conditioned medium: a family of small polypeptides. *J.Cell Physiol* **81** 161-170.

Dusing MR & Wiginton DA 1994 Sp1 is essential for both enhancer-mediated and basal activation of the TATA-less human adenosine deaminase promoter. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22** 669-677.

Ehrenborg E, Zazzi H, Lagercrantz S, Granqvist M, Hillerbrand U, Allander SV, Larsson C & Luthman H 1999 Characterization and chromosomal localization of the human insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 6 gene. *Mamm.Genome* **10** 376-380.

el Roeiy A, Chen X, Roberts VJ, Shimasakai S, Ling N, LeRoith D, Roberts CT, Jr. & Yen SS 1994 Expression of the genes encoding the insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and II), the IGF and insulin receptors, and IGF-binding proteins-1-6 and the localization of their gene products in normal and polycystic ovary syndrome ovaries. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **78** 1488-1496.

Elmlinger MW, Hochhaus F, Loui A & Ranke MB 2002 Are IGFs in the breast milk from mothers of preterm infants important for the early development? *Growth Horm. IGF Res.* **12** 239 (abstract 047)

Elmlinger MW, Grund R, Buck M, Wollmann HA, Feist N, Weber MM, Speer CP & Ranke MB 1999 Limited proteolysis of the IGF binding protein-2 (IGFBP-2) by a specific serine protease activity in early breast milk. *Pediatr.Res.* **46** 76-81.

Elmlinger MW, Sanatani MS, Bell M, Dannecker GE & Ranke MB 1998 Elevated insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein (IGFBP)-2 and IGFBP-4 expression of leukemic T-cells is affected by autocrine/paracrine IGF-II action but not by IGF type I receptor expression. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **138** 337-343.

Ewton DZ, Coolican SA, Mohan S, Chernausek SD & Florini JR 1998 Modulation of insulin-like growth factor actions in L6A1 myoblasts by insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)-4 and IGFBP-5: a dual role for IGFBP-5. *J.Cell Physiol* **177** 47-57.

Ewton DZ & Florini JR 1995 IGF binding proteins-4, -5 and -6 may play specialized roles during L6 myoblast proliferation and differentiation. *J.Endocrinol.* **144** 539-553.

Feliers D, Woodruff K & Abboud S 1999 Potential role of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 in the uncoupling of bone turnover in multiple myeloma. *Br.J.Haematol.* **104** 715-722.

Fernandez-Celemin L & Thissen JP 2001 Interleukin-6 stimulates hepatic insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 messenger ribonucleic acid and protein. *Endocrinology* **142** 241-248.

Filson AJ, Louvi A, Efstratiadis A & Robertson EJ 1993 Rescue of the T-associated maternal effect in mice carrying null mutations in Igf-2 and Igf2r, two reciprocally imprinted genes. *Development* **118** 731-736.

Firth SM & Baxter RC 2002 Cellular actions of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. *Endocr.Rev.* **23** 824-854.

Flaswinkel H, Alessandrini F, Rathkolb B, Decker T, Kremmer E, Servatius A, Jakob T, Soewarto D, Marschall S, Fella C, Behrendt H, Ring J, Wolf E, Balling R, Hrabe dA & Pfeffer K 2000 Identification of immunological relevant phenotypes in ENU mutagenized mice. *Mamm.Genome* **11** 526-527.

Forbes B, Ballard FJ & Wallace JC 1990 An insulin-like growth factor-binding protein purified from medium conditioned by a human lung fibroblast cell line (He[39]L) has a novel N-terminal sequence. *J.Endocrinol.* **126** 497-506.

Forbes BE, Turner D, Hodge SJ, McNeil KA, Forsberg G & Wallace JC 1998 Localization of an insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding site of bovine IGF binding protein-2 using disulfide mapping and deletion mutation analysis of the C-terminal domain. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273** 4647-4652.

Fottner C, Engelhardt D, Elmlinger MW & Weber MM 2001 Identification and characterization of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)- binding protein expression and secretion by adult human adrenocortical cells: differential regulation by IGFs and adrenocorticotropin. *J.Endocrinol.* **168** 465-474.

Fottner C, Engelhardt D & Weber MM 1999 Characterization of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBPs) secreted by bovine adrenocortical cells in primary culture: regulation by insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and adrenocorticotropin (ACTH). *Horm.Metab Res.* **31** 203-208.

Fowlkes J & Freemark M 1992 Evidence for a novel insulin-like growth factor (IGF)dependent protease regulating IGF-binding protein-4 in dermal fibroblasts. *Endocrinology* **131** 2071-2076.

Fowlkes JL, Thrailkill KM, George-Nascimento C, Rosenberg CK & Serra DM 1997 Heparin-binding, highly basic regions within the thyroglobulin type-1 repeat of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding proteins (IGFBPs) - 3, -5, and -6 inhibit IGFBP-4 degradation. *Endocrinology* **138** 2280-2285.

Froesch ER, Burgi H, Ramseier EB, Bally P & Labhart A 1963 Antibodysuppressible and nonsuppressible insulin-like activities in human serum and their physiological significance. An insulin assay with adipose tissue of increased precision and specificity. *J. Clin. Invest.* **42** 1816-1834.

Gabbitas B & Canalis E 1996a Cortisol enhances the transcription of insulin-like growth factor- binding protein-6 in cultured osteoblasts. *Endocrinology* **137** 1687-1692.

Gabbitas B & Canalis E 1996b Retinoic acid stimulates the transcription of insulinlike growth factor binding protein-6 in skeletal cells. *J.Cell Physiol* **169** 15-22.

Gabbitas B & Canalis E 1997 Growth factor regulation of insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 6 expression in osteoblasts. *J.Cell Biochem.* **66** 77-86.

Gallicchio MA, Kneen M, Hall C, Scott AM & Bach LA 2001 Overexpression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 inhibits rhabdomyosarcoma growth in vivo. *Int.J.Cancer* **94** 645-651.

Gao L, Ling N & Shimasaki S 1993 Structure of the rat insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 gene. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **190** 1053-1059.

Garnaut SM, Howarth GS & Read LC 2002 Effects of insulin-like growth factor-I and its analogue, long-R3-IGF- I, on intestinal absorption of 3-O-methyl-D-glucose are less pronounced than gut mucosal growth responses. *Growth Factors* **20** 17-25.

Gay E, Seurin D, Babajko S, Doublier S, Cazillis M & Binoux M 1997 Liver-specific expression of human insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 in transgenic mice: repercussions on reproduction, ante- and perinatal mortality and postnatal growth. *Endocrinology* **138** 2937-2947.

Geenen V, Achour I, Robert F, Vandersmissen E, Sodoyez JC, Defresne MP, Boniver J, Lefebvre PJ & Franchimont P 1993 Evidence that insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) is the dominant thymic peptide of the insulin superfamily. *Thymus* **21** 115-127.

Gerrits PO & Horobin RW 1996 Glycol methacrylate embedding for light microscopy: Basic principles and trouble-shooting. *J. Histotechnol.* **19** 297-311.

Giannini S, Cresci B, Pala L, Ciucci A, Franchini A, Manuelli C, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, Cappugi P, Zonefrati R & Rotella CM 2001 IGFBPs modulate IGF-I- and high glucose-controlled growth of human retinal endothelial cells. *J.Endocrinol.* **171** 273-284.

Giannini S, Cresci B, Pala L, Ciucci A, Manuelli C, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, Cappugi P & Rotella CM 1999 Human glomerular endothelial cells IGFBPs are regulated by IGF-I and TGF-beta1. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **154** 123-136.

Gibson LF, Piktel D & Landreth KS 1993 Insulin-like growth factor-1 potentiates expansion of interleukin-7- dependent pro-B cells. *Blood* **82** 3005-3011.

Giudice LC, Conover CA, Bale L, Faessen GH, Ilg K, Sun I, Imani B, Suen LF, Irwin JC, Christiansen M, Overgaard MT & Oxvig C 2002 Identification and regulation of the IGFBP-4 protease and its physiological inhibitor in human trophoblasts and endometrial stroma: evidence for paracrine regulation of IGF-II bioavailability in the placental bed during human implantation. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **87** 2359-2366.

Glantschnig H, Varga F & Klaushofer K 1996 Thyroid hormone and retinoic acid induce the synthesis of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 in mouse osteoblastic cells. *Endocrinology* **137** 281-286.

Glantschnig H, Varga F, Luegmayr E & Klaushofer K 1998 Characterization of the mouse insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 gene regulatory region and expression studies. *DNA Cell Biol.* **17** 51-60.

Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H, Davis RW, Dujon B, Feldmann H, Galibert F, Hoheisel JD, Jacq C, Johnston M, Louis EJ, Mewes HW, Murakami Y, Philippsen P, Tettelin H & Oliver SG 1996 Life with 6000 genes. *Science* **274** 546, 563-546, 567.

Gong Y, Ballejo G, Alkhalaf B, Molnar P, Murphy LC & Murphy LJ 1992 Phorbol esters differentially regulate the expression of insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins in endometrial carcinoma cells. *Endocrinology* **131** 2747-2754.

Gori F, Hofbauer LC, Conover CA & Khosla S 1999 Effects of androgens on the insulin-like growth factor system in an androgen-responsive human osteoblastic cell line. *Endocrinology* **140** 5579-5586.

Greisen S, Flyvbjerg A, Ledet T & Ovesen P 2002 Regulation of insulin-like growth factor binding protein secretion by human granulosa luteal cells in a polycystic ovary-like environment. *Fertil.Steril.* **78** 162-168.

Grellier P, Berrebi D, Peuchmaur M & Babajko S 2002 The IGF system in neuroblastoma xenografts: focus on IGF-binding protein-6. *J.Endocrinol.* **172** 467-476.

Grellier P, de Galle B & Babajko S 1998 Expression of insulin-like growth factorbinding protein 6 complementary DNA alters neuroblastoma cell growth. *Cancer Res.* **58** 1670-1676.

Grellier P, Yee D, Gonzalez M & Abboud SL 1995 Characterization of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP) and regulation of IGFBP-4 in bone marrow stromal cells. *Br.J.Haematol.* **90** 249-257.

Gundersen HJ & Jensen EB 1987 The efficiency of systematic sampling in stereology and its prediction. *J Microsc* **147** 229-263.

Gustafsson T, Andersson P & Arnqvist HJ 1999a Different inhibitory actions of IGFBP-1, -2 and -4 on IGF-I effects in vascular smooth muscle cells. *J.Endocrinol.* **161** 245-253.

Gustafsson T, Andersson P, Chen Y, Magnusson JO & Arnqvist HJ 1999b Interaction of angiotensin II and the insulin-like growth factor system in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Am.J.Physiol* **277** H499-H507.

Han VK, Bassett N, Walton J & Challis JR 1996 The expression of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and IGF-binding protein (IGFBP) genes in the human placenta and membranes: evidence for IGF-IGFBP interactions at the feto-maternal interface. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **81** 2680-2693.

Han VK & Carter AM 2000 Spatial and temporal patterns of expression of messenger RNA for insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins in the placenta of man and laboratory animals. *Placenta* **21** 289-305.

Hanks MC, Loomis CA, Harris E, Tong CX, Anson-Cartwright L, Auerbach A & Joyner A 1998 Drosophila engrailed can substitute for mouse Engrailed1 function in mid-hindbrain, but not limb development. *Development* **125** 4521-4530.

Hashimoto R, Ono M, Fujiwara H, Higashihashi N, Yoshida M, Enjoh-Kimura T & Sakano K 1997 Binding sites and binding properties of binary and ternary complexes of insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF-II), IGF-binding protein-3, and acid- labile subunit. *J.Biol.Chem.* **272** 27936-27942.

Hayford K, Boes M, Dake BL & Bar RS 1998 Regulations of IGF binding proteins in human aorta vascular smooth muscle cells by cAMP, dexamethasone and IGF-I. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **8** 369-375.

Hill DJ, Hogg J, Petrik J, Arany E & Han VK 1999 Cellular distribution and ontogeny of insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and IGF binding protein messenger RNAs and peptides in developing rat pancreas. *J.Endocrinol.* **160** 305-317.

Hinton PS, Peterson CA, Dahly EM & Ney DM 1998 IGF-I alters lymphocyte survival and regeneration in thymus and spleen after dexamethasone treatment. *Am.J.Physiol* **274** R912-R920.

Hinton PS, Peterson CA, Lo HC, Yang H, McCarthy D & Ney DM 1995 Insulin-like growth factor-I enhances immune response in dexamethasone- treated or surgically stressed rats maintained with total parenteral nutrition. *JPEN J.Parenter.Enteral Nutr.* **19** 444-452.

Hise MK, Salmanullah M, Tannenbaum GS & Rohan RM 2001 mRNA expression of the IGF system in the kidney of the hypersomatotropic rat. *Nephron* **88** 360-367.

Hoeflich A, Yang Y, Huber S, Rascher W, Koepf G, Blum WF, Heinz-Erian P, Kolb HJ & Kiess W 1996 Expression of IGFBP-2, -3, and -4 mRNA during differentiation of Caco-2 colon epithelial cells. *Am.J Physiol* **271** E922-E931.

Hoeflich A, Nedbal S, Blum WF, Erhard M, Lahm H, Brem G, Kolb HJ, Wanke R & Wolf E 2001 Growth inhibition in giant growth hormone transgenic mice by

overexpression of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-2. *Endocrinology* **142** 1889-1898.

Hoeflich A, Reisinger R, Vargas GA, Elmlinger MW, Schuett B, Jehle PM, Renner-Muller I, Lahm H, Russo VC & Wolf E 2002 Mutation of the RGD sequence does not affect plasma membrane association and growth inhibitory effects of elevated IGFBP-2 in vivo. *FEBS Lett.* **523** 63-67.

Hoeflich A, Wu M, Mohan S, Foll J, Wanke R, Froehlich T, Arnold GJ, Lahm H, Kolb HJ & Wolf E 1999 Overexpression of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-2 in transgenic mice reduces postnatal body weight gain. *Endocrinology* **140** 5488-5496.

Holzenberger M, Leneuve P, Hamard G, Ducos B, Perin L, Binoux M & Le Bouc Y 2000 A targeted partial invalidation of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene in mice causes a postnatal growth deficit. *Endocrinology* **141** 2557-2566.

Hossenlopp P, Seurin D, Segovia-Quinson B, Hardouin S & Binoux M 1986 Analysis of serum insulin-like growth factor binding proteins using western blotting: use of the method for titration of the binding proteins and competitive binding studies. *Anal.Biochem.* **154** 138-143.

Houck CM, Rinehart FP & Schmid CW 1979 A ubiquitous family of repeated DNA sequences in the human genome. *J.Mol.Biol.* **132** 289-306.

Hourvitz A, Kuwahara A, Hennebold JD, Tavares AB, Negishi H, Lee TH, Erickson GF & Adashi EY 2002 The regulated expression of the pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A in the rodent ovary: a proposed role in the development of dominant follicles and of corpora lutea. *Endocrinology* **143** 1833-1844.

Hourvitz A, Widger AE, Filho FL, Chang RJ, Adashi EY & Erickson GF 2000 Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A gene expression in human ovaries is restricted to healthy follicles and corpora lutea. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **85** 4916-4920.

How HK, Yeoh A, Quah TC, Oh Y, Rosenfeld RG & Lee KO 1999 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBPs) and IGFBP-related protein 1-levels in cerebrospinal fluid of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **84** 1283-1287.

Huang PL, Dawson TM, Bredt DS, Snyder SH & Fishman MC 1993 Targeted disruption of the neuronal nitric oxide synthase gene. *Cell* **75** 1273-1286.

Huber R, Schlessinger D & Pilia G 1998 Multiple Sp1 sites efficiently drive transcription of the TATA-less promoter of the human glypican 3 (GPC3) gene. *Gene* **214** 35-44.

Huynh H 1998 In vivo regulation of the insulin-like growth factor system of mitogens by human chorionic gonadotropin. *Int.J.Oncol.* **13** 571-575.

Huynh H 2000 Post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 and -4 by insulin-like growth factor-I in uterine myometrial cells. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **10** 20-27.

Hwa V, Oh Y & Rosenfeld RG 1999 The insulin-like growth factor-binding protein (IGFBP) superfamily. *Endocr.Rev.* **20** 761-787.

Iwashita M, Kudo Y, Yoshimura Y, Adachi T, Katayama E & Takeda Y 1996 Physiological role of insulin-like-growth-factor-binding protein-4 in human folliculogenesis. *Horm.Res.* **46 Suppl 1** 31-36.

Jardieu P, Clark R, Mortensen D & Dorshkind K 1994 In vivo administration of insulin-like growth factor-I stimulates primary B lymphopoiesis and enhances lymphocyte recovery after bone marrow transplantation. *J.Immunol.* **152** 4320-4327.

Jeay S, Sonenshein GE, Postel-Vinay MC, Kelly PA & Baixeras E 2002 Growth hormone can act as a cytokine controlling survival and proliferation of immune cells: new insights into signaling pathways. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **188** 1-7.

Jennische E & Hall CM 2000 Expression and localisation of IGF-binding protein mRNAs in regenerating rat skeletal muscle. *APMIS* **108** 747-755.

Jones JI & Clemmons DR 1995 Insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins: biological actions. *Endocr.Rev.* **16** 3-34.

Jones JI, Gockerman A, Busby WH, Jr., Camacho-Hubner C & Clemmons DR 1993a Extracellular matrix contains insulin-like growth factor binding protein-5: potentiation of the effects of IGF-I. *J.Cell Biol.* **121** 679-687.

Jones JI, Gockerman A, Busby WH, Jr., Wright G & Clemmons DR 1993b Insulinlike growth factor binding protein 1 stimulates cell migration and binds to the alpha 5 beta 1 integrin by means of its Arg-Gly-Asp sequence. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **90** 10553-10557.

Kaleko M, Rutter WJ & Miller AD 1990 Overexpression of the human insulinlike growth factor I receptor promotes ligand-dependent neoplastic transformation. *Mol.Cell Biol.* **10** 464-473.

Kalus W, Zweckstetter M, Renner C, Sanchez Y, Georgescu J, Grol M, Demuth D, Schumacher R, Dony C, Lang K & Holak TA 1998 Structure of the IGF-binding domain of the insulin-like growth factor- binding protein-5 (IGFBP-5): implications for IGF and IGF-I receptor interactions. *EMBO J.* **17** 6558-6572.

Kassem M, Brixen K, Mosekilde L, Blum WF & Flyvbjerg A 1998 Effects of growth hormone treatment on serum levels of insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and IGF binding proteins 1-4 in postmenopausal women. *Clin.Endocrinol.(Oxf)* **49** 747-756.

Kassem M, Okazaki R, De Leon D, Harris SA, Robinson JA, Spelsberg TC, Conover CA & Riggs BL 1996 Potential mechanism of estrogen-mediated decrease in bone formation: estrogen increases production of inhibitory insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4. *Proc.Assoc.Am.Physicians* **108** 155-164.

Kato M, Ishizaki A, Hellman U, Wernstedt C, Kyogoku M, Miyazono K, Heldin CH & Funa K 1995 A human keratinocyte cell line produces two autocrine growth inhibitors, transforming growth factor-beta and insulin-like growth factor binding

protein-6, in a calcium- and cell density-dependent manner. *J.Biol.Chem.* **270** 12373-12379.

Katz LE, Bhala A, Camron E, Nunn SE, Hintz RL & Cohen P 1997 IGF-II, IGFbinding proteins and IGF receptors in pancreatic beta-cell lines. *J.Endocrinol.* **152** 455-464.

Kecha O, Martens H, Franchimont N, Achour I, Hazee-Hagelstein MT, Charlet-Renard C, Geenen V & Winkler R 1999 Characterization of the insulin-like growth factor axis in the human thymus. *J.Neuroendocrinol.* **11** 435-440.

Kelley KM, Oh Y, Gargosky SE, Gucev Z, Matsumoto T, Hwa V, Ng L, Simpson DM & Rosenfeld RG 1996 Insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs) and their regulatory dynamics. *Int.J.Biochem.Cell Biol.* **28** 619-637.

Kelley KM, Schmidt KE, Berg L, Sak K, Galima MM, Gillespie C, Balogh L, Hawayek A, Reyes JA & Jamison M 2002 Comparative endocrinology of the insulinlike growth factor-binding protein. *J.Endocrinol.* **175** 3-18.

Kim EJ, Kang YH, Schaffer BS, Bach LA, MacDonald RG & Park JH 2002a Inhibition of Caco-2 cell proliferation by all-trans retinoic acid: role of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6. *J.Cell Physiol* **190** 92-100.

Kim EJ, Schaffer BS, Kang YH, MacDonald RG & Park JH 2002b Decreased production of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein (IGFBP)-6 by transfection of colon cancer cells with an antisense IGFBP- 6 cDNA construct leads to stimulation of cell proliferation. *J.Gastroenterol.Hepatol.* **17** 563-570.

Klein RM & McKenzie JC 1983 The role of cell renewal in the ontogeny of the intestine. II. Regulation of cell proliferation in adult, fetal, and neonatal intestine. *J.Pediatr.Gastroenterol.Nutr.* **2** 204-228.

Kniss DA, Shubert PJ, Zimmerman PD, Landon MB & Gabbe SG 1994 Insulinlike growth factors. Their regulation of glucose and amino acid transport in placental trophoblasts isolated from first-trimester chorionic villi. *J.Reprod.Med.* **39** 249-256.

Knudtson KL, Boes M, Sandra A, Dake BL, Booth BA & Bar RS 2001 Distribution of chimeric IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3 and IGFBP-4 in the rat heart: importance of C-terminal basic region. *Endocrinology* **142** 3749-3755.

Kooijman R, Buul-Offers SC, Scholtens LE, Reijnen-Gresnigt RG & Zegers BJ 1997 T and B cell development in pituitary deficient insulin-like growth factor-II transgenic dwarf mice. *J.Endocrinol.* **155** 165-170.

Kooijman R, Buul-Offers SC, Scholtens LE, Schuurman HJ, Van den Brande LJ & Zegers BJ 1995a T cell development in insulin-like growth factor-II transgenic mice. *J.Immunol.* **154** 5736-5745.

Kooijman R, Scholtens LE, Rijkers GT & Zegers BJ 1995b Type I insulin-like growth factor receptor expression in different developmental stages of human thymocytes. *J.Endocrinol.* **147** 203-209.

Kooijman R, Willems M, De Haas CJ, Rijkers GT, Schuurmans AL, Buul-Offers SC, Heijnen CJ & Zegers BJ 1992 Expression of type I insulin-like growth factor receptors on human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Endocrinology* **131** 2244-2250.

Kornfeld S 1992 Structure and function of the mannose 6-phosphate/insulinlike growth factor II receptors. *Annu.Rev.Biochem.* **61** 307-330.

Koshikawa N, Hasegawa S, Nagashima Y, Mitsuhashi K, Tsubota Y, Miyata S, Miyagi Y, Yasumitsu H & Miyazaki K 1998 Expression of trypsin by epithelial cells of various tissues, leukocytes, and neurons in human and mouse. *Am.J.Pathol.* **153** 937-944.

Kozak RW, Haskell JF, Greenstein LA, Rechler MM, Waldmann TA & Nissley SP 1987 Type I and II insulin-like growth factor receptors on human phytohemagglutinin-activated T lymphocytes. *Cell Immunol.* **109** 318-331.

Kraal G 1992 Cells in the marginal zone of the spleen. Int. Rev. Cytol. 132 31-74.

Kudo Y, Iwashita M, Iguchi T, Takeda Y, Hizuka N, Takano K & Muraki T 1997 Estrogen and parathyroid hormone regulate insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 in SaOS-2 cells. *Life Sci.* **61** 165-170.

Kudo Y, Iwashita M, Itatsu S, Iguchi T & Takeda Y 1996 Regulation of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 protease activity by estrogen and parathyroid hormone in SaOS-2 cells: implications for the pathogenesis of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J.Endocrinol.* **150** 223-229.

Kuemmerle JF & Teng B 2000 Regulation of IGFBP-4 levels in human intestinal muscle by an IGF-I- activated, confluence-dependent protease. *Am.J.Physiol Gastrointest.Liver Physiol* **279** G975-G982.

Kveiborg M, Flyvbjerg A, Eriksen EF & Kassem M 2001 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 stimulates the production of insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins-2, -3 and -4 in human bone marrow stromal cells. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **144** 549-557.

Landale EC, Strong DD, Mohan S & Baylink DJ 1995 Sequence comparison and predicted structure for the four exon-encoded regions of human insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4. *Growth Factors* **12** 245-250.

Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, *et al.* 2001 Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* **409** 860-921.

Landreth KS, Narayanan R & Dorshkind K 1992 Insulin-like growth factor-I regulates pro-B cell differentiation. *Blood* **80** 1207-1212.

Lau MM, Stewart CE, Liu Z, Bhatt H, Rotwein P & Stewart CL 1994 Loss of the imprinted IGF2/cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor results in fetal overgrowth and perinatal lethality. *Genes Dev.* **8** 2953-2963.

Laureys G, Barton DE, Ullrich A & Francke U 1988 Chromosomal mapping of the gene for the type II insulin-like growth factor receptor/cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor in man and mouse. *Genomics* **3** 224-229.

Laursen LS, Overgaard MT, Nielsen CG, Boldt HB, Hopmann KH, Conover CA, Sottrup-Jensen L, Giudice LC & Oxvig C 2002 Substrate specificity of the metalloproteinase pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) assessed by mutagenesis and analysis of synthetic peptides: substrate residues distant from the scissile bond are critical for proteolysis. *Biochem.J.* **367** 31-40.

Laursen LS, Overgaard MT, Soe R, Boldt HB, Sottrup-Jensen L, Giudice LC, Conover CA & Oxvig C 2001 Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) cleaves insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)-5 independent of IGF: implications for the mechanism of IGFBP-4 proteolysis by PAPP-A. *FEBS Lett.* **504** 36-40.

Lauth M, Spreafico F, Dethleffsen K & Meyer M 2002 Stable and efficient cassette exchange under non-selectable conditions by combined use of two site-specific recombinases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30** e115.

Lawrence JB, Bale LK, Haddad TC, Clarkson JT & Conover CA 1999a Characterization and partial purification of the insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-dependent IGF binding protein-4-specific protease from human fibroblast conditioned media. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **9** 25-34.

Lawrence JB, Oxvig C, Overgaard MT, Sottrup-Jensen L, Gleich GJ, Hays LG, Yates JR, III & Conover CA 1999b The insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-dependent IGF binding protein-4 protease secreted by human fibroblasts is pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **96** 3149-3153.

Leal SM, Liu Q, Huang SS & Huang JS 1997 The type V transforming growth factor beta receptor is the putative insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 receptor. *J.Biol.Chem.* **272** 20572-20576.

Lee HG, Vega RA, Phung LT, Matsunaga N, Kuwayama H & Hidari H 2000 The effect of growth hormone-releasing peptide-2 (KP102) administration on plasma insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 and IGF-binding proteins in Holstein steers on different planes of nutrition. *Domest.Anim Endocrinol.* **18** 293-308.

Lee JE, Pintar J & Efstratiadis A 1990 Pattern of the insulin-like growth factor II gene expression during early mouse embryogenesis. *Development* **110** 151-159.

Lemozy S, Pucilowska JB & Underwood LE 1994 Reduction of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) in protein-restricted rats is associated with differential regulation of IGF-binding protein messenger ribonucleic acids in liver and kidney, and peptides in liver and serum. *Endocrinology* **135** 617-623.

Leng SL, Leeding KS, Whitehead RH & Bach LA 2001 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-6 inhibits IGF-II- induced but not basal proliferation and adhesion of LIM 1215 colon cancer cells. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **174** 121-127.
LeRoith D 2000 Insulin-like growth factor I receptor signaling--overlapping or redundant pathways? *Endocrinology* **141** 1287-1288.

LeRoith D & Roberts CT, Jr. 1993 Insulin-like growth factors. *Ann.N.Y.Acad.Sci.* 692 1-9.

LeRoith D, Werner H, Beitner-Johnson D & Roberts CT, Jr. 1995 Molecular and cellular aspects of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor. *Endocr. Rev.* **16** 143-163.

Lewis MI, Horvitz GD, Clemmons DR & Fournier M 2002 Role of IGF-I and IGFbinding proteins within diaphragm muscle in modulating the effects of nandrolone. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab* **282** E483-E490.

Li YM, Arkins S, McCusker RH, Jr., Donovan SM, Liu Q, Jayaraman S, Dantzer R & Kelley KW 1996 Macrophages synthesize and secrete a 25-kilodalton protein that binds insulin-like growth factor-I. *J.Immunol.* **156** 64-72.

Lin TM, Galbert SP, Kiefer D, Spellacy WN & Gall S 1974 Characterization of four human pregnancy-associated plasma proteins. *Am.J.Obstet.Gynecol.* **118** 223-236.

Lindenbergh-Kortleve DJ, Rosato RR, van Neck JW, Nauta J, van Kleffens M, Groffen C, Zwarthoff EC & Drop SL 1997 Gene expression of the insulin-like growth factor system during mouse kidney development. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **132** 81-91.

Liu B, Lee HY, Weinzimer SA, Powell DR, Clifford JL, Kurie JM & Cohen P 2000 Direct functional interactions between insulin-like growth factor- binding protein-3 and retinoid X receptor-alpha regulate transcriptional signaling and apoptosis. *J.Biol.Chem.* **275** 33607-33613.

Liu JP, Baker J, Perkins AS, Robertson EJ & Efstratiadis A 1993 Mice carrying null mutations of the genes encoding insulin-like growth factor I (Igf-1) and type 1 IGF receptor (Igf1r). *Cell* **75** 59-72.

Ludwig T, Eggenschwiler J, Fisher P, D'Ercole AJ, Davenport ML & Efstratiadis A 1996 Mouse mutants lacking the type 2 IGF receptor (IGF2R) are rescued from perinatal lethality in Igf2 and Igf1r null backgrounds. *Dev.Biol.* **177** 517-535.

Lund PK 1998 Molecular basis of intestinal adaptation: the role of the insulin-like growth factor system. *Ann.N.Y.Acad.Sci.* **859** 18-36.

Lupu F, Terwilliger JD, Lee K, Segre GV & Efstratiadis A 2001 Roles of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 in mouse postnatal growth. *Dev.Biol.* **229** 141-162.

MacDonald RS 1999 The role of insulin-like growth factors in small intestinal cell growth and development. *Horm.Metab Res.* **31** 103-113.

Madden KS & Felten DL 1995 Experimental basis for neural-immune interactions. *Physiol Rev.* **75** 77-106.

Maile LA & Holly JM 1999 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) proteolysis: occurrence, identification, role and regulation. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **9** 85-95.

Malakoff D 2000 The rise of the mouse, biomedicine's model mammal. *Science* **288** 248-253.

Marinaro JA, Casley DJ & Bach LA 2000a O-glycosylation delays the clearance of human IGF-binding protein-6 from the circulation. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **142** 512-516.

Marinaro JA, Hendrich EC, Leeding KS & Bach LA 1999a HaCaT human keratinocytes express IGF-II, IGFBP-6, and an acid- activated protease with activity against IGFBP-6. *Am.J.Physiol* **276** E536-E542.

Marinaro JA, Jamieson GP, Hogarth PM & Bach LA 1999b Differential dissociation kinetics explain the binding preference of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 for insulin-like growth factor-II over insulin-like growth factor-I. *FEBS Lett.* **450** 240-244.

Marinaro JA, Neumann GM, Russo VC, Leeding KS & Bach LA 2000b Oglycosylation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-6 maintains high IGF-II binding affinity by decreasing binding to glycosaminoglycans and susceptibility to proteolysis. *Eur.J.Biochem.* **267** 5378-5386.

Martin JL, Coverley JA & Baxter RC 1994 Regulation of immunoreactive insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 6 in normal and transformed human fibroblasts. *J.Biol.Chem.* **269** 11470-11477.

Martin JL, Coverley JA, Pattison ST & Baxter RC 1995 Insulin-like growth factorbinding protein-3 production by MCF-7 breast cancer cells: stimulation by retinoic acid and cyclic adenosine monophosphate and differential effects of estradiol. *Endocrinology* **136** 1219-1226.

Martin JL, Willetts KE & Baxter RC 1990 Purification and properties of a novel insulin-like growth factor-II binding protein from transformed human fibroblasts. *J.Biol.Chem.* **265** 4124-4130.

Mason HD, Cwyfan-Hughes S, Holly JM & Franks S 1998 Potent inhibition of human ovarian steroidogenesis by insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4). *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **83** 284-287.

Matsell DG, Delhanty PJ, Stepaniuk O, Goodyear C & Han VK 1994 Expression of insulin-like growth factor and binding protein genes during nephrogenesis. *Kidney Int.* **46** 1031-1042.

Mayer J, Rau B, Schoenberg MH & Beger HG 1999 Mechanism and role of trypsinogen activation in acute pancreatitis. *Hepatogastroenterology* **46** 2757-2763.

Mazerbourg S, Overgaard MT, Oxvig C, Christiansen M, Conover CA, Laurendeau I, Vidaud M, Tosser-Klopp G, Zapf J & Monget P 2001 Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) in ovine, bovine, porcine, and equine ovarian follicles:

involvement in IGF binding protein-4 proteolytic degradation and mRNA expression during follicular development. *Endocrinology* **142** 5243-5253.

Mazerbourg S, Zapf J, Bar RS, Brigstock DR & Monget P 2000 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-4 proteolytic degradation in bovine, equine, and porcine preovulatory follicles: regulation by IGFs and heparin-binding domain-containing peptides. *Biol.Reprod.* **63** 390-400.

McCarthy TL, Casinghino S, Centrella M & Canalis E 1994 Complex pattern of insulin-like growth factor binding protein expression in primary rat osteoblast enriched cultures: regulation by prostaglandin E2, growth hormone, and the insulin-like growth factors. *J.Cell Physiol* **160** 163-175.

McCusker RH & Clemmons DR 1998 Role for cyclic adenosine monophosphate in modulating insulin-like growth factor binding protein secretion by muscle cells. *J.Cell Physiol* **174** 293-300.

McKinnon T, Chakraborty C, Gleeson LM, Chidiac P & Lala PK 2001 Stimulation of human extravillous trophoblast migration by IGF-II is mediated by IGF type 2 receptor involving inhibitory G protein(s) and phosphorylation of MAPK. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **86** 3665-3674.

Megyesi K, Kahn CR, Roth J, Froesch ER, Humbel RE, Zapf J & Neville DM, Jr. 1974 Insulin and non-suppressible insulin-like activity (NSILA-s): evidence for separate plasma membrane receptor sites. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **57** 307-315.

Meyers EN, Lewandoski M & Martin GR 1998 An Fgf8 mutant allelic series generated by Cre- and Flp-mediated recombination. *Nat.Genet.* **18** 136-141.

Michell NP, Dent S, Langman MJ & Eggo MC 1997 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins as mediators of IGF-I effects on colon cancer cell proliferation. *Growth Factors* **14** 269-277.

Mills AA & Bradley A 2001 From mouse to man: generating megabase chromosome rearrangements. *Trends Genet.* **17** 331-339.

Milne M, Quail JM, Rosen CJ & Baran DT 2001 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in femoral and vertebral bone marrow stromal cells: expression and regulation by thyroid hormone and dexamethasone. *J. Cell Biochem.* **81** 229-240.

Miyakoshi N, Qin X, Kasukawa Y, Richman C, Srivastava AK, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 2001 Systemic administration of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) increases bone formation parameters in mice by increasing IGF bioavailability via an IGFBP-4 protease-dependent mechanism. *Endocrinology* **142** 2641-2648.

Miyakoshi N, Richman C, Qin X, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 1999 Effects of recombinant insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 on bone formation parameters in mice. *Endocrinology* **140** 5719-5728.

Modric T, Silha JV, Shi Z, Gui Y, Suwanichkul A, Durham SK, Powell DR & Murphy LJ 2001 Phenotypic manifestations of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein- 3 overexpression in transgenic mice. *Endocrinology* **142** 1958-1967.

Mohan S & Baylink DJ 2002 IGF-binding proteins are multifunctional and act via IGF-dependent and - independent mechanisms. *J.Endocrinol.* **175** 19-31.

Mohan S, Baylink DJ & Pettis JL 1996 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding proteins in serum--do they have additional roles besides modulating the endocrine IGF actions? *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **81** 3817-3820.

Mohan S, Farley JR & Baylink DJ 1995a Age-related changes in IGFBP-4 and IGFBP-5 levels in human serum and bone: implications for bone loss with aging. *Prog.Growth Factor Res.* **6** 465-473.

Mohan S, Nakao Y, Honda Y, Landale E, Leser U, Dony C, Lang K & Baylink DJ 1995b Studies on the mechanisms by which insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) and IGFBP-5 modulate IGF actions in bone cells. *J.Biol.Chem.* **270** 20424-20431.

Mohseni-Zadeh S & Binoux M 1997 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-3 interacts with the type 1 IGF receptor, reducing the affinity of the receptor for its ligand: an alternative mechanism in the regulation of IGF action. *Endocrinology* **138** 5645-5648.

Monget P, Fabre S, Mulsant P, Lecerf F, Elsen JM, Mazerbourg S, Pisselet C & Monniaux D 2002 Regulation of ovarian folliculogenesis by IGF and BMP system in domestic animals. *Domest.Anim Endocrinol.* **23** 139-154.

Moon AM & Capecchi MR 2000 Fgf8 is required for outgrowth and patterning of the limbs. *Nat.Genet.* **26** 455-459.

Morello D, Asselin C, Lavenu A, Marcu KB & Babinet C 1989 Tissue-specific posttranscriptional regulation of c-myc expression in normal and H-2K/human c-myc transgenic mice. *Oncogene* **4** 955-961.

Morello D, Gachelin G, Dubois P, Tanigaki N, Pressman D & Jacob F 1978 Absence of reaction of a xenogenic anti-H-2 serum with mouse embryonal carcinoma cells. *Transplantation* **26** 119-125.

Morello D, Moore G, Salmon AM, Yaniv M & Babinet C 1986 Studies on the expression of an H-2K/human growth hormone fusion gene in giant transgenic mice. *EMBO J.* **5** 1877-1883.

Morgan DO, Edman JC, Standring DN, Fried VA, Smith MC, Roth RA & Rutter WJ 1987 Insulin-like growth factor II receptor as a multifunctional binding protein. *Nature* **329** 301-307.

Morrione A, Valentinis B, Xu SQ, Yumet G, Louvi A, Efstratiadis A & Baserga R 1997 Insulin-like growth factor II stimulates cell proliferation through the insulin receptor. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **94** 3777-3782.

Moser DR, Lowe WL, Jr., Dake BL, Booth BA, Boes M, Clemmons DR & Bar RS 1992 Endothelial cells express insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins 2 to 6. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **6** 1805-1814.

Moses AC, Nissley SP, Short PA, Rechler MM, White RM, Knight AB & Higa OZ 1980 Increased levels of multiplication-stimulating activity, an insulin- like growth factor, in fetal rat serum. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **77** 3649-3653.

Murphy LJ, Molnar P, Lu X & Huang H 1995a Expression of human insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 in transgenic mice. *J.Mol.Endocrinol.* **15** 293-303.

Murphy LJ, Rajkumar K & Molnar P 1995b Phenotypic manifestations of insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 1 (IGFBP-1) and IGFBP-3 overexpression in transgenic mice. *Prog.Growth Factor Res.* **6** 425-432.

Murphy WJ, Durum SK & Longo DL 1992 Role of neuroendocrine hormones in murine T cell development. Growth hormone exerts thymopoietic effects in vivo. *J.Immunol.* **149** 3851-3857.

Neely EK, Smith SD & Rosenfeld RG 1991 Human leukemic T and B lymphoblasts produce insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 2 and 4. *Acta Endocrinol.(Copenh)* **124** 707-714.

Nestler JE 1987 Modulation of aromatase and P450 cholesterol side-chain cleavage enzyme activities of human placental cytotrophoblasts by insulin and insulin- like growth factor I. *Endocrinology* **121** 1845-1852.

Nestler JE 1990 Insulin-like growth factor II is a potent inhibitor of the aromatase activity of human placental cytotrophoblasts. *Endocrinology* **127** 2064-2070.

Neuenschwander S, Schwartz A, Wood TL, Roberts CT, Jr., Hennighausen L & LeRoith D 1996 Involution of the lactating mammary gland is inhibited by the IGF system in a transgenic mouse model. *J.Clin.Invest* **97** 2225-2232.

Neumann GM & Bach LA 1999 The N-terminal disulfide linkages of human insulinlike growth factor- binding protein-6 (hIGFBP-6) and hIGFBP-1 are different as determined by mass spectrometry. *J.Biol.Chem.* **274** 14587-14594.

Neumann GM, Marinaro JA & Bach LA 1998 Identification of O-glycosylation sites and partial characterization of carbohydrate structure and disulfide linkages of human insulin-like growth factor binding protein 6. *Biochemistry* **37** 6572-6585.

Ney DM, Yang H, Smith SM & Unterman TG 1995 High-calorie total parenteral nutrition reduces hepatic insulin-like growth factor-I mRNA and alters serum levels of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1, -3, -5, and -6 in the rat. *Metabolism* **44** 152-160.

Nickerson T & Huynh H 1999 Vitamin D analogue EB1089-induced prostate regression is associated with increased gene expression of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. *J.Endocrinol.* **160** 223-229.

Ninh NX, Maiter D, Lause P, Chrzanowska B, Underwood LE, Ketelslegers JM & Thissen JP 1998 Continuous administration of growth hormone does not prevent the decrease of IGF-I gene expression in zinc-deprived rats despite normalization of liver GH binding. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **8** 465-472.

Noll K, Wegmann BR, Havemann K & Jaques G 1996 Insulin-like growth factors stimulate the release of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) and degradation of IGFBP-4 in nonsmall cell lung cancer cell lines. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **81** 2653-2662.

Nyman T & Pekonen F 1993 The expression of insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins in normal human lymphocytes. *Acta Endocrinol.(Copenh)* **128** 168-172.

Ogiolda L, Wanke R, Rottmann O, Hermanns W & Wolf E 1998 Intestinal dimensions of mice divergently selected for body weight. *Anat.Rec.* **250** 292-299.

Oh Y, Muller HL, Lamson G & Rosenfeld RG 1993 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)independent action of IGF-binding protein-3 in Hs578T human breast cancer cells. Cell surface binding and growth inhibition. *J.Biol.Chem.* **268** 14964-14971.

Oh YS, Kim EJ, Schaffer BS, Kang YH, Binderup L, MacDonald RG & Park JH 2001 Synthetic low-calcaemic vitamin D(3) analogues inhibit secretion of insulin-like growth factor II and stimulate production of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-6 in conjunction with growth suppression of HT-29 colon cancer cells. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **183** 141-149.

Oshima A, Nolan CM, Kyle JW, Grubb JH & Sly WS 1988 The human cationindependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor. Cloning and sequence of the full-length cDNA and expression of functional receptor in COS cells. *J.Biol.Chem.* **263** 2553-2562.

Overgaard MT, Haaning J, Boldt HB, Olsen IM, Laursen LS, Christiansen M, Gleich GJ, Sottrup-Jensen L, Conover CA & Oxvig C 2000 Expression of recombinant human pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A and identification of the proform of eosinophil major basic protein as its physiological inhibitor. *J.Biol.Chem.* **275** 31128-31133.

Oxvig C, Sand O, Kristensen T, Gleich GJ & Sottrup-Jensen L 1993 Circulating human pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A is disulfide- bridged to the proform of eosinophil major basic protein. *J.Biol.Chem.* **268** 12243-12246.

Park JH, Lee SW, Kim IT, Shin BS, Cheong SW, Cho UH, Huh MJ & Oh GS 2001 TCDD-up-regulation of IGFBP-6 and IL-5R alpha subunit genes in vivo and in vitro. *Mol.Cells* **12** 372-379.

Paul WE ed. 1993 *Fundamental Immunology*. 3nd ed., New York: Raven Press. pp467-525.

Perks CM, Bowen S, Gill ZP, Newcomb PV & Holly JM 1999 Differential IGFindependent effects of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (1-6) on apoptosis of breast epithelial cells. *J.Cell Biochem.* **75** 652-664.

Peter MA, Winterhalter KH, Boni-Schnetzler M, Froesch ER & Zapf J 1993 Regulation of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF-binding proteins by growth hormone in rat white adipose tissue. *Endocrinology* **133** 2624-2631.

Philipps AF, Wilson JM, Rao R, McCracken DM & Koldovsky O 1991 Presence of insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins in rat milk. *Adv.Exp.Med.Biol.* **293** 179-186.

Pierson RW, Jr. & Temin HM 1972 The partial purification from calf serum of a fraction with multiplication-stimulating activity for chicken fibroblasts in cell culture and with non-suppressible insulin-like activity. *J.Cell Physiol* **79** 319-330.

Piferrer F, Li D, Shimasaki S & Erickson GF 1997 Transforming growth factor-alpha stimulates insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) expression and blocks follicle-stimulating hormone regulation of IGFBP-4 production in rat granulosa cells. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **133** 9-17.

Pintar J, Schuller A, Bradshaw S, Cerro J & Grewal A 1998 Genetic disruption of IGF binding proteins. In: *Molecular Mechanisms to Regulate the Activities of Insulin-like Growth Factors*, Takano K, Hizuka N & Takahashi SI eds. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, pp. 65-70.

Pintar JE, Schuller A, Cerro JA, Czick M, Grewal A & Green B 1995 Genetic ablation of IGFBP-2 suggests functional redundancy in the IGFBP family. *Prog.Growth Factor Res.* **6** 437-445.

Plitz T, Huffstadt U, Endres R, Schaller E, Mak TW, Wagner H & Pfeffer K 1999 The resistance against Listeria monocytogenes and the formation of germinal centers depend on a functional death domain of the 55 kDa tumor necrosis factor receptor. *Eur.J.Immunol.* **29** 581-591.

Polychronakos C, Guyda HJ & Posner BI 1983 Receptors for the insulin-like growth factors on human erythrocytes. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **57** 436-438.

Poretsky L, Cataldo NA, Rosenwaks Z & Giudice LC 1999 The insulin-related ovarian regulatory system in health and disease. *Endocr. Rev.* **20** 535-582.

Powell-Braxton L, Hollingshead P, Giltinan D, Pitts-Meek S & Stewart T 1993a Inactivation of the IGF-I gene in mice results in perinatal lethality. *Ann.N.Y.Acad.Sci.* **692** 300-301.

Powell-Braxton L, Hollingshead P, Warburton C, Dowd M, Pitts-Meek S, Dalton D, Gillett N & Stewart TA 1993b IGF-I is required for normal embryonic growth in mice. *Genes Dev.* **7** 2609-2617.

Powell DR, Liu F, Baker BK, Hintz RL, Durham SK, Brewer ED, Frane JW, Tonshoff B, Mehls O, Wingen AM, Watkins SL, Hogg RJ & Lee PD 1997 Insulinlike growth factor-binding protein-6 levels are elevated in serum of children with chronic renal failure: a report of the Southwest Pediatric Nephrology Study Group. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **82** 2978-2984.

Price GJ, Berka JL, Edmondson SR, Werther GA & Bach LA 1995a Localization of mRNAs for insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 1 to 6 in rat kidney. *Kidney Int.* **48** 402-411.

Price WA 1999 Peptide growth factors regulate insulin-like growth factor binding protein production by fetal rat lung fibroblasts. *Am.J.Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **20** 332-341.

Price WA 2001 PDGF-BB regulates IGF-mediated IGFBP-4 proteolysis in fetal lung fibroblasts. *Exp.Lung Res.* **27** 655-674.

Price WA, Moats-Staats BM & Stiles AD 1995b Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) regulates IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-4 by multiple mechanisms in A549 human adenocarcinoma cells. *Am.J.Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **13** 466-476.

Price WA, Moats-Staats BM & Stiles AD 2002 Pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines regulate insulin-like growth factor binding protein production by fetal rat lung fibroblasts. *Am.J.Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **26** 283-289.

Putowski L, Rohan RM, Choi DS, Scherzer WJ, Ricciarelli E, Mordacq J, Mayo KE & Adashi EY 1997 Rat ovarian insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4: a hormone- dependent granulosa cell-derived antigonadotropin. *J.Soc.Gynecol.Investig.* **4** 144-151.

Putzer P, Breuer P, Gotz W, Gross M, Kubler B, Scharf JG, Schuller AG, Hartmann H & Braulke T 1998 Mouse insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6: expression, purification, characterization and histochemical localization. *Mol.Cell Endocrinol.* **137** 69-78.

Qin C, Singh P & Safe S 1999a Transcriptional activation of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 by 17beta-estradiol in MCF-7 cells: role of estrogen receptor-Sp1 complexes. *Endocrinology* **140** 2501-2508.

Qin X, Byun D, Lau KW, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 2000 Evidence that the interaction between insulin-like growth factor (IGF)- II and IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-4 is essential for the action of the IGF-II-dependent IGFBP-4 protease. *Arch.Biochem.Biophys.* **379** 209-216.

Qin X, Byun D, Strong DD, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 1999b Studies on the role of human insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF-II)- dependent IGF binding protein (hIGFBP)-4 protease in human osteoblasts using protease-resistant IGFBP-4 analogs. *J.Bone Miner.Res.* **14** 2079-2088.

Qin X, Sexton C, Byun D, Strong DD, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 2002 Differential regulation of pregnancy associated plasma protein (PAPP)-A during pregnancy in human and mouse. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **12** 359-366.

Qin X, Strong DD, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 1998 Structure-function analysis of the human insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273** 23509-23516.

Radulescu RT 1994 Nuclear localization signal in insulin-like growth factor-binding protein type 3. *Trends Biochem.Sci.* **19** 278.

Rajah R, Bhala A, Nunn SE, Peehl DM & Cohen P 1996 7S nerve growth factor is an insulin-like growth factor-binding protein protease. *Endocrinology* **137** 2676-2682.

Rajaram S, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 1997 Insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins in serum and other biological fluids: regulation and functions. *Endocr.Rev.* **18** 801-831.

Rajkumar K, Barron D, Lewitt MS & Murphy LJ 1995 Growth retardation and hyperglycemia in insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 transgenic mice. *Endocrinology* **136** 4029-4034.

Rajkumar K, Krsek M, Dheen ST & Murphy LJ 1996 Impaired glucose homeostasis in insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 transgenic mice. *J. Clin. Invest* **98** 1818-1825.

Read LC, Lemmey AB, Howarth GS, Martin AA, Tomas FM, Ballard FJ 1991 The gastrointestinal tract is one of the most responsive target tissues for IGF-I and its potent analogs. In: *Modern concepts of insulin-like growth factors,* Spencer EM ed., Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp225-234.

Rechler MM 1993 Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. Vitam. Horm. 47 1-114.

Rechler MM & Nissley SP 1990 Insulin-like growth factors. In: *Peptide Growth Factors and Their Receptors I*, Sporn MB & Roberts AB eds, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, pp263-267.

Rehbinder C, Baneux P, Forbes D, van Herck H, Nicklas W, Rugaya Z, Winkler G 1996 FELASA recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat, hamster, gerbil, guinea pig and rabbit experimental units. Report of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) Working Group on Animal Health accepted by the FELASA Board of Management, November 1995. *Lab Anim.* **30** 193-208.

Richardson RL, Hausman GJ & Wright JT 1998 Growth factor regulation of insulinlike growth factor (IGF) binding proteins (IGFBP) and preadipocyte differentiation in porcine stromal- vascular cell cultures. *Growth Dev.Aging* **62** 3-12.

Rinderknecht E & Humbel RE 1976a Polypeptides with nonsuppressible insulin-like and cell-growth promoting activities in human serum: isolation, chemical characterization, and some biological properties of forms I and II. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **73** 2365-2369.

Rinderknecht E & Humbel RE 1976b Amino-terminal sequences of two polypeptides from human serum with nonsuppressible insulin-like and cell-growth-promoting activities: evidence for structural homology with insulin B chain. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **73** 4379-4381.

Rinderknecht E & Humbel RE 1978a The amino acid sequence of human insulin-like growth factor I and its structural homology with proinsulin. *J.Biol.Chem.* **253** 2769-2776.

Rinderknecht E & Humbel RE 1978b Primary structure of human insulin-like growth factor II. *FEBS Lett.* **89** 283-286.

Robbins K, McCabe S, Scheiner T, Strasser J, Clark R & Jardieu P 1994 Immunological effects of insulin-like growth factor-I--enhancement of immunoglobulin synthesis. *Clin.Exp.Immunol.* **95** 337-342.

Roberts CT, Jr., Lasky SR, Lowe WL, Jr., Seaman WT & LeRoith D 1987 Molecular cloning of rat insulin-like growth factor I complementary deoxyribonucleic acids: differential messenger ribonucleic acid processing and regulation by growth hormone in extrahepatic tissues. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **1** 243-248.

Rodriguez CI, Buchholz F, Galloway J, Sequerra R, Kasper J, Ayala R, Stewart AF & Dymecki SM 2000 High-efficiency deleter mice show that FLPe is an alternative to Cre- loxP. *Nat.Genet.* **25** 139-140.

Roitt I 1991 The acquired immune response. IV Development. In: *Essential immunolgy*, (7<sup>th</sup> ed.), Anonymous ed., Oxford: Black-well, pp173-201.

Rooman RP, De Beeck LO, Martin M, Van Doorn J, Mohan S & Du Caju MV 2002 IGF-I, IGF-II, 'free' IGF-I and IGF-binding proteins-2 to -6 during high-dose oestrogen treatment in constitutionally tall girls. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **146** 823-829.

Rosato R, Lindenbergh-Kortleve D, Neck J, Drop S & Jahn G 2002 Effect of chronic thyroxine treatment on IGF-I, IGF-II and IGF-binding protein expression in mammary gland and liver during pregnancy and early lactation in rats. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **146** 729-739.

Ruther U, Muller W, Sumida T, Tokuhisa T, Rajewsky K & Wagner EF 1988 c-fos expression interferes with thymus development in transgenic mice. *Cell* **53** 847-856.

Ryding AD, Sharp MG & Mullins JJ 2001 Conditional transgenic technologies. *J.Endocrinol.* **171** 1-14.

San Roman GA & Magoffin DA 1993 Insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins in healthy and atretic follicles during natural menstrual cycles. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **76** 625-632.

Savino W & Dardenne M 1995 Immune-neuroendocrine interactions. *Immunol.Today* **16** 318-322.

Scharf JG, Schmidt-Sandte W, Pahernik SA, Ramadori G, Braulke T & Hartmann H 1998 Characterization of the insulin-like growth factor axis in a human hepatoma cell line (PLC). *Carcinogenesis* **19** 2121-2128.

Scherle W 1970 A simple method for volumetry of organs in quantitative stereology. *Mikroskopie.* **26** 57-60.

Schiltz PM, Mohan S & Baylink DJ 1993 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 inhibits both basal and IGF-mediated chick pelvic cartilage growth in vitro. *J.Bone Miner.Res.* **8** 391-396.

Schmid C, Keller C, Gosteli-Peter M & Zapf J 1999 Mitogenic and antiapoptotic effects of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 in the human osteoblastic osteosarcoma cell line Saos- 2/B-10. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **263** 786-789.

Schneider-Maunoury S, Topilko P, Seitandou T, Levi G, Cohen-Tannoudji M, Pournin S, Babinet C & Charnay P 1993 Disruption of Krox-20 results in alteration of rhombomeres 3 and 5 in the developing hindbrain. *Cell* **75** 1199-1214.

Schneider MR, Lahm H, Wu M, Hoeflich A & Wolf E 2000 Transgenic mouse models for studying the functions of insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins. *FASEB J.* **14** 629-640.

Schober DA, Simmen FA, Hadsell DL & Baumrucker CR 1990 Perinatal expression of type I IGF receptors in porcine small intestine. *Endocrinology* **126** 1125-1132.

Schuller AG, van Neck JW, Lindenbergh-Kortleve DJ, Groffen C, de J, I, Zwarthoff EC & Drop SL 1993 Gene expression of the IGF binding proteins during postimplantation embryogenesis of the mouse; comparison with the expression of IGF-I and -II and their receptors in rodent and human. *Adv.Exp.Med.Biol.* **343** 267-277.

Seurin D, Lassarre C, Bienvenu G & Babajko S 2002 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 inhibits neuroblastoma cell proliferation and tumour development. *Eur.J.Cancer* **38** 2058-2065.

Shalamanova L, Kubler B, Scharf JG & Braulke T 2001 MDCK cells secrete neutral proteases cleaving insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-2 to -6. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab* **281** E1221-E1229.

Sheikh MS, Shao ZM, Clemmons DR, LeRoith D, Roberts CT, Jr. & Fontana JA 1992 Identification of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 5 and 6 (IGFBP-5 and 6) in human breast cancer cells. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **183** 1003-1010.

Shimasaki S, Uchiyama F, Shimonaka M & Ling N 1990 Molecular cloning of the cDNAs encoding a novel insulin-like growth factor-binding protein from rat and human. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **4** 1451-1458.

Shoubridge CA, Steeb CB & Read LC 2001 IGFBP mRNA expression in small intestine of rat during postnatal development. *Am.J.Physiol Gastrointest.Liver Physiol* **281** G1378-G1384.

Si-Hoe SL, Wells S & Murphy D 2001 Production of transgenic rodents by the microinjection of cloned DNA into fertilized one-cell eggs. *Mol.Biotechnol.* **17** 151-182.

Siebler T, Lopaczynski W, Terry CL, Casella SJ, Munson P, De Leon DD, Phang L, Blakemore KJ, McEvoy RC, Kelley RI & . 1995 Insulin-like growth factor I receptor

expression and function in fibroblasts from two patients with deletion of the distal long arm of chromosome 15. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **80** 3447-3457.

Silha JV, Gui Y, Modric T, Suwanichkul A, Durham SK, Powell DR & Murphy LJ 2001 Overexpression of the acid-labile subunit of the IGF ternary complex in transgenic mice. *Endocrinology* **142** 4305-4313.

Silha JV, Gui Y & Murphy LJ 2002 Impaired glucose homeostasis in insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3-transgenic mice. *Am.J.Physiol Endocrinol.Metab* **283** E937-E945.

Silha JV & Murphy LJ 2002 Insights from insulin-like growth factor binding protein transgenic mice. *Endocrinology* **143** 3711-3714.

Silva CM, Isgaard J & Thorner MO 1998 Cytokines in endocrine function. *Adv.Protein Chem.* **52** 199-221.

Simmen FA, Simmen RC & Reinhart G 1988 Maternal and neonatal somatomedin C/insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF- I) and IGF binding proteins during early lactation in the pig. *Dev.Biol.* **130** 16-27.

Singh P, Dai B, Dhruva B & Widen SG 1994 Episomal expression of sense and antisense insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-4 complementary DNA alters the mitogenic response of a human colon cancer cell line (HT-29) by mechanisms that are independent of and dependent upon IGF-I. *Cancer Res.* **54** 6563-6570.

Smink JJ, Koster JG, Hendriks-Stegeman BI & Buul-Offers SC 1999 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) II induced changes in expression of IGF binding proteins in lymphoid tissues of hIGF-II transgenic mice. *Endocrinology* **140** 5876-5882.

Smith EP, Kamyar A, Niu W, Wang J, Cercek B, Chernausek SD & Fagin JA 2001 IGF-binding protein-4 expression and IGF-binding protein-4 protease activity are regulated coordinately in smooth muscle during postnatal development and after vascular injury. *Endocrinology* **142** 4420-4427.

Soe R, Overgaard MT, Thomsen AR, Laursen LS, Olsen IM, Sottrup-Jensen L, Haaning J, Giudice LC, Conover CA & Oxvig C 2002 Expression of recombinant murine pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) and a novel variant (PAPP-Ai) with differential proteolytic activity. *Eur.J.Biochem.* **269** 2247-2256.

Soto L, Martin AI, Millan S, Vara E & Lopez-Calderon A 1998 Effects of endotoxin lipopolysaccharide administration on the somatotropic axis. *J.Endocrinol.* **159** 239-246.

Spencer EM & Chan K 1995 A 3-dimensional model for the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBPs); supporting evidence using the structural determinants of the IGF binding site on IGFBP-3. *Prog.Growth Factor Res.* **6** 209-214.

Srinivasan N, Edwall D, Linkhart TA, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 1996 Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-6 produced by human PC-3 prostate cancer cells: isolation, characterization and its biological action. *J.Endocrinol.* **149** 297-303.

Standker L, Braulke T, Mark S, Mostafavi H, Meyer M, Honing S, Gimenez-Gallego G & Forssmann WG 2000 Partial IGF affinity of circulating N- and C-terminal fragments of human insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) and the disulfide bonding pattern of the C-terminal IGFBP-4 domain. *Biochemistry* **39** 5082-5088.

Steeb CB, Trahair JF, Tomas FM & Read LC 1994 Prolonged administration of IGF peptides enhances growth of gastrointestinal tissues in normal rats. *Am.J.Physiol* **266** G1090-G1098.

Stewart CE & Rotwein P 1996 Growth, differentiation, and survival: multiple physiological functions for insulin-like growth factors. *Physiol Rev.* **76** 1005-1026.

Strong DD, Chen ST, Linkhart TA, Yan T, Baylink DJ & Mohan S 2002 IGF binding protein-6 markedly inhibits osteoblast differentiation: evidence for an intracrine mechanism involving nuclear localization utilizing a novel nuclear localization signal. *Program of the 84<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Endocrine Society*, San Francisco, CA, 2002, OR 28-4 (abstract)

Stuart CA, Meehan RT, Neale LS, Cintron NM & Furlanetto RW 1991 Insulin-like growth factor-I binds selectively to human peripheral blood monocytes and B-lymphocytes. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **72** 1117-1122.

Stylianopoulou F, Efstratiadis A, Herbert J & Pintar J 1988a Pattern of the insulin-like growth factor II gene expression during rat embryogenesis. *Development* **103** 497-506.

Stylianopoulou F, Herbert J, Soares MB & Efstratiadis A 1988b Expression of the insulin-like growth factor II gene in the choroid plexus and the leptomeninges of the adult rat central nervous system. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **85** 141-145.

Sueoka N, Lee HY, Walsh GL, Fang B, Ji L, Roth JA, LaPushin R, Hong WK, Cohen P & Kurie JM 2000a Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 inhibits the growth of human bronchial epithelial cells and increases in abundance with all- trans-retinoic acid treatment. *Am.J.Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* **23** 297-303.

Sueoka N, Lee HY, Wiehle S, Cristiano RJ, Fang B, Ji L, Roth JA, Hong WK, Cohen P & Kurie JM 2000b Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 activates programmed cell death in non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Oncogene* **19** 4432-4436.

Tajbakhsh S, Rocancourt D & Buckingham M 1996 Muscle progenitor cells failing to respond to positional cues adopt non- myogenic fates in myf-5 null mice. *Nature* **384** 266-270.

Tang M, Van Kessel AG & Laarveld B 2002 Effects of weaning and rearing environment on intestinal gene expression of IGF-I, IGFBP (1-6), and the IGF

receptor and on specific binding of IGF-I to mucosal membranes in the pig. *Gen.Comp Endocrinol.* **128** 205-213.

Tapson VF, Boni-Schnetzler M, Pilch PF, Center DM & Berman JS 1988 Structural and functional characterization of the human T lymphocyte receptor for insulin-like growth factor I in vitro. *J.Clin.Invest* **82** 950-957.

Termanini B, Nardi RV, Finan TM, Parikh I & Korman LY 1990 Insulinlike growth factor I receptors in rabbit gastrointestinal tract. Characterization and autoradiographic localization. *Gastroenterology* **99** 51-60.

Tham A, Nordberg A, Grissom FE, Carlsson-Skwirut C, Viitanen M & Sara VR 1993 Insulin-like growth factors and insulin-like growth factor binding proteins in cerebrospinal fluid and serum of patients with dementia of the Alzheimer type. *J.Neural Transm.Park Dis.Dement.Sect.* **5** 165-176.

The C.elegans Sequencing Consortium 1998 Genome sequence of the nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. *Science* **282** 2012-2018.

Thomas KR & Capecchi MR 1987 Site-directed mutagenesis by gene targeting in mouse embryo-derived stem cells. *Cell* **51** 503-512.

Thomas LN, Wright AS, Lazier CB, Cohen P & Rittmaster RS 2000 Prostatic involution in men taking finasteride is associated with elevated levels of insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs)- 2, -4, and -5. *Prostate* **42** 203-210.

Thomas T, Gori F, Spelsberg TC, Khosla S, Riggs BL & Conover CA 1999 Response of bipotential human marrow stromal cells to insulin-like growth factors: effect on binding protein production, proliferation, and commitment to osteoblasts and adipocytes. *Endocrinology* **140** 5036-5044.

Thompson S, Clarke AR, Pow AM, Hooper ML & Melton DW 1989 Germ line transmission and expression of a corrected HPRT gene produced by gene targeting in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **56** 313-321.

Timsit J, Savino W, Safieh B, Chanson P, Gagnerault MC, Bach JF & Dardenne M 1992 Growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-I stimulate hormonal function and proliferation of thymic epithelial cells. *J.Clin.Endocrinol.Metab* **75** 183-188.

Tonin P, Ehrenborg E, Lenoir G, Feunteun J, Lynch H, Morgan K, Zazzi H, Vivier A, Pollak M & Huynh H 1993 The human insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 4 gene maps to chromosome region 17q12-q21.1 and is close to the gene for hereditary breast-ovarian cancer. *Genomics* **18** 414-417.

Tonner E, Barber MC, Allan GJ, Beattie J, Webster J, Whitelaw CB & Flint DJ 2002 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-5 (IGFBP-5) induces premature cell death in the mammary glands of transgenic mice. *Development* **129** 4547-4557.

Tonner E, Beattie J & Flint DJ 1995 Production of insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP), IGFBP- 3 protease, and expression of IGF-I receptors by cells of the sheep immune system. *Eur.J.Endocrinol.* **132** 118-122.

Toretsky JA & Helman LJ 1996 Involvement of IGF-II in human cancer. *J.Endocrinol.* **149** 367-372.

Tremblay E, Chailler P & Menard D 2001 Coordinated control of fetal gastric epithelial functions by insulin- like growth factors and their binding proteins. *Endocrinology* **142** 1795-1803.

Tucci M, Nygard K, Tanswell BV, Farber HW, Hill DJ & Han VK 1998 Modulation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and IGF binding protein biosynthesis by hypoxia in cultured vascular endothelial cells. *J.Endocrinol.* **157** 13-24.

Twigg SM, Kiefer MC, Zapf J & Baxter RC 1998 Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 5 complexes with the acid- labile subunit. Role of the carboxyl-terminal domain. *J.Biol.Chem.* **273** 28791-28798.

Ulinski T, Mohan S, Kiepe D, Blum WF, Wingen AM, Mehls O & Tonshoff B 2000 Serum insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)-4 and IGFBP-5 in children with chronic renal failure: relationship to growth and glomerular filtration rate. The European Study Group for Nutritional Treatment of Chronic Renal Failure in Childhood. German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Chronic Renal Failure. *Pediatr.Nephrol.* **14** 589-597.

Ullrich A, Gray A, Tam AW, Yang-Feng T, Tsubokawa M, Collins C, Henzel W, Le Bon T, Kathuria S, Chen E & . 1986 Insulin-like growth factor I receptor primary structure: comparison with insulin receptor suggests structural determinants that define functional specificity. *EMBO J.* **5** 2503-2512.

van de Wetering JK, Elfring RH, Oosterlaken-Dijksterhuis MA, Mol JA, Haagsman HP & Batenburg JJ 1997 Perinatal expression of IGFBPs in rat lung and its hormonal regulation in fetal lung explants. *Am.J.Physiol* **273** L1174-L1181.

Van der Ven LT, Roholl PJ, Reijnen-Gresnigt MG, Bloemen RJ & Buul-Offers SC 1997 Expression of insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) and histological changes in the thymus and spleen of transgenic mice overexpressing IGF- II. *Histochem.Cell Biol.* **107** 193-203.

Van Doorn J, Cornelissen AJ & Buul-Offers SC 2001 Plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 (IGFBP-4) under normal and pathological conditions. *Clin.Endocrinol.(Oxf)* **54** 655-664.

Van Doorn J, Ringeling AM, Shmueli SS, Kuijpers MC, Hokken-Koelega AC, Buul-Offers SC & Jansen M 1999 Circulating levels of human insulin-like growth factor binding protein- 6 (IGFBP-6) in health and disease as determined by radioimmunoassay. *Clin.Endocrinol.(Oxf)* **50** 601-609.

van Kleffens M, Groffen CA, Dits NF, Lindenbergh-Kortleve DJ, Schuller AG, Bradshaw SL, Pintar JE, Zwarthoff EC, Drop SL & van Neck JW 1999 Generation of antisera to mouse insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP)-1 to -6: comparison of IGFBP protein and messenger ribonucleic acid localization in the mouse embryo. *Endocrinology* **140** 5944-5952.

van Neck JW, Dits NF, Cingel V, Hoppenbrouwers IA, Drop SL & Flyvbjerg A 2000 Dose-response effects of a new growth hormone receptor antagonist (B2036-PEG) on circulating, hepatic and renal expression of the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor system in adult mice. *J.Endocrinol.* **167** 295-303.

Varki A 1993 Biological roles of oligosaccharides: all of the theories are correct. *Glycobiology* **3** 97-130.

Voci A, Demori, Bottazzi C & Fugassa E 2001 Regulation of renal growth and IGFBP-4 expression by triiodothyronine during rat development. *Horm.Metab Res.* **33** 256-262.

Wagner KJ, Patek CE, Miles C, Christie S, Brookes AJ & Hooper ML 2001 Truncation of WT1 results in downregulation of cyclin G1 and IGFBP-4 expression. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **287** 977-982.

Wallen LD, Myint W, Nygard K, Shimasaki S, Clemmons DR & Han VK 1997 Cellular distribution of insulin-like growth factor binding protein mRNAs and peptides during rat lung development. *J.Endocrinol.* **155** 313-327.

Wang J, Niu W, Nikiforov Y, Naito S, Chernausek S, Witte D, LeRoith D, Strauch A & Fagin JA 1997 Targeted overexpression of IGF-I evokes distinct patterns of organ remodeling in smooth muscle cell tissue beds of transgenic mice. *J.Clin.Invest* **100** 1425-1439.

Wang J, Niu W, Witte DP, Chernausek SD, Nikiforov YE, Clemens TL, Sharifi B, Strauch AR & Fagin JA 1998 Overexpression of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4 (IGFBP- 4) in smooth muscle cells of transgenic mice through a smooth muscle alpha-actin-IGFBP-4 fusion gene induces smooth muscle hypoplasia. *Endocrinology* **139** 2605-2614.

Wang JF, Hampton B, Mehlman T, Burgess WH & Rechler MM 1988 Isolation of a biologically active fragment from the carboxy terminus of the fetal rat binding protein for insulin-like growth factors. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **157** 718-726.

Waterston RH, Lindblad-Toh K, *et al.* 2002 Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. *Nature* **420** 520-562.

Weiss EH, Golden L, Fahrner K, Mellor AL, Devlin JJ, Bullman H, Tiddens H, Bud H & Flavell RA 1984 Organization and evolution of the class I gene family in the major histocompatibility complex of the C57BL/10 mouse. *Nature* **310** 650-655.

Wenger RH & Gassmann M 1997 Oxygen(es) and the hypoxia-inducible factor-1. *Biol.Chem.* **378** 609-616.

Westergaard JG, Chemnitz J, Teisner B, Poulsen HK, Ipsen L, Beck B & Grudzinskas JG 1983 Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A: a possible marker in the classification and prenatal diagnosis of Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Prenat.Diagn.* **3** 225-232.

Wetterau LA, Moore MG, Lee KW, Shim ML & Cohen P 1999 Novel aspects of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. *Mol.Genet.Metab* **68** 161-181.

Wiles MV, Vauti F, Otte J, Fuchtbauer EM, Ruiz P, Fuchtbauer A, Arnold HH, Lehrach H, Metz T, von Melchner H & Wurst W 2000 Establishment of a gene-trap sequence tag library to generate mutant mice from embryonic stem cells. *Nat.Genet.* **24** 13-14.

Williams RS, Wagner PD 2000 Transgenic animals in integrative biology: approaches and interpretations of outcome. *J Appl Physiol.* **88** 1119-1126.

Winesett DE, Ulshen MH, Hoyt EC, Mohapatra NK, Fuller CR & Lund PK 1995 Regulation and localization of the insulin-like growth factor system in small bowel during altered nutrient status. *Am.J.Physiol* **268** G631-G640.

Wolf E, Hoeflich A & Lahm H 1998 What is the function of IGF-II in postnatal life? Answers from transgenic mouse models. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **8** 185-193.

Wood TL, Rogler LE, Czick ME, Schuller AG & Pintar JE 2000 Selective alterations in organ sizes in mice with a targeted disruption of the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-2 gene. *Mol.Endocrinol.* **14** 1472-1482.

Wright RJ, Holly JM, Galea R, Brincat M & Mason HD 2002 Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-independent effects of IGF binding protein-4 on human granulosa cell steroidogenesis. *Biol.Reprod.* **67** 776-781.

Yakar S, Liu JL, Fernandez AM, Wu Y, Schally AV, Frystyk J, Chernausek SD, Mejia W & Le Roith D 2001 Liver-specific igf-1 gene deletion leads to muscle insulin insensitivity. *Diabetes* **50** 1110-1118.

Yan T, Wergedal J, Zhou Y, Mohan S, Baylink DJ & Strong DD 2001 Inhibition of human osteoblast marker gene expression by retinoids is mediated in part by insulinlike growth factor binding protein-6. *Growth Horm.IGF.Res.* **11** 368-377.

Yeh LC, Adamo ML, Kitten AM, Olson MS & Lee JC 1996 Osteogenic protein-1mediated insulin-like growth factor gene expression in primary cultures of rat osteoblastic cells. *Endocrinology* **137** 1921-1931.

Yi HK, Hwang PH, Yang DH, Kang CW & Lee DY 2001 Expression of the insulinlike growth factors (IGFs) and the IGF- binding proteins (IGFBPs) in human gastric cancer cells. *Eur.J.Cancer* **37** 2257-2263.

Zapf J 1994 Role of insulin-like growth factor II and IGF binding proteins in extrapancreatic tumor hypoglycemia. *Horm.Res.* **42** 20-26.

Zapf J, Waldvogel M & Froesch ER 1975 Binding of nonsuppressible insulinlike activity to human serum. Evidence for a carrier protein. *Arch.Biochem.Biophys.* **168** 638-645.

Zazzi H, Nikoshkov A, Hall K & Luthman H 1998 Structure and transcription regulation of the human insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 gene (IGFBP4). *Genomics* **49** 401-410.

Zhang M, Smith EP, Kuroda H, Banach W, Chernausek SD & Fagin JA 2002 Targeted expression of a protease-resistant IGFBP-4 mutant in smooth muscle of transgenic mice results in IGFBP-4 stabilization and smooth muscle hypotrophy. *J.Biol.Chem.* **277** 21285-21290.

Zhou J & Bondy C 1993 Anatomy of the human ovarian insulin-like growth factor system. *Biol.Reprod.* **48** 467-482.

Zhu X, Ling N & Shimasaki S 1993 Structural characterization of the rat insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 gene. *Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun.* **191** 1237-1243.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out in the Institute of Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, Gene Center, University of Munich. First of all, I would like to thank with a deep sense of gratitude Prof. Eckhard Wolf for allowing me to join his research team, for the excellent working condition and atmosphere, for his consistent support and guidance throughout the research, and for the critical reading of this thesis.

My special appreciation goes to Prof. Rüdiger Wanke from the Institute of Veterinary Pathology, for his guidance and support with the histomorphometric analyses, and his positive advisory opinions to extend my scholarship.

I am indebted to PD Dr. Harald Lahm, PD Dr. Andreas Höflich and Dr. Marlon R. Schneider for their critical discussions, helpful comments and technical support.

I am also greatly thankful to Prof. Thomas Braulke for providing the murine *Igfbp6* gene and antibodies, to Dr. Heinrich Flaswinkel for his help with the immunological analyses, to Dr. Ingrid Renner-Müller for the animal management and glucose tolerance test, to Mrs. Tamara Holy for the DNA microinjection and embryo transfer, to Dr. Wera Roth for the breeding of SPF animals, to Mrs. Heidrun Schöl for the electron microscopic analysis, and to my dear colleagues Daniela Diehl, Regine Schönfeld and Corinna Mörth for their assistance with animal section.

Many heartfelt thanks go to Dr. Ottheinz Krebs, Dr. Regina Klose, Dr. Marc Boelhauve, Sylvia Jande, Petra Renner, Anneliese Helfrich, Dagmar Kress, Peter Bil, Thomas Fisch, Nadja Herbach, Nadja Sandholzer, Angela Servatius, Lili Lü, Petra Demleitner for their helpful suggestion, discussion and assistance.

I am extremely grateful to my former Chinese supervisor, Prof. Huanchun Chen, who constantly encourages me to perform this work.

For the financial support from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) in form of a fellowship, I would like to express my gratitude.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my wife and daughter for their support and encouragement, without that this work could not have been done.

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

### Personal information

Name:	Rui Zhou
Sex:	male
Birthday:	June 19, 1968
Birth place:	Zhushan, Hubei, P.R. China
Nationality:	Chinese
Marital status:	married, one daughter

# Education & working experience

9.1976-7.1982	Primary School in Zhushan, Hubei, China					
9.1982-7.1988	Secondary School in Zhushan, Hubei, China					
9.1988-7.1993	B. Sc., Veterinary Medicine, Huazhong Agricultural University					
	(HZAU), Wuhan, China					
9.1993-12.1995	M. Sc., Animal Pathology, HZAU, China					
4.1996-9.1999	Research and teaching assistant in the Institute of Animal					
	Virology and Infectious Diseases, HZAU, China.					
10.1999-7.2003	Dr. med. vet., Institute of Molecular Animal Breeding &					
	Biotechnology, Gene Center, University of Munich, Germany.					
	Dissertation: Functional analysis of insulin-like growth factor					
	binding protein (IGFBP)-4 and -6 in transgenic mice.					

### <u>Grants</u>

9.1993-12.1995	Postgraduate	Research	Grant	from	Shengzhen	Jianghai	Ltd.
	Co., HZAU, China.						

10.1999-9.2003Postgraduate Research Grant from the German Academic<br/>Exchange Service (DAAD), Gene Center, University of Munich,<br/>Germany.

#### Parts of this work were published in:

<u>Zhou R</u>, Diehl D, Hoeflich A, Lahm H & Wolf E (2003) IGF-binding protein-4: biochemical characteristics and functional consequences. *Journal of Endocrinology* 178(2): 177-193.

Schneider MR, <u>Zhou R</u>, Hoeflich A, Krebs O, Schmidt J, Mohan S, Wolf E, Lahm H (2001) Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-5 inhibits growth and induces differentiation of mouse osteosarcoma cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 288(2): 435-442.

<u>Zhou R</u>, Schneider MR, Lahm H, Renner-Müller I & Wolf E (2002) Overexpression of IGFBP-4 in transgenic mice. *Growth Hormone & IGF Research* 12(4): 270 (abstract).

<u>Zhou R</u>, Schneider MR, Lahm H, Wanke R, Höflich A, Braulke T, Renner-Müller I, Krebs O & Wolf E (2002) Overexpression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-6 in the pancreas of transgenic mice. *Hormone Research* 58(5): 258 (abstract).

Wolf E, Fisch T, <u>Zhou R</u>, Weber MM, Elmlinger MW, Wanke R & Höflich A (2002) Transgenic IGFBP models. *Hormone Research* 58(5): 257 (abstract).

Schneider MR, Lahm H, <u>Zhou R</u>, Hoeflich A & Wolf E (2000) Transgenic and knockout mouse models for the study of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. *Growth Hormone & IGF Research* 10(4): A14 (abstract).